

## LECTURE 9 (05/02/26)

UP TO NOW WE CONSIDERED:

- LINEAR PROBLEMS  $\Rightarrow$  EXPONENTIAL QUADRATURE RULES
- SEMILINEAR PROBLEMS  $\Rightarrow$  EXPONENTIAL RUNGE-KUTTA METHODS  
(EXPONENTIAL MULTISTEP METHODS)

WHAT HAPPENS FOR FULLY NONLINEAR PROBLEMS

$$(\Delta) \begin{cases} y'(t) = f(y(t)) & t \in [t_0, t^*] \\ y(t_0) = y_0 \end{cases} \quad \text{EXPR } f(y(t)) = A y(t) + g(y(t))$$

↗ LOCALLY

$y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . WE LINEARIZE  $(\Delta)$  AROUND A "FIXED" STATE  $y_m$ , WHICH IS THE NUMERICAL SOLUTION AT TIME  $t_m$ ,

AND WE GET

$$\begin{cases} y(t) = J_m y(t) + g_m(y(t)) \\ y(t_0) = y_0 \end{cases} \quad (\square)$$

WITH  $J_m = \frac{df}{dy}(y_m)$

$\downarrow$   
JACOBIAN

(ASSUME TO EXIST)

AND  $g_m(y(t)) = f(y(t)) - J_m y(t)$

$\downarrow$

NONLINEAR REMAINDER

FROM  $(\Delta)$  TO  $(\square)$  WE DIDN'T DO ANY KIND OF APPROXIMATION, WE SIMPLY IDENTIFIED A "NATURAL" LINEAR PART OF THE RHS (THINK ABOUT TAYLOR)

IF WE APPLY TO (Δ) THE EXPONENTIAL EULER METHOD

WE GET

$$\begin{aligned} y_{m+1} &= e^{\tau \tilde{J}_m} y_m + \tau q_1(\tau \tilde{J}_m) g(y_m) \\ &= y_m + \tau q_1(\tau \tilde{J}_m) f(y_m) \end{aligned} \quad (o)$$

THE OBTAINED SCHEME IS CALLED EXPONENTIAL ROSENROCK EULER METHOD. IT IS A SECOND ORDER METHOD.

WE PROVE THIS IN THE SIMPLIFIED SETTING

$$f(y(t)) = A y(t) + g(y(t))$$

$$\tilde{J} = \frac{df}{dy} = A + \frac{dg}{dy}$$

### THEOREM

CONSIDER PROBLEM (Δ) AND INTEGRATOR (o). THEN,  
ASSUMING A SUFFICIENTLY OFTEN DIFFERENTIABLE (WITH ITS  
BDD DERIVATIVES) THEN

$$\|y(t_m) - y_m\| \leq C \tau^2$$

### PROOF

FROM THE VOC WE GET

$$y(t_{m+s}) = e^{s \tilde{J}_m} y(t_m) + \int_0^s e^{(t-s)\tilde{J}_m} g_m(y(t_{m+s})) ds$$

WE CALL  $h_m(t) = g_m(y(t))$  SO THAT

$$y(t_{m+s}) = e^{s \tilde{J}_m} y(t_m) + \int_0^s e^{(t-s)\tilde{J}_m} h_m(t_{m+s}) ds$$

BY TAYLOR EXPANSION OF  $h_m$  WE GET

$$h_m(t_{m+1}) = h_m(t_m) + h'_m(t_m)s + \int_0^s h''(t_{m+\delta})(s-\delta)d\delta$$

SO THAT

$$\begin{aligned} y(t_{m+1}) &= e^{\tau]_m} y(t_m) + \tau \varphi_1(\tau]_m) h_m(t_m) + \tau^2 \varphi_2(\tau]_m) h'_m(t_m) \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\tau]_m} e^{(s-s)} \int_0^s h''(t_{m+\delta})(s-\delta) d\delta ds \end{aligned}$$

