

algorithms

[view on github](#)

asymptotics

- big-o
 - big-oh: $O(g)$: functions that grow no faster than g - upper bound, runs in time less than g
 - $f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$ $f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$
 - set of functions s.t. there exists $c, k > 0$, $0 \leq f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$, for all $n > k$
 - big-theta: $\Theta(g)$: functions that grow at the same rate as g
 - big-oh(g) and big-theta(g) - asymptotic tight bound
 - big-omega: $\Omega(g)$: functions that grow at least as fast as g
 - $f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n)$ for some c , large n
 - example: $f = 57n + 3$
 - $O(n^2)$ - or anything bigger
 - $\Theta(n)$
 - $\Omega(n^{0.5})$ - or anything smaller
 - input must be positive
 - we always analyze the worst case run-time
 - little-omega: $\omega(g)$ - functions that grow faster than g
 - little-o: $o(g)$ - functions that grow slower than g
 - we write $f(n) \in o(g(n))$, not $f(n) = o(g(n))$
 - they are all reflexive and transitive, but only Θ is symmetric.
 - Θ defines an equivalence relation.
- add 2 functions, growth rate will be $O(\max(g_1(n) + g_2(n)))$ $O(\max(g_1(n) + g_2(n)))$
- recurrence thm: $f(n) = O(n^c) \Rightarrow T(n) = O(n^c)$ $f(n) = O(nc) \Rightarrow T(n) = O(nc)$
 - $T(n) = a \cdot T(n/b) + f(n)$ $T(n) = a \cdot T(n/b) + f(n)$
 - $c = \log_b(a)$ $c = \log_b(a)$
- over bounded number of elements, almost everything is constant time

recursion

- moving down/right on an $n \times n$ grid - each path has length $(n-1) + (n-1)$
 - we must move right $n-1$ times
 - $ans = (n-1) + (n-1)$ choose $n-1$
 - for recursion, if a list is declared outside static recursive method, it shouldn't be static
- *generate permutations* - recursive, add char at each spot
- think hard about the base case before starting
 - look for lengths that you know
 - look for symmetry
- n-queens - one array of length n , go row by row

dynamic programming

```
//returns max value for knapsack of capacity W, weights wt, vals val
int knapSack(int W, int wt[], int val[])
int n = wt.length;
int K[n+1][W+1];
//build table K[][] in bottom up manner
for (int i = 0; i <= n; i++)
    for (int w = 0; w <= W; w++)
        if (i==0 || w==0) // base case
            K[i][w] = 0;
        else if (wt[i-1] <= w) //max of including weight, not including
            K[i][w] = max(val[i-1] + K[i-1][w-wt[i-1]], K[i-1][w]);
        else //weight too large
            K[i][w] = K[i-1][w];
return K[n][W];
```

min-cut / max-cut

hungarian

- assign n things to n targets, each with an associated cost

max-flow

- a list of pipes is given, with different flow-capacities. these pipes are connected at their endpoints. what is the maximum amount of water that you can route from a given starting point to a given ending point?

sorting

- you can assume w.l.o.g. all input numbers are unique
- sorting requires $\omega(n \log n)$ (proof w/ tree)
 - considerations: worst case, average, in practice, input distribution, stability (order coming in is preserved for things with same keys), in-situ (in-place), stack depth, having to read/write to disk (disk is much slower), parallelizable, online (more data coming in)
- adaptive - changes its behavior based on input (ex. bubble sort will stop)

comparised-based

bubble sort

- keep swapping adjacent pairs

```
for i=1:n-1
    if a[i+1]<a[i]
        swap(a,i,i+1)
```

- have a flag that tells if you did no swaps - done
- number of passes ~ how far elements are from their final positions
- $O(n^2)$

odd-even sort

- swap even pairs
- then swap odd pairs
- parallelizable

selection sort

- move largest to current position for $i=1:n-1$ for $j=1:n$ $x = \max(x, a[j])$ $j_{\max} = j$ swap(a, i, j)
- $O(n^2)$

insertion sort

- insert each item into lists for $i=2:n$ insert $a[i]$ into $a[1..(i-1)]$ shift
- $O(n^2)$, $O(nk)$ where k is max dist from final position
- best when almost sorted

heap sort

- insert everything into heap
- keep remove max
- can do in place by storing everything in array
- can use any height-balanced tree instead of heap
 - traverse tree to get order
 - ex. b-tree: multi-rotations occur infrequently, average $O(\log n)$ height
- $O(n \log n)$

