Half-plane Capacity and Conformal Radius (joint work with Steffen Rohde)

Carto Wong

University of Washington

December 12, 2011
The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Let Γ be a slit in the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ going from $a \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ to 0.

Question

What is a "canonical" parametrization of Γ?

Two cases

chordal case   radial case

Radial case

Suppose $\gamma \colon (0, T) \to \mathbb{D}$ is a slit. There is a unique conformal mapping

$$f_t \colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D} \setminus \gamma([0, t])$$

satisfying $f_t(0) = 0$ and $f_t'(0) > 0$.

Radial case

Suppose $\gamma \colon (0, T) \to \mathbb{D}$ is a slit. There is a unique conformal mapping

$$f_t \colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D} \setminus \gamma([0, t])$$

satisfying $f_t(0) = 0$ and $f_t'(0) > 0$. We define the "disk capacity"

$$\mathrm{dcap}(\Gamma_t) := -\log f_t'(0).$$

Question

What is a "canonical" parametrization of Γ?

Answer

Parametrize the slit so that $\operatorname{dcap}(\Gamma_t) = t$.

Used in radial Schramn-Loewner Evolution (SLE)

Reason

<div style="border:1px solid; padding:10px;">

Theorem

Suppose the slit is parametrized so that $dcap(\Gamma_t) = t$. Then the conformal mapping $f_t(z)$ satisfies the (radial) Loewner differential equation

$$\partial_t f_t(z) = -f_t'(z)\, \frac{\lambda(t) + z}{\lambda(t) - z}$$

for some continuous function $\lambda(t)$ with $|\lambda(t)| \equiv 1$.

</div>

Chordal (half-plane) case

Hydrodynamic normalization:

$$g_t(z) = z + \frac{a_1(t)}{z} + \frac{a_2(t)}{z^2} + \cdots \qquad (z \to \infty)$$

Chordal (half-plane) case

Hydrodynamic normalization:

$$g_t(z) = z + \frac{a_1(t)}{z} + \frac{a_2(t)}{z^2} + \cdots \qquad (z \to \infty)$$

The half-plane capacity of Γ_t is defined as

$$\text{hcap}(\Gamma_t) := \lim_{z \to \infty} z\,[g_t(z) - z] \geq 0.$$

Chordal (half-plane) case

Hydrodynamic normalization:

$$g_t(z) = z + \frac{a_1(t)}{z} + \frac{a_2(t)}{z^2} + \cdots \qquad (z \to \infty)$$

The half-plane capacity of Γ_t is defined as

$$\mathsf{hcap}(\Gamma_t) := \lim_{z \to \infty} z\left[g_t(z) - z\right] \geq 0.$$

Example

$A = [0, i]$, $g(z) = \sqrt{z^2 + 1} = z + \frac{1}{2z} + \cdots$ and $\mathsf{hcap}(A) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Question

What is a "canonical" parametrization of Γ?

Answer

Parametrize the slit so that $\mathrm{hcap}(\Gamma_t) = 2t$.

Used in chordal Schramm-Loewner Evolution (SLE)

Chordal Loewner differential equation

Theorem

Suppose the slit is parametrized so that $hcap(\Gamma_t) = 2t$. *Then* $g_t(z)$ *satisfies the (chordal) Loewner differential equation*

$$\partial_t g_t(z) = \frac{2}{g_t(z) - \lambda(t)}$$

for some continuous real-valued function $\lambda(t)$.

Basic properties of hcap(A)

- monotone:

$$A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \mathrm{hcap}(A) \leq \mathrm{hcap}(B).$$

- invariant under horizontal translation: for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathrm{hcap}(A + x) = \mathrm{hcap}(A).$$

- scaling property: for any $r > 0$,

$$\mathrm{hcap}(rA) = r^2 \mathrm{hcap}(A).$$

Question

Find a geometric quantity that is comparable to $\mathrm{hcap}(A)$.

Scaling property: $\mathrm{hcap}(rA) = r^2\mathrm{hcap}(A)$. Some candidates are

- $\mathrm{area}\,(A)$
- $\mathrm{diam}\,(A)^2$
- $\mathrm{height}(A)^2$
- $\mathrm{diam}\,(A) \times \mathrm{height}(A)$

Question

Find a geometric quantity that is comparable to $\text{hcap}(A)$.

