CIS 1904: Haskell

Logistics

- HW0 due yesterday
- HW1 due next Wednesday, 11:59pm
 - Reminder: do not use Copilot or ChatGPT on the homework.
 You are welcome to use Hoogle, but do not search solutions elsewhere.
- TA office hours
- Registration

Haskell Design

These days, Haskell is used in industry as well as academia.

- Facebook's spam filters
- GitHub's <u>Semantic</u> library

These days, Haskell is used in software engineering as well as research.

- Facebook's spam filters
- GitHub's <u>Semantic</u> library

Originally, Haskell was designed to be an open-source research language.

Programming language researchers wanted to study design of languages that are:

- Functional
- Pure
- Lazy
- Statically typed

Programming language researchers wanted to study design of languages that are:

- Functional
- Pure
- Lazy
- Statically typed

Along the way, key features emerged:

- Typeclasses
- Monads
- Higher-order programming
- Algebraic data types

Programming language researchers wanted to study design of languages that are:

- Functional
- Pure
- Lazy
- Statically typed

Along the way, key features emerged:

- Typeclasses
- Monads
- Higher-order programming
- Algebraic data types



stay tuned for units on all of these

- Functional programming: a programming paradigm
 - built around applying and composing functions, often using recursion
 - o functions are "first-class", i.e., they can be passed around like values
 - Haskell has higher-order functions: functions which take other functions as arguments

e.g. map takes a function as a regular argument

```
map (+1) [1,2,3]
-> [2,3,4]
```

- Functional programming: a programming paradigm
 - built around applying and composing functions, often using recursion
 - o functions are "first-class", i.e., they can be passed around like values
 - Haskell has higher-order functions: functions which take other functions as arguments

e.g. map takes a function as a regular argument

```
map (+1) [1,2,3] -> [2,3,4]
```

- Designed to "look like math"
 - Evolved out of lambda calculus
 - Built around structures from math (functors, monoids, etc.)
 - Evolved alongside category theory
 - Focuses on evaluating terms, not executing instructions

```
2 + 2 + 2

-> 4 + 2

-> 6
```

- Some alternatives to functional programming:
 - Imperative programming (common in C)
 - Object-oriented programming (common in Java)
 - Many languages, e.g. OCaml, are multi-paradigm

- Pros:
 - Easier to reason about
 - Close to the logic of what the program does
 - Typically more concise
 - Helps avoid "spaghetti code"

```
int acc = 0;
for ( int i = 0; i < lst.length; i++ ) {
   acc = acc + 3 * lst[i];
}</pre>
```

- Pros:
 - Easier to reason about
 - Close to the logic of what the program does
 - Typically more concise
 - Helps avoid "spaghetti code"

```
sum (map (3 *) 1st)
```

- Pros:
 - Easier to reason about
 - Close to the logic of what the program does
 - Typically more concise
 - Helps avoid "spaghetti code"

```
sum (map (3 *) 1st)
```

This type of reasoning that considers whole data structures and state spaces at once is sometimes called **wholemeal programming**.

- Cons:
 - Often less efficient
 - Can be unintuitive for low-level applications

- No side effects:
 - Printing
 - Reading from memory
 - Nondeterminism
 - Mutable state
 - Anything except evaluating a term down to a simpler term

```
int x;
x = 3;
x = 4;
```

- No side effects:
 - Printing
 - Reading from memory
 - Nondeterminism
 - Mutable state
 - Anything except evaluating a term down to a simpler term

```
x = 3
y = 4
```

- No side effects:
 - Printing
 - Reading from memory
 - Nondeterminism
 - Mutable state
 - Anything except evaluating a term down to a simpler term

We will see later how Haskell manages this (it's monads).

Pros:

- Easier to understand and maintain
- Ease of equational reasoning can make it easier to refactor
- Easier to reason about correctness, especially in concurrent programming

Cons:

- Often less efficient
- Unintuitive for effectful programs, e.g. I/O

In a lazy language, programs do not get evaluated until their results are needed!

```
foo :: Int \rightarrow Bool
foo x = True
```

What happens if we call foo 217⁸¹?

In a lazy language, programs do not get evaluated until their results are needed!

```
foo :: Int \rightarrow Bool
foo x = True
```

What happens if we call foo 21781?

- In most languages, we will have to calculate 217⁸¹ before returning True.
- In Haskell, we just return True right away!

In a lazy language, programs do not get evaluated until their results are needed!

```
foo :: Int → Bool
foo x = True

crash :: Int
crash = error "crash"
```

What happens if we call foo crash?

In a lazy language, programs do not get evaluated until their results are needed!

```
foo :: Int → Bool
foo x = True

crash :: Int
crash = error "crash"
```

What happens if we call foo crash?

Haskell again just returns True!

Pros of laziness:

- Saves evaluation time
- Allows for infinite or partially-defined data structures

Cons of laziness:

Hard to reason about

What is a statically-typed language?

