

LECTURE 9 (05/02/26)

UP TO NOW WE CONSIDERED:

- LINEAR PROBLEMS \Rightarrow EXPONENTIAL QUADRATURE RULES
- SEMILINEAR PROBLEMS \Rightarrow EXPONENTIAL RUNGE-KUTTA METHODS
(EXPONENTIAL MULTISTEP METHODS)

WHAT HAPPENS FOR FULLY NONLINEAR PROBLEMS

$$(\Delta) \begin{cases} y'(t) = f(y(t)) & t \in [t_0, t^*] \\ y(t_0) = y_0 \end{cases} \quad \text{EXPR } f(y(t)) = A y(t) + g(y(t))$$

↗ LOCALLY

$y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$. WE LINEARIZE (Δ) AROUND A "FIXED" STATE y_m , WHICH IS THE NUMERICAL SOLUTION AT TIME t_m ,

AND WE GET

$$\begin{cases} y(t) = J_m y_m(t) + g_m(y_m(t)) \\ y(t_0) = y_0 \end{cases} \quad (\square)$$

WITH $J_m = \frac{df}{dy}(y_m)$

\downarrow
JACOBIAN
(ASSUME TO EXIST)

AND $g_m(y_m(t)) = f(y_m(t)) - J_m y_m(t)$

\downarrow
NONLINEAR REMAINDER

FROM (Δ) TO (\square) WE DIDN'T DO ANY KIND OF APPROXIMATION, WE SIMPLY IDENTIFIED A "NATURAL" LINEAR PART OF THE RHS (THINK ABOUT TAYLOR)

IF WE APPLY TO (Δ) THE EXPONENTIAL EULER METHOD

WE GET

$$\begin{aligned} y_{m+1} &= e^{\tau \tilde{J}_m} y_m + \tau q_1(\tau \tilde{J}_m) g(y_m) \\ &= y_m + \tau q_1(\tau \tilde{J}_m) f(y_m) \end{aligned} \quad (o)$$

THE OBTAINED SCHEME IS CALLED EXPONENTIAL ROSENBRUCK EULER METHOD. IT IS A SECOND ORDER METHOD.

WE PROVE THIS IN THE SIMPLIFIED SETTING

$$f(y(t)) = Ay(t) + g(y(t))$$

$$\tilde{J} = \frac{df}{dy} = A + \frac{dg}{dy}$$

THEOREM

CONSIDER PROBLEM (Δ) AND INTEGRATOR (o). THEN,
ASSUMING A SUFFICIENTLY OFTEN DIFFERENTIABLE (WITH ITS
BDD DERIVATIVES) THEN

$$\|y(t_m) - y_m\| \leq C \tau^2$$

PROOF

FROM THE VOC WE GET

$$y(t_{m+s}) = e^{s \tilde{J}_m} y(t_m) + \int_0^s e^{(t-s)\tilde{J}_m} g_m(y(t_{m+s})) ds$$

WE CALL $h_m(t) = g_m(y(t))$ SO THAT

$$y(t_{m+s}) = e^{s \tilde{J}_m} y(t_m) + \int_0^s e^{(t-s)\tilde{J}_m} h_m(t_{m+s}) ds$$

BY TAYLOR EXPANSION OF h_m WE GET

$$h_m(t_{m+1}) = h_m(t_m) + h'_m(t_m)s + \int_0^s h''(t_{m+\delta})(s-\delta)d\delta$$

SO THAT

$$\begin{aligned} y(t_{m+1}) &= e^{\tau]_m} y(t_m) + \tau \varphi_1(\tau]_m) h_m(t_m) + \tau^2 \varphi_2(\tau]_m) h'_m(t_m) \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\tau]_m} e^{(s-s)} \int_0^s h''(t_{m+\delta})(s-\delta) d\delta ds \end{aligned}$$

