### Aayush Arya

### January 11, 2023

# Lecture Notes Advanced Quantum Mechanics

## A word on the zero-point energy

In the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{\vec{k}} \hbar \omega_k \left( a_k^{\dagger} a_k + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

The constant  $\hbar\omega_k \frac{1}{2}$  term is the zero point energy for each mode  $\vec{k}$ . There are an infinite number of modes  $\vec{k}$ , and the value of |k| is apparently not bounded from above (leading to arbitrarily large values of  $\hbar\omega_k$ ) and there are infinite such terms. This causes H to diverge.

Mathematically this can be dodged by inserting a constant 'vacuum' energy density term  $\Omega_0$  that exactly cancels the zero-point energy. One place where using an arbitrary energy density  $\Omega_0$  is not allowed is *gravity*. In general relativity, this constant term has real physical implications. There are dark energy models that attribute the expansion of the universe to a negative energy density.

Another way to eliminate such an infinity is to take the sum  $\sum_{k}^{\lambda}$ , where  $\lambda$  dictates the **ultraviolet cutoff**.

## Infinite volume limit $(\mathtt{L} \to \infty)$

$$\phi(\vec{x}) = \sqrt{\frac{hc^2}{L^3}} \sum_{\vec{k}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_k}} \left( a_k e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} + a_k^{\dagger} e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \right)$$

The way to take the continuum limit is

$$\frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\vec{k}} f(\vec{k}) \to \int \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3} f(\vec{k})$$

We shall rescale the creation and annihilation operators that have the appropriate normalization.

$$a(\vec{k}) := L^{3/2} a_{\vec{k}}$$

which leads to a commutation relation

$$[a(\vec{k}), a(\vec{k}')^{\dagger}] = L^3 \delta_{kk'} \to (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k}')$$

when we go from discrete to continous variables, the Kronecker delta becomes a Dirac delta. Now, making this substitution

$$\phi(\vec{x}) = \sqrt{\hbar c^2} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2\omega_k}} \left( a(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} + a(\vec{k})^{\dagger} e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \right)$$

and so  $L \to \infty$  we arrive at a nice form of the Hamiltonian

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\vec{k}} \hbar \omega_k \left( a(\vec{k})^{\dagger} a(\vec{k}) + \frac{1}{2} \right) \to \int \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \hbar \omega_k (a_{\vec{k}}^{\dagger} a_{\vec{k}} + \frac{1}{2})$$

with  $H_0$  we mean that this is the non-interacting part. The total Hamiltonian

$$H = H_0 + \Delta H, \quad \Delta H = \int d^3 \vec{x} \ V(\phi)$$

### Momentum operator

$$\vec{P} = -\frac{1}{c^2} \int d^3 \vec{x} \dot{\phi} \vec{\nabla} \phi$$

It's also convenient to replace  $\dot{\phi}$  with  $\pi(\vec{x})$ 

$$\vec{P} = -\int d^3x \pi(\vec{x}) \vec{\nabla} \phi(\vec{x}) = \sum_{\vec{k}} \hbar k a_k^{\dagger} a_k = \frac{1}{L^3} \sum_{\vec{k}} a(\vec{k})^{\dagger} a(\vec{k}) \to \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \hbar k a_k^{\dagger} a_k$$

## Extension to a complex scalar field $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{C}$

Remember that the Lagrangian density has to be real  $(\mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{R})$ .

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_{\mu}\phi\partial^{\mu}\phi^{\dagger} - \kappa^{2}\phi^{\dagger}\phi + \Omega_{0} + (V(\phi^{\dagger}\phi))$$

The mode expansion for such a complex field<sup>1</sup>

$$\phi(\vec{x}) = \sqrt{\hbar c^3} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2\omega_k}} \left( a(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} + b(\vec{k})^{\dagger} e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \right)$$

and the conjugate momentum would be defined normally as

$$\pi(\vec{x}) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}} = \frac{1}{c} \partial^0 \phi^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{c^2} \dot{\phi}^{\dagger}$$

So this theory describes to particle species.

 $a(\vec{k})^{\dagger}|0\rangle$  is a one-particle state for particles of type 'a'. and  $b(\vec{k})^{\dagger}|0\rangle$  for those of type 'b'. Here, due to the form of the  $\kappa^2$  term in  $\mathcal{L}$ , the mass of both the particles is  $m = \hbar \kappa/c$ 

# Additional conserved quantity

Because of the U(1) symmetry<sup>2</sup>, there exists a conserved current  $J^{\mu}$  such that  $\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu}=0$ . The time-like component of this current corresponds to a charge.

$$Q = \frac{i}{\hbar} \int d^3 \vec{x} \left( \phi^{\dagger} \pi^{\dagger} - \pi \phi \right) = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \left( a(\vec{k})^{\dagger} a(\vec{k}) - b(\vec{k})^{\dagger} b(\vec{k}) \right)$$

Type 'a' and type 'b' particles have equal and opposite charge.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The reason for the choice of the expansion in terms of  $a_k$  and  $b_k^{\dagger}$  and not just one of them is that otherwise the time-dependence would be more sophisticated, not first-order in the derivative.

 $<sup>^{2}\</sup>phi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha}\phi$  in turn implies  $\phi^{\dagger} \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha}\phi^{\dagger}$  which for a constant  $\alpha$  leaves the  $\mathcal{L}$  unchanged.

If we wanted to interpret this conserved charge as the electromagnetic charge, we would add a coupling term to the  $\mathcal{L}_{em}$  equal to  $-eA_{\mu}j^{\mu}$ .

Note that we need to impose extra conditions and another term to introduce a full gauge invariance in the theory $^3$ .

We do not yet have a theory that can describe the electron<sup>4</sup> because it has a spin-1/2.

## A relativistic quantum field theory of the electron

So far we've had

• scalar field  $\phi'(x') = \phi(x)$ , where

$$x'^{\mu} = A^{\mu}_{\nu}x^{\nu} + b^{\mu}$$

• a vector field

$$A^{\mu}: A'^{\mu}(x') = \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} A^{\nu}(x)$$

which led to the photon with polarization states  $\lambda=\pm$ 

the angular momentum operator  $J_3$  still had eigenvalues  $\pm\hbar$  ( $J_3$   $a_k^{\dagger}|0\rangle = \pm\hbar a_k^{\dagger}|0\rangle$ ), but an e-shall have only half-spin.

While trying to resolve this, we shall come to the Dirac theory.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>In the Hamiltonian for the em field that we had before, the  $\frac{1}{2m} \left( \vec{p} - \frac{e}{c} \vec{A} \right)^2$  part has a term quadratic in  $\vec{A}$  which is needed for H to be gauge invariant

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The spin-statistics theorem says that in a relativistic theory one cannot have bosons with spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  and fermions with integer-spin.