

Weak Constraints (1 of 3)

| A weak constraint has the form

$:\sim F. [\text{Weight} @ \text{Level}]$

where F is a conjunction of literals (atoms and their negations)

| **Weight** is an integer and **Level** is a nonnegative integer

- When F is satisfied, we say the weak constraint is violated, and we apply a penalty of **Weight** at this **Level** — the higher level it is, the higher priority we consider

Weak Constraints (2 of 3)

| Let Π be a program $\Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2$, where Π_1 is a usual ASP program and Π_2 is a set of weak constraints.

| We call I a stable model of Π if it is a stable model of Π_1 .

| For every stable model I of Π and any nonnegative integer l , the penalty of I at level L , denoted by $Penalty_{\Pi}(I, L)$, is defined as

$$\sum_{\substack{F[w@l] \in \Pi_2, \\ I \models F}} w.$$

| ex:

$\{p; q\}.$

$:\sim p. \quad [10@0]$

$:\sim q. \quad [5@1]$

Weak Constraints (3 of 3)

| For any two stable models I and I' of Π , we say I is **dominated** by I' if

- there is some level L such that $Penalty_{\Pi}(I', L) < Penalty_{\Pi}(I, L)$ and
- for all integers $K > L$, $Penalty_{\Pi}(I', K) = Penalty_{\Pi}(I, K)$

| A stable model of Π is called **optimal** if it is not dominated by another stable model of Π

In clingo

```
% test
```

```
{p;q}.
```

```
:~ p. [10@0]
```

```
:~ q. [5@1]
```

```
$ clingo test
```

```
Answer: 1
```

```
Optimization: 0 0
```

```
OPTIMUM FOUND
```

```
Models          : 1
```

```
  Optimum       : yes
```

```
Optimization    : 0 0
```

```
$ clingo test --opt-mode=enum 0  
Solving...
```

```
Answer: 1
```

```
Optimization: 0 0
```

```
Answer: 2
```

```
q
```

```
Optimization: 5 0
```

```
Answer: 3
```

```
p
```

```
Optimization: 0 10
```

```
Answer: 4
```

```
p q
```

```
Optimization: 5 10
```

```
OPTIMUM FOUND
```

```
Models          : 4
```