

# Complex Langevin calculations in QCD at finite density and low temperature with the deformation technique

Keitaro NAGATA<sup>ab1</sup>, Jun NISHIMURA<sup>bc2</sup> and Shinji SHIMASAKI<sup>bd3</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Center of Medical Information Science, Kochi Medical School, Kochi University,  
Kohasu, Oko-cho, Nankoku-shi, Kochi 783-8505, Japan*

<sup>b</sup>*KEK Theory Center, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization,  
1-1 Oho, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan*

<sup>c</sup>*Department of Particle and Nuclear Physics, School of High Energy Accelerator Science,  
Graduate University for Advanced Studies (SOKENDAI),  
1-1 Oho, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan*

<sup>d</sup>*Research and Education Center for Natural Sciences, Keio University,  
Hiyoshi 4-1-1, Yokohama, Kanagawa 223-8521, Japan*

## abstract

It is well known that investigating QCD at finite density by standard Monte Carlo methods is extremely difficult due to the sign problem. Some years ago, the complex Langevin method with gauge cooling was shown to work at high temperature, i.e., in the deconfined phase. The same method was also applied to QCD in the so-called heavy dense limit in the whole temperature region. In this paper we attempt to apply this method to the high density low temperature regime with reasonably small quark mass using four-flavor staggered fermions on a  $4^3 \times 8$  lattice. While a straightforward application faces with the singular-drift problem, which spoils the validity of the method, we overcome this problem by the deformation technique proposed earlier. Explicit results for the quark number density and the chiral condensate obtained in this way for  $3.2 \leq \mu/T \leq 5.6$  are compared with the results for the phase-quenched model obtained by the standard RHMC calculation, which suggests the occurrence of the Silver-Blaze phenomenon.

---

<sup>1</sup> E-mail address : k-nagata@kochi-u.ac.jp

<sup>2</sup> E-mail address : jnishi@post.kek.jp

<sup>3</sup> E-mail address : shimasaki.s@gmail.com

# 1 Introduction

The phase diagram of QCD at finite density and temperature is speculated to have a very rich structure. This is not only interesting from theoretical viewpoints but also relevant to the physics related to heavy-ion collision experiments and the interior structure of neutron stars. However, the speculated phase structure still remains elusive mainly because first-principle calculations based on lattice QCD are extremely difficult at finite density due to the complex fermion determinant, which causes the so-called sign problem.

As a promising solution to this problem, the complex Langevin method (CLM) [1, 2] has been attracting much attention recently. In this method, based on the idea of stochastic quantization [3, 4], the expectation value of an observable is calculated using a stochastic process for *complexified* dynamical variables with the observable being extended holomorphically. Since the method does not rely on the probabilistic interpretation of the Boltzmann weight, there is a chance to overcome the sign problem completely.

However, it is known that the method yields wrong results in some cases even if the stochastic process reaches equilibrium without any problem. This issue was discussed theoretically for the first time in refs. [5, 6] by considering the equality between the expectation value of an observable defined by the stochastic process at each fictitious time and the expectation value of the observable with respect to a complex weight, which satisfies the Fokker-Planck equation associated with the original theory. If this equality holds, the expectation value obtained by the stochastic process in the long-time limit gives the expectation value defined by the path integral formulation of the original theory.<sup>4</sup> In proving this equality, a crucial role is played by the time-evolved observable, whose existence is implicitly assumed in refs. [5, 6]. This is actually subtle and requires the condition that the probability distribution of the magnitude of the drift term in the stochastic process should fall off exponentially or faster [8]. On the other hand, if this condition is satisfied, the integration by parts used in the argument can be justified. In this sense, one may regard the above condition as a necessary and sufficient condition for justifying the CLM under such assumptions as the convergence and the ergodicity of the stochastic process. Roughly speaking, frequent appearance of large drifts during the stochastic process invalidates the CLM. The validity of this criterion has been demonstrated in simple one-variable models [8] and in semi-realistic models [9].

