Constrained Optimization (I): FOC Ch.18

econMath.namun+2016su@gmail.com

July 19, 2016

1 Constrained Optimization

Terms

General Max(Min)imization Problem

$$\operatorname{arg\,max}_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) \quad s.t. \quad \begin{cases} \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \overline{\mathbf{b}} \\ \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \overline{\mathbf{a}} \end{cases}$$

- f: Object function
- $G(x) \leq \overline{b}$: Inequality Constraints
- $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \overline{\mathbf{a}}$: Equality Constraints

Note: From now on, we define inequality of vector as:

$$G_i(\mathbf{x}) \leq \bar{b}_i \quad \forall i$$

Note2: For minimization problem, use arg min instead.

Examples

Utility Maximization Problem

$$\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} U(\mathbf{x}) \quad s.t. \quad \mathbf{p} \bullet \mathbf{x} \leq Income \land \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$$

- U: Utility function \mathbf{x} : consumption bundle \mathbf{p} : price vector

Profit Maximization Problem of a Competitive Firm

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{x}} \Pi(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\Pi(\mathbf{x}) := \bar{p}f(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{w} \bullet \mathbf{x}, \quad \Pi \ge 0 \land \mathbf{x} \ge 0$$

- f: production function, p: price of final product
- x: quantity bundle of factors for production
- w: price vector of each factor

2 Equality Constraints

FOC of Constrained Max(Min)imization of $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$

Theorem 1 (18.1). Let f, h be C^1 functions of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Suppose \mathbf{x}^* is a solution of the $max(min)imization\ problem$

$$\arg\max_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x})$$
 s.t. $h(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{a}$

If \mathbf{x}^* is not a critical point of h, Then $\exists (\mathbf{x}^*, \mu^*)$ s.t.

$$L(\mathbf{x}, \mu) := f(\mathbf{x}) + \mu(\bar{a} - h(\mathbf{x})) \wedge DL_{\mathbf{x}, \mu} = \mathbf{0}$$

Geometrically, L (Lagrangian function) comes from the fact that if \mathbf{x}^* is a solution of $\max(\min)$ imization problem, then both gradient vectors of f, h on \mathbf{x}^* should be (1) perpendicular to the level sets of f, h respectively and (2) the level sets of f, h have the same slope at \mathbf{x}^*

$$\exists \mu^* \quad s.t. \quad \nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mu^* \nabla h(\mathbf{x}^*) \quad \wedge \quad \nabla h(\mathbf{x}^*) \neq \mathbf{0}$$

Constraint Qualification

Constraint Qualification (CQ)

If $Dh_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$ (i.e., there is critical point of constraint function), we cannot use Th 18.1. This condition is <u>constraint qualification</u> (CQ). If we have points which can not pass the constraint qualification, we should include these points among our candidates for a solution to the original constrained maximization problem, along with the critical points of L

Nondegenerate CQ (NDCQ)

If there are m equality constraints, NDCQ is $DH_{i\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}^*) \neq \mathbf{0}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ and this means

$$rankD\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}^*) = m$$

Note: NDCQ is a regularity condition: passing NDCQ implies that the constraint set has a well-defined (n-m) dimensional tangent hyperplane at \mathbf{x}^* .

FOCs of General Equality Constraints

We can extend Th 18.1 to general FOCs of constrained max(min)imization problem

General Max(Min)imization problem with Equality Constraints

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x})$$
 s.t. $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$

Here equality constraints $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ means:

$$H_1(\mathbf{x}) = a_1$$

$$\vdots$$

$$H_m(\mathbf{x}) = a_m$$

FOCs of General Equality Constraints

Theorem 2 (18.2). Let f, \mathbf{H} be C^1 functions of n variables (i.e., $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{H} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$). Consider the max(min)imization problem with m equality constraints:

$$\arg\max_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) \quad s.t. \quad \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$$

Suppose (1) $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}^*) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$, (2) \mathbf{x}^* is a local max (or min) of f on $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ and (3) $rankD\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}^*) = m$ (NDCQ). Then, $(\mathbf{x}^*, \mu^*) \in R^{n+m}$ is a critical point of the Lagrangian

$$L(\mathbf{x}, \mu) = f(\mathbf{x}) + \mu(\bar{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}))$$

I.e.,

$$DL_{\mathbf{x},\mu}(\mathbf{x}^*,\mu^*) = \mathbf{0}$$

Note: $\mu = (\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$

3 Inequality Constraints

One Inequality Constraints

Inequality Constraints: Main Concept

Inequality constrained solution = [Equality Constrained solution] (corner solution, binding) or [Unconstrained solution] (internal solution, not binding)

