## CIS 1904: Haskell

Polymorphism & Recursion Patterns

#### Logistics

- HW 1 grades will be posted tomorrow
  - Please read comments! Some things we did not take points off and only left a comment for this week will get points off in future weeks.
- HW 3 will be available this evening
- Reminder: ChatGPT and Copilot are <u>not</u> permitted on homework or in-class assignments

# Polymorphism

## Polymorphism

A symbol is *polymorphic* if it can have more than one type

- Parametric polymorphism
- Ad-hoc polymorphism
- Others not as directly supported in Haskell
  - (Arbitrary) subtyping

```
data IntList
    = Nil
    | Cons Int IntList

data List a
    = Nil
    | Cons a (List a)
    | Cons Char CharList
```

List is a type *constructor*: it takes an argument and returns a type.

a is a type variable: we can substitute any type in for it

Note: this is our custom list definition; the usual Haskell one is [] a, or [a]

- Takes a type as a *parameter*
- Must be able to work with any type\*

\*we will see a caveat when we get to typeclasses

- Takes a type as a *parameter*
- Must be able to work with any type\*

How many functions are there with type a -> a?

- Takes a type as a *parameter*
- Must be able to work with any type\*

How many functions are there with type a?

```
safeDiv :: Double -> Double -> Maybe Double
safeDiv _ 0 = Nothing
safeDiv m n = Just (m / n)
```

```
head :: [a] -> a
head [] = errorEmptyList "head"
head (x : _) = x

safeHead :: [a] -> Maybe a
safeHead [] = Nothing
safeHead (x : _) = Just x
```

#### Aside

Side note: do not use partial functions in this class!

- It's error prone
- Type does not make it obvious it may crash

Instead, you should:

- pattern match to avoid having to call functions like head
- use Maybe

## Ad Hoc Polymorphism

- Idea: Using the same interface (in Haskell, the same set of function names)
   for a related concept across multiple types
- Example: `+`

• In Haskell, this is done via *typeclasses* 

## Recursion Patterns

```
squares :: [Int] -> [Int]
squares [] = []
squares (x : xs) = x * x : squares xs

lengths :: [[a]] -> [Int]
lengths [] = []
lengths (x : xs) = length x : lengths xs
```

```
squares :: [Int] -> [Int]
squares [] = []
squares (x : xs) = x * x : squares xs

map

lengths :: [[a]] -> [Int]
lengths [] = []
lengths (x : xs) = length x : lengths xs
```

```
squares :: [Int] -> [Int]
squares [] = []
squares (x : xs) = x * x : squares xs

map

lengths :: [[a]] -> [Int]
What is the type of map?
lengths [] = []
lengths (x : xs) = length x : lengths xs
```

```
squares :: [Int] -> [Int]
squares [] = []
squares (x : xs) = x * x : squares xs
                                      map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
lengths :: [[a]] -> [Int]
lengths [] = []
lengths (x : xs) = length x : lengths xs
```

```
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
```

- Applies a function to each element of a list
  - Remember, functions are *first class*
  - Functions can be anonymous or named
    - e.g., add1 vs  $(\x -> 1 + x)$
    - For historical reasons, we read \ as "lambda" here
      - Look up the lambda calculus if you're curious
    - $\blacksquare$  \x -> is like fun x => in OCaml
  - Functions can be operator sections (+3)
    - $\blacksquare$  map (+3) [0,1,2] == [0+3,1+3,2+3] == [3,4,5]

map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]

- Pros
  - Much more concise
  - Avoids duplicated work
    - avoids potential mistakes
    - allows reuse of optimizations
  - Self-documenting

#### Filter

```
upperOnly :: [Char] -> [Char]
upperOnly [] = []
upperOnly (x : xs)
   | isUpper x = x : upperOnly xs
   | otherwise = upperOnly xs
```

#### Filter

```
upperOnly :: [Char] -> [Char]
upperOnly [] = []
upperOnly (x : xs)
   | isUpper x = x : upperOnly xs
   | otherwise = upperOnly xs

upperOnly = filter isUpper
```

#### Filter

```
filter :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
```

Useful for filtering elements of a list.

