


Suppose you have trained an SVM classifier with a Gaussian kernel, and it learned the following decision boundary on the training set:




You suspect that the SVM is underfitting your dataset. Should you try increasing or decreasing C? Increasing or decreasing σ^2 ?


- It would be reasonable to try $\operatorname{decreasing} C$. It would also be reasonable to try **decreasing** σ^2 .
- It would be reasonable to try increasing C. It would also be reasonable to try increasing σ^2 .
- It would be reasonable to try $\operatorname{decreasing} C$. It would also be reasonable to try increasing σ^2 .
- It would be reasonable to try **increasing** C. It would also be reasonable to try decreasing σ^2 .


point


2. The formula for the Gaussian kernel is given by similarity $(x, l^{(1)}) = \exp(-\frac{||x-l^{(1)}||^2}{2\sigma^2})$. The figure below shows a plot of $f_1 = \mathrm{similarity}(x, l^{(1)})$ when $\sigma^2 = 1$.



Which of the following is a plot of f_1 when $\sigma^{\,2}=0.25?$







10

 $\min_{\theta} \ C \sum_{i=1}^{m} y^{(i)} \mathrm{cost}_{1}(\theta^{T} x^{(i)}) + (1-y^{(i)}) \mathrm{cost}_{0}(\theta^{T} x^{(i)}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \theta_{j}^{2}$ where the functions $\cot_0(z)$ and $\cot_1(z)$ look like this:

-1

The first term in the objective is:

training or cross validation sets.

 $cost_0(z)$

The SVM solves

3.

0.5

0

Figure 3.

2

point

1

 $C\sum_{i=1}^m y^{(i)} \mathrm{cost}_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) + (1-y^{(i)}) \mathrm{cost}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})$.

6

8

10 0

 $cost_1(z)$

-1

This first term will be zero if two of the following four conditions hold true. Which are the two conditions that would guarantee that this term equals zero? For every example with $y^{(i)} = 1$, we have that $\theta^T x^{(i)} \ge 1$.

For every example with $y^{(i)} = 0$, we have that $\theta^T x^{(i)} \le -1$. For every example with $y^{(i)} = 0$, we have that $\theta^T x^{(i)} \leq 0$.

After training your logistic regression classifier with gradient descent, you find that it has underfit the training set and does not achieve the desired performance on the

For every example with $y^{(i)} = 1$, we have that $\theta^T x^{(i)} \ge 0$.

Suppose you have a dataset with n = 10 features and m = 5000 examples.

point

point

4.

5.

Which of the following might be promising steps to take? Check all that apply. Reduce the number of examples in the training set.

Create / add new polynomial features.

Try using a neural network with a large number of hidden units. Use a different optimization method since using gradient descent to train

If you are training multi-class SVMs with the one-vs-all method, it is

Suppose you have 2D input examples (ie, $x^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^2$). The decision boundary

logistic regression might result in a local minimum.

Which of the following statements are true? Check all that apply. The maximum value of the Gaussian kernel (i.e., $sim(x, l^{(1)})$) is 1.

not possible to use a kernel.

of the SVM (with the linear kernel) is a straight line.

If the data are linearly separable, an SVM using a linear kernel will return the same parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ regardless of the chosen value of

C (i.e., the resulting value of θ does not depend on C).

Entiendo que enviar trabajo que no es mío resultará en la desaprobación permanente de este curso y la desactivación de mi cuenta de Coursera.

Obtén más información sobre el Código de Honor de Coursera Víctor Castro Serrano