

# Stock Reduction Analysis using catch at length data

Catarina Wor, Roberto Licandeo, Brett van Poorten, Carl Walters

February 22, 2017

## Abstract

Last thing to be written

## 1 Introduction

Modern stock assessments typically attempt to fit population dynamics models to catch at age and catch at length data, in hopes of extracting information from these data about age/size vulnerability and fishing mortality patterns (Methot and Wetzel, 2013; Hilborn and Walters, 1992). In cases where age data are lacking, models like MULTIFAN-CL attempt to obtain estimates of vulnerability and fishing mortality only from size distribution data (Fournier et al., 1998). Combined with a few assumptions regarding the structure and variability in length at age, this procedure can even be used to attempt to recover information about changes in body growth patterns if there is a strong age-class signal in the length frequency data (Fournier et al., 1998). Some assessment methods attempt to put aside the length frequency data, by converting these data to age compositions using age-from-length tables, perhaps using iterative methods to estimate proportions of fish at age for each length interval (Kimura and Chikuni, 1987). It is typical for assessment results from length-based assessment models to show substantial deviations between predicted and observed length distributions of catches, reflecting both sampling variation in the length composition data and incorrect assumptions about stability of growth and vulnerability patterns (reference).

The vulnerability process is the combination of two processes: selectivity of the fishing gear and availability of the fished population in the area being fished. Both processes can vary over time and therefore modify the resulting selectivity. Although selectivity process can often be directly measured through gear experiments, availability is generally harder to measure as it depends on the distribution of the exploited population. Changes in availability occur when changes in the spatial distribution of the fleet in relation to the fished population

25 happen. These changes can be caused by changes in fish distribution, fleet distribution or both. Fish distribution  
26 can change over time due to environmental forces or changes in population size or age structure. Changes in  
27 fleet distribution can occur due to new regulation (e.g. bycatch avoidance, closed areas, etc) or due to targeting  
28 of abundant cohorts if the fished population segregates by size or age. Time varying vulnerability is therefore,  
29 not uncommon. However it can be difficult to track such changes as it can be very hard to separate the effects  
30 of changes in fishing mortality and changes in selectivity in most currently available age and length based  
31 stock assessment methods. For this reason, many assessment methods rely on ad hoc parametric vulnerability  
32 models that may or may not include changes over time. If misspecified, such models might lead to severe bias  
33 in fishing mortality estimates, which could result in misleading management advice.

34 Here we suggest an alternative approach to assessment modeling that begins by assuming that the assess-  
35 ment model should exactly reproduce the observed catch at length distribution. This is similar to the classical  
36 assumption in virtual population analysis that reconstructed numbers at age should exactly match observed  
37 catch at age data (Hilborn and Walters, 1992). This assumption is also analogous to the suggestion by Schnute  
38 (1994) that statistical catch at age models might best be run in a “conditioned on catch” format by subtracting  
39 observed catches at age from modeled numbers at age in estimation of numbers at age over time. The sug-  
40 gested approach may have two key advantages over statistical catch at age and/or catch at length models: (1)  
41 it does not require estimation of age or size vulnerability schedules, and (2) catch at length data are commonly  
42 available for every year, even when age composition sampling has not been conducted.

43 We demonstrate the performance of this model with a simulation-evaluation analysis and apply it to real  
44 fisheries data from the Chilean jack mackerel and Pacific Hake fisheries.

## 45 **2 Methods**

46 In this section we describe the stock reduction analysis with catch at length data, describe the simulation  
47 analysis and scenarios used to test the model and provide a description of the real data used to illustrate the  
48 model applicability.

### 49 **2.1 Stock reduction analysis with catch at length data**

50 The stock reduction analysis described here starts by calculating , the proportions of individual at length  
51 for each age class (Table 1. The calculation of such proportions relies on three main assumptions regarding the  
52 distribution of length at age: (1) The mean length at age follows a von Bertalanffy growth curve (eq.T1.5), (2)

53 The length at age is normally distributed (eqs. T1.2 -T1.4) and (3) The standard deviations of the length at age  
54 distributions is given by the product of the mean length at age and a constant CV (eq.T1.6).

55 The proportions of length at age is used to convert the length based quantities into age based quantities  
56 which are used to propagate the age structured population dynamics forward (Table 2). We assume that recruit-  
57 ment follows a Beverton & Holt type recruitment curve (eq. T2.1), that harvesting occurs over a short, discrete  
58 season in each time step (year or shorter time period) and that natural survival rate is stable over time (eqs.  
59 T2.1-T2.5). Differences in the population dynamics equations in the initial year as well as incidence functions  
60 are shown in Table 3.

