

The Real Number System

- 1.1 Introduction
- 1.2 Ordered Field Axioms
- 1.3 Completeness Axiom
- 1.4 Mathematical Induction
- 1.5 Inverse Functions and Images
- 1.6 Countable and Uncountable Sets

2 Sequences in \mathbb{R}

- 2.1 Limits of Sequences
- 2.2 Limit Theorems
- 2.3 Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem
- 2.4 Cauchy Sequences
- 2.5 Limits Supremum and Infimum

3 Functions on \mathbb{R}

3.1 Two-Sided Limits

3.2 One-Sided Limits and Limits at Infinity

Definition 3.12 Converge from left & right
Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and f be a real function.

1. $f(x)$ is said to converge to L as $x \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if there exists a $c > 0$ such that $(c, \infty) \subset \text{Dom}(f)$ and given $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x > M$ implies $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$, in which case we shall write

in this case we call L the *left-hand limit* of f at a , and denote it by

$$f(a-) := L = \lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} f(x)$$

Theorem 3.14

Let f be a real function. Then the limit

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$$

exists and equals L if and only if

$$L = \lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a^-} f(x)$$

Definition 3.15 Convergence

Let $a, L \in \mathbb{R}$ and let f be a real function.

1. $f(x)$ is said to converge to L as $x \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if there exists a $c > 0$ such that $(c, \infty) \subset \text{Dom}(f)$ and given $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x > M$ implies $|f(x) - L| < \epsilon$, in which case we shall write

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = L \quad \text{or} \quad f(x) \rightarrow L \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

Similarly, $f(x)$ is said to converge to $-\infty$ as $x \rightarrow a$ if and only if there is an open interval I containing a such that $I \setminus \{a\} \subset \text{Dom}(f)$ and given $M \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $0 \leq |x - a| < \delta$ implies $f(x) < M$, in which case we shall write

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = \infty \quad \text{or} \quad f(x) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } x \rightarrow a$$

Similarly, $f(x)$ is said to converge to $-\infty$ as $x \rightarrow a$ if and only if there is an open interval I containing a such that $I \setminus \{a\} \subset \text{Dom}(f)$ and given $M \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $0 \leq |x - a| < \delta$ implies $f(x) > M$, in which case we shall write

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = -\infty \quad \text{or} \quad f(x) \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } x \rightarrow a$$

3. If g is continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on (a, b) , and if $f'(x) = g'(x)$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then $f - g$ is constant on $[a, b]$.

Theorem 4.18

Suppose that $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$. If f is continuous on $[a, b]$, differentiable on (a, b) , and if $f(a) = f(b)$, then $f'(c) = 0$ for some $c \in (a, b)$.

Remark 4.13

The continuity hypothesis on Rolle's Theorem cannot be relaxed at even one point in $[a, b]$.

Remark 4.14

The differentiability hypothesis on Rolle's Theorem cannot be relaxed at even one point in $[a, b]$.

Theorem 4.15

Suppose that $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$.

1. Generalised Mean Value Theorem: If f, g are continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on (a, b) , then there is a $c \in (a, b)$ such that

$$f'(c)(b-a) = f(b)-f(a) = f(c)(g(b)-g(a))$$

2. Mean Value Theorem: If f is continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable on (a, b) , then there is a $c \in (a, b)$ such that

$$f'(c)(b-a) = f'(c)(b-A)$$

Definition 4.16 Increasing, Monotone, Decreasing
Let E be a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} and $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

1. f is said to be increasing (respectively, strictly increasing) on E if and only if $x_1, x_2 \in E$ and $x_1 < x_2 \implies f(x_1) \leq f(x_2)$ [respectively, $f(x_1) < f(x_2)$].
2. f is said to be decreasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on E if and only if $x_1, x_2 \in E$ and $x_1 < x_2 \implies f(x_1) \geq f(x_2)$ [respectively, $f(x_1) > f(x_2)$].
3. f is said to be monotone (respectively, strictly monotone) on E if and only if f is either decreasing or increasing (respectively, either strictly decreasing or strictly increasing) on E .

$$A := \lim_{x \rightarrow a^+ \in I} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a^+ \in I} g(x)$$

is either 0 or ∞ .

$$B := \lim_{x \rightarrow a^+ \in I} f'(x)$$

exists as an extended real number, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a^+ \in I} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a^+ \in I} f'(x)$$

exists as an extended real number, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a^+ \in I} g(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a^+ \in I} g'(x)$$

2. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

3. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

4. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

5. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

6. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

7. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

8. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

9. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

10. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

11. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

12. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

13. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

14. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

15. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

16. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

17. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

18. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

19. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

20. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

21. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

22. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

23. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

24. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

25. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

26. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

27. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

28. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

29. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

30. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

31. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

32. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

33. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

34. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

35. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

36. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

37. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

38. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

39. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

40. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

41. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

42. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

43. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

44. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

45. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

46. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

47. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

48. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

49. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

50. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

51. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

52. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

53. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

54. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

55. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

56. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

57. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

58. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

59. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

60. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

61. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

62. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

63. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

64. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

65. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

66. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

67. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

68. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

69. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

70. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

71. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

72. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

73. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

74. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

75. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

76. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

77. If $f'(x) > 0$ (respectively $f'(x) < 0$) for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is strictly increasing (respectively, strictly decreasing) on $[a, b]$.

78. If $f'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$, then f is constant on $[a, b]$.

6.2 Series with Nonnegative Terms

$\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$m > n \geq N \implies \sum_{k=n}^m |a_k| < \epsilon$$

Remark 6.20

If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges absolutely, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges, but not conversely. In particular, there exist conditionally convergent series.

