

DEFINITION OF THH

CATHERINE LI

ABSTRACT. This document contains notes for the “Definition of THH” talk at MIT Talbot 2024. It discusses a few basic properties of THH for \mathbb{E}_∞ ring spectra as well as a few example computations.

CONTENTS

| | |
|-------------------------|---|
| 1. Definition | 1 |
| 2. Base change formulas | 3 |
| 3. Example computations | 4 |
| References | 7 |

1. DEFINITION

In the following document, we consider only \mathbb{E}_∞ ring spectra. Hence we will use the following definition of THH:

Definition 1.1. Let $R \rightarrow A$ be a map of \mathbb{E}_∞ ring spectra. Then we define

$$\mathrm{THH}(A/R) = A \otimes_{A \otimes_R A} A.$$

We will denote the THH of A relative to the sphere spectrum \mathbb{S} by $\mathrm{THH}(A)$.

When R is an ordinary (discrete) commutative ring, we will use the notation $\mathrm{THH}(R) := \mathrm{THH}(HR)$, or more generally denote HR by R .

Additionally, for a map of discrete commutative rings $R \rightarrow A$, we will use the notation $\mathrm{HH}(A/R) := \mathrm{THH}(HA/HR)$, or $\mathrm{HH}(A) := \mathrm{THH}(HA/H\mathbb{Z})$. In this case, we have that

$$\mathrm{HH}(A/R) = A \otimes_{A \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} A}^{\mathbb{L}} A,$$

i.e. what is classically known as Shukla homology.

Furthermore, tensor (smash) products of spectra will be over \mathbb{S} unless otherwise noted. Also, \mathbb{S} will denote the ∞ -category of spaces, and Sp will denote the ∞ -category of spectra.

Lastly, everything is always assumed to be \mathbb{E}_∞ or commutative in this document unless stated otherwise (in case I forget these adjectives somewhere).

1.1. Universal property. An alternative definition of THH for \mathbb{E}_∞ ring spectra is by universal property: given an \mathbb{E}_∞ ring A , $\mathrm{THH}(A)$ is the “free \mathbb{E}_∞ A -algebra with S^1 action”.

First recall that $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})$ is tensored over spaces; i.e. there is a functor $(-)^{\otimes(-)} : \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp}) \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})$ which preserves colimits separately in each variable, and if $A \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})$, then $A^{\otimes(-)}$ is left adjoint to $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(A, -)$. We have that if $X \in \mathcal{S}$, then

$$A^{\otimes X} \simeq \mathrm{colim}_X A$$

where the RHS is the colimit of the A -valued constant diagram

$$X \rightarrow \mathrm{pt} \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp}).$$

If we are viewing A as an \mathbb{E}_∞ R -algebra and considering the tensor of \mathbb{E}_∞ R -algebras over spaces, we will use the notation $A^{\otimes_R X}$. (Note: in many sources, $\mathrm{colim}_X A$ is denoted by $A \otimes X$ or $X \otimes A$, but the notation above is preferred by some, since e.g. it can be confused with $A \otimes \Sigma_+^\infty X$.)

Then we have the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1 (McClure-Schwänzl-Vogt [MSV97]). Let A be an \mathbb{E}_∞ ring. Then we have that

$$\mathrm{THH}(A) \simeq A^{\otimes S^1}.$$

In particular, the unit map $i : A \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(A)$ (induced by $\mathrm{pt} \rightarrow S^1$) is initial among maps from A to \mathbb{E}_∞ rings with S^1 action; i.e. it induces the following equivalence for every \mathbb{E}_∞ ring B with S^1 action:

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}^{S^1}(\mathrm{THH}(A), B) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(A, B).$$

Proof. S^1 is a pushout in \mathcal{S} , and we simply apply $A^{\otimes(-)}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} * \amalg * & \longrightarrow & * \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & S^1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{A^{\otimes(-)}} \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A & \longrightarrow & A \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & A^{\otimes S^1} \end{array}.$$

Here we used the fact that $A \otimes_S A$ is the coproduct in $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})$ (meaning that this doesn't work for associative ring spectra, for example). Simultaneously, we have the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A & \longrightarrow & A \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & A \otimes_{A \otimes A} A \end{array}$$

and so we have that $\mathrm{THH}(A) = A \otimes_{A \otimes A} A \simeq A^{\otimes S^1}$ as desired. The universal property follows from the equivalence

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}^{S^1}(\mathrm{colim}_{S^1} A, B) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{S}}^{S^1}(S^1, \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(A, B)) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathbb{E}_\infty}(A, B).$$

□

2. BASE CHANGE FORMULAS

In this section, we describe a few basic properties of THH. Throughout this document, we will also use the fact that THH is a symmetric monoidal functor $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})$. In general, proofs of these facts can be found in [KN18] or in the Homotopy Theory Münster Youtube lectures, though the proofs we present may be a bit different.

