Aayush Arya January 30, 2023

Theoretical Physics 5 Instructor: Harvey Meyer

Recap

$$(\gamma^\mu k_\mu - \kappa) u(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k \cdot \sigma \\ k \cdot \bar{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{k \cdot \sigma} \\ \sqrt{k \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (k \cdot \sigma) \sqrt{k \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \\ (k \cdot \bar{\sigma}) \sqrt{k \cdot \sigma} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(k \cdot \sigma)k \cdot \bar{\sigma} = k^2 \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2}$$

Indeed: $k_{\mu}\sigma^{\mu}k_{\nu}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu}=k_{\mu}k_{\nu}\frac{1}{2}\{\sigma^{\mu},\bar{\sigma}^{\nu}\}$

$$=k_{\mu}k_{\nu}\frac{1}{2}(\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}+\sigma^{\nu}\bar{\sigma}^{\nu})$$

$$= \begin{cases} \mathbb{1} & \mu = \nu = 0 \\ -\delta^{ij} & \mu = i, \nu = j \\ 0 & \mu = 0, v = j \\ 0 & \mu = i, \nu = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$=g^{\mu\nu}\mathbb{1}_{2\times 2}$$

Another ansatz:

$$\psi(x) = v(k)e^{+ikx}$$

for the antiparticle case, but also with $k^0 = +\sqrt{k^2 + \kappa^2}$.

That is to say, k^0 is still kept positive (positive energy, negative frequency). Putting this back into the Dirac equation

$$(-\gamma^{\mu}k_{\mu} - \kappa)v(k) = 0$$

$$\implies (\gamma^{\mu}k_{\mu} + \kappa) \ v(k) = 0$$

At $\vec{k} = 0$:

$$(\gamma^0 + 1) \ v(\vec{k} = 0) = 0$$

the eigenvector of γ^0 with digenvalue $_1$

$$v(\vec{k}=0) = \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ -\eta \end{pmatrix}, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^2$$

Apply boost to obtain v(k) for a general k (not at rest).

$$v(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{k \cdot \sigma} \ \eta \\ -\sqrt{k \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \ \eta \end{pmatrix}$$

The full set of solutions of Dirac equation

$$\psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{k \cdot \sigma} \\ \sqrt{k \cdot \bar{\sigma}} \end{pmatrix} e^{-ik \cdot x}$$

and

$$\psi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{k \cdot \sigma} \ \eta \\ -\sqrt{k \cdot \sigma} \ \eta \end{pmatrix} e^{ik \cdot x}$$

Basis of solutions $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

and
$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \eta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Orthogonality and completenesss of the solutions

$$\bar{u}^r(k)u^s(k) = 2\kappa \xi^{r\dagger} \cdot \xi^s = 2\kappa \delta^{rs}; \quad \bar{u} \equiv u^\dagger \cdot \gamma^0$$

The same also holds for $\bar{v}^r(k)v^s(k) = -2\kappa\delta^{rs}$ Additionally

$$\bar{u}^r(k)v^s(k) = 0, \ \forall r, s \in \{1, 2\}$$

and same goes the other way

Another set of relations

$$u^{r\dagger}(k)\ u^s(k) = 2\frac{\omega_k}{c}\delta^{rs} = 2k^0\delta^{rs}$$

$$v^{r\dagger}(k) \ v^s(k) = 2k^0 \delta^{rs}$$

Note: $u^{r\dagger}v^s(k) \neq 0$ but $u^r(\vec{k})^{\dagger} v^s(\vec{k}) = 0$

"Spin sum rules": $\gamma^\mu k_\mu \equiv k\!\!\!/$ (Feynman notation)

$$\sum_{s=1,2} u^s(k) \bar{u}^s(k) = \not k + \kappa$$

note that this $k + \kappa$ is a projector (i.e., $P^2 = P$)

$$p\!\!\!/\cdot k\!\!\!\!/=(p\cdot k)\mathbb{1}_{4\times 4}$$

$$\left(\frac{\cancel{k}+\kappa}{2\kappa}\right) = \frac{1}{4\kappa^2}(\cancel{k}^2_{\kappa^2} + 2\kappa k + \kappa^2) = \frac{1}{2\kappa}(k+\cancel{k})$$

$$\sum_{r=1,2} v^r(k) \ \bar{v}^r(k) = \not k - \kappa$$

This is analogous to

$$\sum_{\lambda=1,2} e^i_\lambda(k) e^j_\lambda(k) = \delta^{ij} \frac{k^i \cdot j}{\vec{k}^2}$$

Behavior of the solutions for $k^3 \rightarrow infty$

We've already discussed the special case when our $\vec{k} = 0$.

For $k^3 \to \infty$, we can forget $k^1 = k^2 = 0$

$$u(k)^{s=1} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{k^0 - k^3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \sqrt{k^0 + k^3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{k^3 \to \infty} = \sqrt{2|k^3|} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$u^{s=2}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{k^0 + k^3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \sqrt{k^0 - k^3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{k^3 \to +\infty} = \sqrt{2|k^3|} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This also corresponds to the limit $\kappa \to 0$ In this limit, only two components survive.

 \Rightarrow Why did Dirac choose a 4 dimensional representation of the Lorentz group? This looks like a waste of 2 degrees of freedom.

It's because we wanted to describe a particle of a finite mass (e.g. electron). If we wanted to describe a massless fermion of spin-1/2 then we could make do with just the upper two components in the block-diagonal

$$S^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$$

and that would be a perfectly fine representation of the Lorentz group.

The mass term in the \mathcal{L} , that is $-\kappa \bar{\psi} \psi$ couples the upper two and the lower two components. Otherwise we wouldn't need that many degrees of freedom.

 \Rightarrow To describe waves (read: particles) with a dispersion relation $\kappa \neq 0$, it is necessary to use four-component spinors.

For $\kappa = 0$, a two-component spinor suffices.

$$\begin{aligned} \textbf{Define} : \gamma^5 &:= i \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 \\ &= i \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho \gamma^\sigma \\ &= \text{Lorentz scalar} \end{aligned}$$

Actually, this is a pseudo-scalar. Under T and P reversal, this picks up a - sign. But for the purpose of a proper orthochronous Lorentz group, this is sufficient.

$$\gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbb{1}_{2\times 2} & 0\\ 0 & \mathbb{1}_{2\times 2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\mathbb{1}+\gamma^5}{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix} : \text{Projector onto the 2 lower components}$$

 $\frac{\mathbb{1}-\gamma^5}{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \text{Projector onto the upper two components}$