There are actually two cases that can lead to the frequent appearance of large drifts.

---

<sup>4</sup>For this to be true, it had long been considered that all the eigenvalues of the Fokker-Planck Hamiltonian should have a positive real part. However, it was argued in ref. [7] with explicit examples that this condition is automatically satisfied if the aforementioned equality holds.

One is the case in which the dynamical variables make frequent excursions in the imaginary directions during the stochastic process, which is referred to as the excursion problem [5, 6]. The other is the case in which the drift term has singularities that are frequently visited during the stochastic process, which is referred to as the singular-drift problem [7]. By avoiding these problems, one can enlarge the validity region of the CLM. For instance, the excursion problem can be solved by the gauge cooling [10], which amounts to making a complexified gauge transformation after each Langevin step in such a way that the imaginary part of the dynamical variables is minimized.<sup>5</sup> Theoretical justification of the gauge cooling has been given explicitly in refs. [8, 13]. On the other hand, ref. [14] proposed to solve the singular-drift problem by deforming the original system in such a way that the dynamical variables keep away from the singularities of the drift term. The results for the undeformed system can be obtained by extrapolating the deformation parameter to zero using the parameter region in which the criterion for justifying the CLM is satisfied. This technique was applied successfully to matrix models relevant to nonperturbative string theory [15]. For other recent developments in the CLM, see refs. [16–30], for instance.

The gauge cooling made it possible to apply the CLM to finite density QCD in the deconfined phase [18, 31] and in the heavy dense limit [10, 21, 32]. In this paper, we attempt to investigate the high density low temperature regime with reasonably small quark mass using a  $4^3 \times 8$  lattice.<sup>6</sup> The parameter region we are aiming at, however, is anticipated to be plagued by the singular-drift problem according to the studies of the chiral Random Matrix Theory [35]. Indeed we encounter this problem and use the deformation technique to solve it. By probing the probability distribution of the drift term, we determine the region of the deformation parameter in which the CLM is valid and make extrapolations to the undeformed model using the results within this region. The baryon number density and the chiral condensate thus obtained as a function of the quark chemical potential are compared with those obtained by the RHMC calculation of the phase-quenched model, which is defined by omitting the phase of the fermion determinant. We observe a clear difference suggesting the so-called Silver Blaze phenomenon in the full model.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we briefly review lattice QCD at finite density. In section 3, we explain how we apply the CLM to finite density QCD with the gauge cooling and the deformation technique. In section 4, we present our results and compare them with the results for the phase-quenched model. Section 5 is devoted to a summary and discussions.

---

<sup>5</sup>This technique was also used to solve the singular-drift problem in the chiral Random Matrix Theory [11]. See ref. [12], however, for a case in which it does not work.

<sup>6</sup>Preliminary results are presented in Lattice 2017 [33]. See also ref. [34] for related work.

## 2 Lattice QCD at finite density

Our calculation is based on lattice QCD on a 4D Euclidean periodic lattice defined by the partition function

$$Z = \int \prod_{x\mu} dU_{x\mu} \det M(U, \mu) e^{-S_g(U)} . \quad (2.1)$$

The dynamical variables  $U_{x\mu} \in \text{SU}(3)$  are the link variables, where  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$  labels a site on the lattice and  $\mu = 1, 2, 3, 4$  represents a direction (with  $\mu = 1, 2, 3$  and  $\mu = 4$  being the spatial and temporal directions, respectively). We work in units which set the lattice spacing to unity, and denote the number of sites in the spatial and temporal directions as  $N_s$  and  $N_t$ , respectively. The gauge action  $S_g(U)$  is given by

$$S_g(U) = -\frac{\beta}{6} \sum_x \sum_{\mu < \nu} \text{tr} (U_{x\mu\nu} + U_{x\mu\nu}^{-1}) , \quad (2.2)$$

where the plaquette  $U_{x\mu\nu}$  is defined by  $U_{x\mu\nu} = U_{x\mu} U_{x+\hat{\mu},\nu} U_{x+\hat{\nu},\mu}^{-1} U_{x\nu}^{-1}$  with  $\hat{\mu}$  being the unit vector in the  $\mu$ -direction.

