# Constrained Optimization (I): FOC Ch.18

mailto:eyeofyou@korea.ac.kr

July 15, 2020

#### Table of Contents

- Constrained Optimization
- 2 Equality Constraints
- Inequality Constraints
- Mixed Constraints
- 5 Constrained Minimization Problems
- 6 Kuhn-Tucker Formulation

#### **Terms**

## General Max(Min)imization Problem

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) \quad s.t. \quad \begin{cases} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \overline{\mathbf{b}} \\ \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \overline{\mathbf{a}} \end{cases}$$

- *f*: Object function
- $oldsymbol{G}(x) \leq \overline{b}$ : Inequality Constraints
- $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \overline{\mathbf{a}}$ : Equality Constraints

Note: From now on, we define inequality of vector as:

$$G_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq \bar{b}_i \quad \forall i$$

Note2: For minimization problem, use  $\arg\min$  instead.



# Examples

## Utility Maximization Problem

$$\arg\max_{\mathbf{x}} U(\mathbf{x})$$
 s.t.  $\mathbf{p} \bullet \mathbf{x} \leq Income \land \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$ 

ullet U: Utility function x: consumption bundle p: price vector

## Profit Maximization Problem of a Competitive Firm

$$\arg\max_{\mathbf{x}}\Pi(\mathbf{x})$$
 
$$\Pi(\mathbf{x}):=\bar{p}f(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{w}\bullet\mathbf{x},\quad \Pi\geq 0 \land \mathbf{x}\geq \mathbf{0}$$

- f: production function, p: price of final product
- x: quantity bundle of factors for production
- w: price vector of each factor

# FOC of Constrained Max(Min)imization of $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$

## Theorem (18.1)

조남운

Let f,h be  $C^1$  functions of  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is a solution of the  $\max(\min)$ imization problem

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) \quad s.t. \quad h(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{a}$$

If  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is not a critical point of h, Then  $\exists (\mathbf{x}^*, \mu^*)$  s.t.

$$L(\mathbf{x}, \mu) := f(\mathbf{x}) + \mu(\bar{a} - h(\mathbf{x})) \quad \wedge \quad DL_{\mathbf{x}, \mu} = \mathbf{0}$$

Geometrically, L (Lagrangian function) comes from the fact that if  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is a solution of  $\max(\min)$ imization problem, then both gradient vectors of f,h on  $\mathbf{x}^*$  should be (1) perpendicular to the level sets of f,h respectively and (2) the level sets of f,h have the same slope at  $\mathbf{x}^*$ 

$$\exists \mu^* \quad s.t. \quad \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mu^* \nabla h(\mathbf{x}^*) \quad \wedge \quad \nabla h(\mathbf{x}^*) \neq \mathbf{0}$$

# Constraint Qualification

## Constraint Qualification (CQ)

If  $Dh_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$  (i.e., there is critical point of constraint function), we cannot use Th 18.1. This condition is <u>constraint qualification</u> (CQ). If we have points which can not pass the constraint qualification, we should include these points among our candidates for a solution to the original constrained maximization problem, along with the critical points of L

## Nondegenerate CQ (NDCQ)

If there are m equality constraints, NDCQ is  $DH_{i\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}^*) \neq \mathbf{0}$  for all  $i=1,\cdots,m$  and this condition should be valid even in its row echelon form (REF). Generally, NDCQ implies

$$rankD\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}^*) = m$$

Note: NDCQ is a regularity condition: passing NDCQ implies that the constraint set has a well-defined (n-m) dimensional tangent hyperplane  $_{\sim}$ 

# FOCs of General Equality Constraints

We can extend Th 18.1 to general FOCs of constrained max(min)imization problem

## General Max(Min)imization problem with Equality Constraints

$$\arg\max_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) \quad s.t. \quad \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$$

Here equality constraints  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$  means:

$$H_1(\mathbf{x}) = a_1$$
  
 $\vdots$   
 $H_m(\mathbf{x}) = a_m$ 

# FOCs of General Equality Constraints

## Theorem (18.2)

Let f,  $\mathbf{H}$  be  $C^1$  functions of n variables (i.e.,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{H} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ ). Consider the max(min)imization problem with m equality constraints:

$$\arg\max_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) \quad s.t. \quad \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$$

Suppose (1)  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}^*) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ , (2)  $\mathbf{x}^*$  is a local max (or min) of f on  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$  and (3)  $rankD\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}^*) = m$  (NDCQ). Then,  $(\mathbf{x}^*, \mu^*) \in R^{n+m}$  is a critical point of the Lagrangian

$$L(\mathbf{x}, \mu) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \mu(\bar{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}))$$

