


Assessing the Ecology of the Flint River, Above and Below a Century-Old Dam

Chloe Summers : summersj@umich.edu, Arianna Elkins : arelkins@umich.edu, Cason Konzer : casonk@umich.edu, Heather Dawson : hdawson@umich.edu

| website : <https://flintrivercostudy.com> | repository : https://github.com/casonk/Flint_River_Ecology



Objective

Investigate and collect data on the ecology and health of the Flint River above and below the Hamilton Dam before removal to gain an understanding of the present ecosystem and provide grounds for future research.

Background


- ④ The Hamilton Dam, constructed in 1920, resides in the urban city of Flint, Michigan and was utilized for logging and the automobile industry.
- ④ It no longer serves this purpose and is in the process of removal to revert the stream to its natural ecology.
- ④ Upstream reaches of the Hamilton dam consist of a wide riparian zone, whereas downstream is composed of cemented structures with trapped debris.

Introduction

- ④ Ecology study on the Flint River before the weir is removed in 2023 through a \$33 million project to restore habitat and recreational utility.
- ④ This data will later be compared to data collected once the weir is removed to assess the impact of habitat fragmentation and relay how restoration efforts can affect riverine ecosystems.
- ④ Multiple fishing methods were necessary to assess the varying habitats upstream and downstream as a result of the dam.

Methods


- ④ Flint River- (Flint, Michigan) Data was collected from four sites of the Hamilton Dam.
- ④ Fish were obtained by cast nets, gillnets, hoop traps, electrofishing and hook and line.
- ④ Once fish are obtained, record species, length (cm), weight (kg) and floy tag number if length > 16cm. Floy tags were added by tagging gun and a homemade floy tag method.
- ④ Select fish were preserved for heavy metal analysis.
- ④ Tricane and Ethanol euthanasia method for invasives species: Round goby, White perch, Common goldfish.



Site Map

Diversity

- Carnivore
- Omnivore
- Piscivore
- Planktivore




Species

Site

In this figure we compare catch rates between sites 1/3 below the dam, and sites 2/4 above the dam. Notable differences show much higher catch rates of gizzard shad below the dam and rock bass above the dam.

Toxins

Hg Difference



In this figure we compare Hg levels from tested fish caught between sites 1/3 below the dam, and sites 2/4 above the dam. The values represent the difference between downstream and upstream species tested. e.g (*downstream – upstream*) mg/kg.


Discussion

- ④ Using Simpson's Diversity Index we compared species diversity above and below the dam. We computed relative index values for the four sites (S), $D_{S_3} = 0.48 \leq D_{S_4} = 0.72 \leq D_{S_1} = 0.77 = D_{S_2} = 0.77$.
- ④ Downstream yielded an index of 0.67 with 31 species caught. The overall catch was dominated by Gizzard shad making it less even than upstream.
- ④ Upstream yielded an index of 0.75 with 20 species caught. Though there was less species diversity, it yielded a higher index because there was more evenness among the species caught.
- ④ The dam prevents species from traveling upstream, we caught more species below the dam. Fishing methods upstream differed from downstream due to the different habitats. This could impact the fish species caught and the quantities.
- ④ Mercury levels were analyzed in fish above and below the dam. Bass contained higher levels of mercury compared to other species. Fish higher in the trophic level bioaccumulate more than other species. Downstream had more species with higher levels of mercury. This could be due to downstream containing species that are migrating from The Great Lakes.

Conclusion

The ecology study of the Flint River has been conducted for 2 years. Study will be continued through the removal of the Hamilton Dam and restoration of the Flint River. This pre-assessment will be used to compare data once restoration is complete to understand how dam removal impacts riverine ecosystems.

Where is Flint?



Acknowledgements

For funding we thank the Community Foundation of Greater Flint, Saginaw Bay Watershed Initiative Network, UM-Flint Office of Research, UM-Flint CAS Opportunity Fund, UM-Flint Urban Institute for Racial, Economic, & Environmental Justice, and the Gary and Colleen Pace Field Biology Scholarship. We thank those who have worked on or helped with the project including many UM-Flint students, Megan Heyza, and the Flint River Watershed Coalition.