Scaling property: $\text{hcap}(rA) = r^2\text{hcap}(A)$. Some candidates are

- $\text{area}(A)$
- $\text{diam}(A)^2$
- $\text{height}(A)^2$
- $\text{diam}(A) \times \text{height}(A)$

They all fail!

Counter-example

hcap(A) and diam $(A)^2$ are not comparable:

$$\text{hcap}([0, e^{i\alpha\pi}]) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{1-2\alpha}(1-\alpha)^{2\alpha-1} \to 0$$

as $\alpha \to 0$.

Notation

For $z \in \mathbb{H}$, define

$$T(z) = \text{triangular region in the figure below}$$

Notation

For $z \in \mathbb{H}$, define

$$T(z) = \text{triangular region in the figure below}$$
$$T(A) = \bigcup_{z \in A} T(z)$$

Main result

> **Theorem**
>
> There are constants c_1, $c_2 > 0$ such that
>
> $$c_1 \cdot area\,(T(A)) \leq hcap(A) \leq c_2 \cdot area\,(T(A))$$
>
> for all A.

$$T(A) = \bigcup_{z \in A} T(z)$$

S(A)

Whitney squares:

$$S_{n,k} = [k2^n, (k+1)2^n] \times [2^n, 2^{n+1}] \qquad (n, k \in \mathbb{Z})$$

$$S(A) = \bigcup_j S_j$$

Comparable quantities

With absolute constants,

$$\text{area } N(A) \asymp \text{area } S(A) \asymp \text{area } T(A).$$

History of the problem

2001 Steffen Rohde and Michel Zinsmeister: showed a similar result for conformal radius when A is "already fat".

History of the problem

2001 Steffen Rohde and Michel Zinsmeister: showed a similar result for conformal radius when A is "already fat".

Wong: proof using the probabilistic definition of hcap(A). (2008 manuscript, to be part of a thesis.) The result became "folklore".

History of the problem

2001 Steffen Rohde and Michel Zinsmeister: showed a similar result for conformal radius when A is "already fat".

Wong: proof using the probabilistic definition of hcap(A). (2008 manuscript, to be part of a thesis.) The result became "folklore".

2009 Steven Lalley, Gregory Lawler, Hariharan Narayanan: proved the "ball version". The proof also uses probabilistic argument.

History of the problem

2001 Steffen Rohde and Michel Zinsmeister: showed a similar result for conformal radius when A is "already fat".

Wong: proof using the probabilistic definition of hcap(A). (2008 manuscript, to be part of a thesis.) The result became "folklore".

2009 Steven Lalley, Gregory Lawler, Hariharan Narayanan: proved the "ball version". The proof also uses probabilistic argument.

2010 (with Steffen Rohde) a non-probabilistic proof, using a known result about conformal radius.

# Outline of a proof for the main result

3 ingredients in our proof:

1. an equivalent problem about dcap (conformal radius)

2. a "fattening lemma"

3. a lemma for the fat case ("Variation of conformal radius" Steffen Rohde and Michel Zinsmeister, 2001)

Half-plane capacity and conformal radius

$\mathsf{dcap}(B) = -\log f'(0) \asymp 1 - f'(0)$ for $B \subseteq \{\frac{1}{2} \leq |z| < 1\}$.

Lemma

$$\lim_{y \to \infty} \frac{dcap(B_y)}{hcap(y^{-1}A)} = 2.$$

Equivalent problems

Problem

$$hcap(A) \asymp area\, N(A)$$

for any slit $A \subseteq \mathbb{H}$.

is equivalent to

Problem

$$dcap(B) \asymp area\, N(B)$$

for any slit $B \subseteq \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \le |z| < 1 \right\}$.

