

LECTURE NOTES F -SINGULARITIES

JAVIER CARVAJAL-ROJAS

ABSTRACT. These are lectures notes for a course on F -singularities given at the CIMAT in the Spring Semester 2024.

1. REGULARITY

Before defining what a regular ring is, we need the notion of *projective and global dimensions*.

1.1. Projective resolutions and other homological algebra stuff. Let M be a module over a ring R .¹

Exercise 1.1. Prove that there is an exact sequence of R -modules

$$0 \rightarrow K_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

where P_0 is free and so projective. Iterate this to obtain an exact

$$0 \rightarrow K_i \rightarrow P_{i-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

where the P_i 's are free. The module K_i is referred to as *a syzygy module*.

Definition 1.1 (Resolutions). An exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_{i+1} \rightarrow P_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

is called a *free (resp. projective) resolution* of M if all the P_i 's are free (resp. projective). We may denote a projective resolution as $P_\bullet \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$.²

Exercise 1.2. Prove that free resolutions always exist and so that the category of R -modules has “enough projectives.”

Definition 1.2 (Projective dimension). The module M is said to have *finite projective dimension* if there is a projective resolution $P_\bullet \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ such that $P_i = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$. In such case, the *projective dimension* of M is

$$\text{pd } M = \text{pd}_R M := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists P_\bullet \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \text{ such that } P_i = 0 \forall i > n\}.$$

If M has not finite projective dimension we write $\text{pd } M = \infty$.

Exercise 1.3. Prove that M is projective iff $\text{pd } M = 0$.

Next lemma is key.

¹All rings are commutative with unity 1.

²Over local rings projective modules are free.

Lemma 1.3. *Suppose that there are two exact sequences of R -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow K'_n \rightarrow P'_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P'_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow$$

where $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the P_i and P'_i are projective. Then

- (a) $K_n \oplus P'_{n-1} \oplus P_{n-2} \oplus \cdots \cong K'_n \oplus P_{n-1} \oplus P'_{n-2} \oplus \cdots$
- (b) K_n is projective iff so is K'_n .

Proof. Note that (b) follows from (a).³ The proof of (b) is lengthy and left as an exercise. Hint: Proceed by induction on n . Prove the case $n = 1$ first and then reduce the inductive case to this one. \square

It can be used to prove the following.

Exercise 1.4. Let

$$0 \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow$$

be an exact sequences where the P_i 's are projective. Prove that

- (a) $\text{pd } M \leq n$ iff K_n is projective.
- (b) If $\text{pd } M \geq n$ then $\text{pd } K_n = \text{pd } M - n$.

Exercise 1.5. Suppose that R is noetherian and that M is finitely generated. Prove that

$$\text{pd}_R M = \sup\{\text{pd}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R\} = \sup\{\text{pd}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}} M_{\mathfrak{m}} \mid \mathfrak{m} \text{ maximal}\}$$

Exercise 1.6. Prove that

$$\text{pd}(M \oplus N) = \max\{\text{pd } M, \text{pd } N\}.$$

The above exercise generalizes as follows.

Exercise* 1.7. Let

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of R -modules. Show the following statements.

- (a) If two of the modules in the exact sequence have finite projective dimension so does the third one.
- (b) In that case (*i.e.* the three modules have finite projective dimension) then

$$\text{pd } M \leq \max\{\text{pd } M', \text{pd } M''\},$$

- (c) and if the inequality is strict then $\text{pd } M'' = \text{pd } M' + 1$.

Definition 1.4 (Minimal free resolution). Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{K})$ be a noetherian local ring and M a finitely generated R -module. A free resolution $P_{\bullet} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is said to be *minimal* if

$$\phi_i(P_{i+1}) \subset \mathfrak{m}P_i \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$$

where $\phi_i: P_{i+1} \rightarrow P_i$ is the homomorphism from the free resolution.

Exercise 1.8. In the setup of Definition 1.4, let $K_i := \ker \phi_{i-1}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Prove that $\mu(P_0) = \mu(M)$ and $\mu(P_i) = \mu(K_i)$ for all $i \geq 1$. Here, we let $\mu(-) = \dim_{\mathcal{K}} - \otimes_R \mathcal{K}$ denote the minimal number of generators.

³Observe that for this is absolutely essential to use projectiveness instead of freeness.

