1 point	1.	For which of the following problems would anomaly detection be a suitable algorithm?  Given data from credit card transactions, classify each transaction according
		to type of purchase (for example: food, transportation, clothing).
		From a large set of primary care patient records, identify individuals who might have unusual health conditions.
		From a large set of hospital patient records, predict which patients have a particular disease (say, the flu).
		In a computer chip fabrication plant, identify microchips that might be
		defective.
1 point	2.	Suppose you have trained an anomaly detection system for fraud detection, and your system that flags anomalies when $p(x)$ is less than $\varepsilon$ , and you find on the cross-validation set that it mis-flagging far too many good transactions as fradulent. What should you do?
		igcup Increase $arepsilon$
		lacksquare Decrease $arepsilon$
1 point	3.	Suppose you are developing an anomaly detection system to catch manufacturing defects in airplane engines. You model uses
		$p(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n p(x_j; \mu_j, \sigma_j^2).$
		You have two features $x_1$ = vibration intensity, and $x_2$ = heat generated. Both $x_1$ and $x_2$ take on values between 0 and 1 (and are strictly greater than 0), and for most "normal" engines you expect that $x_1 \approx x_2$ . One of the suspected anomalies is that a flawed engine may vibrate very intensely even without generating much heat (large $x_1$ , small $x_2$ ), even though the particular values of $x_1$ and $x_2$ may not fall outside their typical ranges of values. What additional feature $x_3$ should you create to capture these types of anomalies:
		$\bigcirc  x_3 = x_1^2 \times x_2$
		$\bigcirc  x_3 = x_1 + x_2$
		$igcup_3 = x_1  imes x_2$
	4	Which of the following are true? Check all that apply
1 point	4.	Which of the following are true? Check all that apply.   If you do not have any labeled data (or if all your data has label $y=0$ ), then is is still possible to learn $p(x)$ , but it may be harder to evaluate the system or choose a good value of $\epsilon$ .
		If you are developing an anomaly detection system, there is no way to make use of labeled data to improve your system.
		When choosing features for an anomaly detection system, it is a good idea to look for features that take on unusually large or small values for (mainly the) anomalous examples.
		If you have a large labeled training set with many positive examples and many negative examples, the anomaly detection algorithm will likely perform just as well as a supervised learning algorithm such as an SVM.
1 point	5.	You have a 1-D dataset $\{x^{(1)},\dots,x^{(m)}\}$ and you want to detect outliers in the dataset. You first plot the dataset and it looks like this:
		× × **********************************
		-10 -9 -8 -7 -6 -5 -4 -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3 4
		Suppose you fit the gaussian distribution parameters $\mu_1$ and $\sigma_1^2$ to this dataset. Which of the following values for $\mu_1$ and $\sigma_1^2$ might you get?
		of the following values for $\mu_1$ and $\sigma_1^-$ might you get? $\mu_1 = -3, \sigma_1^2 = 4$
		$\mu_1 = -6, \sigma_1^2 = 4$ $\mu_1 = -6, \sigma_1^2 = 4$
		$\mu_1=-3,\sigma_1^2=2$
		$\mu_1=-6,\sigma_1^2=2$
		$\mu_1 = -6, \sigma_1^2 = 2$



