Evaluation I

- i) Design a zero-knowledge proof for DLP ii) Using hash-functions, show how to build a ligital
- signature scheme
 iii) How would you design collision resistant hash functions based on the hardness of DLP.
- 1) ZKP for DLP

 The prover and verifier agree upon a group \mathbb{Z}_p^* and a scherator g. The prover proves knowledge of x with the following interaction. Here $y = g^x$ mod p.
- i) Prover chooses $\Gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$, and sends $t = g^r \mod p$ ii) Verifier sends the prover a challenge $C \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ iii) Prover sends Z = (Cx + r)iv) Verifier checks if $g^2 = y^c \cdot t$, accept / repeat
- Completeness: $g^{z} g^{cx+r} = g^{cx} \cdot g^{r}$

if true. Else reject.

- = yc.t
 i.e. If the prover knows x, the verifier will always accept.
 (or repeat).
- soundness: If the prover does not know x, it has to gress a z s.t. $g^z = y^c$.t However if it can gress such a z with better than negle.)

 Probability since it knows c and r it can
 - Probability, since it knows c and r it can find x. (and thus solve DLP with better than hegal) probability).

Zero-knowledge - Assuming the hardress of DLP, the verifier cannot recover x from y. To recover x from Z = Cx + r the verifier needs to know r. However it only has gr = t available. Again the to the hardress of DLP it cannot recover a with hon-negl probability.

A signature schene based on the above ZKP. The users agree on a group \mathbb{Z}_p^* , generator g, and a Mash function $H: 20,13^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ private key x Ex Zp*

public key y = 92. r er Zp*

 $t = g^r$ c = H(t| M), where M is the message. Z= Cx+r The message is sent along with the signature (z,t)

C = H(t||M), where M is the received message. Check if $y^C \cdot t = g^Z$

3) collision Resistant Hash Functions using DLP

Gen: For a group Go of order p and a generator g. Let hER Go. S = < Go, p, g, h>

 $H: \mathbb{Z}_{p} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ $H^{s}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = g^{x_{1}} h^{x_{2}}$

Now to find $H^{1s}: \{0,13^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ we can use the Merkhe-} \mathbb{Z}_p$ Dam gard transform.

consider $L = \log_2(P) + 1$.

i) Set $B = \begin{bmatrix} L \\ J^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$. Pad n, the input string, with 0 till its length $\begin{bmatrix} J^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$; a multiple of L^{-1} .

ii) Set $Z_0 = P^{-1}$.

iii) For $i = 1 \dots B$ compute $Z_i = H(Z_{i-1}, \mathcal{X}_i)$

where &; is the ith block.
iv) Output ZB.

Collision Resistance of H:

consider colliding inputs x_1 , x_2 and x_1 , x_2 A collision with non hegligible probability implies- g^{2i} , $h^{2i} = g^{2i}$, h^{2i} mod p

since g is a generator h = gt $= (x_1 - x_1) = t(x_2 - x_2) \mod p$ $= (x_1 - x_1) = t(x_2 - x_2) \mod p - 1$

Now this is a linear congruence which can be solved

in orter to find to Mowever by finding to we get the solution to the discrete log problem h = gt. .. an adversary could find a collision with non-negligible probability and use it to solve DIP with non-negligible probability. Assuming the hardness of DLP, finding a collision in 4 must be as hard, collision Resistance of H This rowes from the Merkete Damgarl construction. For two inputs or, and no to collide, they output the Same value Zb. => I some index i s.t. Zi, ski + Zi, zi and Z; = Zi'. Let i* be the nightmost such index. Then Z_{i-1}, λ_i and Z_{i-1}, λ_i are distinct colliding inputs for H. But as we saw above the probability of collision in 4 must be negligible. =) Finding a collision in H is as hort as finding a collision in H. By the hardness of DLP, this probability must also be regligible.