Advanced Quantum Mechanics

WiSe 2022/23

Instructor: Harvey Meyer

Aayush Arya January 18, 2023

Theoretical Physics 5

# The Lorentz Group Revisited

A Lorentz transformation is described by

$$\Lambda(\omega) = \mathbb{1} - \frac{i}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu}$$

for an infinitesimal  $\omega \ll 1$ .

A Lorentz covariant four-vector thus satisfies

$$x'^{\rho} = \Lambda^{\rho}_{\sigma} x^{\sigma}$$

The generators of our infiniteismal Lorentz transformations

$$J^{\mu\nu}=-J^{\mu\nu}$$

(principal diagonal elements must be all 0) satisfy certain commutation relations  $[J^{\mu\nu}, J^{\rho\sigma}]$  are equal to some linear combination of  $J^{\alpha\beta}$  (i.e. are elements of the same vector space) such that they form an algebra <sup>1</sup> of the generators.

A spinor field  $\psi_{\alpha}(x): \ \psi'_{\alpha}(x') = M(\Lambda)_{\alpha\beta}\psi_{\beta}(x).$ 

Dirac's proposal for the anticommuting gamma matrices  $\gamma^{\mu}$ , that satisfy

$$\{\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}\} = 2g^{\mu\nu} \mathbb{1}_{n \times n}$$

It is then posited that one can find generators that can be constructed from these matrices

$$\S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{4} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] = -S^{\nu\mu}$$

which also satisfy the commutation relations appropriate for the Lorentz group. That is to say  $S^{\mu\nu}$ are a specific example of what  $\mathcal{J}^{\mu\nu}$  can be.

### Specific realization of Dirac's matrices

$$\gamma^{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^{\mu} \\ \bar{\sigma^{\mu}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\sigma^{\mu}=(\mathbb{1}_{2\times 2},\vec{\sigma})$  and  $\{\sigma^i\}$  are the Pauli matrices It is easy to verify that  $(\gamma^0)^2=\mathbb{1}_{4\times 4}$ , and that the remaining three gamma matrices

$$\{\gamma^i,\gamma^j\} = -2\delta^{ij}\mathbb{1}_{4\times 4}$$

where  $i,\ j$  are spatial indices. Furthermore,  $\gamma^0, \gamma^j = 0$ .

The different gamma matrices thus anticommute with each other, and the square of  $\gamma^i = -1$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>An algebra is a vector space equipped with a bilinear product.

# How unique are the gamma matrices?

They are unique up to a unitary transformation. Suppose for a second we find a different  $\gamma'^{\mu}$  that are a unitary transformation of  $\gamma^{\mu}$ , i.e.

$$\gamma'^m u = U^{\dagger} \gamma^{\mu} U, \quad U^{\dagger} U = 1$$

Then, the  $\gamma'^{\mu}$  must also satisfy the same anticommutation relations. It is easy to explicitly compute  $\{\gamma'^{\mu}, \gamma'^{\nu}\}$  and obtain, from the properties of  $\gamma^{\mu}$  alone and show that it's equal to  $2g^{\mu\nu}\mathbb{1}$ .

### Rotations

are a subgroup of the Lorentz group

The generators

$$\begin{split} S^{ij} &= \frac{i}{4} [\gamma^i, \gamma^j] = -\frac{i}{4} \begin{pmatrix} [\sigma^i, \sigma^j] & 0 \\ 0 & [\underline{\sigma^i, \sigma^j}] \\ & = 2i\epsilon^{ijk}\sigma^k \end{pmatrix} \\ S^{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^k \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

are block diagonal matrices (with the same  $\sigma^k$  in the two blocks of the matrix).