61 The model estimates three main parameters: the average unexploited recruitment  $R_0$ , the recruitment com-  
62 pensation ratio  $k_{rec}$  and the recruitment in the initial year  $R_{init}$ . In addition, the recruitment deviations are  
63 estimated for all cohorts observed in the model, that is, the number of recruitment deviations is equal to the  
64 number of years in the time series plus the number of age classes greater that recruitment age. The parameters  
65 of the model are estimated with two likelihood components: Index of abundance and Recruitment deviations,  
66 both are assumed to be lognormally distributed with fixed variances.

67 In order to perform a simulation evaluation of the length-SRA under various scenarios we used the same  
68 model dynamics described in Table 2 as an operating model. However we modified the model population  
69 dynamics to include time varying selectivity and a maximum annual exploitation rate (eq. T4.1) as well as  
70 observation and process errors. Selectivity in the operating model was computed with the three parameter  
71 selectivity equation described by Thompson (1994) (eq. T4.3). The observation error in the operating model  
72 included lognormal error in the index of abundance and logistic multivariate error in the catch numbers at  
73 length. Recruitment deviations were assumed to be lognormally distributed.

## 74 **2.2 Simulation evaluation scenarios**

75 We tested a total of six different scenarios in the simulation evaluation runs. We tested three different his-  
76 torical exploitation rate trajectories: contrast, one way trip and  $U$  ramp. In the contrast scenario the exploitation  
77 rate( $U$ ) starts low and increases up to  $U > U_{msy}$  and then decreases until  $U = U_{msy}$ . In the one way trip sce-  
78 nario  $U$  increased through time until  $U = 2 \cdot U_{msy}$ . In the  $U$  ramp scenario,  $U$  increases steadily until  $U = U_{msy}$   
79 and remains constant. In addition to the exploitation rate scenarios, we considered two selectivity scenarios:  
80 constant and time varying selectivity. In the constant selectivity scenario, selectivity was assumed to follow a  
81 sigmoid shape. In the time varying selectivity scenario, the selectivity curve was assumed to vary every year,  
82 progressively changing from a dome shaped curve to sigmoid and back to dome shaped.

**Table 1: Age at Length**

Variable definition	
$P_{l a}$	Matrix of proportions of length at age
$z1_{a,l}$	Normalized Z score for lower limit length bins
$z2_{a,l}$	Normalized Z score for upper limit length bins
$b1_l$	Lower limit of length bins
$b2_l$	upper limit of length bins
$\bar{L}_a$	Mean length at age
$\sigma_{L_a}$	Standard deviation of length at age
$L_{inf}$	Maximum average length
$k$	rate of approach to $L_{inf}$
$t_o$	Theoretical time in which length of individuals is zero
$cvL$	Coefficient of variation for length curve (T1.1)
Age-schedule information	
$P_{l a} = \int_{z1_{a,l}}^{z2_{a,l}} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$	(T1.2)
$z1_{a,l} = \frac{b1_l - \bar{L}_a}{\sigma_{L_a}}$	(T1.3)
$z2_{a,l} = \frac{b2_l - \bar{L}_a}{\sigma_{L_a}}$	(T1.4)
$\bar{L}_a = L_{inf} \cdot (1 - \exp^{(-k \cdot (a - t_o))})$	(T1.5)
$\sigma_{L_a} = \bar{L}_a \cdot cvL$	(T1.6)

**Table 2:** Population dynamics

---

Variable definition

$N_{a,t}$  = Numbers of fish at age and time

$SB_t$  = Spawning biomass at time t

$a_{rec}, b_{rec}$  = Beverton & Holt stock recruitment parameters

$wt$  = Normally distributed recruitment deviations

$S_a$  = Survival rate at age

$U_{a,t}$  = Exploitation rate at age and time

$U_{l,t}$  = Exploitation rate at length and time

$C_{l,t}$  = Catch at length and time

$N_{l,t}$  = Numbers at length and time

$syr$  = Initial year of data

$a_o$  = Age of recruitment

---

Age-schedule information

$$N_{a,t > syr} = \begin{cases} \frac{a_{rec} \cdot SB_{t-1}}{1 + b_{rec} \cdot SB_{t-1}} \cdot e^{wt}, & a = a_o \\ N_{a-1,t-1} \cdot S_{a-1} \cdot (1 - U_{a-1,t-1}), & 1 < a < A \\ \frac{N_{a-1,t-1} \cdot S_{a-1} \cdot (1 - U_{a-1,t-1})}{1 - S_A \cdot 1 - U_{a,t}}, & a = A \end{cases} \quad (T2.1)$$