smooth sort

- adaptive heapsort
- collection of heaps (each one is a factor larger than the one before)
- can add and remove in essentially constant time if data is in order

merge sort

- split into smaller arrays, sort, merge
- $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n = O(n \log n)$
- stable, parallelizable (if parallel, not in place)

quicksort

- split on pivot, put smaller elements on left, larger on right
- $O(n \log n)$ average, $O(n^2)$ worst
- $O(\log n)$ space

shell sort

- generalize insertion sort
- insertion-sort all items i apart where i starts big and then becomes small
 - sorted after last pass ($i=1$)
- $O(n^2)$, $O(n^{3/2})$, ... unsure what complexity is
 - no matter what must be more than $n \log n$
- not used much in practice

not comparison-based

counting sort

- use values as array indices in new sort
- keep count of number of times at each index
- for specialized data only, need small values
- $O(n)$ time, $O(k)$ space

bucket sort

- spread data into buckets based on value
- sort the buckets
- $O(n+k)$ time
- buckets could be trees

radix sort

- sort each digit in turn
- stable sort on each digit
 - like bucket sort d times
- $O(d*n)$ time, $O(k+n)$ space

meta sort

- like quicksort, but $O(n \log n)$ worst case
- run quicksort, mergesort in parallel
 - stop when one stops
- there is an overhead but doesn't affect big-oh analysis
- ave, worst-case = $O(n \log n)$

sorting overview

- in exceptional cases insertion-sort or radix-sort are much better than the generic quicksort / mergesort / heapsort answers.
- merge a and b sorted - start from the back

searching

- binary sort can't do better than linear if there are duplicates
- if data is too large, we need to do external sort (sort parts of it and write them back to file)
- write binary search recursively
 - use $low \leq val$ and $high \geq val$ so you get correct bounds
 - binary search with empty strings - make sure that there is an element at the end of it
- `"a".compareTo("b")` is -1
- we always round up for these
- finding minimum is $\omega(n)$
 - pf: assume an element was ignored, that element could have been minimum
 - simple algorithm - keep track of best so far
 - thm: $n/2$ comparisons are necessary because each comparison involves 2 elements
 - thm: $n-1$ comparisons are necessary - need to keep track of knowledge gained
 - every non-min element must win atleast once (move from unknown to known)
- find min and max

- naive solution has $2n-2$ comparison
- pairwise compare all elements, array of maxes, array of mins = $n/2$ comparisons
 - check min array, max array = $2 * (n/2-1)$
- $3n/2-2$ comparisons are sufficient (and necessary)
 - pf: 4 categories (not tested, only won, only lost, both)
 - not tested \rightarrow w or l = $n/2$ comparisons
 - w or l \rightarrow both = $n/2-1$
 - therefore $3n/2-2$ comparisons necessary
- find max and next-to-max
 - thm: $n-2 + \log(n)$ comparisons are sufficient
 - consider elimination tournament, pairwise compare elements repeatedly
 - 2nd best must have played best at some point - look for it in $\log(n)$
- selection - find i th largest integer
 - repeatedly finding median finds i th largest
 - finding median linear yields i th largest linear
 - $t(n) = t(n/2) + m(n)$ where $m(n)$ is time to find median
 - quickselect - partition around pivot and recur
 - average time linear, worst case $O(n^2)$
- median in linear time - quickly eliminate a constant fraction and repeat
 - partition into $n/5$ groups of 5
 - sort each group high to low
 - find median of each group
 - compute median of medians recursively
 - move groups with larger medians to right
 - move groups with smaller medians to left
 - now we know $3/10$ of elements larger than median of medians
 - $3/10$ of elements smaller than median of medians
 - partition all elements around median of medians
 - recur like quickselect
 - guarantees each partition contains at most $7n/10$ elements
 - $t(n) = t(n/5) + t(7n/10) + O(n) \rightarrow f(x+y) \geq f(x) + f(y)$
 - $t(n) \leq t(9n/10) + O(n) \rightarrow$ this had to be less than $t(n)$

