The results below are generated from an R script.

```
title: "R Notebook"
output:
 html document:
   df_print: paged
 html_notebook: default
# Running on Discovery
I recommend viewing this with a web-based Rstudio server on Discovery:
https://ood.discovery.neu.edu/pun/sys/dashboard/batch_connect/sys/RStudio/session_contexts/new
Press *Ctrl+Enter* to run a chunk.
# Initialization
*You may want to change the directory below.*
```{r setup}
library(tidyverse)
knitr::opts_knit$set(root.dir = "/scratch/a.guha/minnpm-exp")
Load the data:
```{r}
raw_data <- read_csv("results.csv",</pre>
  col_types = cols(Status=col_factor(),
                   Project=col_factor(),
                   Rosette=col_logical(),
                   Consistency=col_factor(),
                   Minimize=col_factor(),
                   Time=col_double(),
                   NDeps=col_integer()),
  show_col_types = FALSE)
# Manual Verification Step
Check that these are the factors that appear below:
1. *success*: everything worked!
2. *ERESOLVE*: depends on something that isn't in the repository
3. *ETARGET*: requires some other target architecture **verify**
4. *EBADPLATFORM*: requires some other platform (e.g., macOS)
5. *EUNSUPPORTEDPROTOCOL:* a dependency is in a format that NPM does not support
6. *unexpected*: something went wrong on Discovery. See experiment.out
7. *unavailable*: something went wrong and we didn't even capture the result.
```

```
See experiment.out
```{r}
levels(raw_data$Status)
```{r}
levels(raw_data$Consistency)
···{r}
levels(raw_data$Minimize)
*The value in the Count column should be 1000 for every row:*
```{r}
raw_data %>%
 group_by(Rosette,Minimize,Consistency) %>%
 summarize(Count = n())
Failures
How many failures occur for each configuration? We know we will see more failures than NPM, but hopeful.
```{r}
raw data %>%
 filter(Status != "success") %>%
  group_by(Rosette, Minimize, Consistency) %>%
 summarize(Count = n())
Let's rule out failures that are due to unsolvability on our platform:
```{r}
raw_data %>%
 filter(Status == "unexpected" | Status == "unavailable") %>%
 group_by(Rosette, Minimize, Consistency) %>%
 summarize(Count = n())
These are likely due to timeouts, Z3 crashing, etc.
The Need for Tree-Solving
NPM uses a tree-solver because its easy to resolve conflicts. But, how many conflicts do you see with M:
TODO: Need to process output further to distinguish these errors.
Minimizing Number of Dependencies
For each project, the number of dependencies with vanilla NPM, and with MinNPM configured to minimize #
```

```
```{r}
min_dep_analysis <- bind_rows(raw_data %>%
            filter(Rosette == FALSE) %>%
            select(Project,NDeps) %>%
            mutate(Solver="NPM"),
          raw_data %>%
            filter(Rosette == TRUE & Consistency == "npm" &
                   Minimize == "min_num_deps,min_oldness") %>%
            select(Project, NDeps) %>%
            mutate(Solver="MinDeps")) %>%
 pivot_wider(values_from=NDeps, names_from=Solver)
<span style="color: red">
In theory, MinNPM should always produce a smaller solution. But, it seems like it doesn't always.
</span>
```{r}
min_dep_analysis %>% mutate(Badness = MinDeps - NPM) %>% filter(Badness > 0)
The graph below is bogus, since I've filtered out the outliers.
```{r}
min_dep_analysis %>%
  mutate(Shrinkage = MinDeps / NPM) %>%
  filter(Shrinkage <= 1.0) %>%
  select(Shrinkage) %>%
 ggplot(aes(Shrinkage)) +
 stat ecdf() +
 ylab("Fraction of solves that shrink")
# Impact on Priorities
<span style="color:red">Skip this? Not very informative.
```{r}
bind_rows(
 raw_data %>%
 filter(Rosette == TRUE & Consistency == "npm" &
 Minimize == "min_num_deps,min_oldness") %>%
 select(Project,NDeps) %>%
 mutate(Solver="Deps,Oldness"),
 raw_data %>%
 filter(Rosette == TRUE & Consistency == "npm" &
 Minimize == "min oldness,min duplicates") %>%
 select(Project,NDeps) %>%
 mutate(Solver="Oldness,Deps"),
 raw_data %>%
```

```
filter(Rosette == TRUE & Consistency == "npm" &
 Minimize == "min_duplicates,min_oldness") %>%
 select(Project, NDeps) %>%
 mutate(Solver="Dups,Oldness")) %>%
 ggplot(aes(NDeps,color=Solver)) +
 stat_ecdf()
Slowdown of MinNPM
These were run on different kinds of machines, etc. and in parallel. So timing :
1. Why is it that the Rosette solver is faster? It seems almost unbelievable that the NPM solver is slow
2. Should we somehow represent the timeouts on this graph?
```{r}
bind rows(
  raw_data %>%
    filter(Rosette == FALSE) %>%
    select(Project,Time) %>%
   mutate(Solver="NPM"),
  raw_data %>%
    filter(Rosette == TRUE & Consistency == "npm" &
             Minimize == "min_num_deps,min_oldness") %>%
    select(Project,Time) %>%
    mutate(Solver="MinNPM")) %>%
  pivot_wider(values_from=Time, names_from=Solver) %>%
  mutate(DeltaTime = MinNPM- NPM) %>%
  select(-NPM, -MinNPM) %>%
  ggplot(aes(y=DeltaTime)) +
  stat_bin()
## Error: <text>:11:3: unexpected symbol
## 11: I recommend
```

The R session information (including the OS info, R version and all packages used):