NOW WE COMPARE THIS EXPANSION WITH THE NUMERICAL SOLUTION

$$y(t_{m+1}) = e^{\tau]_m} y_m + \tau \varphi_1(\tau]_m) g_m(y_m)$$

$$\begin{aligned} y(t_{m+1}) - y_m &= e^{\tau]_m} (y(t_m) - y_m) + \cancel{\tau \varphi_1(\tau]_m) (h_m(t_m) - g_m(y_m))} \\ &\quad + \cancel{\tau^2 \varphi_2(\tau]_m) h'_m(t_m)} + \int_0^{\tau]_m} \int_0^s \underline{h''(t_{m+\delta})(s-\delta) d\delta ds} \end{aligned}$$

LET'S START WITH •

$$h_m(t_m) - g_m(y_m) = g_m(y(t_m)) - g_m(y_m)$$

$$= (f(y(t_m)) - J_m y(t_m)) - (f(y_m) - J_m y_m)$$

$$= (A y(t_m) + g(y(t_m)) - J_m y(t_m)) - (A y_m + g(y_m) - J_m y_m)$$

$$\text{HOWEVER } J_m = \frac{df}{dy}(y_m) = A + \frac{dg}{dy}(y_m)$$

$$= (g(y(t_m)) - \frac{dg}{dy}(y_m) y(t_m)) - (g(y_m) - \frac{dg}{dy}(y_m) y_m)$$

$$= (g(y(t_m)) - g(y_m)) - \frac{dg}{dy}(y_m)(y(t_m) - y_m)$$

WE LIKE THIS FORM BECAUSE SINCE  $g$  IS LIPSCHITZ

$$\Rightarrow \|h_m(t_m) - g_m(t_m)\| \leq C \|y(t_m) - y_m\|$$

FOR • WE HAVE

$$\begin{aligned} h_m'(t_m) &= \frac{\partial g_m}{\partial y}(y(t_m)) y'(t_m) \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(y(t_m)) - \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(y_m) \right) y'(t_m) \end{aligned}$$

BY LIPSCHITZIANITY WE GET

$$\|h_m'(t_m)\| \leq C \|y(t_m) - y_m\|$$

FINALLY FOR • WE HAVE  $\|\bullet\| \leq C\varepsilon^3$

THEREFORE IF WE CALL  $y(t_m) - y_m = \varepsilon_m$  AND  
• + • + • =  $S_{m+1}$  THEN

$$\varepsilon_{m+1} = e^{CJ_m} \varepsilon_m + S_{m+1} \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon_m = \sum_{j=1}^m \left( \prod_{k=1}^{m-j} e^{CJ_{m-k}} \right) S_j$$

HENCE USING THE PREVIOUS BOUNDS WE GET

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varepsilon_m\| &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^m \|S_j\| \leq C\varepsilon \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \|\varepsilon_j\| \\ &\quad + C\varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \|\varepsilon_j\| \\ &\quad + C\varepsilon^3 \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} 1 \xrightarrow{<} C\varepsilon^2 \end{aligned}$$

BY A DISCRETE GRONWALL LEMMA (SEE FIRST LECTURES)

WE GET

$$\|\varepsilon_n\| \leq C\varepsilon^2 \Leftrightarrow \|y(t_m) - y_m\| \leq C\varepsilon^2$$

□

HIGHER ORDER METHODS OF THIS CLASS DO EXIST (CREATE INTERMEDIATE STAGES, PLAY WITH ORDER CONDITIONS, --)  
BUT WE DON'T CONSIDER THEM HERE.

### NON-AUTONOMOUS CASE

$$y'(t) = A y(t) + g(y(t)) \stackrel{\text{EXP EULER}}{\Rightarrow} y_{m+1} = y_m + \varepsilon \varphi_1(\varepsilon A) f(y_m) \quad \checkmark$$

$$y'(t) = A y(t) + g(t, y(t)) \stackrel{\text{EXP EULER}}{\Rightarrow} y_{m+1} = y_m + \varepsilon \varphi_1(\varepsilon A) f(t_m, y_m) \quad \checkmark$$

$$y'(t) = f(y(t)) \stackrel{\text{EXP EULER}}{\Rightarrow} y_{m+1} = y_m + \varepsilon \varphi_1(\varepsilon) f(y_m) \quad \checkmark$$

$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t)) \stackrel{\text{EXP EULER}}{\Rightarrow} y_{m+1} = y_m + \varepsilon \varphi_1(\varepsilon) f(t_m, y_m)$$

⚠ NO ! ! !

