Non-Siphonophoran Hydrozoa: what are we talking about?*

J. BOUILLON¹, F. BOERO², F. CICOGNA³, J. M. GILI⁴ and R. G. HUGHES⁵

Laboratoire de Zoologie, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Ave. F.D. Roosevelt 50, 1050 Bruxelles, Belgium. ²Dipartimento di Biologia, Università di Lecce, Strada Prov. Le Monteroni, 73100 Lecce, Italy. ³Centro Lubrense Esplorazione Marine, 80061 Massa Lubrense (NA), Via Caselle N 11, Italy. ⁴Institut de Ciències del Mar (CSIC), Passeig Nacional s/n, 08039 Barcelona, Spain. ⁵School of Biological Sciences, Queen Mary and Westfield College, University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, U.K.

SUMMARY: The history of nomenclature of non-siphonophoran Hydrozoa is reviewed, showing the existence of many available names. The name Hydroidomedusae CLAUS 1877 is here chosen has appropriater for non-siphonophoran hydrozoans. Different names for the orders are also available, according to the considered stage (hydroid or medusae). To avoid compound names, the medusa-based names are chosen as valid because the medusa is considered to represent the adult stage. The orders of Hydroidomedusae, thus are: Actinulidae, Anthomedusae, Laingiomedusae, Leptomedusae, Limnomedusae, Narcomedusae, Trachymedusae,

Key words: Non-siphonophoran Hydrozoa, nomenclature, historical review.

INTRODUCTION

The systematics and nomenclature of non-siphonophoran hydrozoans is complicated and confused, reflecting the specialization of most researchers on only one of the two different morphological entities. polyps or medusae. Hydroids and medusae of the same species have been given different generic and specific names, but the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature Law of Priority can, in each case, be used to resolve this confusion. Sometimes the hydroid name has priority, and sometimes the medusa. However, the Law of Priority does not extend to taxa above family level and there is no agreed term for the non-siphonophoran Hydrozoa, nor any formal way of agreeing a term. Similarly at the level of the order there is unresolved confusion concerning organisms of the same species which might be classified as either order Athecata (Gymnoblastea), if a hydroid, or order Anthomedusae, if a medusa. The same applies to the hydroids of the Thecata (Calyptoblastea) and their medusae which are Leptomedusae. (Since medusae are produced asexually the same genotype can be classified differently by the use of two different schemes). In spite of the efforts of some authors (Naumov, 1969; Brinckmann-Voss, 1970; BOUILLON, 1985; PETERSEN, 1990) many researchers still restricted their attention to either polyps or medusae and the differences in terminology continue. The aims of this contribution are to present reasoned recommendations to attempt to resolve these terminological confusions.

There are two opposing views on the evolution of the Hydrozoa, the medusan theory derived from the work of BOHM (1878) and BROOKS (1886) (see BOUILLON, 1981 for a review), and the polypoid theo-

^{*} Received February 10, 1992. Accepted September 19, 1992.

ry (see REES, 1966). Under the medusan theory the polyps represent a prolonged and budding larval stage between the planula and the medusa. This concept was suggested by CLAUS (1881) and has been expressed by many authors subsequently. The alternative view is that the polyp stage is ancestral and the medusae evolved as short lived carriers of the gonads (HUXLEY, 1856). The majority of authors reject expressions such as "alternation of generations" and "metagenic cycles" because the development of hydropolyps-hydromedusae is regarded as a continuous ontogenetic process from the egg to the adult medusa stage. Boero and Bouillon (1987) suggest that hydroids with fixed gonophores, in which medusan development is arrested by precocious sexual differentiation, represent a more highly organized larval stage. The planula larva is a stage derived from the formation of the germ layer (that is by the first arrangement of the primary layers forming the organism), thus corresponding embryologically to a gastrula.

What to call non-siponophoran hydrozoans

At present no common name is universally accepted to define the group of hydropolyps and hydromedusae. The terminology used to define the group is rich and confused.

The following is an *incomplete* list of names² which can be found in the literature:

Cryptocarpae: Eschscholtz, 1829.

Gymnophtalmata: FORBES, 1848 (McCRADY, 1858, in much a wider sense).

Hydromedusida Kölliker, 1833.

Craspedotae³: GEGENBAUR, 1856 (HAECKEL, 1877, 1879; VANHÖFFEN, 1912, 1913; HARTLAUB, 1907-1917; KRAMP, 1933; BRIEN, 1963).