Exercise 1.9. Show that minimal free resolutions exist.

Exercise 1.10. In the setup of Definition 1.4, let $P_\bullet \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ and $P'_\bullet \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be two minimal free resolutions. Show that $\mu(P_i) = \mu(P'_i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

The above two exercises guarantee that the following definition makes sense.

Definition 1.5 (Betti numbers). In the setup of Definition 1.4, the i -th Betti number of M is defined as $\beta_i(M) := \mu(P_i)$ where $P_\bullet \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is any minimal free resolution.

Remark 1.6. Sometimes people talk about the Betti numbers of $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{K})$, in that case they refer to the Betti numbers of \mathcal{K} .

Exercise 1.11. Let $P_\bullet \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a minimal free resolution. Prove that $P_i = 0$ if (and only if) $i > \text{pd } M$. That is,

$$\text{pd } M = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid \beta_i(M) \neq 0\}$$

Exercise 1.12. Prove that

$$\beta_i(M) = \dim_{\mathcal{K}} \text{Tor}_i(\mathcal{K}, M), \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

and conclude that

$$\text{pd } M = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{Tor}_i(\mathcal{K}, M) \neq 0\} \leq \text{pd } \mathcal{K}.$$

Definition 1.7 (Global dimension). The *global dimension* of a ring R is the supremum of the projective dimensions of finitely generated R -modules.

Corollary 1.8. *The global dimension of a local ring is the projective dimension of its residue field.*

Remark 1.9 (Regular sequences and depth). Recall that a *regular element* $r \in R$ on an R -module M is one for which $\cdot r: M \rightarrow M$ is injective but not surjective. A *regular sequence* $r_1, \dots, r_d \in R$ on M is defined by the following two conditions:

- (a) r_1 is regular on M , and
- (b) r_i is regular on $M/(r_1, \dots, r_{i-1})M$ for all $i = 2, \dots, d$.

Given an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset R$, the *depth of \mathfrak{a} on M* , denoted by $\text{depth}_R(\mathfrak{a}, M)$, is the maximal length of a regular sequence on M of elements in \mathfrak{a} . When $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{K})$ is local, we may write $\text{depth } M = \text{depth}_R M = \text{depth}_R(\mathfrak{m}, M)$. In that case, we also have:

$$\text{depth } M = \min\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{K}, M) \neq 0\}.$$

This formula can be proved as follows (details are left to the reader). First, prove that if $r_1, \dots, r_d \in R$ is a regular sequence on M then

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(\mathcal{K}, M) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < d, \\ \text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{K}, M/(r_1, \dots, r_d)M) & \text{if } i = d. \end{cases}$$

This can be proved by induction on d . The base step $d = 0$ is trivial. For the inductive step, consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\cdot r_1} M \rightarrow M/r_1 M \rightarrow 0$$

Next, apply the functor $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{K}, -)$ to it. Since $r_1 \in \mathfrak{m}$, it acts like 0 on \mathcal{K} and so $\text{Ext}_R^i(\mathcal{K}, \cdot r_1) = 0$. This means that the long exact sequence on Ext 's breaks down into exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(\mathcal{K}, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(\mathcal{K}, M/r_1 M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(\mathcal{K}, M) \rightarrow 0$$

Since r_2, \dots, r_d is a regular sequence on M/r_1M , we may apply the inductive hypothesis and conclude.

Theorem 1.10 (Auslander–Buchsbaum formula). *In the setup of Definition 1.4, if $\text{pd } M < \infty$ then*

$$\text{pd } M + \text{depth } M = \text{depth } R.$$

In particular, if R has finite global dimension it is at most $\text{depth } R$.

Proof. We only sketch a proof and leave the details to the reader as an exercise. The proof is an induction on $\text{pd } M$. If $\text{pd } M = 0$ then M is free and so $\text{depth } M = \text{depth } R$. If $\text{pd } M = 1$ then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R^{\oplus m} \xrightarrow{\phi} R^{\oplus n} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

which we may assume to be minimal, *i.e.* we may assume that the entries of the $n \times m$ R -matrix $\phi: R^{\oplus m} \rightarrow R^{\oplus n}$ are in \mathfrak{m} . Consider next the long exact sequence on Ext obtained by applying the functor $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{K}, -)$ (write it down yourself). Observe that $\text{Ext}_R^i(\mathcal{K}, R^{\oplus k}) = \text{Ext}_R^i(\mathcal{K}, R)^{\oplus k}$ and that