Useful for combining all the elements of a list in some way.

```
sum :: [Int] -> Int
sum [] = 0
sum (x : xs) = x + sum xs

foldr :: (a -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
```

```
foldr :: (a -> b -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
foldr f z [] = z
foldr f z (x : xs) = f x (foldr f z xs)
```

z is the "default" value f tells us how to combine the elements of the list and the default value

```
sum :: [Int] -> Int
sum [] = 0
sum (x : xs) = x + sum xs

sum = foldr (+) 0
```

```
foldl :: (b -> a -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
foldl f z \lceil \rceil = z
foldr f z (x : xs) = f x (foldr f z xs)
foldr add 0 [1,2,3]
add 1 (foldr add 0 [2,3])
add 1 (add 2 (foldr add 0 [3]))
add 1 (add 2 (add 3 (foldr 0 []))
add 1 (add 2 (add 3 0))
add 1 (add 2 3)
add 1 5
6
```

```
foldr f z [a,b,c] == \mathbf{f} a (\mathbf{f} b (\mathbf{f} c \mathbf{z}))

foldl f z [a,b,c] == \mathbf{f} (\mathbf{f} (\mathbf{f} \mathbf{z} a) b) c
```

```
foldl f z [a,b,c] == \mathbf{f} (\mathbf{f} (\mathbf{f} \mathbf{z} a) b) c
fold1 add 0 [1,2,3]
let x1 = 0+1 in (foldl add x1 [2,3])
let x1 = 0+1 in (let x2 = x1+2 in (foldl add x2 [3]))
let x1 = 0+1 in (let x2 = x1+2 in (let x3 = x2+3 in (foldl add x3 [1]))
let x1 = 0+1 in (let x2 = x1+2 in (let x3 = x2+3 in x3))
let x1 = 0+1 in (let x2 = x1+2 in (x2+3))
let x1 = 0+1 in ((x1+2)+3)
((0+1)+2)+3
(1+2)+3
3+3
6
```

Haskell provides a third option: foldl'

- Designed to be space efficient but semantically the same as fold1
- There are very few reasons to use fold1
  - foldl' is recommended by Haskell

Which is preferred between foldl' and foldr?

```
foldr f z [a,b,c] == \mathbf{f} a (\mathbf{f} b (\mathbf{f} c \mathbf{z}))

foldl f z [a,b,c] == \mathbf{f} (\mathbf{f} (\mathbf{f} \mathbf{z} a) b) c
```

These are only equivalent if f is commutative and associative!

```
foldr f z [a,b,c] == f a (f b (f c z))
foldl f z [a,b,c] == f (f (f z a) b) c

foldr (+) 0 [4,5,6]

foldl (+) 0 [4,5,6]
```

```
foldr f z [a,b,c] == f a (f b (f c z))

foldl f z [a,b,c] == f (f (f z a) b) c

foldr (+) 0 [4,5,6] = 15

foldl (+) 0 [4,5,6] = 15
```

```
foldr f z [a,b,c] == f a (f b (f c z))
foldl f z [a,b,c] == f (f (f z a) b) c

foldr (-) 0 [1,1,1] ==

foldl (-) 0 [1,1,1] ==
```

```
foldr f z [a,b,c] == f a (f b (f c z))
foldl f z [a,b,c] == f (f (f z a) b) c

foldr (-) 0 [1,1,1] == 1

foldl (-) 0 [1,1,1] == -3
```

```
foldr f z [a,b,c] == f a (f b (f c z))
foldl f z [a,b,c] == f (f (f z a) b) c

foldr (\x y -> (x + y) / 2) 0 [8,4,16]

foldl (\x y -> (x + y) / 2) 0 [8,4,16]
```

```
foldr f z [a,b,c] == f a (f b (f c z))

foldl f z [a,b,c] == f (f (f z a) b) c

foldr (\x y -> (x + y) / 2) 0 [8,4,16] == 7

foldl (\x y -> (x + y) / 2) 0 [8,4,16] == 10
```

```
foldr f z [a,b,c] == f a (f b (f c z))
foldl f z [a,b,c] == f (f (f z a) b) c

foldr (++) "z" ["a","b","c"] ==

foldl (++) "z" ["a","b","c"] ==
```

```
foldr f z [a,b,c] == f a (f b (f c z))
foldl f z [a,b,c] == f (f (f z a) b) c

foldr (++) "z" ["a","b","c"] == "abcz"

foldl (++) "z" ["a","b","c"] == "zabc"
```

Which is preferred between foldl' and foldr?

When they are equivalent, typically foldr.

#### Foldr

- follows natural structure of the list
  - o f a (f b (f c z))
- Allows for short-circuiting
  - False && (b && (c && z))
- We can sometimes use it with infinite lists!
  - We will discuss more in the laziness unit

```
reverse :: [Int] -> [Int]
reverse xs =
```

```
reverse :: [Int] -> [Int]
reverse xs = foldr comb [] xs
    where
        comb x res = res ++ [x]
```

```
reverse :: [Int] -> [Int]
reverse = foldr comb []
  where
      comb x res = res ++ [x]
```

```
reverse :: [Int] -> [Int]
reverse = foldr comb []
  where
      comb x res = res ++ [x]
```

What would we need to change to implement this with foldl?

```
foldl f z [a,b,c] == f (f (f z a) b) c
```

```
reverse :: [Int] -> [Int]
reverse = foldl comb []
   where
       comb res x = x : res
```

```
foldl f z [a,b,c] == f (f (f z a) b) c
```