# The Surprising Effectiveness of PPO in Cooperative Multi-Agent Games

### Paper

https://arxiv.org/pdf/2103.01955.pdf

#### Introduction

- Other multiagent algorithms: MADDPG, value decomposed g learning
- Revisits Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO) for multi agent settings

#### Related work

- MARL algorithms
  - Centralized learning directly learn single policy to produce joint actions of all agents
  - Decentralized learning each agent optimizes its reward independently
  - Centralized Training and Decentralized execution in between
    - Value decomposition methods

#### **Preliminaries**

- Decentralized partially observable Markov decision processes (DEC-POMDP) with shared rewards
- <S, A, O<, R, P, n, γ>
  - S: state stpace
  - o A: shared action space for each agent i
  - o O\_i = O(s;i): local observation for each agent i at global state s
  - o P(s'|s, A)
  - A= (a1... an\_
  - o R(s,A)shared reward function
  - γ: discount factor r
  - Agents use policy

#### Algorithm 1 Recurrent-MAPPO

```
Initialize \theta, the parameters for policy \pi and \phi, the parameters for critic V, using Orthogonal
initialization (Hu et al., 2020)
Set learning rate \alpha
while step \leq step_{max} do
   set data buffer D = \{\}
   for i = 1 to batch\_size do
      \tau = [] empty list
      initialize h_{0,N}^{(1)}, \dots h_{0,N}^{(n)} actor RNN states initialize h_{0,V}^{(1)}, \dots h_{0,V}^{(n)} critic RNN states
      for t = 1 to T do
          for all agents a do
             \begin{aligned} p_t^{(a)}, h_{t,\pi}^{(a)} &= \pi(o_t^{(a)}, h_{t-1,\pi}^{(a)}; \theta) \\ u_t^{(a)} &\sim p_t^{(a)} \\ v_t^{(a)}, h_{t,V}^{(a)} &= V(s_t^{(a)}, h_{t-1,V}^{(a)}; \phi) \end{aligned}
          Execute actions u_t, observe r_t, s_{t+1}, o_{t+1}
          \tau + = [s_t, o_t, h_{t,\pi}, h_{t,V}, u_t, r_t, s_{t+1}, o_{t+1}]
      end for
      Compute advantage estimate \hat{A} via GAE on \tau, using PopArt
      Compute reward-to-go \hat{R} on \tau and normalize with PopArt
      Split trajectory \tau into chunks of length L
      for l = 0, 1, ..., T//L do
          D = D \cup (\tau[l:l+T,\hat{A}[l:l+L],\hat{R}[l:l+L])
      end for
   end for
   for mini-batch k = 1, \dots, K do
      b \leftarrow \text{random mini-batch from D with all agent data}
      for each data chunk c in the mini-batch b do
          update RNN hidden states for \pi and V from first hidden state in data chunk
      end for
   end for
   Adam update \theta on L(\theta) with data b
   Adam update \phi on L(\phi) with data b
end while
```

- Mappo trains 2 separate neural networks
  - $\circ$  Actor network with parameters  $\theta$
  - Value function network (critic) with parameters φ

The actor network is trained to maximize the objective

$$L(\theta) = \big[\frac{1}{Bn} \sum_{i=1}^{B} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \min(r_{\theta,i}^{(k)} A_i^{(k)}, \operatorname{clip}(r_{\theta,i}^{(k)}, 1-\epsilon, 1+\epsilon) A_i^{(k)})\big] + \sigma \frac{1}{Bn} \sum_{i=1}^{B} \sum_{k=1}^{n} S[\pi_{\theta}(o_i^{(k)}))], \text{ where } \\ r_{\theta,i}^{(k)} = \frac{\pi_{\theta}(a_i^{(k)}|o_i^{(k)})}{\pi_{\theta_{old}}(a_i^{(k)}|o_i^{(k)})}. \ A_i^{(k)} \text{ is computed using the GAE method, } S \text{ is the policy entropy, and } \sigma \text{ is the entropy coefficient hyperparameter.}$$

The critic network is trained to minimize the loss function

$$L(\phi) = \frac{1}{Bn} \sum_{i=1}^{B} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\max[(V_{\phi}(s_i^{(k)}) - \hat{R}_i)^2, (\text{clip}(V_{\phi}(s_i^{(k)}), V_{\phi_{old}}(s_i^{(k)}) - \varepsilon, V_{\phi_{old}}(s_i^{(k)}) + \varepsilon) - \hat{R}_i)^2],$$

where  $\hat{R}_i$  is the discounted reward-to-go.

In the loss functions above, B refers to the batch size and n refers to the number of agents.

If the critic and actor networks are RNNs, then the loss functions additionally sum over time, and the networks are trained via Backpropagation Through Time (BPTT). Pseudocode for recurrent-MAPPO is shown in Alg. 1.

- •
- Trained via backpropogation through time https://d2l.ai/chapter\_recurrent-neural-networks/bptt.html
- Generalized Advantage Estimation

0

## Facors influential to ppo performance

- Value normalization
- Input representation to value function
  - "the fundamental difference between many multi-agent CTDE PG algorithms and fully decentralized PG methods is the input to the value network"
  - o Options
    - Concatenation Of local observations
    - Environment provided global state
    - Agent specific global state
    - Feature pruned agent specific global state
- PPo clipping
  - PPO clipped importance ratio and value loss
  - Larger clipping values means larger updates to policy and value function '
  - Small clipping values → agent's policy less likey to change per episdoe
- Ppo batch size
  - Shouldnt trian it for too long

# Parameter sharing

- All agents share the same networks
- Recurrent data chunk length

# Death masking

• When an agent dies these agent specific features become zero

https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.09870

Main takeaways