Hydrophorae: HUXLEY, 1856 (DELAGE and HE-ROUARD, 1901).

Hydroidea (including Trachymedusae, placing Narcomedusae into the Discophora) AGASSIZ, 1862 (ALL-MAN, 1871; PERRIER, 1893; CLAUS and GROBBEN, 1910; Naumov, 1969; Tardent, 1978; Werner, 1984; etc. This term is used with a variable meaning, often differing from the original meaning given by Agassiz: for instance Actinulidae, Laingiomedusae, Narcomedusae and Trachymedusae are often excluded).

Hydromedusae: Vogt, 1851 (CARUS, 1863, 1868-1875; HAECKEL, 1866; GEGENBAUR, 1859, 1878; ZIT-TEL, 1876, 1910; SICARD, 1883; WEISSMANN, 1883; CLAUS, 1880, 1844, 1891-1892; VOGT and YOUNG, 1888; Chun, 1889-1902; Neumayer, 1889; R. Hert-WIG, 1891; LANKESTER, 1891; LANG, 1893; SHIPLEY, 1893; Perrier, 1894; Giard, 1895; Sedgwick, 1898; FOWLER, 1900; KUHN, 1910; A. HERTWIG, 1919; Boero and Bouillon, 1987; etc.).

Hydramedusae-Polypomedusae: CLAUS, 1868. Hydromedusae-Polypomedusae: CLAUS, 1880-1882.

Hydroidmedusen: CLAUS, 1877a.

Cycloneura: EIMER, 1878. Aphacellae: HAECKEL, 1879.

Ectocarpen: O. and R. HERWIG, 1879.

Polypomedusae: LENDENFELD, 1884 (SICARD, 1883; CLAUS, 1884, 1892; GIARD, 1895; LAMEERE, 1938). Hydroida-Trachylina: BROCH, 1923-1925 (WERNER, 1984).

Hydropolypes-Hydromeduses: (see BOUILLON 1981, 1985, 1992).

Most of the authors of recent general texbooks avoid a general term, dividing the Hydrozoa into different orders or subclasses. HYMAN (1940), for instance, divided the Hydrozoa into five orders, Hydroida (Gymnoblastea and Calyptoblastea), Milleporina, Stylasterina, Trachylina (Trachymedusae and Narcomedusae), and Siphonophora. Different variations but on the same theme were given by: BORRA-DAILE et al. (1959); WERNER (1965); REES (1966); HICKMAN (1967); WILMOTH (1967); BRINCKMANN-Voss (1970); MILLARD (1975); TARDENT (1978); BARNES (1980); BRUSCA and BRUSCA (1990), etc. This above list illustrates the lack of consistency which highlights the necessity for an adequate name for this group of organisms. The most widely used terms are Craspedota (for medusae only), Hydroidea, and Hydromedusae.

The name "Hydroidea" is not satisfactory since, as originally defined (AGASSIZ, 1862) it excludes the Narcomedusae and for many authors if used in isolation it might be confused with "hydroids" alone (Cornelius, 1990). Boero and Bouillon (1987) proposed that the term "hydromedusae" was to be used as a general name for this group. This proposal was not new since the term "hydromedusae" has often been used to include hydroid polyps and hydromedusae by many authors. For instance, LANKESTER (1891, 1900) divided the Hydrozoa into two classes: Hydromedusae and Scyphomedusae. At that time the Hydromedusae already included several of the sub-groups accepted at present:

¹ The term "planula" was created by DALYELL (1847-1848) and is the result of the erroneous comparison of this stage of hydrozoan development to a *Planaria* (see ALLMAN 1871, p. 88).

² Some of these expressions sometimes cover larger or, rarely, more restricted groups the Hydropolyps-Hydromedusae *sensu-stricto*.

³ Used in general for medusae only.

- 1. Gymnoblastea Anthomedusae
- Calyptoblastea Leptomedusae
- 3. Trachymedusae
- 4. Narcomedusae
- 5. Hydrocorallinae
- 6. Siphonophorae

HERTWIG (1891) divided the Hydrozoa into two subclasses: Hydromedusen and Scyphomedusen. The "Hydromedusen" included six orders:

- 1. Hydrarien
- 2. Hydrocorallinen
- 3. Tubularien-Anthomedusen
- 4. Campanularien-Leptomedusen
- 5. Trachymedusen
- 6. Siphonophoren

BOERO and BOUILLON (1987) acknowledged that the term "hydromedusae", is not favoured by specialists of hydropolyps and presents some inconsistencies. For example it could lead people to talk about the polyps of hydromedusae or to refer to species with no medusa in the life cycle. In addition "hydromedusa" and "medusa" are often used as synonyms.

