

Theme: Examining if there are trends in allegations/complaints between identity groups of civilians, identity groups of officers, and relationships between identity groups of officers and civilians.

An “identity group” is a group of people that have the same race, gender, age. We will need all three of these factors to determine a person’s identity group.

Description and summarization:

1. Which identity groups filed the most complaints?
2. What percentage of unique officers have multiple allegations of any kind against them? (from “data allegations” in cpdb)
3. Which identity groups are most often victims?
4. What percentage of unique officers have multiple victim allegations against them? (from “data allegations” in cpdb)

Data Integration:

1. Of the officers named in settlements, are there trends in the identity groups of the officers? (using info from settlement data and cpdb)
2. Of the officers named in settlements, are there trends in the identity groups of the respective plaintiffs? (using info from settlement data and cpdb)
3. Of the officers named in settlements, are there trends in the relationship of identity groups between the officers and plaintiffs? (using info from settlement data and cpdb)
4. Do police officers of certain identity groups have more allegations against them in low income areas than in higher income areas? (using info from economic development data and cpdb)

Workflow analytics:

1. Are certain types of allegations made against officers rising or falling faster compared to the rate of change of total allegations made?
2. Are the percentages of allegations made by civilians of any identity group rising or falling compared to the rates of total allegations *and* to the rates of population demographics in Chicago?
3. Are the percentages of allegations against officers of any identity group rising or falling compared to the rates of total allegations *and* to the rates of population demographics in Chicago?

Machine learning:

1. Given an officer’s identity group and a complaint report details, can we predict the identity group of the victim? (features: victim_gender, victim_race, officer_age, officer_race, officer_gender)
2. Given data from years 1-10, can we predict how the rate of complaints (by white women civilians against black men officers) that result in action will change over time for years 11-15? What about for complaints by black women civilians against black men officers? (features: victim_gender, victim_race, officer_race, officer_gender, final_outcome, investigators)

- Given a complainant's identity group and the accused officer's identity group, can we predict whether the complaint will result in action? (features: victim_gender, victim_race, officer_age, officer_race, officer_gender, final_outcome, investigators)

Modeling with Neural Networks:

- Predict the identity group of an officer given a TRR or complaint report (or the identity group of the victim if applicable) by training the model on the text of complaints and TRRs from different identity groups.

Visualization:

- Which pairings between identity groups of officers and their respective accusers are most common? (One axis is officer identity group, the other axis is accuser identity group. Each intersection of officer and accuser is a datapoint of number of instances. Data points are larger with greater number of instances.)
- Which identity groups make an officer most likely to have an allegation? (Pie chart/bar graph)
- What identity groups of civilians make them more likely to file complaints/accusations for each type of harassment? (Pie chart/bar graph)
- What identity groups of officers make them more likely to have complaints/accusations for each type of harassment filed against them? (highlight table)

data_allegation

id	crid	summary	add2	city	incident_date	point
source	beat_id	is_officer_complaint	add1	location	old_complaint_address	
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----						
65308	1012597		S AVERS AVE	CHICAGO IL 60623	2007-12-03 18:00:00-06	
0101000020E6100000B168DF8126EE55C0CC1022742BEB4440		159	f			
30XX	Public Way - Other					
65309	1012598		W THOMAS ST	CHICAGO IL 60651	2007-12-11 18:00:00-06	
0101000020E6100000BD5301F73CEF55C0721CD36E4FF34440		66	f			
44XX	Public Way - Other					
65310	1012601		S EMERALD AVE	CHICAGO IL 60609	2007-12-08 18:00:00-06	
0101000020E6100000731992EE42E955C0D1ABA690D0E74440		112	f			
45XX	Public Way - Other					
65311	1012602		S MOZART ST	CHICAGO IL	2007-12-09 18:00:00-06	
0101000020E610000083C53C86A2EC55C006A051BAF4EE4440		137	f			
11XX	Public Way - Other					
65312	1012603		S INDIANA AVE	CHICAGO IL 60628	2007-12-11 18:00:00-06	
0101000020E6100000E8C072848CE755C0F57700D589D74440		217	t			
115XX	Public Way - Other					

data_officer allegation

id	start_date	end_date	officer_age	recc_finding	recc_outcome	final_finding	final_outcome	final_outcome_class	allegation_id	allegation_category_id	officer_id	disciplined
----	------------	----------	-------------	--------------	--------------	---------------	---------------	---------------------	---------------	------------------------	------------	-------------

239	1967-10-21	1980-08-01			Unknown	SU	30 Day					
Suspension			111233		207	16796	t					
240	1970-08-13	1973-09-15			Unknown	SU	Suspended Over					
30 Days			111234		132	21822	t					
241	1972-02-18	1973-02-02			Unknown	SU	Suspended Over					
30 Days			111235		201	214	t					
242	1970-09-22	1971-10-15			Unknown	SU	Suspended Over					
30 Days			111236		49	11652	t					
243	1970-10-19	1987-03-09			Unknown	SU	Suspended Over					
30 Days			111237		132	3089	t					

data_complainant

id	gender	race	age	allegation_id	birth_year
----	--------	------	-----	---------------	------------

1	M	White	50	57419	
12	M	White		58144	
161	F	Black	39	69105	
162	M	White	55	69379	
326	M	White	67	79867	

data_victim

id	gender	race	age	allegation_id	birth_year
----	--------	------	-----	---------------	------------

1863	M	Black		64378	
2199	F	Black		56991	
3075	M	Black		57910	
3590	M	White		57236	
3759	M	Black		56943	1969