

#1. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a sample of iid Negative Binomial  $(4, \theta)$  random variables with  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ . Determine the MLE and the MOM estimators of  $\theta$ .

$$\text{MLE: } f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{x_i - 1}{3} \theta^{4n} (1 - \theta)^{\Sigma - 4n} \quad (\Sigma \text{ is short for } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i);$$

$$\text{so } \log f = \log \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{x_i - 1}{3} \right) + 4n \log(\theta) + (\Sigma - 4n) \log(1 - \theta),$$

$$\text{so } \frac{d}{d\theta}(\log f) = \frac{4n}{\theta} - \frac{\Sigma - 4n}{1 - \theta} = 0 \text{ for } \hat{\theta} = \frac{4n}{\Sigma} = \frac{4}{\bar{X}}. \text{ This is the MLE.}$$

MOM: For Negative Binomial  $(4, \theta)$  RV's,  $E(X) = 4/\theta$ . Solving the equation  $\bar{X} = 4/\theta$ , we have  $\hat{\theta} = 4/\bar{X}$ . This is the MOM. In this case, MLE and MOM are identical.

#2. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a sample of iid  $N(0, \theta)$  random variables with  $\theta > 0$ . ( $\theta$  should be the variance of  $X_k$ .) Determine

a) the MLE  $\hat{\theta}$  of  $\theta$ .

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\theta)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\theta}(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)}, \text{ so } \log(f) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log(\theta) - \frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2}{2\theta}$$

$$\text{so } \frac{d}{d\theta}(\log f) = -\frac{n}{2\theta} + \frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2}{2\theta^2} = 0 \text{ for } \hat{\theta} = \frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2}{n}. \text{ This is the MLE.}$$

b)  $E(\hat{\theta})$  and  $V(\hat{\theta})$ .

Since the random variable  $(T = X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2)/\theta$  is  $\chi^2$  with  $n$  df and  $\hat{\theta} = \theta T/n$ ,  $E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta n/n = \theta$  and  $V(\hat{\theta}) = \theta^2(2n)/n^2 = 2\theta^2/n$ .

c) the MLE of  $SD(X_i) = \sqrt{\theta}$ . (Hint: There is almost no work to do.)

$$\text{By the 'Invariance principle,' } \sqrt{\hat{\theta}} = \sqrt{\frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2}{n}}.$$

$$\#3. \text{ Recall the family of distributions with pmf } p_X(x; p) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } x = -1 \\ 2p & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 1 - 3p & \text{if } x = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Here  $p$  is an unknown parameter, and  $0 \leq p \leq 1/3$ .

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be iid with common pmf a member of this family.

(i) Find the MOM estimator of  $p$ .

Solve the equation  $E(X) = (-1)p + 0 \cdot 2p + 1 \cdot (1 - 3p) = 1 - 4p = \bar{X}$  to obtain  $\hat{p} = \frac{1 - \bar{X}}{4}$ . This is the MOM estimator.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii) Find the MLE estimator of } p. \quad P &= p^A \cdot (2p)^B \cdot (1 - 3p)^C \rightarrow \\ \ln P &= A \ln(p) + B \ln(2p) + C \ln(1 - 3p) \rightarrow \frac{d(\ln P)}{dp} = \frac{A}{p} + \frac{B}{p} - 3 \frac{C}{1 - 3p} \rightarrow \\ \frac{d(\ln P)}{dp} &= 0 \text{ for } \hat{p} = \frac{A + B}{3(A + B + C)} = \frac{A + B}{3n}. \text{ This is the MLE.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{To compare the two estimators, note that } \bar{X} &= \frac{C - A}{n}, \text{ so the MOM estimator is} \\ \hat{p} &= \frac{2A + B}{4n}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) A random sample of size 100 from this distribution produced the values  
 $\{ 0, -1, -1, 0, 0, -1, 1, 0, 0, 0, -1, 1, -1, 1, 0, -1, 1, -1, 1, 0, 1, -1, 0, 1, 0, -1, 0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, -1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, -1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, -1, -1, 1, 1, 0, -1, -1, -1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, -1, 1, 0, 1, 0, -1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, -1, -1, 1, 0, -1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, -1, 1, 1, 0, 1 \}$   
 (There are 23 -1's, 38 0's and 39 1's.)

