		<u>Totals</u>
Operating system(s) supported	52 (93%) 11 (85%)	63 (91%)
Purpose of software	50 (89%) 13 (100%)	63 (91%)
Name of software	48 (86%) 13 (100%)	61 (88%)
Domain/subject/field of application	43 (77%) 11 (85%)	54 (78%)
License terms of software	44 (79%) 10 (77%)	54 (78%)
URL for software's home page	9 (69%)	53 (77%)
Data formats supported	43 (77%) 10 (77%)	53 (77%)
How recently has the software been updated	7 (54%)	49 (71%)
Software libraries needed	38 (68%) 9 (69%)	47 (68%)
Whether source code is available	5 (38%)	42 (61%)
Type(s) of user interfaces offered (e.g., GUI)	33 (59%) 6 (46%)	39 (57%)
Programming language(s) software is written in	5 (38%)	39 (57%)
Availability of discussion lists/forums	32 (57%) 6 (46%)	38 (55%)
How active development appears to have been over time	31 (55%) 6 (46%)	37 (54%)
Whether a programmable API is available	31 (55%) 5 (38%)	36 (52%)
Availability of support or help	25 (45%) 9 (69%)	34 (49%)
Whether a publication is associated with the software	28 (50%) 6 (46%)	34 (49%)
Name(s) of developer(s)	25 (45%) 5 (38%)	30 (43%)
Availability of public issue/bug tracker	3 (23%)	29 (42%)
Whether installation uses common facilities or tools	23 (41%) 4 (31%)	27 (39%)
Whether the code base includes test cases	17 (30%) 3 (23%)	20 (29%)
Metrics evaluating code quality	15 (27%) 3 (23%)	18 (26%)
Whether the code base is well commented	16 (29%) 2 (15%)	18 (26%)
Specific workflow environments supported	14 (25%) 3 (23%)	17 (25%)
Other	10 (18%) Involved in soft. dev. (n = 56) Not involved in soft. dev. (n = 13)	10 (14%)