Cornelius (1990), trying to ease the problem, proposed re-introducing the term "leptolids", but without defining his concept of the term and its limits. This name was introduced by HAECKEL (1877, 1879) and as originally defined included only the Anthomedusae and the Leptomedusae (for definition of these terms see below), Haeckel proposed "Trachylinae" to include Narcomedusae and Trachymedusae. Thus, by definition, the distinction between Leptolinae and Trachylinae is essentially based on the embryological origin of the statocysts, these being exclusively ectodermal in the Leptolinae (when present), and ecto-endodermal in the Trachylinae. In addition, according to HAECKEL (1879) most Leptolinae have an "alternance of generations", a feature not shared by most Trachylinae.

The embryological origin of the statocysts still remains the essential criterion to separate the Leptomedusae from all other hydromedusae with statocysts.

The term "leptolid" has not been widely used. DELAGE and HEROUARD (1901) used it in the sense of HAECKEL, but added the Hydrida (Hydra, Protohydra, Microhydra, etc.). PARKER and HASWELL (1910) mentioned it in their "Textbook of Zoology". Kramp (1932) cited the Leptolina in the introduction of his revision of the Mitrocomidae, in the conclusions of his paper on the altern nce of generations

(KRAMP, 1943) and in the zoogeographical section of his work on the systematics of the medusae of the Atlantic Ocean and adjacent waters (KRAMP, 1959)4. Surprisingly, Kramp (1943, 1959) included the Limnomedusae into the Leptolidae, contrary to the definitions given by Haeckel that Kramp has accepted in a previous paper (KRAMP, 1932). The Limnomedusae have statocysts of ecto-endodermal origin as have the Trachymedusae and the Narcomedusae and should be placed with them into the Trachylinae.

NAUMOV (1969) used HAECKEL's terms Leptolinae and Trachylinae. He defined them and added the Hydrida for the genera Protohydra and Hydra. He included the Limnomedusae in the Leptolida, a decision that is incompatible with the definition of the group, and also with that proposed by NAUMOV himself (1969, page 194). MILLARD (1975) in her "Monograph of the Hydroids of South Africa" mentioned the term "Leptolina" as a synonym of Hydroida. For her the Hydroida comprise the Athecata (Gymnoblastea-Anthomedusae), the Thecata (Calyptoblastea-Leptomedusae), the Chondrophora, and even the Limnomedusae. Some authors used Leptolida exclusively in the title of their papers (e.g. THIEL, 1938; POLTEVA and KVADE, 1980).

It is evident that the term "Leptolida" is the cause of some confusion, and as originally defined covers just the Athecatae-Anthomedusae and the Thecatae-Leptomedusae, and while these contain the greatest majority of non-siphonophoran hydrozoans it is an inappropriate term for the whole group. Its re-introduction to include all non-siphonophoran hydrozoans could cause more confusion. Besides a small number of specialists, nobody knows this term, whereas hydroid, medusa, and hydromedusa are in current usage.

The Trachylida, according to HAECKEL's definition, comprise the hydrozoans with statocysts of ectoendodermal origin, that is the Limnomedusae, the Narcomedusae, the Trachymedusae, and the Actinulidae. In spite of this common feature, the heterogeneity of the members of this group makes the term Trachylida as inadequate as the term Leptolida. The Limnopolypae-Limnomedusae have similar polyp stages and life cycles to those of Athecatae-Anthomedusae and Thecatae-Leptomedusae. The Trachymedusae have direct development which can be condensed (e.g. Gerioniidae), or pass through a gastrula (planula) (e.g. Rhopalonematidae). The Actinulidae

⁴ CORNELIUS (1990), discussing the "Leptolids" and citing KRAMP (1943) wrote: "and several earlier authors cited by Kramp, long ago provided a satisfactory term", but we could not trace such literature.

show features, including direct development, associated with specialized characters linked to their interstitial life. Some Narcomedusae have direct development to the medusa, some pass through a polyp stage, in this respect showing a cycle similar to that of Antho-Lepto and Limnomedusae, some have parasitic polypoid larval stages (see BOUILLON, 1987 for a review).

