

## Prime Numbers

A prime number is an integer that is greater than 1 and has no positive divisors other than 1 and itself.

$$2, \quad 3, \quad 5, \quad 7, \quad 11, \quad \dots$$

An integer that is greater than 1 but is not prime is called composite.

$$4, \quad 15, \quad 77, \quad 120, \quad \dots$$

We call 1 neither a prime nor a composite number. Including it among primes would make the statement of the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic inconvenient. Therefore, we call 1 a unit. The primes can be used to build the entire system of positive integers. The first two lemmas will show that every positive integer can be written as a product of primes. Later, we will prove the uniqueness of the representation.

**Lemma : (2.1)**

Every integer  $n > 1$  is divisible by a prime number.

*Proof.* The set of divisors of  $n$  that are greater than 1 and less than  $n$  is either empty or non-empty.

If it is empty, then  $n$  is a prime number and thus has a prime divisor.

If it is nonempty, then the least integer principle says that it has a smallest element, call it  $d$ . If  $d$  had a divisor greater than 1 and less than  $d$ , then so would  $n$ . But this is impossible because  $d$  was the smallest such divisor. (Suppose  $c \mid d$  and  $1 < c < d$ .  $c \mid d$  and  $d \mid n$ , so  $c \mid n$ , but  $c < d$ ).

Therefore,  $d$  is prime, and  $n$  has a prime divisor, namely  $d$ . In both cases,  $n$  is divisible by a prime number.  $\square$

**Lemma : (2.2)**

Every integer  $n > 1$  can be written as a product of primes.

*Proof.* From Lemma 2.1, we know that there is a prime  $p_1$  such that  $p_1 \mid n$ . By the definition of divides, we get that  $n = p_1 n_1$ , where  $1 \leq n_1 < n$ .

If  $n_1 = 1$ , then  $n = p_1$  is an expression as a product of primes.

If  $n > 1$ , then from Lemma 2.1, there is a prime that divides  $n_1$ . By applying Lemma 2.1 repeatedly, we will find some  $n_i$  equal to 1 because the sequence of  $n_i$  is strictly decreasing but larger than 1.  $n > n_1 > n_2 > \dots \geq 1$ . For some  $k$ , we will have  $n_k = 1$ , in which case,  $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k$  is an expression of  $n$  as a product of primes.  $\square$

**Example**

Write the prime decompositions for 60 and 960.

---

$$\begin{aligned} 60 &= 30 \cdot 2 \\ &= 15 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \\ &= 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 960 &= 480 \cdot 2 \\ &= 240 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \\ &= 120 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \\ &= 60 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \\ &= 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem**

There are infinitely many primes.

*Proof.* Suppose there are finitely many primes. Denote them by:

$$p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r$$

Consider the integer

$$n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r + 1$$

From Lemma 2.1, we have that  $n$  is divisible by a prime, and since there are only finitely many primes, it must be one of  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r$ . Suppose that it is  $p_k$ . Then, since  $p_k \mid n$  and  $p_k \mid p_1 p_2 \dots p_r$ , we get that  $p_k \mid 1$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma : (2.5)**

If  $p \mid (ab)$ , then  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ .

*Proof.* Since  $p$  is prime, either  $(p, a) = p$  or  $(p, a) = 1$ . In the first case,  $p \mid a$  and we are done. In the second case, by Corollary 1.1,  $p \mid b$ , and we are done.  $\square$

**Lemma : (2.6)**

If  $p \mid (a_1 a_2 \dots a_k)$ , then  $p \mid a_i$  for some  $i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

*Proof.* If  $k = 1$ , then Lemma 2.6 is true by inspection. If  $k = 2$ , then Lemma 2.5 shows that Lemma 2.6 is true.

Suppose that Lemma 2.6 is true for  $k = r$ . Suppose that  $p \mid (a_1 a_2 \dots a_{r+1})$ , that is,  $p \mid (a_1 a_2 \dots a_r) a_{r+1}$ . Then, Lemma 2.5 gives us that  $p \mid a_{r+1}$  or  $p \mid (a_1 a_2 \dots a_r)$ .

In the first case,  $p \mid a_{r+1}$ . In the second case, by the induction step,  $p \mid a_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . In either case,  $p \mid a_i$  for some  $i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r + 1$ .

Therefore, if  $p \mid (a_1 a_2 \dots a_k)$ , then  $p \mid a_i$  for some  $i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma : (2.7)**

If  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n$  are primes, and  $p \mid (q_1 q_2 \dots q_n)$ , then  $p = q_k$  for some  $k$ .

*Proof.* From Lemma 2.7, we know that  $p \mid q_k$  for some  $k$ . However, the only divisors of  $q_k$  are  $q_k$  and 1. Also,  $p$  is not 1 since  $p$  is a prime. Therefore, we have that  $p = q_k$ .  $\square$

**Theorem : Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic**

Any positive integer can be written as a product of primes in one and only one way.

*Proof.* From Lemma 2.2, any integer  $n > 1$  can be written as a product of primes. Suppose that there are two representations

$$n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_m \quad \text{and} \quad n = q_1 q_2 \dots q_r$$

We must show that the same primes appear in each product and that they appear the same number of times. Since  $p_1 \mid n$ , we have that  $p_1 \mid (q_1 q_2 \dots q_r)$ . From Lemma 2.7, it follows that  $p_1 = q_i$  for some  $i$ . If we divide by the common factor we have that

$$p_2 p_3 \dots p_m = q_1 q_2 \dots q_{i-1} q_{i+1} \dots q_r$$

Applying Lemma 2.7 repeatedly, we find that each  $p$  is a  $q$ . Similarly, by interchanging  $p$  and  $q$ , we find that each  $q$  is a  $p$ .

Therefore,  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m$  are a rearrangement of  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_r$ , and the two factorizations differ only in the order of the factors.  $\square$