# Second harmonic generation in silica whispering-gallery microresonators

May 30, 2017

#### 1 Introduction

[Literature; origin, set-up, phase-matching, advantages: low pump power, continuous wave, possible applications and impacts]

# 2 Experimental set-up and observation of second harmonic signal

[Explain the set-up and how to collect the signal; show the typical spectra and corresponding experimental conditions; explain why other nonlinear processes are absent; f1f2 comparison and conditions]

In the experiments, a silica microsphere resonator with a diameter of around 62µm is used to enhance the intensity of light and consequently, the second order nonlinear effects. A tapered fiber phase-matched at the telecommunication band couples the pump into the microsphere [1, 2]. This tapered fiber is not able to collect the second harmonic signal efficiently due to phase mismatching at around 780nm and the high radial order of the second harmonic mode [3]. To overcome this problem and collect weak second harmonic signals, another tapered fiber (signal tapered fiber) designed to achieve phase matching condition at second harmonic wavelength is fabricated together with the pump tapered fiber and incorporated into the system as is shown in fig.[set-up]. The tapered fibers can reach critical coupling simultaneously at around 1555nm and 777nm. A fiber coupler split 10% of the pump power from the laser and a polarization controller into a power meter to monitor the input power. The signals collected by the signal tapered fiber are sent into an electron-multiplying CCD (EMCCD) with gratings to extract the spectra. The EMCCD and the pump laser are placed at the same side of the microsphere considering the linear momentum conservation requirement in SHG[3, 4].

Fig.[SHPumpspectra] shows a typical SH spectrum and the corresponding pump spectrum. The SH signal of 777.75 $\pm$ 0.17nm was observed at the pump wavelength of 1555.14 $\pm$ 0.01nm (the uncertainty is limited by the resolution of the spectrum analyzer and the EMCCD), which satisfies the SHG wavelength relation with reasonable deviation. The intrinsic quality factor (Q) for the pumped mode is  $4.8 \times 10^7$ . When the signal tapered fiber is near the microsphere to couple SH signals, the effective intrinsic Q (including the intrinsic loss and loss induced by the signal fiber) decreases and a typical value is  $3.6 \times 10^7$ . The SH signal can still be detected at a pump power as low as  $879\mu$ W. Raman scattering and parametric oscillation are absent under this condition because the pump power is below the threshold determined by the mode volume and Q[5, 6]. Third harmonic (TH) generation does not occur in this mode possibly due to the phase mismatch between the mode and its third harmonic modes[3]. Third harmonic signals collected by the signal fiber are also observable when pumped at some specific modes [need figure?].

The signal tapered fiber in this set-up is critical to collect SH signals efficiently. Using the signal fiber, an SH signal with a power of 5nW (shown in fig.[f1f2]) is collected at the pump wavelength of 1561.3nm. The SH power is calibrated from the EMCCD spectra to represent the power in the signal fiber near the microsphere. In order to compare the collecting efficiency, the pump tapered fiber is connected directly to the EMCCD and the coupling between the pump fiber and the microsphere is optimized to maximize the collected SH power. The SH signal is still observable but the maximum power is only 0.36nW, which is more than 13 times weaker than the SH power collected by the signal fiber.

## 3 Thermal and Kerr effect assisted phase-matching

[Prerequisite phase matching (higher order radial modes) and its problems; P2-P1 relation and how to enhance SH signals; mechanisms for assisted phase-matching; results: dependence on detuning and power; comparison with other SH and silica sphere TH.]

The dependence of SH power on pump power can be derived from coupled mode equations[7].

$$P_2 = \frac{4|g|^2 Q_2 \eta_2 / \omega_2}{4Q_2^2 (2\omega_p / \omega_2 - 1)^2 + 1} \frac{16Q_1^2 \eta_1^2 P_1^2 / \omega_1^2}{[4Q_1^2 (\omega_p / \omega_1 - 1)^2 + 1]^2},\tag{1}$$

where the subscripts 1, 2 represent the pumped mode and SH mode respectively.  $P_i$  (i=1,2) is the power in the corresponding tapered fiber near the microsphere, g is a coupling coefficient between two modes, which will be looked into in the next section.  $Q_i$  is the total quality factor,  $\omega_i$  is the mode frequency and  $\omega_p$  is the pump

frequency.  $\eta_i = Q_i/Q_{ie}$  is the coupling factor and  $Q_{ie}$  is the external quality factor. The pump power depletion is ignored due to the weak second order nonlinear effect in silica. The enhancement of SH power by ultrahigh-Q microresonator is obvious in eq.(1).