Theorem 3 (18.3). f, g are C^1 function on \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbf{x}^* max(min)imizes f on the inequality constraint set $g(\mathbf{x}) \leq b$. If $g(\mathbf{x}^*) = b$, and $Dg_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}^*) \neq \mathbf{0}$, There is a multiplier λ^* satisfying:

1.
$$L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) := f(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda(\bar{b} - g(\mathbf{x}))$$

2.
$$DL_{\mathbf{x},\lambda}(\mathbf{x}^*,\lambda) = \mathbf{0}$$

3.
$$\lambda^*(\bar{b} - g(\mathbf{x}^*)) = 0$$

4.
$$\lambda^* > 0$$

5.
$$\bar{b} - q(\mathbf{x}^*) > 0$$

General Inequality Constraints

Theorem 4 (18.4). Suppose f, \mathbf{G} are C^1 functions of n variables $(\mathbf{G} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k)$. Suppose $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a local max(min)imizer of f on the constraint set defined by the k inequalities $\mathbf{G} \leq \bar{\mathbf{b}}$. If (1) k_0 constraints are binding at \mathbf{x}^* and the other $k - k_0$ constraints are not binding, and (2) $rank D\mathbf{G_{0x}}(\mathbf{x}^*) = k_0$ ($\mathbf{G_0}$: binding inequality constraints) (NDCQ). Then, $\exists \lambda^*$ satisfying:

1.
$$L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) := f(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda(\bar{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}))$$

2.
$$DL_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*) = \mathbf{0}$$

3.
$$\lambda^*(\bar{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}^*)) = \mathbf{0}$$

4.
$$\lambda \geq 0$$

5.
$$\bar{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}^*) > 0$$

Note: $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$. When *i*th constraint is not binding, $\lambda_i = 0$ (like unconstraint) and when *j*th constraint is binding, $\lambda_j > 0$ (like equality constraint).

4 Mixed Constraints

Mixed Constraints

Theorem 5 (18.5-1). Suppose $f, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{G}$ are C^1 functions of n variables. Suppose $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a local max(min)imizer of f on the constraint set defined m equalities and k inequalities:

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) \quad s.t. \quad \begin{cases} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \bar{\mathbf{a}} \\ \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \bar{\mathbf{b}} \end{cases}$$

Assume k_0 inequality constraints are binding at \mathbf{x}^* and the other $k - k_0$ inequality constraints are not binding at \mathbf{x}^* . And suppose that NDCQ is satisfied ($\mathbf{G_0}$: binding inequality constraints):

$$rankD\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G_0} \\ \mathbf{H} \end{pmatrix}_{\mathbf{x}} (\mathbf{x}^*) = k_0 + m$$

Mixed Constraints (2)

Theorem 6 (18.5-2). Then, $\exists \mu^* \in \mathbb{R}^m, \lambda^* \in \mathbb{R}^k$ satisfying:

1.
$$L(\mathbf{x}, \mu, \lambda) := f(\mathbf{x}) + \mu(\bar{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x})) + \lambda(\bar{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}))$$

2.
$$DL_{\mathbf{x},\mu}(\mathbf{x}^*, \mu^*, \lambda^*) = \mathbf{0}$$

3.
$$\lambda^*(\bar{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}^*)) = \mathbf{0}$$

4.
$$\lambda^* \geq \mathbf{0}$$

5.
$$\bar{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}^*) > \mathbf{0}$$

Note: When minimizing, the only difference is making $L := f(\mathbf{x}) + \mu(\bar{\mathbf{a}} - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x})) - \lambda(\bar{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x})$ (Equivalent to Th 18.6)

5 Constrained Minimization Problems

6 Kuhn-Tucker Formulation

Kuhn-Tucker Formulation

Kuhn-Tucker Formulation

$$\arg \max_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) \quad s.t. \quad \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}) \leq \bar{\mathbf{b}} \quad \land \quad \mathbf{x} \geq 0$$

When Considering Kuhn-Tucker Lagrangian

$$\tilde{L} := f(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda(\bar{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}))$$

FOCs are

- $D\tilde{L}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*) \leq \mathbf{0}$
- $D\tilde{L}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*) \geq \mathbf{0}$
- $x_i \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*) = 0$ for all i
- $\lambda_i \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \lambda_i}(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*) = 0$ for all i

Note: Above lagrangian does not contain n inequality constraints ($\mathbf{x} \geq 0$)