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(\mathcal{K}, \phi): \text{Ext}_R^i(\mathcal{K}, R)^{\oplus m} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(\mathcal{K}, R)^{\oplus n}$$

is given by the \mathcal{K} -matrix obtained by reducing ϕ modulo \mathfrak{m} . In particular, $\text{Ext}_R^i(\mathcal{K}, \phi) = 0$ and so there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(\mathcal{K}, R)^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(\mathcal{K}, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(\mathcal{K}, R)^{\oplus m} \rightarrow 0$$

From this, we see that $\text{depth } M = \text{depth } R - 1$. This shows the base step of the induction. For the inductive step, suppose $\text{pd } M \geq 2$ and consider an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow R^{\oplus m} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

where $\text{pd } N = \text{pd } M - 1$. Use the corresponding long exact sequence on Ext 's obtained by applying $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{K}, -)$ to find the relationship between the depths of M and N (which is $\text{depth } N = \text{depth } M + 1$). Use the inductive hypothesis to conclude. \square

Remark 1.11. It is not difficult to see (using Krull's height theorem) that every regular sequence can be extended to a system of parameters. In particular, $\text{depth } R \leq \dim R$.⁴ When this equality happens to be an equality one says that $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{K})$ is *Cohen–Macaulay*. That is, every system of parameters is a regular sequence.

1.2. Regular local rings. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{K})$ be a noetherian local ring. Then, by Nakayama's lemma its so-called *embedded dimension*

$$\text{edim } R := \mu(\mathfrak{m}) = \dim_{\mathcal{K}} \mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathcal{K} = \dim_{\mathcal{K}} \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$$

is finite.

Exercise 1.13. Use Krull's Hauptidealsatz to conclude that the embedded dimension is at least the Krull's dimension of the local ring.

Definition 1.12 (Regular local ring). A noetherian local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{K})$ is said to be *regular* if the inequality

$$\text{edim } R \geq \dim R$$

is an equality.

⁴More generally, $\text{depth}(\mathfrak{a}, R) \leq \text{ht } \mathfrak{a}$.

Exercise 1.14. Prove that if $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{K})$ is a noetherian local ring such that \mathfrak{m} is generated by a regular sequence then it is regular.

The converse of this exercise is also true but a bit harder to prove.

Theorem 1.13. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{K})$ be a regular (noetherian) local ring. Then every set of minimal generators of \mathfrak{m} (aka regular system of parameters) is a regular sequence. In particular, $\mathrm{pd}_R \mathcal{K} = \dim R$.⁵*

This result can be seen as a consequence of the following.

Theorem 1.14. *A regular local ring is an integral domain.*⁶

Proof. TO BE ADDED. □

Corollary 1.15. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{K})$ be a regular local ring and $r \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$. Then R/rR is regular and the converse holds if r is not in any minimal prime.*

Summing up, regular local rings have finite global dimension equal to its dimension. It turns out that the converse is also true and it's a deep result due to Auslander–Buchsbaum and Serre. To prove this, we need the following observation.

Exercise 1.15. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{K})$ be a local ring and M be a finitely generated R -module. Let $r \in R$ be a regular element on M . Prove that

$$\mathrm{pd}_{R/xR} M/xM = \mathrm{pd}_R M$$

Theorem 1.16 (Auslander–Buchsbaum–Serre). *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{K})$ be a local noetherian ring. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) R is regular (i.e. \mathfrak{m} is generated by a regular sequence)
- (b) The global dimension of R is $\dim R$
- (c) $\mathrm{pd}_R \mathcal{K}$ is finite.

Definition 1.17 (Regular rings). We say that a noetherian ring of finite Krull dimension $\dim R$ is regular if any of the following equivalent conditions hold:

- (a) The local ring $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec} R$.
- (b) The global dimension of R is at most $\dim R$.
- (c) R has finite global dimension.

REFERENCES

CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN EN MATEMÁTICAS, A.C., CALLEJÓN JALISCO S/N, 36024 COL. VALENCIANA, GUANAJUATO, GTO, MÉXICO

Email address: javier.carvajal@cimat.mx

⁵In particular, regular local rings are Cohen–Macaulay, i.e. $\mathrm{depth} R = \dim R$.

⁶In fact normal.