The Narcomedusae are morphologically so different from the other hydromedusae that many authors (e.g. Goette, 1907; Mayer, 1910; Russell, 1953) expressed some doubt about their common origin, but the discovery of the Laingiomedusae has resolved this doubt (BOUILLON, 1978, 1985, 1987).

The main difference between the Narcomedusae and all other hydromedusae is inversed polarity of their planulae and their way of budding medusae (see BOUILLON, 1987 for a review). The oral-aboral axis of Narcomedusae is differentiated along the transversal axis of their planulae, rather than along the longitudinal axis, as is the case for the planulae of all other hydropolyps-hydromedusae. The medusae are budded without the formation of an entocodon (or "glockenkern" or "medusary nodule"), a characteristic feature of the budding of all other hydrozoans.

So the two Haeckelian terms "Leptolida" and "Trachylida" are not appropriate and overburden the already complex nomenclature of the hydrozoans. We feel the need to find a single term to group the orders (or sub-classes, according to the authors) at present recognized in non-siphonoporan Hydrozoa, namely:

- 1. Athecatae-Anthomedusae
- 2. Thecatae-Leptomedusae
- 3. Limnoplypae-Limnomedusae
- 4. Laingiopolypae (if a polyp stage exists)-Laingiomedusae
- 5. Narcopolypae-Narcomedusae
- 6. Trachymedusae
- 7. Actinulidae

Compound names such as hydropolyps-hydromedusae, Athecatae-Anthomedusae, etc., have been considered as cumbersome by CORNELIUS (1990); but such expressions have long been used to bridge the "cultural" separation between polyp and medusan specialists.

Our proposal is to divide the class Hydrozoa into two sublasses, the Hydroidomedusae and the Siphonophorae. Hydroidomedusae is the latinized "Hydroidmedusen" of CLAUS (1877b). The names hydropolyps (or hydroids) and hydromedusae remain as general terms to refer to the two main stages of Hydroidomedusae, but are here considered as having no formal taxonomic value.

What to call the orders

The use of compound scientific names such as Athecatae-Anthomedusae are not used for any other zoological taxa, and we feel their use is also cumbersome despite the necessity to unify the nomenclature for hydroids and medusae. In this case the use of the name of the adult could be taken as a sound criterion with precedents; thus the name "Trematoda" covers the various larval stages of these parasitie platyhelminths and "Ephemeroptera" refers to the brief adult stage of animals that spend most of their time as larvae. For this reason we propose to divide the subclass Hydroidomedusae into seven orders:

Actinulidae Anthomedusae Laingiomedusae Leptomedusae Limnomedusae Narcomedusae Trachymedusae

The disadvantage of this is that it leads to reference to hydroids with no medusae as, for example, Leptomedusae. But it respects the phylogenetic patterns which lead to species with no medusae via medusa suppression. In recognition of this problem CORNELIUS (1992, page 246 of the present book) proposes new names for Athecatae/Anthomedusae and Thecatae/Leptomedusae (namely Anthoathecatae and Leptothecatae). Many names are already available for these groups and it seems unwise to introduce further names which could further confuse the nomenclature. As a logical consequence of CORNE-LIUS proposal, furthermore, the other orders of Hydroidomedusae (Laingiomedusae, Limnomedusae and narcomedusae) should bear both hydroid and medusa names, leading to the creation of unnecessary and cumbersome new names again.

REFERENCES

AGASSIZ, L. - 1862. Contribution to the Natural History of the United States of America. Boston: Little Brown & Co. 2nd Monogr. 4: 1-380.

ALLMAN, G. J. - 1871-1872. A Monogrph on the gymnoblastic or tubularian Hydroids. Ray Society, London. I; 1-154; II: 235-

BARNES, R. D. - 1980. Invertebrate Zoology. Saunders, Philadelphia, 1089 pp.