The ultrahigh Q also presents a challenge to phase matching, which corresponds to on-resonance conditions ( $\omega_p = \omega_1, 2\omega_p = \omega_2$ ) in a microresonator[3, 4, 8, 9]. SH or TH modes with higher order radial number have been proposed or used to compensate the material and geometric dispersion [3, 4, 9]. For SHG, a silica microsphere with a diameter of 60µm gives rise to good phase-matching between a fundamental mode near 1550nm and an SH mode with radial number  $q_2 = 2$ . But due to the discrete distribution of modes, as is shown in fig. [dispersion], the mode with smallest phase mismatch can reduce the SH power by a factor of  $10^{-6}$ . This phase matching method is also extremely sensitive to the size of microresonators. A deviation of 3% in diameter can lead to a reduction of SH power by nearly 4 orders of magnitude. It is difficult to control the size of a microsphere precisely in the experiments. Therefore, other mechanisms are required to achieve phase matching. Thermal effect and Kerr effect have been utilized to compensate the dispersion in microresonator-based frequency comb generation[10, 11]. These effects can also help to achieve phase matching in SHG. Both of the two effects lead to a red shift of the mode frequency [12, 13, 14, 15] and there is no need to distinguish them because the focus is steady state continuous wave emission.

Fig.[mechanism] explains the mechanism of thermal and Kerr assisted phase matching process. When the pump power is weak and the mode red shift is negligible (cold cavity), the pumped and SH modes even with higher radial order usually cannot be on resonance with the pump light and its SH simultaneously. When the pump power is large enough, the pumped mode shifts more to the red side with increasing intra-cavity power and tuning the pump frequency from the cold cavity mode to the red side can decrease the detuning  $\omega_p - \omega_1$  and increase intra-cavity power, thus making the triangular resonance shape [14]. The SH mode also experiences a red shift from cold cavity frequency when intracavity power is large. If the speed of red shift is different for the pump light SH and the SH mode, then it is possible for them to be on resonance in the process of tuning the pump frequency. The SH power will reach a peak value at the on-resonance pump frequency but decrease rapidly after the frequency. The SH power is weak compared with the input power so that its thermal and Kerr effects are negligible in this process.

When the mode in fig.[spectra] is pumped with an input power of 4.46mW, the SH power exhibits a clear peak at the pump wavelength of 1555.14nm, which is shown in fig.[SHpowerPeak]. The red shift of the SH mode is proportional to the intra-cavity power, which is proportional to the pump light detuning from

the cold cavity frequency. It means  $\Delta\omega_2 = D_{12}\Delta\omega_p$ , where  $\Delta\omega_2$  is the SH mode frequency shift,  $\Delta\omega_p$  is the pump light detuning and  $D_{12}$  is the proportionality coefficient. Using this relation and eq.(1), the experimental parameters can be fit by the theory to be  $Q_2(2-D_{12})=8.57\times10^5$ .

The thermal and Kerr assisted phase matching makes the SH power depend strongly on the pump frequency, which also determines the intra-cavity pump power. At a certain pump frequency (the mode frequency is still on the red side of it), when the input power increases, the intra-cavity power also tends to increase, which pushes the mode frequency to the redder side. The pump frequency remains unchanged so that the detuning  $\omega_p - \omega_1$  increases to lower the coupling efficiency of the input power into the cavity. Therefore, the intra-cavity pump power increases more slowly than the increase of input pump power.

