Name: Nathan Interviewer: John   Date: 8/31/2018   Start time: 3:18   End time:
Challenge name: EeneyMeeneyMineyMoe

1. Interpreted the question: 10/10 pts
    a. 2/2 points: Asked meaningful clarifying questions
    b. 2/2 points: Identified inputs and outputs
    c. 2/2 points: Visually illustrated the problem domain
    d. 4/4 points: Identified optimal data structure and algorithm
    e. Notes: You did a great job at the beginning as you were explaining your problem domain. I don't know if I didn't explain the problem well enough or if you didn't hear what I said but you forgot to account for the list of strings. You just went on to go with just numbers.
2. Solved the technical problem: 11/12 pts
    a. 4/4 points: Presented & understood a working algorithm
    b. 3/3 points: Final code was syntactically correct
    c. 3/3 points: Final code was idiomatically correct
    d. 1/2 points: Solution was the best possible option
    e. Notes:
3. Analyzed the proposed solution: 6/6 pts
    a. 2/2 points: Stepped through their solution
    b. 2/2 points: Big O time and space are analyzed
    c. 2/2 points: Explain an approach to testing
    d. Notes: You did a great job stepping through your algorithm as you were writing it down and your big O but you stopped doing that once you got to the psuedo code and the actual code.
4. Communicated effectively throughout: 10/12 pts
    a. 4/6 points: Verbalized their thought process
    b. 2/2 points: Used correct terminology
    c. 1/1 point: Used the time available effectively
    d. 1/1 point: Was not overconfident (not listening to suggestions)
    e. 1/1 point: Was not under-confident (unsure of known algorithm)
    f. 1/1 point: Whiteboard was readable (penmanship and spacing)
    g. Notes: Make sure you verbalize your thought process when given

5. **Total Points: 37/40** (giving up is an automatic fail)