- BOERO, F. and J. BOUILLON. 1987. Inconsistent evolution and paedomorphosis among hydroids and medusae of Athecatae/Anthomedusae and Thecatae/Leptomedusae (Cnidaria, Hydrozoa). In: J. Bouillon, F. Boero, F. Cicogna and P. Cornelius (Eds.). Modern trends in systematics, ecology and evolution of hydroids and hydromedusae. Clarendon Press, Oxford: 229-250.
- ВÖHM, R. 1878. Helgolander Leptomedusen, J. Zeitchr. f. Naturwiss. 12: 68-203.
- BORRADAILE, L. A., F. A. POTTS, L. EASTHAM and S. SAUNDERS. 1958. The Invertebrata. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 795 pp
- BOUILLON, J. 1978. Hydroméduses de la mer de Bismarck (Papouasie Nouvelle-Guinée) II, Limnomedusa, Trachymedusa et Laingiomedusa (sous-classe nov.). Cah. Biol. Mar. 19: 473-483
- 1981. Origine et phylogénèse des Cnidaires et des Hydropolypes-Hydroméduses. Annls. Soc. R. Zool. Belg. 111: 45-56.
- 1985. Essai de classification des hydropolypes-hydroméduses (Hydrozoa-Cnidaria). Indo-Malayan Zool. 1: 29-243.
- 1987. Considérations sur le développement des Narcoméduses et sur leur position phylogénetique. Indo-Malayan Zool. 4: 189-278.
- 1992. Embranchement des Cnidaires (Cnidaria), In: P. Grassé & J. Doumenc (Eds.). Traité de Zoologie. Vol. III, Masson, Paris: 1-27.
- 1922b. Classes des Hydrozoaires. Hydropolypes-Hydroméduses. In: P. Grassé & J. Doumenc (Eds.). Traité de Zoologie. Vol. III, Masson, Paris: 28-416.
- Brien, P. 1963. Elements de Zoologie Nottons d'Anatomie Comparee. I. Desoer, Liège, 537 pp.
- Brinckmann-Voss, A. 1970. Anthomedusae-Athecatae (Hydrozoa, Cnidaria) of the Mediterranean. Part I, Capitata. Fauna e Flora del Golfo di Napoli, 39: 1-96. Вкосн, Н. — 1923-1925. Hydroida. *In:* W. Kükenthal (Ed.),
- Handbuch der Zoologie, Bd I: 422-458.
- Brooks, W. K. 1886. The life history of the Hydromedusae; a discussion of the origin of the medusae and the significance of metagenesis. Mem. Boston Soc. Nat. Hist., 3: 359-430.
- BRUSCA, R. and G., BRUSCA 1990. Invertebrates. Sinauer, Sun-
- derland, 922 pp. Carus, J. V. 1863. Handbuch der Zoologie. 2. W. Peters, J. V. Carus, C. Gerstaeckers (Eds.). W. Engelmann Ed. Leipzig,
- 1868-1875. Handbuch der Zoologie. 2. W. Engelmann Ed., Leipzig. 642 pp.
- CHUN, C. 1889-1902. Coelenterata. In: H. G. Bronn (Ed.), Klassen und Ordunung des Tierreichs. Leipzig, 2 (2), 540 pp.
- CLAUS, C. 1877a. Grundzüge der Zoologie. I, Aufl. Marbug, Hessen: 53-86.
- 1877b Studien über Polypen und Quallen der Adria. Denkschr. Akad. Wiss. Wien. 38: 1-64.
- 1880-1882. Grundzuge der Zoologie. 4 Aufl, Marburg, Hessen.
- 1884-1889. Traité de Zoologie. 2me édit. française. Librairie F. Savy, Paris, 458 pp.
- 1889. Eléments de Zoologie. 4me édit. française. Librairie F. Savy, Paris, 1282 pp.
- 1891-1892. Lehrbuch der Zoologie. 4 Aufl. Marburg, Hessen: 244-363.
- CLAUS, C. and V. GROBBEN 1910. Lehrbuch der Zoologie. 2 Aufl. Marburg, Hessen: 1001 pp.
- CORNELIUS. P. F. S. 1990. Evolution in leptolid life-cycles (Cnidaria: Hydroida). J. Nat. Hist. 24: 579-594.
- 1992. Medusa loss in leptolid Hydrozoa (Cnidaria), hydroid rafting, and abbreviated life-cycles among their remote-island faunae: an interim review. In: Aspects of Hydrozoan Biology, J. Bouillon, F. Boero, F. Cicogna, J. M. Gili & R. G. Hughes (Eds.). Sci. Mar., 56 (2-3): 245-261.