# Outline of a proof for the main result

3 ingredients in our proof:

1. an equivalent problem about dcap (conformal radius)

2. a "fattening lemma"

3. a lemma for the fat case ("Variation of conformal radius" Steffen Rohde and Michel Zinsmeister, 2001)

Fattening lemma

$$\mathsf{dcap}(B) = -\log \left| f'(0) \right| \asymp 1 - \left| f'(0) \right|$$

Fattening lemma

$$\text{dcap } N(B) = -\log \left| \widetilde{f}'(0) \right| \asymp 1 - \left| \widetilde{f}'(0) \right|$$

Fattening lemma

Lemma (Fattening lemma)

For $B \subseteq \{\frac{1}{2} \leq |z| < 1\}$,

$$dcap(B) \leq dcap\, N(B) \leq c\, dcap(B).$$

Lower bound for dcap(B)

Let $f \colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D} \setminus B$ be conformal with $f(0) = 0$.

$$f(z) = a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \cdots$$

$$\text{area}\,(\mathbb{D} \setminus B) = \pi \left(|a_1|^2 + 2\,|a_2|^2 + 3\,|a_3|^2 + \cdots \right)$$

$$\geq \pi\,|a_1|^2$$

$$\text{area}\,(B) \leq \pi(1 - |a_1|^2) \asymp 1 - |a_1| \asymp \text{dcap}(B)$$

By the fattening lemma,

$$\text{dcap}(B) \gtrsim \text{dcap}N(B) \gtrsim \text{area}\,N(B).$$

Proof of fattening lemma (sketch)

Decompose $\mathbb{D}$ into dyadic layers $D_n = \{\frac{1}{2^{n+1}} < 1 - |z| \le \frac{1}{2^n}\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dcap}(B) &= -\log\left|f'(0)\right| \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} -\log|f(\zeta)| \; d\zeta \\
&\asymp \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\omega_n(0)}{2^n},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_n(z) = \omega(z, B_n, \mathbb{D} \setminus B_n)$ and $B_n = B \cap D_n$.

Proof of fattening lemma (sketch)

Similarly,

$$\mathsf{dcap}\, N(B) \asymp \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\widetilde{\omega}_n(0)}{2^n}.$$

The fattening lemma follows if one can show that

$$\widetilde{\omega}_n(z) \lesssim \omega_{n-1}(z) + \omega_n(z) + \omega_{n+1}(z)$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus N(B)$ and all n.

Harmonic measure estimate

It is in general not true that

$$\widetilde{\omega}_n(z) \lesssim \omega_n(z)$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus N(B)$.

Harmonic measure estimate

By taking 3 terms, we have

$$\widetilde{\omega}_n(z) \lesssim \omega_{n-1}(z) + \omega_n(z) + \omega_{n+1}(z)$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus N(B)$.

# Outline of a proof for the main result

3 ingredients in our proof:

1. an equivalent problem about dcap (conformal radius)

2. a "fattening lemma"

3. a lemma for the fat case ("Variation of conformal radius" Steffen Rohde and Michel Zinsmeister, 2001)

The fat case

Lemma (Rohde and Zinsmeister, 2001)

If $B = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} Q_j$ is a union of disjoint dyadic squares, then

$$dcap(B) \asymp area(B).$$

Upper bound of dcap(B)

Cover B by dyadic squares in a minimal way. Call the larger set $Q(B) \supseteq B$.

$$\mathrm{dcap}(B) \leq \mathrm{dcap}\, Q(B) \lesssim \mathrm{area}\, Q(B) \lesssim \mathrm{area}\, N(B).$$

The fat case

> ### Lemma (Rohde and Zinsmeister, 2001)
>
> If $B = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} Q_j$ is a union of disjoint dyadic squares, then
>
> $$dcap(B) \asymp area\,(B).$$

Sketch of proof. WLOG, $area\,(Q_1) \le area\,(Q_2) \le \cdots \le area\,(Q_n)$.
Using induction, it suffices to show that

$$\mathrm{dcap}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} Q_j\right) - \mathrm{dcap}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{m-1} Q_j\right) \asymp area\,(Q_m)$$

for all m.

# The induction step

The induction step

The induction step

On the right side,

$$\omega \asymp \operatorname{diam}(Q_m) \asymp \sqrt{\operatorname{area}(Q_m)}.$$

The induction step

On the left side, the red curve is bounded between two circles $\partial B(\zeta_m, \rho R_m)$ and $\partial B(\zeta_m, R_m)$ with center $\zeta_m \in \partial \mathbb{D}$, where $\rho \in (0, 1)$ is an absolute constant. Moreover,

$$\omega \asymp R_m \asymp \sqrt{\mathsf{dcap}(K_m)}.$$

Thank you!

These slides are available at
https://github.com/cartowong/halfplane-capacity