The SH power and intra-cavity power dependence on the pump frequency gives rise to a novel relation between the SH power  $(P_2)$  and the input pump power  $(P_1)$ . When  $P_1$  is not large enough for the pump SH to catch the SH mode before the pump frequency catches the mode frequency, the SH mode cannot be on resonance at any pump frequency and the SH power is small because of the ultrahigh Q. When  $P_1$  reaches a critical power so that the pump SH and the pump frequency catch the two modes simultaneously, the two denominators in eq.(1) reach the smallest value of 1, which gives rise to an efficient SHG. When  $P_1$ further increases from the critical power, the intra-cavity power increases slowly so that the SH-on-resonance frequency also moves slowly, therefore, when the pump SH is on resonance with the SH mode, the intra-cavity pump power and the corresponding SH power does not change as rapidly as the input pump power. inset a calculated figure(intra-cavity p-input p)?]. We also measured the SH power versus input pump power with the mode in fig. [spectra]. At each input pump power, the pump wavelength range from the cold cavity mode (1555.02nm) to the pump on-resonance wavelength was searched for the maximum SH output power. And the maximum SH power against input pump power is shown in fig. [SHpower]. The critical input power is fitted to be 832.5 µW and the corresponding SH power is fitted to be 381pW. Eq.(1) and  $\omega_1 = \omega_{10} - B_{11}|\alpha_1|^2$  [14],  $\omega_2 = \omega_{20} - B_{12}|\alpha_1|^2$ are used to fit the experimental data, where  $\omega_{i0}$  is the mode frequency in the cold cavity,  $|\alpha_1|^2$  is normalized to the intra-cavity power of the pumped mode and  $B_{1i}$ is the coefficient of intra-cavity power induced frequency shift. The parameters related to the pumped mode can be extracted from the measurements.

In the THG experiments in silica microresonators[3, 9], the phase mismatch curve is flat for high order radial modes, and the shift speed of the pump TH frequency and the TH frequency mode may be similar. Consequently, the high order radial modes induced phase matching may play a major role so that the  $P_1^3$  relation can be observed. Microresonator SHG in other materials usually use dif-

ferent phase matching strategy to achieve broad band or tunable phase matching, e.g. quasi-phase-matching [cite more later]...The quality factor is also moderate so that the thermal effect and Kerr effect do not manifest themselves in the SHG process.

### 4 Origin of second order nonlinearity

[Theory for surface & bulk 2nd nonlinearity; relationship with polarization]

Silica is a centrosymmetric material in which the electric dipole induced second order nonlinearity is forbidden (see [16] for reference). Surface symmetry broken and bulk multipole become the major sources of second order nonlinearity (see [17] for review).

Using the Helmholtz equation and the relation between electric field and nonlinear polarization, the coupling coefficient induced by surface nonlinear response is

$$g_s = 2i \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2 n^2} \frac{\int_{\text{surface}} \mathbf{E}_{02}^* : \mathbf{\chi}_s^{(2)} : \mathbf{E}_{01} \mathbf{E}_{01} d\mathbf{S}}{\int |\mathbf{E}_{02}|^2 d\mathbf{V}}$$
(2)

where n is the refractive index,  $\chi_s^{(2)}$  is the surface nonlinear susceptibility, and  $\mathbf{E}_{0i}(\mathbf{x})$  is the normalized electric field so that  $\alpha_i \mathbf{E}_{0i}(\mathbf{x})$  represents the complete electric field.

The bulk multipole nonlinear polarization can be written as  $\mathbf{P}_{\gamma} = \gamma \nabla (\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E})$  and  $\mathbf{P}_{\delta} = \delta(\mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{E}$ , where  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  are the nonlinear coefficients. The first term represents a longitudinal wave and can couple with the SH only at the surface. Therefore it can be incorporated into an effective surface susceptibility  $\chi_s^{\prime(2)} = \chi_s^{(2)} + \chi_{s,\gamma}^{(2)}[17]$ . The coupling coefficient induced by the second term can be written as

$$g_b = 2i \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2 n^2} \frac{\int \delta \mathbf{E}_{02}^* \cdot (\mathbf{E}_{01} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{E}_{01} d\mathbf{V}}{\int |\mathbf{E}_{02}|^2 d\mathbf{V}}$$
(3)

 $\chi_{\perp\perp\perp}, \chi_{\perp\parallel\parallel}$  and  $\chi_{\parallel\parallel\perp}$  are the three non-zero components in the surface second order susceptibility.

