

# LINKED LISTS

---

## COMPUTER SCIENCE MENTORS 61A

October 10 to October 14, 2016

---

For each of the following problems, assume linked lists are defined as follows:

```
class Link:

    empty = ()

    def __init__(self, first, rest=empty):
        assert rest is Link.empty or isinstance(rest, Link)
        self.first = first
        self.rest = rest
```

To check if a Link is empty, compare it against the class attribute `Link.empty`:

```
if link is Link.empty:
    print('This linked list is empty!')
```

## 1 What Would Python Print?

1. What will Python output? Draw box-and-pointer diagrams to help determine this.

```
>>> a = Link(1, Link(2, Link(3)))
```

**Solution:**

```
+---+---+ +---+---+ +---+---+
| 1 | --|->| 2 | --|->| 3 | / |
+---+---+ +---+---+ +---+---+
```

```
>>> a.first
```

**Solution:**

```
1
```

```
>>> a.first = 5
```

**Solution:**

```
+---+---+ +---+---+ +---+---+
| 5 | --|->| 2 | --|->| 3 | / |
+---+---+ +---+---+ +---+---+
```

```
>>> a.first
```

**Solution:** 5

```
>>> a.rest.first
```

**Solution:** 2

```
>>> a.rest.rest.rest.rest.first
```

**Solution:** Error: tuple object has no attribute rest (Link.empty has no rest)

```
>>> a.rest.rest.rest = a
```

**Solution:**

```

      +---+---+   +---+---+   +---+---+
+-->| 5 | --|->| 2 | --|->| 3 | --|--+
|   +---+---+   +---+---+   +---+---+ |
|                                     |
+-----+

```

```
>>> a.rest.rest.rest.rest.first
```

**Solution:**

```
2
```

## 2 Code Writing Questions

2. Write a function `skip`, which takes in a `Link` and returns a new `Link`.

```

def skip(lst):
    """
    >>> a = Link(1, Link(2, Link(3, Link(4))))
    >>> a
    Link(1, Link(2, Link(3, Link(4))))
    >>> b = skip(a)
    >>> b
    Link(1, Link(3))
    >>> a
    Link(1, Link(2, Link(3, Link(4)))) # Original is unchanged
    """

```

**Solution:**

```

    if lst is Link.empty or lst.rest is Link.empty:
        return lst
    return Link(lst.first, skip(lst.rest.rest))

```

3. Now write function `skip` by mutating the original list, instead of returning a new list. Do NOT call the `Link` constructor.

```
def skip(lst):  
    """  
    >>> a = Link(1, Link(2, Link(3, Link(4))))  
    >>> b = skip(a)  
    >>> b  
    Link(1, Link(3))  
    >>> a  
    Link(1, Link(3))  
    """
```

**Solution:**

```
def skip(lst): # Recursively  
    if lst is Link.empty or lst.rest is Link.empty:  
        return lst  
    lst.rest = skip(lst.rest.rest)  
    return lst  
  
def skip(lst): # Iteratively  
    if lst is Link.empty:  
        return Link.empty  
    original = lst  
    while lst.rest is not Link.empty:  
        lst.rest = lst.rest.rest  
        lst = lst.rest  
    return original
```

4. Write a function `reverse`, which takes in a `Link` and returns a new `Link` that has the order of the contents reversed.

*Hint:* You may want to use a helper function if you're solving this recursively.

```
def reverse(lst):  
    """  
    >>> a = Link(1, Link(2, Link(3)))  
    >>> b = reverse(a)  
    >>> b  
    Link(3, Link(2, Link(1)))  
    >>> a  
    Link(1, Link(2, Link(3)))  
    """
```

**Solution:** There are quite a few different methods. We have listed some here – can you think of any others?

# Recursive w/ Helper

```
def reverse(lst):  
    def helper(so_far, rest):  
        if rest is Link.empty:  
            return so_far  
        else:  
            return helper(Link(rest.first, so_far), rest.  
                           rest)  
    return helper(Link.empty, lst)
```

# Iterative

```
def reverse(lst):  
    rev = Link.empty  
    while lst is not Link.empty:  
        rev = Link(lst.first, rev)  
        lst = lst.rest  
    return rev
```

5. **(Optional)** Now write `reverse` by modifying the existing `Links`. Assume `reverse` returns the head of the new list (so the last `Link` object of the previous list).