In this work, we use the unimproved staggered fermions, for which the fermion matrix  $M(U, \mu)$  in (2.1) is given by

$$M(U, \mu)_{xy} = m\delta_{xy} + \sum_{\nu=1}^4 \frac{1}{2} \eta_\nu(x) (e^{\mu\delta_{\nu 4}} U_{x\nu} \delta_{x+\hat{\nu},y} - e^{-\mu\delta_{\nu 4}} U_{x-\hat{\nu},\nu}^{-1} \delta_{x-\hat{\nu},y}) , \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\eta_\nu(x) = (-1)^{x_1+\dots+x_{\nu-1}}$ . This represents four flavors of quarks with the degenerate quark mass  $m$  and the quark chemical potential  $\mu$ . The quark field obeys the periodic/anti-periodic boundary conditions in the spatial/temporal directions, respectively. Note that the fermion matrix  $M(U, \mu)$  satisfies  $\epsilon_x M(U, \mu)_{xy} \epsilon_y = M(U, -\mu^*)_{yx}^*$  with  $\epsilon_x = (-1)^{x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4}$  playing the role of  $\gamma_5$ . Hence, for nonzero real  $\mu$ , the fermion determinant  $\det M(U, \mu)$  becomes complex in general causing the sign problem, which we overcome by the CLM explained in the next section.

The observables we consider in this paper are the baryon number density

$$\langle n \rangle = \frac{1}{3N_V} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \log Z , \quad (2.4)$$

and the chiral condensate

$$\langle \Sigma \rangle = \frac{1}{N_V} \frac{\partial}{\partial m} \log Z , \quad (2.5)$$

where  $N_V = N_s^3 N_t$ . We use the standard noisy estimator to calculate these quantities.

### 3 Complex Langevin method for finite density QCD

In this section, we explain how we apply the CLM [1,2] to lattice QCD at finite density. First we extend the link variables  $U_{x\mu} \in \text{SU}(3)$  to the complexified link variables  $\mathcal{U}_{x\mu} \in \text{SL}(3, \mathbb{C})$  and consider their fictitious time evolution based on the complex Langevin equation, which is given in its discrete form by

$$\mathcal{U}_{x\mu}(t + \epsilon) = \exp \left( i \sum_{a=1}^8 \lambda_a [-\epsilon v_{ax\mu}(\mathcal{U}(t)) + \sqrt{\epsilon} \eta_{ax\mu}(t)] \right) \mathcal{U}_{x\mu}(t) , \quad (3.1)$$

where  $t$  represents the discretized Langevin time with the stepsize  $\epsilon$ . We have introduced the generators  $\lambda_a$  ( $a = 1, \dots, 8$ ) of the  $\text{SU}(3)$  algebra normalized as  $\text{tr}(\lambda_a \lambda_b) = \delta_{ab}$  and the real Gaussian noise  $\eta_{ax\mu}(t)$  normalized as  $\langle \eta_{ax\mu}(t) \eta_{by\nu}(t') \rangle_\eta = 2\delta_{ab} \delta_{xy} \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta_{tt'}$ , where  $\langle \dots \rangle_\eta$  represents an average over  $\eta$ . The drift term  $v_{ax\mu}(\mathcal{U})$  in (3.1) is defined by analytic continuation of the one defined for  $U_{x\mu} \in \text{SU}(3)$  as

$$v_{ax\mu}(U) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{S(e^{i\epsilon \lambda_a} U_{x\mu}) - S(U_{x\mu})}{\epsilon} , \quad (3.2)$$

where  $S(U) = S_g(U) - \log \det M(U, \mu)$ .