For the moment, let's call the unit rotation matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma^k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma^k \end{pmatrix} = \Sigma^k$$

Now, for an infinitesimal rotation  $|\omega| \ll 1$ 

$$M(\Lambda(\omega)) = \mathbb{1}_{4\times 4} - \frac{i}{2}\omega_{ij}S^{ij}$$

if we are to rotate by an angle  $\alpha$  in the xy-plane for example,  $\omega_{12}=-\omega 21=\alpha$ , then the  $\frac{1}{2}\omega_{ij}\epsilon^{ijk}=\alpha\delta^{kz}$ .

and the representation

$$M(\Lambda(\omega)) = \mathbb{1}_{4\times 4} - \frac{i}{2}\alpha \Sigma^z$$

So, while rotation a  $\psi_{\alpha}(x)$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1'(x') \\ \psi_2'(x') \\ \psi_3'(x') \\ \psi_4'(x') \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbbm{1} - \frac{i\alpha}{2}\sigma^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \frac{i\alpha}{2}\sigma^3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(x) \\ \psi_2(x) \\ \psi_3(x) \\ \psi_4(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

The two blocks transform without mixing (the upper two components, don't mix with the lower two).

# The spin-1/2 electron

As a reminder of the problem, for an electron, the non-relativistic wavefunction

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{\uparrow}(x) \\ \Psi_{\downarrow}(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

the probability of measuring either the spin-up or spin-down component in a Stern-Gerlach apparatus should remain invariant under rotation of the coordinates. That is to say  $\int d^3x |\Psi_{\uparrow,\downarrow}(x)|^2$  should remain unaffected

If we are to view the same electron in a rotated coordinate system

$$\Psi'(x') = \underbrace{D(R)}_{2\times 2} \Psi(x)$$

The infinitesimal rotation matrix

$$D(R) = -\mathbb{1}_{2\times 2} i\alpha \vec{n} \cdot \vec{s}/\hbar$$

where  $\alpha$  is the angle of rotation,  $\vec{n}$  is the axis of rotation unit vector, and  $\vec{s}$  is the spin spector. We can see how to turn this into a finite rotation  $\rightarrow$  apply the rotation N times for an arbitrarily large N

$$D(R) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left( \mathbb{1} - \frac{i\alpha}{N} \; \vec{n} \cdot \frac{\vec{s}}{\hbar} \right)^N = \exp(-i\alpha \vec{n} \cdot \vec{s}/\hbar)$$

As for a spin-1/2 particle s has eigenvalues  $\pm \hbar/2$  and  $\vec{s}/\hbar = \frac{1}{2}\vec{\sigma}$  the rotation

$$D(R) = \exp\left(\frac{-i\alpha\vec{n}\cdot\vec{\sigma}}{2}\right)$$

#### **Boosts**

Let's suppose we apply a boost along the x-axis of pseudorapidity  $\eta$ ,  $\omega_{01} = -\omega 10 = \eta$  (where the velocity of the boost,  $v = c \tanh \eta$ )

$$M(\Lambda) = \mathbb{1}_{4\times 4} - \frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}J^{\mu\nu} = 1 - i\omega_{01}\bar{\mathcal{J}}^{\bar{0}1}$$

In Dirac's construction, the

$$\mathcal{J}^{0k} = S^{0k} = -\frac{i}{4}[\gamma^0, \gamma^k]$$

which we can evaluate to find are

$$-\frac{i}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \sigma^k & 0\\ 0 & -\sigma^k \end{pmatrix}$$

stemming from the product of two matrices  $\gamma^0$  and  $\gamma^k$  that themselves are zero-diagonal.

From this, we clearly see that the boost generators are anti-Hermitian  $S^{ij} = (-S^{ij})^{\dagger}$ , as opposed to the ones for rotations, which were Hermitian.

One can also verify that

$$(S^{\mu\nu})^{\dagger} = \gamma^0 S^{\mu\nu} \gamma^0, \quad \forall \mu, \nu$$

 $\rightarrow$  Ultimately, our goal is to construct a Lagrangian:  $\mathcal{L}(\psi, \psi^{\dagger})$  which is a Lorentz scalar.