UNSW Business School
Information Systems and Technology Management

INFS2605 Intermediate Business Programming

Group Assignment Peer Review Form

Fill out the below form. Use a SEPARATE file for each submission that you are reviewing. **Please save as a PDF file with the following naming convention:**

Peer Review by {Reviewer Team} for {Assessed Team}.pdf

e.g.

Peer Review by HoriculturalRiverSwim for HappyPleasantCat.pdf

Q1. Identification and Triage	Your Answer
Reviewer Team's Team ID *e.g. HorticulturalRiverSwim*	JointFlyStandard
Assessed Team's Team ID *e.g. HappyPleasantCat*	EverlastingBelongGame
Was an **accurate** Project Form attached? *(Yes/No.)*	Yes

Q2. Basic Requirements for UM (User Management) *(Please provide a mark and grade, and some written feedback.)*
Mark: 90/100 **Grade:** HD **Your feedback:** This group has fulfilled the basic requirements for user management, the login credentials provided in the readme file, satisfies the required format for access codes, incorporating both first names, last names and a randomly generated unique 4 digit number. It also removed any non-alphabetical characters in the name. One recommended improvement is to show the generated access code as a pop up tab after pressing create guest, as I felt this would be easier for the admin to receive a visual indication of the access code successfully generating, as opposed to navigating to guests and viewing the details of each guest to confirm the proper code was generated.

Q3. Basic Requirements for DM (Data Management)
(Please provide a mark and grade, and some written feedback.)

Mark: 85/100
Grade: HD
Your feedback: The group has fulfilled majority of the requirements for data management, the only component we were unable to locate was each invitation identifying the admin who created it. Overall, data management pages were easy to navigate and had a very intuitive design. Some areas which could be considered for further improvements include the date and time textfields for event creation, format for these fields are not specified, and ease of access can be improved in the future by replacing the date with a calendar to select date, and start and end times can be selected using a 24 hour clock format function as well. This could reduce any confusion for people familiar with international formats.

Q4. Basic Requirements for JF (Journey and Flow)
(Please provide a mark and grade, and some written feedback.)

Mark: 95/100
Grade: HD
Your feedback: Group has gone above the basic requirements of this section by incorporating not one, but two different navigation aids, a sidebar and a page path which identifies the previous pages which led the user to their current page from the dashboard. Arrows have also been incorporated to identify which page leads to which. This allows navigation to be a lot easier, especially if the user wanted to refer back to a previous page. Great work for this component.

Q5. Additional Functionalities
(Please provide a mark and grade, and some written feedback.)

Mark: 75/100
Grade: D
Your feedback: The team has been able to incorporate both additional functionalities into their application which is very impressive. The basic requirements have been fulfilled, and the invitation and runsheet pdfs both have aesthetic designs. Our recommendations for possible improvements to these functionalities include:
- Adding in an option to delete or edit activities from the runsheet as there isn't any room for error currently, once the activity has been added to the runsheet it cannot be altered. This could be something to consider in the future to improve ease of access for users. It also seems when clicking the edit option, previous activities which had been added to the runsheet do not appear, which would be a hassle for users to start the runsheet again from scratch.
- there is also no indicator for what time format should be used on the runsheet, this could be a further improvement, if it was replaced by a clock option rather than a simple fill it in box for the user, it would be less confusing.

Q6. GitHub README.md
(Please provide a mark and grade, and some written feedback.)

Mark: 100/100
Grade: HD
Your feedback: The README file has been done to a very high standard, functionalities have been tabulated and ordered in an easily readable format. Each feature has been included and the navigation column puts into perspective where each page belongs on the application. Descriptions for each components has also been kept succinct and to the point. Fantastic effort.

Q7. Usability Summary Document
(Please provide a mark and grade, and some written feedback.)

Mark: 90/100
Grade: HD
Your feedback: The group has included all 10 of Nielsen's heuristics in their project and tabulated the information in an easily readable format. The use of dot points allows for information to delivered in a succinct manner, although in this case I felt seeing as there were quite a few points for each heuristic to cover, the information would've been communicated more effectively using full sentences rather than short dot points.

Q8. Project Management Summary Document
(Please provide a mark and grade, and some written feedback.)

Mark: 95/100
Grade: HD
Your feedback: A very in depth reflection on the overall progression and management of the project, I was able to get a solid understanding of what has occurred during this term, and the inclusion of the link to the team's wireframes also put into perspective the effort and time this group has put into this project. I would have liked to hear more about how the problems encountered in the final line of the summary were resolved, as it was a little vague. Other than that, this summary was done exceptionally well.