In order to calculate the VEV of a gauge-invariant observable  $O(U)$ , we define  $O(\mathcal{U})$  by analytic continuation and its expectation value

$$\Phi(t) \equiv \langle O(\mathcal{U}(t)) \rangle_\eta \quad (3.3)$$

in the CLM. Then, under certain conditions, one can prove that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Phi(t) = \frac{1}{Z} \int \prod_{x\mu} dU_{x\mu} O(U) e^{-S} , \quad (3.4)$$

which implies that the left-hand side gives the VEV of  $O(U)$  in the original theory.

As is mentioned in the Introduction, the proof of (3.4) was first given in refs. [5,6] and was refined later by ref. [8], which showed that the necessary and sufficient condition for (3.4) to hold is that the probability distribution of the magnitude of the drift term should fall off exponentially or faster. In this work, we define the magnitude of the drift term as

$$u = \left( \frac{1}{8N_V} \sum_{x\mu} \sum_{a=1}^8 |v_{ax\mu}(\mathcal{U})|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} , \quad (3.5)$$

and probe its probability distribution in order to see whether the CLM is valid or not at each set of parameters. The validity of this criterion is tested not only in simple one-variable models [8] but also in semi-realistic many-variable systems [9].




Figure 1: The probability distribution of  $u$ , the magnitude of the drift term defined by (3.5), is shown in a semi-log plot for  $\mu = 0.7$  with various  $\alpha$ .

It is known that the slow fall-off of the drift distribution, which invalidates (3.4), is caused either by the excursion problem or by the singular-drift problem. In finite density QCD, the former problem occurs when the complexified link variables become far from unitary, while the latter problem occurs when the fermion matrix (2.3) has many eigenvalues close to zero for the complexified link variables.

In order to solve the excursion problem, one can use the gauge cooling, which amounts to making a complexified gauge transformation after each Langevin step in such a way that the complexified link variables come closer to a unitary configuration [10]. The gauge transformation can be determined by minimizing the unitarity norm

$$\mathcal{N}_u = \frac{1}{4N_V} \sum_{x\mu} \text{tr} \left[ (\mathcal{U}_{x\mu})^\dagger \mathcal{U}_{x\mu} + (\mathcal{U}_{x\mu}^{-1})^\dagger \mathcal{U}_{x\mu}^{-1} - 2 \times \mathbf{1}_{3 \times 3} \right] , \quad (3.6)$$

which measures how far the link variables are from a unitary configuration. It has been shown explicitly [8, 13] that this additional procedure does not affect the argument for justifying the CLM. The gauge cooling played a crucial role in enabling the application of the CLM to finite density QCD in the deconfined phase [31] and in the heavy dense limit [10, 21, 32].

At low temperature with relatively small quark mass, the singular-drift problem occurs on top of the excursion problem. In order to solve that problem, we use the deformation technique [14], which was applied successfully to matrix models relevant to superstring theory [15]. In the case at hand, we introduce a deformation parameter  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  in the




Figure 2: The eigenvalue distribution of the fermion matrix is shown for  $\mu = 0.7$  with  $\alpha = 0.2$  (Left) and  $\alpha = 0.4$  (Right).

fermion matrix (2.3) as

$$M(U, \mu)_{xy} \rightarrow M(U, \mu)_{xy} + i\alpha\eta_4(x)\delta_{xy} . \quad (3.7)$$

This deformation may be regarded as adding an imaginary chemical potential in the continuum theory, which implies that the chiral symmetry and the (discrete) rotational symmetry are kept intact. For  $\alpha$  large enough, the eigenvalue distribution of the fermion matrix develops a gap near the real axis, which enables us to avoid the singular-drift problem. We probe the drift distribution at each  $\alpha$ , and determine the range of  $\alpha$  for which the obtained results are reliable. Extrapolating the results within this range of  $\alpha$  to  $\alpha = 0$ , we obtain the results for the original theory. Considering the symmetry of the deformed theory under  $\alpha \leftrightarrow -\alpha$ , we choose the fitting function to be a linear function of  $\alpha^2$ .