- Static typing: types are known at compile time
 - e.g., the programmer annotates terms with their types (as in OCaml)
 - e.g., the compiler is able to infer types for many terms (also as in OCaml)

```
myList :: [Int]
myList = [1, 2, 3]
```

- Dynamic typing: types are determined at runtime
 - o e.g., as in Python

```
[True, 1, "Hello"]
```

What is a statically-typed language?

- Pros of static typing:
 - helps compiler with analysis and optimizations
 - more bugs get caught at compile time, not runtime
 - immediate feedback makes development interactive
 - refactoring support from IDE
 - provides documentation
 - type-driven development
- Cons of static typing
 - annoying to write all those types
 - sometimes makes for more convoluted programs

Key theme: Abstraction

- Avoid repeated code
- Structure code in a way that conveys the logical ideas behind it

Haskell Basics

Option 1: Use the terminal

- In the terminal, navigate to the project folder and run stack ghci
 - You can run stack ghci <filename> if you only want to compile one file
 - This starts a Read-Eval-Print-Loop
- Next, you can type an expression and ghci will evaluate (interpret) it for you
 - e.g., typing main will run the main function, if present
 - o e.g., typing 1+3 will print out 4

Option 2: In VSCode

```
-- >>> 1 + 3
```

Option 2: In VSCode

Evaluate...

```
-- >>> 1 + 3
```

Option 2: In VSCode

```
Refresh...
-- >>> 1 + 3
```

Note: Haskell compiles the whole file at once!

This means you can define functions "out of order".

```
foo' :: Int -> Bool
foo' = foo

foo :: Int -> Bool
foo x = if x > 0 then True else False
```

That said, please try to organize files to maximize legibility.

Syntax

```
x :: Int \leftarrow "x has type Int"

x = 3 \leftarrow "x has value 3"
```

VSCode can often infer and suggest type signatures.

You should always include type signatures, whether you write them yourself or accept a VSCode suggestion. They provide helpful documentation.

Syntax

```
x :: Int \leftarrow "x has type Int"

x = 3 \leftarrow "x has value 3"
```

This is variable *definition*, not assignment. x is not temporarily holding the value 3, it *is* 3, like how variables are used in math.

If we try to run the following code, we get an error:

```
y :: Int
y = 3
```

```
f :: Int \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Int

f \times y = x + y
```

```
f :: Int -> Int -> Int
f x y = x + y
-- >>> f 1 2
-- 3
```

```
f :: Int -> Int -> Int
f x y = x + y
-- >>> f 1 2
-- 3
-- >>> 1 'f' 2
-- 3
```

```
f :: Int -> Int -> Int
f x y = x + y
g :: Int -> Int
g = f 1
-- >>> g 2
-- 3
```

```
f :: Int -> Int -> Int
f x y = x + y
g = f 1
ghci> :t g
g :: Int -> Int
```

```
x :: Int
x = 3 + (-2) \leftarrow enclose negatives in parentheses
y :: Int
y = 19 'div' 3
z :: Double
z = 8.7 / 3.1
```

```
b1 :: Bool
b1 = not (False || (True && False))
b2 :: Bool
b2 = 'a' == 'a'
b3 :: Bool
b3 = (16 /= 3) \&\& ('p' < 'q')
```

```
f :: Int \rightarrow Int

f x = if x /= 0 then x else -1
```

Haskell does have if/then/else, but it is usually not idiomatic.

Instead, Haskell provides two options:

- 1. Pattern matching
- 2. Guards

Syntax: Pattern matching

```
f :: Int -> Int
f x = if x /= 0 then x else -1

f :: Int -> Int
f 0 = -1
f x = x
```

Syntax: Guards

Syntax: Pattern Matching and Guards

```
f :: Int -> Int
f x = if x > 0 then x else 0

f :: Int -> Int
f x | x > 0 = x
f x = -1
```

Syntax: Pattern Matching and Guards

```
f :: Int -> Int
f x = if x > 0 then x else 0

f :: Int -> Int
f x | x > 0 = x
f _ = -1
```

```
someNums :: [Int]

someNums = [1,2,3]

noNums :: [Int] ← we need the annotation to know what this is a list of noNums = [7]
```

```
moreNums :: [Int]
moreNums = 0 : someNums
-- >>> moreNums
-- [0,1,2,3]
-- >>> 0 : (1 : [])
-- [0,1]
```

```
hello1 :: String
hello1 = "hello"
hello2 :: [Char]
hello2 = ['h', 'e', 'l', 'l', 'o']
-- >>> hello1 == hello2
-- True
```

```
intListLength :: [Int] -> Int
intListLength [] = 0
intListLength (_:xs) = 1 + intListLength xs
```

```
sumEveryTwo :: [Int] -> [Int]
sumEveryTwo [] = []
sumEveryTwo (x:[]) = [x]
sumEveryTwo (x:y:zs) = (x + y) : sumEveryTwo zs
```

Syntax: Composition

```
f :: [Int] -> Int
f xs = intListLength (sumEveryTwo xs)
What is f [1,2,3]?
```

Syntax: Composition

```
f :: [Int] -> Int
f xs = intListLength (sumEveryTwo xs)
What is f [1,2,3]?
```

Exercises!

Please complete Exercises.hs.

We will come around and take attendance.