Theorem 2.1. Let $R \rightarrow R'$ and $R \rightarrow A$ be maps of \mathbb{E}_∞ rings. Then

$$\mathrm{THH}(A/R) \otimes_R R' \simeq \mathrm{THH}(A \otimes_R R'/R')$$

Proof. Let B be an \mathbb{E}_∞ R' -algebra with S^1 action. Then we show that the *LHS* has the universal property of the *RHS*:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Map}_R^{S^1}(\mathrm{THH}(A/R) \otimes_R R', B) &\simeq \mathrm{Map}_R^{S^1}(\mathrm{THH}(A/R), B) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Map}_R(A, B) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Map}_{R'}(A \otimes_R R', B) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Map}_{R'}^{S^1}(\mathrm{THH}(A \otimes_R R'/R'), B) \end{aligned}$$

as desired, where we've applied the extension/restriction of scalars adjunction given by $-\otimes_R R'$ a couple times to obtain the above equivalences. \square

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that we have a commutative diagram of \mathbb{E}_∞ ring maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \longleftarrow & C & \longrightarrow & B \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ R & \longleftarrow & T & \longrightarrow & S. \end{array}$$

Then we have that

$$\mathrm{THH}(A \otimes_C B/R \otimes_T S) \simeq \mathrm{THH}(A/R) \otimes_{\mathrm{THH}(C/T)} \mathrm{THH}(B/S).$$

We will not prove this formula explicitly; we will instead prove the following simpler case, since the style of proof used would be the same (i.e. by manipulations of universal properties).

Corollary 2.1. Let $R \rightarrow A$ be a map of \mathbb{E}_∞ rings. Then

$$\mathrm{THH}(A/R) \simeq \mathrm{THH}(A) \otimes_{\mathrm{THH}(R)} R.$$

Proof. We want to show that the RHS satisfies the universal property of the LHS. Given an \mathbb{E}_∞ R -algebra B with S^1 -action, we will first show that

$$\mathrm{Map}_R^{S^1}(\mathrm{THH}(A) \otimes_{\mathrm{THH}(R)} R, B) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{THH}(R)}^{S^1}(\mathrm{THH}(A), B).$$

In particular, there exists an \mathbb{E}_∞ “augmentation” map $f : \mathrm{THH}(R) \rightarrow R$ given by

$$f : \mathrm{THH}(R) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_{S^1} R \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_{\mathrm{pt}} R \simeq R.$$

This is a retraction onto the 0th layer, i.e. precomposed with the unit $i : R \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(R)$, we obtain the identity on R

$$\mathrm{id}_R : R \xrightarrow{i} \mathrm{THH}(R) \xrightarrow{f} R,$$

and furthermore f is S^1 -equivariant with respect to the trivial action on R .

Then using the restriction/extension of scalars adjunction along this map

$$- \otimes_{\mathrm{THH}(R)} R : \mathrm{CAlg}_{\mathrm{THH}(R)}^{S^1} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{CAlg}_R^{S^1} : f^*.$$

we directly obtain the above equivalence (where we were a bit imprecise by writing B instead of f^*B).

Then we have the below equivalence between the triangles of S^1 -equivariant maps and triangles of nonequivariant maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{THH}(R) & \dashrightarrow & \mathrm{THH}(A) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & B \end{array} \quad \rightleftarrows \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R & \longrightarrow & A \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & B \end{array}$$

where the dashed maps are uniquely determined by the universal properties of $\mathrm{THH}(R)$ and $\mathrm{THH}(A)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \longrightarrow & A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{THH}(R) & \dashrightarrow & \mathrm{THH}(A) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & B \end{array}$$

i.e. we have that

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{THH}(R)}^{S^1}(\mathrm{THH}(A), B) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_R(A, B)$$

as desired. \square

3. EXAMPLE COMPUTATIONS

In this section, we discuss a few example computations.