## 4 Results

In this section, we show our results for finite density QCD obtained by the CLM as explained in the previous section. We use a  $4^3 \times 8$  lattice with the gauge coupling  $\beta = 5.7$  and the quark mass  $m = 0.05$ . The Langevin process (3.1) is performed for the total Langevin time  $50 \sim 150$  with a fixed stepsize  $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$ . We present results for the baryon number density (2.4) and the chiral condensate (2.5), which are compared with those for the phase-quenched model obtained by the standard RHMC calculation.

First we check the validity of the CLM by probing the probability distribution of the drift term, which is shown in Fig. 1 for  $\mu = 0.7$  with various  $\alpha$ . We find that the probability distribution falls off exponentially or faster for  $\alpha \geq 0.4$ , while a power-law tail develops for  $\alpha = 0.2, 0.3$ . This implies that the CLM is valid for  $\alpha \geq 0.4$  at  $\mu = 0.7$ .




Figure 3: The baryon number density (Left) and the chiral condensate (Right) obtained by the CLM are plotted against  $\alpha^2$  for  $\mu = 0.7$ .

The power-law tail of the probability distribution for  $\alpha \lesssim 0.3$  is actually due to the singular-drift problem caused by near-zero eigenvalues of the fermion matrix as one can see from Fig. 2. Indeed there are many eigenvalues distributed around the origin for  $\alpha = 0.2$ , which is not the case for  $\alpha = 0.4$  owing to the gap developing along the real axis.

In Fig. 3, we plot the baryon number density  $\langle n \rangle$  (Left) and the chiral condensate  $\langle \Sigma \rangle$  (Right) obtained by the CLM against  $\alpha^2$  for  $\mu = 0.7$ . Note that the data points for  $\alpha \lesssim 0.3$  should be discarded since the CLM is not valid there. We find that  $\langle n \rangle$  drops to zero for  $\alpha \geq 0.6$  and that  $\langle \Sigma \rangle$  changes its behavior at  $\alpha \sim 0.6$ . These observations suggest the existence of a phase transition at  $\alpha \sim 0.6$ . Thus we are led to use only the data points for  $\alpha = 0.4, 0.45, 0.5$  for the extrapolation to  $\alpha = 0$  for  $\mu = 0.7$ . These are shown in Fig. 4 by circles, which can be fitted to a straight line. In the same figure, we also plot the reliable data points<sup>7</sup> obtained for other values of  $\mu$  together with the linear extrapolation using  $\alpha = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$  for  $\mu = 0.4$  and  $\alpha = 0.2, 0.3, 0.4$  for  $\mu = 0.5, 0.6$ .

In Fig. 5, the extrapolated values for the baryon number density (Left) and the chiral condensate (Right) are plotted against  $\mu$  by circles. In the same figure, we also plot by squares the results for the phase-quenched model obtained by the standard RHMC calculation, which reveals a clear difference. The results of the CLM show that the baryon number density is almost zero for  $\mu \lesssim 0.6$  and has a sharp increase within  $0.6 \lesssim \mu \lesssim 0.7$ . Correspondingly, the chiral condensate is almost constant for  $\mu \lesssim 0.6$ , and starts to decrease rapidly within  $0.6 \lesssim \mu \lesssim 0.7$ . While certain systematic errors due to the  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$

<sup>7</sup>When we performed complex Langevin simulations *without* deformation, the history of observables typically show occasional spikes, which makes it difficult to reduce the statistical error within a reasonable computing time [36]. This problem does not occur in all the cases investigated here with the deformation.






Figure 4: The baryon number density (Left) and the chiral condensate (Right) are plotted against  $\alpha^2$  for  $\mu = 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7$ . We present only the data points that are reliable in the light of the drift distribution. The straight lines represent linear extrapolations to  $\alpha = 0$  with respect to  $\alpha^2$ .

extrapolation are considered to exist, the rapid change within  $0.6 \lesssim \mu \lesssim 0.7$  should be robust judging from the qualitative difference of the  $\alpha$  dependence for  $\mu = 0.6$  and  $\mu = 0.7$  seen in Fig. 4. The results for the phase-quenched model, on the other hand, show a milder  $\mu$  dependence. The onset of the baryon number density occurs around  $\mu \sim 0.4$ , where the chiral condensate starts to decrease.