Evaluate the MOM and MLE estimates of  $p$  for this data set.

$A = 23, B = 38, C = 39, n = 100$ , so  $\hat{p}_{MLE} = \frac{61}{300} \approx .20$ , and  $\hat{p}_{MOM} = \frac{84}{400} = .21$ .

#4. (5.1.8 modified) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a sample of iid  $\text{Gamma}(\alpha, \theta)$  random variables with  $\alpha$  known and  $\theta > 0$ . Determine

a) the MLE  $\hat{\theta}$  of  $\theta$ .

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \frac{1}{(\Gamma(\alpha))^{n\theta n\alpha}} (x_1 \cdots x_n)^{\alpha-1} e^{\Sigma/\theta},$$

$$\text{so } \log f = -n \log(\Gamma(\alpha)) - n\alpha \log(\theta) + (\alpha - 1) \log(x_1 \cdots x_n) - \frac{\Sigma}{\theta}$$

$$\text{so } \frac{d}{d\theta}(\log f) = -\frac{n\alpha}{\theta} + \frac{\Sigma}{\theta^2} = 0 \text{ for } \hat{\theta} = \frac{\Sigma}{n\alpha} = \frac{\bar{X}}{\alpha}, \text{ which is the MLE.}$$

$$\text{b) } E(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{\alpha} E(X_k) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot \alpha\theta = \theta.$$

$$V(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \cdot \frac{1}{n} V(X_k) = \frac{1}{\alpha^2 n} \cdot \alpha\theta^2 = \frac{\theta^2}{\alpha n}.$$

e)  $\hat{\theta}$  is an unbiased function of the sufficient statistic  $\Sigma$ , so  $\hat{\theta}$  is an UMVUE for  $\theta$ .

#5. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a sample of iid random variables with pdf

$$f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{\theta_1} e^{-(x-\theta_2)/\theta_1}, \text{ for } x > \theta_2.$$

Here  $\theta_1 > 0$ , and  $\theta_2$  can be any real number.

Find the MOM and MLE estimators of  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ .

$$L(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{\theta_1^n} e^{-(\Sigma - n\theta_2)/\theta_1} \text{ for } x_1, \dots, x_n \geq \theta_2.$$

To find the MLE of  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ :

Since  $\theta_1 e^{-(x-\theta_2)/\theta_1}$  is an increasing function of  $\theta_2$ , so it maximizes when  $\theta_2$  is as large as possible, so the MLE of  $\theta_2$  is  $\hat{\theta}_2 = X_{(1)}$ . Now to find the MLE for  $\theta_1$ :

$$\log L(\theta_1, \theta_2) = -n \log(\theta_1) - \frac{(\Sigma - n\theta_2)}{\theta_1},$$

$$\text{so } \frac{d}{d\theta_1}(\log L) = -\frac{n}{\theta_1} + \frac{(\Sigma - n\theta_2)}{\theta_1^2} = 0 \text{ for } \theta_1 = \frac{\Sigma - n\theta_2}{n} = \bar{X} - \theta_2.$$

So: The MLE of  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  is the pair  $(\bar{X} - X_{(1)}, X_{(1)})$ .

To find the MOM estimator of  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ :

We saw in Hw4 #4 that  $E(X) = \theta_1 + \theta_2$ .

By a similar computation,  $V(X) = \theta_1^2$ , so  $E(X^2) = (\theta_1 + \theta_2)^2 + \theta_1^2$ .

We solve the equations (1)  $\theta_1 + \theta_2 = \bar{X}$ , (2)  $(\theta_1 + \theta_2)^2 + \theta_1^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k^2$  for  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ .

Substituting the (1) into (2), we have  $\bar{X}^2 + \theta_1^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2$ , so  $\hat{\theta}_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k^2 - \bar{X}^2}$ .

Now (1) gives us  $\hat{\theta}_2 = \bar{X} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k^2 - \bar{X}^2}$

The MOM estimator for  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  is the pair  $(\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k^2 - \bar{X}^2}, \bar{X} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k^2 - \bar{X}^2})$ .