3.1. HH of polynomial algebras. Let R be a discrete commutative ring. Let us now try to compute $\mathrm{HH}_*(R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/R)$. Since THH commutes with \otimes and $R[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cong R[x]^{\otimes n}$, it suffices to compute $\mathrm{HH}_*(R[x]/R)$. We claim that

$$\mathrm{HH}_*(R[x]/R) \cong R[x] \otimes \Lambda(dx)$$

i.e. it's just $R[x]$ concentrated in degrees 0 and 1. To begin, let's consider

$$\mathrm{HH}(R[x]/R) = R[x] \otimes_{R[x] \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} R[x]}^{\mathbb{L}} R[x] \cong R[x] \otimes_{R[a,b]}^{\mathbb{L}} R[x]$$

where the $R[a, b]$ action on $R[x]$ is by $a, b \mapsto x$ and multiplication inside $R[x]$. Hence we seek to determine $\mathrm{Tor}_*^{R[a, b]}(R[x], R[x])$. We can resolve $R[x]$ as an $R[a, b]$ -module as follows:

$$0 \rightarrow R[a, b] \xrightarrow{\cdot(a-b)} R[a, b] \rightarrow R[a, b]/(a-b) \cong R[x] \rightarrow 0$$

and thus we obtain the following complex

$$0 \rightarrow R[x] \otimes_{R[a, b]} R[a, b] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \otimes \cdot(a-b)} R[x] \otimes_{R[a, b]} R[a, b] \rightarrow 0$$

but of course $\cdot(a-b)$ kills $R[x]$ as an $R[a, b]$ module, and so the above complex simply becomes

$$0 \rightarrow R[x] \xrightarrow{0} R[x] \rightarrow 0$$

as desired.

3.2. THH(MU). There exists a formula for THH of Thom spectra which allows us to directly compute $\mathrm{THH}(MU)$. This formula can be found in [Blu08], [BCS10], and [Sch11]. Another more recent interpretation can be found in [RSV22]. We will list a few variants of this formula, which mostly differ by degrees of multiplicativity; we will not give any proofs of them.

Theorem 3.1. Let R be an \mathbb{E}_∞ ring spectrum and X be a connected \mathbb{E}_1 -space. Suppose we have a map $f : X \rightarrow BGL_1(R)$; then we have the following equivalence of R -modules

$$\mathrm{THH}(Mf/R) \simeq M(LBX \rightarrow BGL_1(R))$$

where the map on the RHS is determined by f . If f is an \mathbb{E}_2 map, the equivalence is as \mathbb{E}_1 R -algebras.

The next statement is useful for other classical computations, e.g. for THH of \mathbb{F}_p , \mathbb{Z}/p^n , and \mathbb{Z} , which can be shown to arise as Thom spectra of certain \mathbb{E}_2 -maps.

Theorem 3.2. Let R be an \mathbb{E}_∞ ring spectrum and X be a connected \mathbb{E}_2 -space. Let $f : X \rightarrow BGL_1(R)$ be an \mathbb{E}_2 map, and assume that the \mathbb{E}_2 R -algebra structure on Mf extends to an \mathbb{E}_3 R -algebra structure. Then we have the following equivalence of \mathbb{E}_1 R -algebras

$$\mathrm{THH}(Mf/R) \simeq Mf \otimes \mathbb{S}[BX].$$

This last statement is the same as the previous, but where everything is \mathbb{E}_∞ :

Theorem 3.3. Let R be an \mathbb{E}_∞ ring spectrum, and let G be an \mathbb{E}_∞ -group. Let $f : G \rightarrow BGL_1(R)$ be an \mathbb{E}_∞ map. Then there is an equivalence of \mathbb{E}_∞ R -algebras

$$\mathrm{THH}(Mf/R) \simeq Mf \otimes \mathbb{S}[BG].$$

To compute $\mathrm{THH}(MU)$, we can simply plug MU into this formula to obtain the result of the computation, as

$$MU \simeq \mathrm{colim}(BU \rightarrow BGL_1(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp})$$

and so we obtain the equivalence

$$\mathrm{THH}(MU) \simeq MU \otimes \mathbb{S}[BBU].$$

In particular, one can compute

$$\mathrm{THH}_*(MU) = MU_* \otimes \Lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots)$$

where generators are in odd degrees.

3.3. $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[t])$. Let $\mathbb{S}[t]$ and $\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{N}]$ denote $\Sigma_+^\infty \mathbb{N}$. For intuition purposes, let's begin by doing a simpler calculation, $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{Z}])$.

In general, for G an \mathbb{E}_1 -space, we have an equivalence of spectra

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[G]) \simeq \Sigma_+^\infty LBG,$$

where the circle action occurs by rotating the loops. One can see this equivalence via Theorem 3.1; first, the group ring $\mathbb{S}[G]$ arises as the Thom spectrum of the trivial map $G \rightarrow BGL_1(\mathbb{S})$:

$$\mathrm{colim}(G \rightarrow BGL_1(\mathbb{S}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_G(\mathbb{S}) \simeq \Sigma_+^\infty G = \mathbb{S}[G].$$

Then it turns out that the induced map in the formula $LBG \rightarrow BGL_1(\mathbb{S})$ is also trivial, so $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[G]) \simeq \Sigma_+^\infty LBG$ as desired.