These observations are consistent with what is theoretically expected. In full QCD at zero temperature, physical observables are independent of  $\mu$  up to  $\mu \sim m_N/3$  with  $m_N$  being the nucleon mass. On the other hand, in the case of the phase-quenched model, physical observables are independent of  $\mu$  up to  $\mu \sim m_\pi/2 (< m_N/3)$  with  $m_\pi$  being the pion mass. The  $\mu$  independence of full QCD within the region  $m_\pi/2 < \mu < m_N/3$  should be attributed to the effect of the phase of the complex fermion determinant, and it is commonly referred to as the “Silver Blaze phenomenon” in the literature. Our observations suggest that this phenomenon indeed occurs due to the effect of the complex determinant, which is correctly implemented in the CLM.

## 5 Summary and discussions

We have made an attempt to extend the success of the CLM in investigating finite density QCD in the deconfined phase or in the heavy dense limit to the high density low temperature regime with reasonably small quark mass. In this exploratory work, we use a  $4^3 \times 8$  lattice with four-flavor staggered fermions and calculate the baryon number density and the chiral




Figure 5: The extrapolated values of the baryon number density (Left) and the chiral condensate (Right) obtained from Fig. 4 are plotted against  $\mu$ . The solid lines are drawn to guide the eye.

condensate as a function of the quark chemical potential. The reliability of the obtained results is judged by the probability distribution of the magnitude of the drift term. As in the previous work, the excursion problem is avoided by the gauge cooling. In addition to this, the singular-drift problem has to be overcome in the parameter regime we explore. The deformation technique, which was shown to be useful in the case of matrix models for superstring theory, turns out to be useful also in the present case. By probing the probability distribution of the magnitude of the drift term, we find that the singular-drift problem can be cured and reliable data can be obtained unless the deformation parameter is too small. The results for the original theory are obtained by extrapolation using only the reliable data. Thus we are able to obtain explicit results in the high density region  $3.2 \leq \mu/T \leq 5.6$  at low temperature with reasonably light quarks.

By comparing the results of the CLM with those obtained by the RHMC calculations in the phase-quenched model, we observe that the onset of the baryon number density in the full model occurs at larger  $\mu$  than in the phase-quenched model, which is a clear signal of the Silver Blaze phenomenon. In order to confirm this, we clearly need to increase the lattice size. We have already started simulations on a  $8^3 \times 16$  lattice [37] and found that the CLM actually gives correct results even in the region of relatively large chemical potential without the deformation technique. Preliminary results for the baryon number density and the chiral condensate show a rapid change twice as we increase the chemical potential, which may be interpreted as the phase transitions to the nuclear matter and to the quark matter. We hope to report on these results in the forthcoming publication.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Y. Ito, T. Kaneko, H. Matsufuru, K. Moritake, A. Tsuchiya and S. Tsutsui for valuable discussions. Computation was carried out on Cray XC40 at YITP in Kyoto University, SX-ACE at CMC and RCNP in Osaka University and PC clusters at KEK. K. N. and J. N. were supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 26800154 and 16H03988, respectively) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. S. S. was supported by the MEXT-Supported Program for the Strategic Research Foundation at Private Universities “Topological Science” (Grant No. S1511006).