NOW WE COMPARE THIS EXPANSION WITH THE NUMERICAL SOLUTION

$$y(t_{m+1}) = e^{\tau]_m} y_m + \tau \varphi_1(\tau]_m) g_m(y_m)$$

$$\begin{aligned} y(t_{m+1}) - y_m &= e^{\tau]_m} (y(t_m) - y_m) + \cancel{\tau \varphi_1(\tau]_m) (h_m(t_m) - g_m(y_m))} \\ &\quad + \cancel{\tau^2 \varphi_2(\tau]_m) h'_m(t_m)} + \int_0^{\tau]_m} \int_0^s \underline{h''(t_{m+\delta})(s-\delta) d\delta ds} \end{aligned}$$

LET'S START WITH •

$$h_m(t_m) - g_m(y_m) = g_m(y(t_m)) - g_m(y_m)$$

$$= (f(y(t_m)) - J_m y(t_m)) - (f(y_m) - J_m y_m)$$

$$= (A y(t_m) + g(y(t_m)) - J_m y(t_m)) - (A y_m + g(y_m) - J_m y_m)$$

$$\text{HOWEVER } J_m = \frac{df}{dy}(y_m) = A + \frac{dg}{dy}(y_m)$$

$$= (g(y(t_m)) - \frac{dg}{dy}(y_m) y(t_m)) - (g(y_m) - \frac{dg}{dy}(y_m) y_m)$$

$$= (g(y(t_m)) - g(y_m)) - \frac{dg}{dy}(y_m)(y(t_m) - y_m)$$

WE LIKE THIS FORM BECAUSE SINCE g IS LIPSCHITZ

$$\Rightarrow \|h_m(t_m) - g_m(t_m)\| \leq C \|y(t_m) - y_m\|$$

FOR • WE HAVE

$$\begin{aligned} h_m'(t_m) &= \frac{\partial g_m}{\partial y}(y(t_m)) y'(t_m) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(y(t_m)) - \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(y_m) \right) y'(t_m) \end{aligned}$$

BY LIPSCHITZIANITY WE GET

$$\|h_m'(t_m)\| \leq C \|y(t_m) - y_m\|$$

FINALLY FOR • WE HAVE $\|\bullet\| \leq C\varepsilon^3$

THEREFORE IF WE CALL $y(t_m) - y_m = \varepsilon_m$ AND
• + • + • = S_{m+1} THEN

$$\varepsilon_{m+1} = e^{CJ_m} \varepsilon_m + S_{m+1} \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon_m = \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\prod_{k=1}^{m-j} e^{CJ_{m-k}} \right) S_j$$

HENCE USING THE PREVIOUS BOUNDS WE GET

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varepsilon_m\| &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^m \|S_j\| \leq C\varepsilon \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \|\varepsilon_j\| \\ &\quad + C\varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \|\varepsilon_j\| \\ &\quad + C\varepsilon^3 \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} 1 \xrightarrow{<} C\varepsilon^2 \end{aligned}$$

BY A DISCRETE GRONWALL LEMMA (SEE FIRST LECTURES)

WE GET

$$\|\varepsilon_n\| \leq C\varepsilon^2 \Leftrightarrow \|y(t_m) - y_m\| \leq C\varepsilon^2$$

□

HIGHER ORDER METHODS OF THIS CLASS DO EXIST (CREATE INTERMEDIATE STAGES, PLAY WITH ORDER CONDITIONS, --)
BUT WE DON'T CONSIDER THEM HERE.

NON-AUTONOMOUS CASE

$$y'(t) = A y(t) + g(y(t)) \stackrel{\text{EXP EULER}}{\Rightarrow} y_{m+1} = y_m + \varepsilon \varphi_1(\varepsilon A) f(y_m) \quad \checkmark$$

$$y'(t) = A y(t) + g(t, y(t)) \stackrel{\text{EXP EULER}}{\Rightarrow} y_{m+1} = y_m + \varepsilon \varphi_1(\varepsilon A) f(t_m, y_m) \quad \checkmark$$

$$y'(t) = f(y(t)) \stackrel{\text{EXP RBEULER}}{\Rightarrow} y_{m+1} = y_m + \varepsilon \varphi_1(\varepsilon]_m) f(y_m) \quad \checkmark$$

$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t)) \stackrel{\text{EXP RBEULER}}{\Rightarrow} y_{m+1} = y_m + \varepsilon \varphi_1(\varepsilon]_m) f(t_m, y_m)$$

⚠ NO ! ! !