[More eg of SH; sum frequency]

### References

[1] J Cheung Knight, G Cheung, F Jacques, and TA Birks. Phase-matched excitation of whispering-gallery-mode resonances by a fiber taper. *Optics letters*, 22(15):1129–1131, 1997.

- [2] Ming Cai, Oskar Painter, and Kerry J Vahala. Observation of critical coupling in a fiber taper to a silica-microsphere whispering-gallery mode system. *Physical review letters*, 85(1):74, 2000.
- [3] Tal Carmon and Kerry J Vahala. Visible continuous emission from a silica microphotonic device by third-harmonic generation. *Nature Physics*, 3(6):430–435, 2007.
- [4] Gregory Kozyreff, JL Dominguez Juarez, and Jordi Martorell. Whispering-gallery-mode phase matching for surface second-order nonlinear optical processes in spherical microresonators. *Physical Review A*, 77(4):043817, 2008.
- [5] SM Spillane, TJ Kippenberg, and KJ Vahala. Ultralow-threshold raman laser using a spherical dielectric microcavity. *Nature*, 415(6872):621–623, 2002.
- [6] TJ Kippenberg, SM Spillane, and KJ Vahala. Kerr-nonlinearity optical parametric oscillation in an ultrahigh-q toroid microcavity. *Physical review letters*, 93(8):083904, 2004.
- [7] Hermann A Haus and Weiping Huang. Coupled-mode theory. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 79(10):1505–1518, 1991.
- [8] Yong Xu, Ming Han, Anbo Wang, Zhiwen Liu, and James R Heflin. Second order parametric processes in nonlinear silica microspheres. *Physical review letters*, 100(16):163905, 2008.
- [9] D Farnesi, A Barucci, GC Righini, S Berneschi, S Soria, and G Nunzi Conti. Optical frequency conversion in silica-whispering-gallery-mode microspherical resonators. *Physical review letters*, 112(9):093901, 2014.
- [10] Pascal DelHaye, T Herr, E Gavartin, ML Gorodetsky, Ronald Holzwarth, and Tobias J Kippenberg. Octave spanning tunable frequency comb from a microresonator. *Physical Review Letters*, 107(6):063901, 2011.
- [11] T Herr, V Brasch, JD Jost, CY Wang, NM Kondratiev, ML Gorodetsky, and TJ Kippenberg. Temporal solitons in optical microresonators. *Nature Photonics*, 8(2):145–152, 2014.
- [12] VS Ilchenko and ML Gorodetskii. Thermal nonlinear effects in optical whispering gallery microresonators. *Laser Phys*, 2(6):1004–1009, 1992.
- [13] Fea Treussart, VS Ilchenko, J-F Roch, J Hare, V Lefevre-Seguin, J-M Raimond, and S Haroche. Evidence for intrinsic kerr bistability of high-q microsphere resonators in superfluid helium. The European Physical Journal D-Atomic, Molecular, Optical and Plasma Physics, 1(3):235–238, 1998.

- [14] Tal Carmon, Lan Yang, and Kerry J Vahala. Dynamical thermal behavior and thermal self-stability of microcavities. *Optics Express*, 12(20):4742–4750, 2004.
- [15] Alexey E Fomin, Michael L Gorodetsky, Ivan S Grudinin, and Vladimir S Ilchenko. Nonstationary nonlinear effects in optical microspheres. JOSA~B, 22(2):459-465, 2005.
- [16] Robert W Boyd. Nonlinear optics. In *Handbook of Laser Technology and Applications (Three-Volume Set)*, pages 161–183. Taylor & Francis, 2003.
- [17] Tony F Heinz. Second-order nonlinear optical effects at surfaces and interfaces. *Nonlinear surface electromagnetic phenomena*, 29, 1991.