## References

- [1] G. Parisi, *On Complex Probabilities*, *Phys. Lett.* **B131** (1983) 393–395.
- [2] J. R. Klauder, *Coherent State Langevin Equations for Canonical Quantum Systems With Applications to the Quantized Hall Effect*, *Phys. Rev.* **A29** (1984) 2036–2047.
- [3] G. Parisi and Y.-s. Wu, *Perturbation theory without gauge fixing*, *Sci. Sin.* **24** (1981) 483.
- [4] P. H. Damgaard and H. Huffel, *Stochastic Quantization*, *Phys. Rept.* **152** (1987) 227.
- [5] G. Aarts, E. Seiler, and I.-O. Stamatescu, *The Complex Langevin method: When can it be trusted?*, *Phys. Rev.* **D81** (2010) 054508, [[arXiv:0912.3360](#)].
- [6] G. Aarts, F. A. James, E. Seiler, and I.-O. Stamatescu, *Complex Langevin: Etiology and Diagnostics of its Main Problem*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C71** (2011) 1756, [[arXiv:1101.3270](#)].
- [7] J. Nishimura and S. Shimasaki, *New insights into the problem with a singular drift term in the complex Langevin method*, *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015), no. 1 011501, [[arXiv:1504.08359](#)].
- [8] K. Nagata, J. Nishimura, and S. Shimasaki, *Argument for justification of the complex Langevin method and the condition for correct convergence*, *Phys. Rev.* **D94** (2016), no. 11 114515, [[arXiv:1606.07627](#)].
- [9] K. Nagata, J. Nishimura, and S. Shimasaki, *Testing the criterion for correct convergence in the complex Langevin method*, *JHEP* **05** (2018) 004, [[arXiv:1802.01876](#)].

- [10] E. Seiler, D. Sexty, and I.-O. Stamatescu, *Gauge cooling in complex Langevin for QCD with heavy quarks*, *Phys.Lett.* **B723** (2013) 213–216, [[arXiv:1211.3709](#)].
- [11] K. Nagata, J. Nishimura, and S. Shimasaki, *Gauge cooling for the singular-drift problem in the complex Langevin method - a test in Random Matrix Theory for finite density QCD*, *JHEP* **07** (2016) 073, [[arXiv:1604.07717](#)].
- [12] J. Bloch, J. Glesaaen, J. J. M. Verbaarschot, and S. Zafeiropoulos, *Complex Langevin Simulation of a Random Matrix Model at Nonzero Chemical Potential*, *JHEP* **03** (2018) 015, [[arXiv:1712.07514](#)].
- [13] K. Nagata, J. Nishimura, and S. Shimasaki, *Justification of the complex Langevin method with the gauge cooling procedure*, *PTEP* **2016** (2016), no. 1 013B01, [[arXiv:1508.02377](#)].
- [14] Y. Ito and J. Nishimura, *The complex Langevin analysis of spontaneous symmetry breaking induced by complex fermion determinant*, *JHEP* **12** (2016) 009, [[arXiv:1609.04501](#)].
- [15] K. N. Anagnostopoulos, T. Azuma, Y. Ito, J. Nishimura, and S. K. Papadoudis, *Complex Langevin analysis of the spontaneous symmetry breaking in dimensionally reduced super Yang-Mills models*, *JHEP* **02** (2018) 151, [[arXiv:1712.07562](#)].
- [16] H. Makino, H. Suzuki, and D. Takeda, *Complex Langevin method applied to the 2D  $SU(2)$  Yang-Mills theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015), no. 8 085020, [[arXiv:1503.00417](#)].
- [17] S. Tsutsui and T. M. Doi, *Improvement in complex Langevin dynamics from a view point of Lefschetz thimbles*, *Phys. Rev.* **D94** (2016), no. 7 074009, [[arXiv:1508.04231](#)].
- [18] Z. Fodor, S. D. Katz, D. Sexty, and C. Török, *Complex Langevin dynamics for dynamical QCD at nonzero chemical potential: A comparison with multiparameter reweighting*, *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015), no. 9 094516, [[arXiv:1508.05260](#)].
- [19] T. Hayata, Y. Hidaka, and Y. Tanizaki, *Complex saddle points and the sign problem in complex Langevin simulation*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B911** (2016) 94–105, [[arXiv:1511.02437](#)].
- [20] T. Ichihara, K. Nagata, and K. Kashiwa, *Test for a universal behavior of Dirac eigenvalues in the complex Langevin method*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016), no. 9 094511, [[arXiv:1603.09554](#)].