THIS IS NOT CORRECT BECAUSE A LINEARIZATION IN THE VARIABLE  $t$  IS MISSING. TO GET THE CORRECT INTEGRATOR WE FIRST REWRITE THE SYSTEM IN AUTONOMOUS FORM.

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}^{N+1} &\ni Y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1(t) \\ Y_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} t \\ y(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \uparrow \quad \nwarrow \end{aligned}}$$

AND HENCE

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1'(t) \\ \gamma_2'(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} t \\ y'(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1(t) \\ f(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) \end{bmatrix} \doteq F(\gamma(t))$$

④ INITIAL CONDITION

NOW WE LINEARIZE

$$\frac{dF}{d\gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(N+1) \times (N+1)}$$

$\in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$        $\in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$

AND

$$\left. \frac{dF}{d\gamma} \right|_{\gamma_m} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \Big|_{(t_m, y_m)} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \Big|_{(t_m, y_m)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ v_m & J_m \end{bmatrix} \doteq \tilde{J}_m$$

FOR THE EXP. RB. EULER METHOD WE HAVE TO COMPUTE

$$\varphi_1(z \tilde{J}_m) = \varphi_1 \left( z \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ v_m & J_m \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

BLOCK LOWER STRUNG \* ①  
\* \*

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z \varphi_2(z \tilde{J}_m) v_m & \varphi_2(z \tilde{J}_m) \end{bmatrix}$$

$\leftarrow \varphi_1(z)$

CAN BE

PROVEN BY  
USING THE

DEFINITION OF  $\varphi_1$  ④ LOWER BLOCK DIAG. STRUCTURE

SO WE HAVE THE TIME MARCHING

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{m+1} &= Y_m + \tau \varphi_1(\tau) f(Y_m) \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} Y_{1,m} \\ Y_{2,m} \end{bmatrix} + \tau \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \tau \varphi_2(\tau) v_m & \varphi_1(\tau) v_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ f(Y_{1,m}, Y_{2,m}) \end{bmatrix} \\
 &\approx \begin{bmatrix} * \\ Y_{2,m} + \tau \varphi_1(\tau) f(Y_{1,m}, Y_{2,m}) + \tau^2 \varphi_2(\tau) v_m \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

GOING BACK TO THE ORIGINAL VARIABLES WE GET  
THE TIME MARCHING

$$y_{m+1} = y_m + \tau \varphi_1(\tau) f(t_m, y_m) + \tau^2 \varphi_2(\tau) v_m$$

WHICH IS THE CORRECT FORM OF EXponential ROSENBRUCK EULER FOR NON-AUTONOMOUS SYSTEMS.

### (STANDARD) ROSENBRUCK METHODS

ROSENBRUCK METHODS ARE IMPLICIT METHODS WHICH EXPLOIT INFORMATION FROM THE JACOBIAN OF THE RIGHT HAND SIDE. THEY ARE IMEX SCHEMES WHICH ARE USEFUL IN PRESENCE OF STIFFNESS.

IDEA : FOR  $y'(t) = A y(t) + g(y(t))$

WE HAVE IMEX-EULER  $\Rightarrow (I - \tau A) y_{m+1} = y_m + \tau g(y_m)$   
FOR A NONLINEAR SYSTEM  $y'(t) = f(y(t))$

A SECOND - ORDER A-STABLE ROSENBRUCK METHOD

IS

$$\left( I - \frac{\tau}{2} J_m \right) k_1 = \tau f(y_m)$$

$$y_{m+1} = y_m + k_1$$

WITH  $J_m = \left. \frac{df}{dy} \right|_{y_m}$ .