computational geometry

- range queries
 - input = n points (vectors) with preprocessing
 - output - number of points within any query rectangle
 - 1d
 - range query is a pair of binary searches
 - $O(\log n)$ time per query
 - $O(n)$ space, $O(n \log n)$ preprocessing time
 - 2d
 - subtract out rectangles you don't want
 - add back things you double subtracted
 - we want rectangles anchored at origin
 - nd
 - make regions by making a grid that includes all points
 - precompute southwest counts for all regions - different ways to do this - tradeoffs between space and time
 - $O(\log n)$ time per query (after precomputing) - binary search x, y
- polygon-point intersection
 - polygon - a closed sequence of segments
 - simple polygon - has no intersections
 - thm (jordan) - a simple polygon partitions the plane into 3 regions: interior, exterior, boundary
 - convex polygon - intersection of half-planes
 - polytope - higher-dimensional polygon
 - raycasting
 - intersections = odd - interior, even - exterior
 - check for tangent lines, intersecting corners
 - $O(n)$ time per query, $O(1)$ space and time
 - convex case
 - preprocessing

- find an interior point p (pick a vertex or average the vertices)
 - partition into wedges (slicing through vertices) w.r.t. p
 - sort wedges by polar angle
 - query
 - find containing wedge (look up by angle)
 - test interior / exterior
 - check triangle - cast ray from p to point, see if it crosses edge
 - $O(\log n)$ time per query (we binary search the wedges)
 - $O(n)$ space and $O(n \log n)$ preprocessing time
- non-convex case
 - preprocessing
 - sort vertices by x
 - find vertical slices
 - partition into trapezoids (triangle is trapezoid)
 - sort slice trapezoids by y
 - query
 - find containing slice
 - find trapezoid in slice
 - report interior/ exterior
 - $O(\log n)$ time per query (two binary searches)
 - $O(n^2)$ space and $O(n^2)$ preprocessing time
- convex hull
 - input: set of n points
 - output: smallest containing convex polygon
 - simple solution 1 - jarvis's march
 - simple solution 2 - graham's scan
 - mergehull
 - partition into two sets - computer mergehull of each set
 - merge the two resulting chs
 - pick point p with least x
 - form angle-monotone chains w.r.t p
 - merge chains into angle-sorted list
 - run graham's scan to form ch
 - $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n = O(n \log n)$
 - generalizes to higher dimensions
 - parallelizes
 - quickhull (like quicksort)
 - find right and left-most points
 - partition points along this line
 - find points farthest from line - make quadrilateral
 - eliminate all internal points
 - recurse on 4 remaining regions
 - concatenate resulting chs
 - $O(n \log n)$ expected time
 - $O(n^2)$ worst-case time - ex. circle
 - generalizes to higher dim, parallelizes
 - lower bound - ch requires $\Omega(n \log n)$ comparisons
 - pf - reduce sorting to convex hull
 - consider arbitrary set of x_i to be sorted
 - raise the x_i to the parabola (x_i, x_i^2) - could be any concave function
 - compute convex hull of the parabola - all connected and line on top
 - from convex hull we can get sorted $x_i \Rightarrow$ convex hull did sorting so at least $n \log n$ comparisons
 - corollary - graham's scan is optimal
 - chan's convex hull algorithm
 - assume we know ch size $m=h$
 - partition points into n/m sets of m each
- convex polygon diameter
- voronoi diagrams - input n points - takes $O(n \log n)$ time to compute
 - problems that are solved
 - voronoi cell - the set of points closer to any given point than all others form a convex polygon
 - generalizes to other metrics (not just euclidean distance)
 - a voronoi cell is unbounded if and only if it's point is on the convex hull
 - corollary - convex hull can be computed in linear time
 - voronoi diagram has at most $2n-5$ vertices and $3n-6$ edges

- every nearest neighbor of a point defines an edge of the voronoi diagram
 - corollary - all nearest neighbors can be computed from the voronoi diagram in linear time
 - corollary - nearest neighbor search in $O(\log n)$ time using planar subdivision search (binary search in 2d)
- connection points of neighboring voronoi diagram cells form a triangulation (delanuy triangulation)
- a delanuy triangulation maximizes the minimum angle over all triangulations - no long slivery triangles
 - euclidean minimum spanning tree is a subset of the delanuy triangulation (can be computed easily)
- calculating voronoi diagram
 - discrete case / bitmap - expand breadth-first waves from all points
 - time is $O(\text{bitmap size})$
 - time is independent of #points
 - intersecting half planes
 - voronoi cell of a point is intersection of all half-planes induced by the perpendicular bisectors w.r.t all other points
 - use intersection of convex polygons to intersect half-planes ($n \log n$ time per cell)
 - can be computed in $n \log n$ total time
 1. idea divide and conquer
 - merging is complex
 2. sweep line using parabolas