THIS IS NOT CORRECT BECAUSE A LINEARIZATION IN THE VARIABLE t IS MISSING. TO GET THE CORRECT INTEGRATOR WE FIRST REWRITE THE SYSTEM IN AUTONOMOUS FORM.

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}^{N+1} &\ni Y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1(t) \\ Y_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} t \\ y(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ &\quad \uparrow \quad \nwarrow \end{aligned}}$$

AND HENCE

$$\dot{\gamma}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_1'(t) \\ \gamma_2'(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \gamma'(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ f(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) & \endbmatrix \dot{\gamma}(t)$$

④ INITIAL CONDITION

NOW WE LINEARIZE

$$\frac{dF}{d\gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \gamma_1} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial \gamma_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix}_{\substack{\in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1} \\ \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}}} \in \mathbb{R}^{(N+1) \times (N+1)}$$

AND

$$\left. \frac{dF}{d\gamma} \right|_{\gamma_m} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \Big|_{(t_m, y_m)} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \Big|_{(t_m, y_m)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ v_m & J_m \end{bmatrix} \doteq J_m$$

FOR THE EXP. RB. EULER METHOD WE HAVE TO COMPUTE

$$\varphi_1(z J_m) = \varphi_1 \left(z \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ v_m & J_m \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

BLOCK LOWER TRIANG. *

*

*

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z \varphi_1(z J_m) v_m & \varphi_1(z J_m) \end{bmatrix}$$

$\leftarrow \varphi_1(z)$

CAN BE

PROVEN BY
USING THE

DEFINITION OF φ_1 ④ LOWER BLOCK DIAG. STRUCTURE

SO WE HAVE THE TIME MARCHING

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{m+1} &= Y_m + \tau \varphi_1(\tau) f(Y_m) \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} Y_{1,m} \\ Y_{2,m} \end{bmatrix} + \tau \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \tau \varphi_2(\tau) v_m & \varphi_1(\tau) v_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ f(Y_{1,m}, Y_{2,m}) \end{bmatrix} \\
 &\approx \begin{bmatrix} * \\ Y_{2,m} + \tau \varphi_1(\tau) f(Y_{1,m}, Y_{2,m}) + \tau^2 \varphi_2(\tau) v_m \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

GOING BACK TO THE ORIGINAL VARIABLES WE GET
THE TIME MARCHING

$$y_{m+1} = y_m + \tau \varphi_1(\tau) f(t_m, y_m) + \tau^2 \varphi_2(\tau) v_m$$

WHICH IS THE CORRECT FORM OF EXponential ROSENBRUCK EULER FOR NON-AUTONOMOUS SYSTEMS.

(STANDARD) ROSENBRUCK METHODS

ROSENBRUCK METHODS ARE IMPLICIT METHODS WHICH EXPLOIT INFORMATION FROM THE JACOBIAN OF THE RIGHT HAND SIDE. THEY ARE IMEX SCHEMES WHICH ARE USEFUL IN PRESENCE OF STIFFNESS.

IDEA : FOR $y'(t) = A y(t) + g(y(t))$

WE HAVE IMEX-EULER $\Rightarrow (I - \tau A) y_{m+1} = y_m + \tau g(y_m)$
FOR A NONLINEAR SYSTEM $y'(t) = f(y(t))$

A SECOND - ORDER A-STABLE ROSENBRUCK METHOD

IS

$$\left(I - \frac{\tau}{2} J_m \right) k_1 = \tau f(y_m)$$

$$y_{m+1} = y_m + k_1$$

WITH $J_m = \left. \frac{df}{dy} \right|_{y_m}$.