For which of the following problems would anomaly detection be a suitable algorithm? point In a computer chip fabrication plant, identify microchips that might be defective. Given data from credit card transactions, classify each transaction according to type of purchase (for example: food, transportation, clothing). From a large set of hospital patient records, predict which patients have a particular disease (say, the flu). From a large set of primary care patient records, identify individuals who might have unusual health conditions. Suppose you have trained an anomaly detection system for fraud detection, and your system that flags anomalies when p(x) is less than arepsilon, and you find on the crosspoint validation set that it is missing many fradulent transactions (i.e., failing to flag them as anomalies). What should you do? Increase  $\varepsilon$ Decrease  $\varepsilon$ Suppose you are developing an anomaly detection system to catch manufacturing defects in airplane engines. You model uses point  $p(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n p(x_j; \mu_j, \sigma_j^2).$ You have two features  $x_1$  = vibration intensity, and  $x_2$  = heat generated. Both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  take on values between 0 and 1 (and are strictly greater than 0), and for most "normal" engines you expect that  $x_1 pprox x_2$ . One of the suspected anomalies is that a flawed engine may vibrate very intensely even without generating much heat (large  $x_1$ , small  $x_2$ ), even though the particular values of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  may not fall outside their typical ranges of values. What additional feature  $x_3$  should you create to capture these types of anomalies:  $x_3 = \frac{x_1}{x_2}$  $x_3 = (x_1 + x_2)^2$ Which of the following are true? Check all that apply. point When evaluating an anomaly detection algorithm on the cross validation set (containing some positive and some negative examples), classification accuracy is usually a good evaluation metric to use. In a typical anomaly detection setting, we have a large number of anomalous examples, and a relatively small number of normal/non-anomalous examples. In anomaly detection, we fit a model p(x) to a set of negative (y=0)examples, without using any positive examples we may have collected of previously observed anomalies. When developing an anomaly detection system, it is often useful to select an appropriate numerical performance metric to evaluate the effectiveness of the learning algorithm. You have a 1-D dataset  $\{x^{(1)},\ldots,x^{(m)}\}$  and you want to detect outliers in the 1 dataset. You first plot the dataset and it looks like this: point Suppose you fit the gaussian distribution parameters  $\mu_1$  and  $\sigma_1^2$  to this dataset. Which of the following values for  $\mu_1$  and  $\sigma_1^2$  might you get?  $\mu_1 = -3, \sigma_1^2 = 4$  $\bigcirc \quad \mu_1=-6, \sigma_1^2=4$  $\bigcirc \quad \mu_1=-3, \sigma_1^2=2$  $\mu_1 = -6, \sigma_1^2 = 2$ Entiendo que enviar trabajo que no es mío resultará en la desaprobación permanente de este curso y la desactivación de mi cuenta de Coursera. Obtén más información sobre el Código de Honor de Coursera