I.e.,

$$DL_{\mathbf{x},\mu}(\mathbf{x}^*,\mu^*) = \mathbf{0}$$

Note:  $\mu = (\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ 

# One Inequality Constraints

## Inequality Constraints: Main Concept

Inequality constrained solution = [Equality Constrained solution] (corner solution, binding) or [Unconstrained solution] (internal solution, not binding)

## Theorem (18.3)

f,g are  $C^1$  function on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbf{x}^*$  max(min)imizes f on the inequality constraint set  $g(\mathbf{x}) \leq b$ . If  $g(\mathbf{x}^*) = b$ , and  $Dg_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}^*) \neq \mathbf{0}$ , There is a multiplier  $\lambda^*$  satisfying:

- $L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) := f(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda(\bar{b} g(\mathbf{x}))$
- $DL_{\mathbf{x},\lambda}(\mathbf{x}^*,\lambda) = \mathbf{0}$
- $\lambda^* \geq 0$
- **5**  $\bar{b} q(\mathbf{x}^*) > 0$

# General Inequality Constraints

## Theorem (18.4)

Suppose  $f, \mathbf{G}$  are  $C^1$  functions of n variables  $(\mathbf{G}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k)$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a local max(min)imizer of f on the constraint set defined by the k inequalities  $\mathbf{G} \leq \bar{\mathbf{b}}$ . If (1)  $k_0$  constraints are binding at  $\mathbf{x}^*$  and the other  $k-k_0$  constraints are not binding, and (2)  $rankD\mathbf{G_{0x}}(\mathbf{x}^*)=k_0$  ( $\mathbf{G_0}$ : binding inequality constraints) (NDCQ). Then,  $\exists \lambda^*$  satisfying:

- $L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) := f(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda(\bar{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}))$
- **2**  $DL_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*) = \mathbf{0}$
- $\lambda_i^*(\bar{b_i} G_i(\mathbf{x}^*)) = 0 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k$
- $\mathbf{5} \ \bar{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}^*) \geq \mathbf{0}$

Note:  $\lambda:=(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_k)\in\mathbb{R}^k$ . When ith constraint is not binding,  $\lambda_i=0$  (like unconstraint) and when jth constraint is binding,  $\lambda_j>0$  (like equality constraint).

#### Mixed Constraints

## Theorem (18.5-1)

Suppose  $f, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{G}$  are  $C^1$  functions of n variables. Suppose  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a local max(min)imizer of f on the constraint set defined m equalities and k inequalities:

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) \quad s.t. \quad \begin{cases} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{\mathbf{a}} \\ \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}) \le \bar{\mathbf{b}} \end{cases}$$

Assume  $k_0$  inequality constraints are binding at  $\mathbf{x}^*$  and the other  $k-k_0$  inequality constraints are not binding at  $\mathbf{x}^*$ . And suppose that NDCQ is satisfied ( $\mathbf{G_0}$ : binding inequality constraints):

$$rankD\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G_0} \\ \mathbf{H} \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{x}} (\mathbf{x}^*) = k_0 + m$$



# Mixed Constraints (2)

## Theorem (18.5-2)

Then,  $\exists \mu^* \in \mathbb{R}^m, \lambda^* \in \mathbb{R}^k$  satisfying:

- **2**  $DL_{\mathbf{x},\mu}(\mathbf{x}^*, \mu^*, \lambda^*) = \mathbf{0}$

- **5**  $\bar{b} G(x^*) \ge 0$

Note: When minimizing, the only difference is making

$$L:=f(\mathbf{x})+\mu(\bar{\mathbf{a}}-\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}))-\lambda(\bar{\mathbf{b}}-\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}))$$
 (Equivalent to Th 18.6)

#### Kuhn-Tucker Formulation

#### Kuhn-Tucker Formulation

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) \quad s.t. \quad \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}) \le \bar{\mathbf{b}} \quad \land \quad \mathbf{x} \ge 0$$

When Considering Kuhn-Tucker Lagrangian

$$\tilde{L} := f(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda(\bar{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}))$$

FOCs are

- $D\tilde{L}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*) \leq \mathbf{0}$
- $D\tilde{L}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*) \geq \mathbf{0}$
- $x_i \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*) = 0$  for all i
- $\lambda_i \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_i}(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*) = 0$  for all i

Note: Above lagrangian does not contain n inequality constraints ( $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$ )