First, draw out the box and pointer for the following:

```
>>> a = Link(1, Link(2))
>>> a.rest.rest = a
>>> a.rest = Link.empty
```

Observe how the pointers change, as well as the order in which they are modified.

**Solution:**

```

      +---+---+   +---+---+
+-->| 1 | / |   | 2 | --|--+
|   +---+---+   +---+---+ |
|                                     |
+-----+

```

Now, generalize this to reverse an entire linked list.

```
def reverse(lst):  
    """  
    >>> a = Link(1, Link(2, Link(3)))  
    >>> b = reverse(a)  
    >>> b  
    Link(3, Link(2, Link(1)))  
    >>> a  
    Link(1)  
    """
```

**Solution:** Here are two possible solutions.

```
def reverse(lst):  
    if lst == Link.empty or lst.rest == Link.empty:  
        return lst  
    else:  
        new_start = reverse(lst.rest)  
        lst.rest.rest = lst  
        lst.rest = Link.empty  
        return new_start  
  
def reverse(lst):  
    if lst.rest is not Link.empty:  
        second, last = lst.rest, lst  
        lst = reverse(second)  
        second.rest, last.rest = last, Link.empty  
    return lst
```

6. **(Optional)** Write `has_cycle` which takes in a `Link` and returns `True` if and only if there is a cycle in the `Link`.

```
def has_cycle(s):  
    """  
    >>> has_cycle(Link.empty)  
    False  
    >>> a = Link(1, Link(2, Link(3)))  
    >>> has_cycle(a)  
    False  
    >>> a.rest.rest.rest = a  
    >>> has_cycle(a)  
    True  
    """
```

**Solution:**

```
if s is Link.empty:  
    return False  
slow, fast = s, s.rest  
while fast is not Link.empty:  
    if fast.rest is Link.empty:  
        return False  
    elif fast is slow or fast.rest is slow:  
        return True  
    slow, fast = slow.rest, fast.rest.rest  
return False
```



7. **Orders of Growth and Linked Lists:** Consider the following linked list function:

```
def insert_at_beginning(lst, x):
    return Link(x, lst)
```

- (a) What does this function do?

**Solution:** It takes in an existing `lst` and returns a new list with  $x$  at the front.

- (b) Assume `lst` is initially length  $n$ . How long does it take to do one insert? Two?  $n$ ?

**Solution:** All inserts will take constant time. No matter how long the list is, it doesn't take any longer to add to the front. One insert will take one unit of time, and two will take roughly twice that. Therefore, the amount of time to do  $n$  inserts will be  $O(n)$ .

Now consider:

```
def insert_at_end(lst, x):
    if lst.rest is Link.empty:
        lst.rest = Link(x)
    else:
        insert_at_end(lst.rest, x)
```

- (c) What does this function do?

**Solution:** Inserts a value `x` at the end of linked list `lst`.

- (d) Say we want to repeatedly insert some numbers into the end of a linked list:

```
def insert_many_end(lst, n):
    for i in range(n):
        insert_at_end(lst, i)
```

- i. Assume `lst` is initially length 1. How long will it take to do the first insertion? The second? The  $n$ th?

**Solution:** Notice that the list gets longer with each insertion, so each operation will make it harder to do the next. Therefore, the first insertion will take about 1 unit of time. The second will take about twice as long, at two units of time. The  $n$ th insertion will take  $n$  units of time.

- ii. In big-O notation, What is the total runtime to do all the inserts? (total runtime of `insert_many_end`)

**Solution:** The total runtime will be the sum of all the inserts:  $1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = O(n^2)$