- [21] G. Aarts, F. Attanasio, B. Jäger, and D. Sexty, *The QCD phase diagram in the limit of heavy quarks using complex Langevin dynamics*, *JHEP* **09** (2016) 087, [[arXiv:1606.05561](#)].
- [22] Y. Abe and K. Fukushima, *Analytic studies of the complex Langevin equation with a Gaussian ansatz and multiple solutions in the unstable region*, *Phys. Rev.* **D94** (2016), no. 9 094506, [[arXiv:1607.05436](#)].
- [23] G. Aarts, F. Attanasio, B. Jäger, and D. Sexty, *Complex Langevin in Lattice QCD: dynamic stabilisation and the phase diagram*, *Acta Phys. Polon. Supp.* **9** (2016) 621, [[arXiv:1607.05642](#)].
- [24] L. L. Salcedo, *Does the complex Langevin method give unbiased results?*, *Phys. Rev.* **D94** (2016), no. 11 114505, [[arXiv:1611.06390](#)].
- [25] J. Bloch, *Reweighting complex Langevin trajectories*, *Phys. Rev.* **D95** (2017), no. 5 054509, [[arXiv:1701.00986](#)].
- [26] G. Aarts, E. Seiler, D. Sexty, and I.-O. Stamatescu, *Complex Langevin dynamics and zeroes of the fermion determinant*, *JHEP* **05** (2017) 044, [[arXiv:1701.02322](#)].
- [27] J. Nishimura and S. Shimasaki, *Combining the complex Langevin method and the generalized Lefschetz-thimble method*, *JHEP* **06** (2017) 023, [[arXiv:1703.09409](#)].
- [28] T. M. Doi and S. Tsutsui, *Modifying partition functions: a way to solve the sign problem*, *Phys. Rev.* **D96** (2017), no. 9 094511, [[arXiv:1709.05806](#)].
- [29] H. Fujii, S. Kamata, and Y. Kikukawa, *Performance of Complex Langevin Simulation in 0+1 dimensional massive Thirring model at finite density*, [arXiv:1710.08524](#).
- [30] P. Basu, K. Jaswin, and A. Joseph, *Complex Langevin Dynamics in Large N Unitary Matrix Models*, [arXiv:1802.10381](#).
- [31] D. Sexty, *Simulating full QCD at nonzero density using the complex Langevin equation*, *Phys.Lett.* **B729** (2014) 108–111, [[arXiv:1307.7748](#)].
- [32] G. Aarts, L. Bongiovanni, E. Seiler, D. Sexty, and I.-O. Stamatescu, *Controlling complex Langevin dynamics at finite density*, *Eur.Phys.J.* **A49** (2013) 89, [[arXiv:1303.6425](#)].

- [33] K. Nagata, J. Nishimura, and S. Shimasaki, *Complex Langevin simulation of QCD at finite density and low temperature using the deformation technique*, *EPJ Web Conf.* **175** (2018) 07017, [[arXiv:1710.07416](#)].
- [34] D. K. Sinclair and J. B. Kogut, *Complex Langevin Simulations of QCD at Finite Density — Progress Report*, *EPJ Web Conf.* **175** (2018) 07031, [[arXiv:1710.08465](#)].
- [35] A. Mollgaard and K. Splittorff, *Complex Langevin Dynamics for chiral Random Matrix Theory*, *Phys.Rev.* **D88** (2013), no. 11 116007, [[arXiv:1309.4335](#)].
- [36] K. Nagata, H. Matsufuru, J. Nishimura, and S. Shimasaki, *Gauge cooling for the singular-drift problem in the complex Langevin method — an application to finite density QCD*, *PoS LATTICE2016* (2016) 067, [[arXiv:1611.08077](#)].
- [37] Y. Ito, H. Matsufuru, K. Moritake, J. Nishimura, S. Shimasaki, A. Tsuchiya, and S. Tsutsui, work in progress.