$$U_{a,t} = \sum_a (P_{l|a} \cdot U_{l,t}) \quad (T2.2)$$

$$U_{l,t} = \frac{C_{l,t}}{N_{l,t}} \quad (T2.3)$$

$$N_{l,t} = \sum_a (P_{l|a} \cdot N_{a,t}) \quad (T2.4)$$

$$SB_t = \sum_a (fec_a \cdot w_a \cdot N_{a,t}) \quad (T2.5)$$


---

**Table 3:** Population dynamics: initial year and incidence functions

Variable definition	
$N_{a,t}$ = Numbers of fish at age and time	
$syr$ = Initial year of data	
$a_o$ = Age of recruitment	
$a_{rec}, b_{rec}$ = Beverton & Holt stock recruitment parameters	
$\phi_e$ = Unfished average spawning biomass per recruit	
Initial year	
$N_{a=a_o, t=syr} = R_{init} * e^{wt}$	(T3.1)
$a_{rec} = \frac{k_{rec}}{\phi_e}$	(T3.2)
$b_{rec} = \frac{k_{rec} - 1}{R_o \cdot \phi_e}$	(T3.3)
$\phi_e = \sum_a lx_a$	(T3.4)
$lx_a = \begin{cases} 1, & a = a_o \\ lx_{a-1} \cdot S_{a-1}, & 1 < a < A \\ \frac{lx_{a-1} \cdot S_{a-1}}{1 - S_A}, & a = A \end{cases}$	(T3.5)

**Table 4:** Population dynamics: changes in operating model

Variable definition	
$U_{l,t}$	= Exploitation rate at length and time
$sel_{l,t}$	= fishing selectivity at length and time
$U_t$	= annual maximum exploitation rate
$C_{l,t}$	= Catch at length and time
$N_{l,t}$	= Numbers at length and time
Operating model	
$U_{l,t} = U_t \cdot sel_{l,t}$	(T4.1)
$C_{l,t} = N_{l,t} \cdot U_{l,t} \cdot P_{l a}$	(T4.2)
$sel_{l,t} = \frac{1}{1-g} \cdot \left( \frac{1-g}{g} \right)^g \cdot \frac{e^{a \cdot g \cdot (b-l)}}{1 + e^{a \cdot (b-l)}}$	(T4.3)

83 All simulation runs had 30 years of data and we used 200 simulation runs for each scenario.

## 84 2.3 Real data examples

85 Two species were chosen to illustrate the application of the model to real datasets: Chilean jack mackerel  
86 and Pacific hake.

87 Have to come up with good justification for these species. Pacific hake is migratory and shows spasmodic  
88 recruitment episodes

## 89 3 Results

### 90 3.1 Simulation-evaluation

91 and simulated data

## 92 **3.2 Real data examples**

93 show figures with real

## 94 **4 Discussion**

95 Does the model work?

96 assumption regarding  $U_{msy}$  -  $\zeta$  changes with selectivity

97 Time- varying growth might render the model less useful. Suggest estimating cohort-specific growth curves

98 or implementing the density dependent functions shown in multifan-CL.



## References

- Fournier, D. A., Hampton, J., and Sibert, J. R. (1998). MULTIFAN-CL: A length-based, age-structured model for fisheries stock assessment, with application to South Pacific albacore, *Thunnus alalunga*. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 55(9):2105–2116.
- Hilborn, R. and Walters, C. J. (1992). *Quantitative Fisheries Stock Assessment: Choice, Dynamics and Uncertainty/Book and Disk*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Kimura, D. K. and Chikuni, S. (1987). Mixtures of Empirical Distributions: An Iterative Application of the Age- Length Key. *Biometrics*, 43(1):23–35.
- Methot, R. D. and Wetzel, C. R. (2013). Stock synthesis: A biological and statistical framework for fish stock assessment and fishery management. *Fisheries Research*, 142:86–99.
- Schnute, J. T. (1994). A General Framework for Developing Sequential Fisheries Models. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 51(8):1676–1688.
- Thompson, G. G. (1994). Confounding of gear selectivity and the natural mortality rate in cases where the former is a nonmonotone function of age. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 51(12):2654–2664.