Usual Situation: X, Xz, -, Xn iid RVs := (RANDOM SAMPLZ) with common $\varphi \delta f := f_{\chi}(\chi; \mathfrak{D}) ; \mathfrak{D} \in \widehat{\Theta}$

A statistic: $y = h(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$

A estimator: A statistic designed to Estimate 9

 $\mathcal{D} \approx g(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = y$

An Unbiased Estimator: IE[y]= D & D & A

Some $\mathbb{E}[\overline{X}] = M$ $\overline{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}{M}$

 $\mathbb{F}\left[S^{2}\right] = \sigma^{2} \qquad S^{2} = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(X_{i} - \overline{X}\right)^{2}}_{m-1}$

Example Suppose X,,..., Xn iid ~ Poisson () : E[x:]=V[x:]=) . We initially have 2 unbiased Estimators (x, s2) of).

· Question, what estimator is better?

MEAN SquARE ERROR [MSE]

If T is an Estimator of D, on average, how for away are T & D?

MS2[T;9] = [[(T-9)] = [[[T-2T9+9]] = E[T]-29E[T]+92

Notice: If T is an unbiased = $\mathbb{E}[T^2] - 29\mathbb{E}[T] + 9^2$ Estimator of 9, then $\mathbb{E}[T] = 9$, = $\mathbb{E}[T^2] - 29^2 + 9^2 = \mathbb{E}[T^2] - \mathbb{E}[T]^2$ 7 MSE[T;9] = $\mathbb{V}[T]$

Examples: ① If X_1, \dots, X_n are a random sample.

From $f_{X_i}(X; \mathcal{X})$ with $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathcal{M}$ $\mathbb{E}[X] = \sigma^2$ Then $MSE[X, \mathcal{M}] = \mathbb{W}[X] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ (so $\lim_{n \to \infty} MSE[X, \mathcal{M}] = 0$)

② If X_1, \dots, X_n is a Random Sample from $\mathbb{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ then $\mathbb{E}[\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}]$ is \mathbb{V}^2_{n-1} ... $\mathbb{E}[\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}] = n-1$ $\mathbb{E}[S^2] = \sigma^2$ $\mathbb{V}[S^2] = 2\frac{(n-1)}{(n-1)^2} \cdot \sigma^4 = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1}$ $\mathbb{E}[S^2] = \sigma^2$ $\mathbb{E}[S^2] = \sigma^2$ $\mathbb{E}[S^2] = \mathbb{E}[S^2] = \mathbb{E}[S^2] = \mathbb{E}[S^2] = 0$

What we see is that as we take more
samples our MSE decresses, thus we get
better & better estimations

Definition: If y is an estimator of \mathcal{D} ; we say that y is a <u>consistent</u> estimator of \mathcal{D} if $\lim_{n\to\infty} MSE[Y(x_1,...,x_n), \mathcal{D}] = 0$

Example:
$$Z_{\overline{\epsilon},\epsilon}$$
 $X_1,...,X_n$ $i: J \sim \Sigma_{xp}(\beta)$

has $f_{X_i}(X_i,\beta) = \overline{\beta} e^{\frac{-X}{\beta}}$, $x>0$ w $F[X_i] = \beta$ $\overline{\gamma}$ $V[X_i] = \beta^2$

To Estimate B

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\text{conj}}}{\mathbf{X}} = \frac{\mathbf{Z}}{n} \int_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{X} \left[\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X} \right] \mathbf{X} = \frac{\mathbf{Z}}{n} \int_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{X} \left[\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X} \right] \mathbf{X} = \frac{\mathbf{Z}}{n} \int_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}$$

$$F[\frac{1}{Z}] = \frac{1}{(n-1)\beta} = \frac{n-1}{Z} \text{ is an unbiased estimator of } \frac{1}{\beta}$$

Compute
$$MSE[T=\frac{n-1}{2!}; \frac{1}{\beta}] = W[T] = W[\frac{n-1}{2!}] = (n-1)^2 \cdot W[\frac{1}{2!}]$$

$$V\left[\frac{1}{Z'}\right] = E\left[\frac{1}{Z'^2}\right] - E\left[\frac{1}{Z'}\right]^2 \qquad ; \quad E\left[\frac{1}{Z'}\right]^2 = \frac{1}{(n-1)^2\beta^2}$$

$$\left[- \left[\frac{1}{2!^2} \right] = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\chi^2} \cdot \frac{1}{(m-1)! \beta^n} \cdot \chi^{n-1} \cdot e^{\frac{\chi}{\beta}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \chi \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{(m-1)! \beta^n} \int_{0}^{\infty} \chi^{n-3} \cdot e^{\frac{\chi}{\beta}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \chi$$

$$= \frac{1}{(m-1)! \beta^n} \cdot (m-3)! \beta^{n-2} = \frac{1}{(m-1)(m-2) \beta^2}$$

$$\mathcal{N}\left[\frac{1}{Z}\right] = \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)\beta^2} - \frac{1}{(n-1)^2\beta^2}$$

$$=\frac{(n-1)^{2}-(n-2)}{(n-1)^{2}(n-2)\beta^{2}}=\frac{1}{(n-1)^{2}(n-2)\beta^{2}}$$

$$MSS[T] = W[T] = (n-1)^{2}W[\frac{1}{2}] = \frac{(n-1)^{2}}{(n-1)^{3}(n-2)\beta^{2}}$$

$$= MSS[\frac{m-1}{2}] = MSS[\frac{m-1}{X_{1},\dots,X_{m}}] = \frac{1}{(m-2)\beta^{2}}$$

$$(Again as lim MSS[T] = 0) \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} T \text{ is a } \underbrace{(ansistent)}$$

$$\frac{\sum_{s \neq innation} f_{s}}{\sum_{s \neq innation} f_{s}}$$

$$\frac{\sum_{s \neq innation} f_{s}}{\sum_{s \neq innation} f_{s}} = \frac{\sum_{s \neq innation} f_{s}}{\sum_{s \neq innation} f_{s}}$$

$$\frac{\sum_{s \neq innation} f_{s}}{\sum_{s \neq innation} f_{s}} = \frac{\sum_{s \neq innation} f$$

We now can $y^* = ny = n \cdot min\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ is

WE now can SEE that \ = ny = n · min {x, ..., xn3 is an unbiased estimator of B

as $\mathbb{E}[Y^*] = \mathbb{E}[nY] = n\mathbb{E}[Y] = n \cdot \frac{\beta}{n} = \beta$

We now have 2 unbiased Estimators of B, baing X 7 Y

So what Estimator is better ?

We know $MSZ[Y; B] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = \frac{\beta^2}{n}$

for MSE[Y*;β] = MSE[n·min ξx,,...,xn3;β]

= $\mathbb{V}[n \cdot \min\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}] = n^2 \cdot \mathbb{V}[\min\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}] = n^2 \mathbb{V}[Y] = n^2 \cdot \frac{\beta^2}{n^2} = \beta^2$

60 We Can Pan See that for any n>1; Y is a batter Estimator than y

I We CAN SER have that you is not a Consistent astimator of B.