 DALYELL, J. G. — 1847-1848. Rare and remarkable animals of
- Scotland. I, 1847; 268 pp; II, 1848; 322 pp. John van Voorst,
- Delage, Y. and E. Herouard 1901. Les Coelentérés. Traité de Zoologie Concrète. Librairie C. Reinwald, Paris, 2 (2): 848 pp.
- 1878. Die Medusen physiologisch und morphologisch EIMER, T. auf ihr Nervensystem untersucht. 8. Tubingen, 278 pp.
- ESCHESHOLTZ, F. 1829. System der Acalephen. Eine aus-

- führliche Beschreibung aller meduseanartigen Strahltiere. Berlin, 190 pp
- FOWLER, H. -1900. The Porifera and Coelenterata. In: R. Lankester (Ed.). Treatise on Zoology, Adam & Charles Black, London: 1-59.
- Forbes, E. 1848. A Monograph of the British naked-eye Medu-
- sae. Ray Society, London, 104 pp.
 GEGENBAUR, C. 1856. Versuch eines Systems der Medusen, mit Beshreibung neuer oder wenig gekannter Formen; zugleich ein Beitrag zur Kentniss der Fauna des Mittelmeeres. Z.
- wiss. Zool. Leipzig, 8: 202-273. 1859. Grunzuge der Vergleichenden Anatomie. Ed. 1, Leipzig.
- 1878. Grundriss der Vergleichenden Anatomie. Ed. 2, Leipzig. GIARD, H. - 1895. Aide-mémoire de Zoologie. Libraire J. B. Baillière et Fils, Paris, 300 pp.
- GOETTE, A. 1907. Vergleichende Entwicklungsgeschichte der Gesclechtsindividuen der Hydropolypen. Z. wiss. Zool. 87:
- HAECKEL, E. 1866. Generelle Morphologie der Organismen, II, George, Reimer Verlag, Berlin, 462 pp.
- 1966. Allgemeine Entwicklungsgeschichte der Organismen. Georg. Reimer Verlag, Berlin: IX-CLIX. 1877. Prodrom System Medusen. N.º 19.
- 1879. Das System der Medusen. I. Gustav Fischer Verlag, Jena, 360 pp.
- 1881. Monographie der Medusen, IIter Teil. 1. Die Tiefsee Medusen der Challenger-Reise. 2. Die organismus der Medusen. Gustav Fischer, Jena, 205 pp.
- HARTLAUB, C. 1907-1917. Craspedote Medusen, I Teil, 1-4 Lief., Nordisches Plankton. 12: 1-602.
- HERTWIG, R. 1891. Lehrbuch der Zoologie, I. Gustav Fischer Verlag, Jena: 176-221.
- 1919. Lehrbuch der Zoologie. Gustav Fischer Verlag, Jena: 1-686.
- HERTWIG, O. and R. HERTWIG 1878. Die Actinien anatomisch und histologisch mit besonderer Berucksichtigung des Nervensystems untersucht. Jen. Zeitsch. F. Naturw. 13: 457-640.
- HICKMAN, C. P. 1967. Biology of Invertebrates. The C. V. Mosby Company, USA, 673 pp.
 HUXLEY, T. H. — 1856. Lectures on General Natural History.
- Medical Times and Gazette. 12-13.
- HYMAN, L. 1940. The Invertebrates. I. Protozoa trough Ctenophora. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 726 pp.
- KÖLLIKER, A. 1853. Schwimpolypen von Messina, Wilhelm En-
- gelmann, Leipzig, 96 pp.