Thus, we have that

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{Z}]) \simeq \Sigma_+^\infty LS^1 \simeq \Sigma_+^\infty \mathrm{Map}_g(S^1, S^1) \simeq \Sigma_+^\infty (S^1 \times \mathbb{Z})$$

since $\mathrm{Map}_g(S^1, S^1)$ is determined by the choice of basepoint and the number of times you loop around (or in general for $\mathbb{E}_1 X$, we have $BX \times X \simeq LBX$).

Pictorially, we have a circle for each integer, and S^1 acts by rotating the n th loop at n -times speed. So we might expect $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{N}])$ to look like Σ_+^∞ applied something below:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \dots & -2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & \dots \\ \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{Z}]) : & \dots & \bigcirc & \bigcirc & \bigcirc & \bigcirc & \bigcirc & \dots \\ \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{N}]) : & \dots & & & \bullet & \bigcirc & \bigcirc & \dots \end{array}$$

and indeed, the actual answer is as follows:

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[t]) \simeq \mathbb{S} \oplus \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \Sigma_+^\infty (S^1/C_n)$$

i.e. a wedge of a point and a bunch of circles, where the quotient $S^1/C_n \simeq S^1$ is meant to indicate the circle action (again, rotating the n th circle at n -times speed).

For a sketch of the actual calculation, we first consider

$$\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{N}]) \simeq \mathbb{S}[\mathbb{N}] \otimes_{\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}]} \mathbb{S}[\mathbb{N}] \simeq \mathbb{S}[\mathbb{N} \otimes_{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{N}]$$

and then directly observe the geometric realization which defines the relative tensor product $\mathbb{N} \otimes_{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{N}$:

$$|\cdots \rightrightarrows \mathbb{N} \times (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}) \times \mathbb{N} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}|.$$

For ease of reading, let us denote this complex by

$$X = \cdots \rightrightarrows X_1 \rightrightarrows X_0.$$

Since the arrows preserve sums, we can decompose this geometric realization into a disjoint union indexed by \mathbb{N} , i.e. a disjoint union

$$\coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\cdots \rightrightarrows X_1^{(n)} \rightrightarrows X_0^{(n)}|$$

where $X_m^{(n)}$ consists of the m -simplices which sum to n .

For example, for $n = 0$, there is only one way to sum to 0 in all degrees, and so we obtain

$$|\cdots \rightrightarrows X_1^{(0)} \rightrightarrows X_0^{(0)}| = |\cdots \rightarrow * \rightarrow *| = *.$$

Meanwhile for $n = 1$, we have a picture that looks like

$$|\cdots \rightrightarrows \quad **** \rightrightarrows **|$$

where in degree 0, we have simplices $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$ in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, and then the four simplices in \mathbb{N}^4 , two of which are nondegenerate, and so on. One can find that this produces S^1 , where we have only four nondegenerate simplices in total (2 points and 2 lines). The rest similarly produce circles (where n should also be the degree after which simplices are entirely degenerate).

One can verify the circle action via the map $\mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{N}]) \rightarrow \mathrm{THH}(\mathbb{S}[\mathbb{Z}])$.

REFERENCES

- [BCS10] Andrew J Blumberg, Ralph L Cohen, and Christian Schlichtkrull. Topological Hochschild homology of Thom spectra and the free loop space. *Geometry & Topology*, 14(2):1165–1242, 2010.
- [Blu08] Andrew J. Blumberg. THH of Thom spectra that are \mathbb{E}_∞ ring spectra, 2008.
- [KN18] Achim Krause and Thomas Nikolaus. Lectures on topological Hochschild homology and cyclotomic spectra. *preprint*, 2018.
- [MSV97] J. McClure, R. Schwänzl, and R. Vogt. $\mathrm{THH}(R) \cong R \otimes S^1$ for \mathbb{E}_∞ ring spectra. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 121(2):137–159, 1997.
- [RSV22] Nima Rasekh, Bruno Stonek, and Gabriel Valenzuela. Thom spectra, higher THH and tensors in ∞ categories. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 22(4):1841–1903, October 2022.
- [Sch11] Christian Schlichtkrull. Higher topological Hochschild homology of Thom spectra. *Journal of Topology*, 4(1):161–189, 2011.