 KRAMP, P. L. 1932. A revision of the medusae belonging to the family Mitrocomidae. Vidensk. Medd. dansk naturh. Foren, 92: 305-384.
- 1933. Craspedote Medusen. III: Leptomedusen. Nord. Plankton. 12 (22): 541-602.
- 1943. On development through alternating generations especially in Coelenterata. Vidensk. Medd. dansk. naturh. Foren, 107: 13-32
- 1959. The Hydromedusae of the Atlantic ocean and adjacent waters. Dana Rep. 46: 1-283.
- КÜHN, A. 1910. Die Entwicklung der Geschlechtsindividuen der Hydromedusen. Zool. Jahrb. Abt. Anat. Ont. 30: 43-174.
- LAMEERE, A. 1938. Evolution des Coelenterés. Trav. Stat. Zool. Wimereux, 13: 399-406.
- LANG, A. 1893. Traité d'Anatomie comparée et de Zoologie. I. Imprimerie Deslis Frères, Tours, 635 pp.
- LANKESTER, R. 1891. Zoological Articles Contributed to the Encyclopedia Britannica. Adam & Charles Black, London, 57-
- 1900. Treatise on Zoology. II, Porifera and Coelenterata. Adam & Charles Black, London: 1-59.
- LENDENFELD, R. (VON) 1884. Das system der Medusen. Zool. Anz., 8: 425-429.
- MAYER, A. G. 1910. Medusae of the World. I & II. Carnegie Institution of Washington, 109, 498 pp.
- McCrady, J. 1858. The gymnophtalmata of Charleston harbour. Proc. Elliott Soc. nat. Hist., 1: 55-90.
- MILLARD, N. A. H. 1975. Monograph on the Hydroida of Southern Africa. Ann. S. Afr. Mus. 68: 1-513.
- NAUMOV, D. V. 1969. Hydroids and hydromedusae of the U.S.S.R., Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Jerusalem, 660 pp.
- NEUMAYER, M. 1889. Die Stamme des Thiereichs. I: 211-347.

- PARKER, J. and W. HASWELL 1910. Textbook of Zoology. Mac-Millan & Co., London, 830 pp.
- PERRIER, R. 1893. Traité de Zoologie. Masson, Paris, 1343 pp. - 1894. Cours élémentaire de Zoologie. Masson, Paris, 774 pp. PETERSEN, K. - 1990. Evolution and taxonomy in Capitate hy-
- droids and medusae. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 100: 101-231.
 POLTEVA, D. G. and M. N. KVADE 1890. Frustulation in Coryne
- lovenii (Hydroidea, Leptolida). Zool. Zh. 59(7): 965-972 (in Russian).
- REES, W. J. 1966. The Evolution of the Hydrozoa. In: W. J. Rees (Ed.). The Cnidaria and their evolution. Symp. Zool. Soc. London 16: 199-222.
- RUSSELL, F. S. 1953. The Medusae of the British Isles. Antomedusae, Leptomedusae, Limnomedusae, Trachymedusae and Narcomedusae. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 530 pp.
- SEDGWICK, A. 1898. A Student's Text Book of Zoology. Swan Sonnenischein and Co., New York, 619 pp.
- SHIPLEY, A. 1893. Zoology of the Invertebrates. Adam & Charles Black, London, 458 pp.
- SICARD, H. 1883. Eléments de Zoologie. Librairie J. B. Baillière et Fils, Paris, 841 pp.
- TARDENT, P. 1978. Coelenterata Cnidaria. In A. I. F. Seidel (Edit.): Morphogenese der Tiere-Reich. Gustav Fischer Verlag, Stuttgart: 69-415.

- THIEL, M. 1938. Die Leptolinae der "Meteor" Expedition in systematischer Betrachtung. I. Anthomedusen, II. Leptomedusen. Zool. Anz. 121: 289-303.

 VANHÖFFEN, E. — 1912. Die Craspedoten Medusen der Deutschen Sudpolar Expedition 1901-1903. Dtsch. Südpol. Exp.
- 13: 351-395.
- 1913. Die Craspedoten Medusen des "Vettor Pisani". Zoologica. 67: 1-34
- Vogt, C. 1851. Zoologische Briefe. I, Frankfürt-a-Main, 126
- рр. Vogt, C. and E. Yung 1888. Traité d'Anatomie Comparée pratique. C. Reinwald édit. Paris, 897 pp.
- WEISSMANN, A. 1883. Die Entstehung der Sexualzellen bei den Hydromedusen. A. Fischer Verlag, Jena, 295 pp.
- WERNER, B. 1965. Nesselkapseln der Cnidaria mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Hydroida. Helgolander wiss. Meeresunters. 12: 1-39
- 1984. Cnidaria. Lehrbuch der Speziellen Zoologie. Bd I, Wirbellose Tiere, 2 Teil: 11-335.
 WILMOTH, J. 1967. Biology of the Invertebrata. Prentice Hall
- Inc., Englewood Cliffs, 465 pp.

 ZITTEL, K. 1876-1880. Handbuch der Palaentologie. Oldenbourg Verlag, Munchen und Berlin, I: 127-303.
- 1910. Grundzüge der Palaeontologie. I, Invertebraten. Oldenbourg Verlag, Munchen und Berlin, 733 pp.