Definition 6.21 Limit supremum

The limit supremum of a sequence of real numbers $\{x_k\}$ is defined to be

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{k \geq n} x_k \right).$$

Remark 6.22

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\{x_k\}$ be a real sequence.

1. If $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k < x$, then $x_k < x$ for large k .
2. If $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k > x$, then $x_k > x$ for infinitely many ks .
3. If $x_k \rightarrow x$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = x$.

Theorem 6.23 Root Test

Let $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r := \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_k|^{\frac{1}{k}}$.

1. If $r < 1$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges absolutely.
2. If $r > 1$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ diverges.

Theorem 6.24 Ratio test

Let $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a_k \neq 0$ for large k and suppose that

$$r = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|a_{k+1}|}{|a_k|}$$

exists as an extended real number.

1. If $r < 1$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges absolutely.
2. If $r > 1$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ diverges.

Remark 6.25

The Root and Ratio tests are inconclusive when $r = 1$.

Definition 6.26 Rearrangement

A series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_j$ is called a *rearrangement* of a series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ if and only if there is an injection $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$b_{f(k)} = a_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Theorem 10.14

Let X be a metric space.

1. A sequence X can have at most one limit.

2. If $x_n \in X$ converges to a and $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is any subsequence of $\{x_n\}$, then x_{n_k} converges to a as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Every convergent sequence X is bounded.

4. Every convergent sequence in X is Cauchy.

Remark 10.15

Let $x_n \in X$. Then $x_n \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if for every open set V which contains a , there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq N \implies x_n \in V$.

Theorem 10.16

Let $E \subseteq X$. Then E is closed if and only if the limit of every convergent sequence $x_k \in E$ satisfies $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k \in E$.

Remark 10.17 The discrete space contains bounded sequences which have no convergent subsequences.

Remark 10.18

The metric space $X = \mathbb{Q}$ contains Cauchy sequences which do not converge.

Definition 10.19 Completeness

A metric space X is said to be *complete* if and only if every Cauchy sequence $x_n \in X$ converges to some point in X .

Remark 10.20

By 10.19, a complete metric space X satisfies two properties:

1. Every Cauchy sequence in X converges;
2. the limit of every Cauchy sequence in X stay in X .

Theorem 10.21

Let X be a complete metric space E be a subset of X . Then E (as a subspace) is complete if and only if E as a (subset) is closed.

Remark 10.9

Every open ball is open, and every closed ball is closed.

Remark 10.10

If $a \in X$, then $X \setminus \{a\}$ is open, and $\{a\}$ is closed.

Remark 10.11

In an arbitrary metric space, the empty set \emptyset and the whole space X are both open and closed.

Definition 10.13

Convergence, Cauchy, & Boundedness

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X .

8.2 Limits of Functions

Definition 10.22 Cluster Point

A point $a \in X$ is said to be a *cluster point* (of X) if and only if $B_0(a)$ contains infinitely many points for each $\delta > 0$.

2. $\{x_n\}$ is *Cauchy* if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n, m \geq N \implies \rho(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$.

3. $\{x_n\}$ is *bounded* if there is an $M > 0$ and a $b \in X$ such that $\rho(x_n, b) \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$0 < \rho(x, a) < \delta \implies \tau(f(x), L) < \epsilon.$$

Theorem 6.27

If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges absolutely and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j$ is any rearrangement of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$, then $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j$ converges and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j$$

6.4 Alternating Series

Theorem 6.30 Abel's Formula

Let $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{b_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be real sequences, and for each pair of integers $n \geq m \geq 1$ set

$$A_{n,m} := \sum_{k=m}^n a_k, \quad b_{n,m} := A_{n,m} b_n - \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} A_{k,m} (b_{k+1} - b_k) \text{ for all integers } n > m \geq 1.$$

Theorem 6.31 Dirichlet's Test

Let $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{R}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If the sequence of partial sums $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$ is bounded and $b_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k b_k$ converges.

Theorem 6.32 Alternating Series Test

If $a_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k a_k$ converges.

7 Infinite Series of Functions

7.1 Uniform Convergence of Sequences

Definition 7.1 Pointwise Convergence

Let E be a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} . A sequence of functions $f_n : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to converge pointwise on E if and only if $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ exists for each $x \in E$.

Remark 7.2

Let E be a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} . Then a sequence of functions f_n converges pointwise on E , as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in E$ there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ (which may depend on x as well as ϵ) such that

$$n \geq N \implies |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$$

for all $x \in E$.

Definition 7.3

The pointwise limit of continuous (respectively, differentiable) functions is not necessarily continuous (respectively, differentiable).

Remark 7.4

The pointwise limit of integrable functions is not necessarily integrable.

In this case we write $f(x) \rightarrow L$ as $x \rightarrow a$, or

$$L = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x),$$

and call L the *limit* of $f(x)$ as x approaches a .

Theorem 10.26

Let a be a cluster point of X and $f, g : X \setminus \{a\} \rightarrow Y$.

1. If $f(x) = g(x) \forall x \in X \setminus \{a\}$ and $f(x)$ has a limit as $x \rightarrow a$, then $g(x)$ also has a limit as $x \rightarrow a$, and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x).$$

2. Sequential characterisation of limits. The limit

$$L := \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)$$

exists if and only if $f(x_n) \rightarrow L$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every sequence $x_n \in X \setminus \{a\}$ which converges to a as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Suppose that $Y = \mathbb{R}^n$. If f, g and $g(x)$ have a limit as x approaches a , then so do $(f+g)(x)$, $(f \cdot g)(x)$, $(f/g)(x)$, and $(f/g)(x)$ [when $Y = \mathbb{R}$ and the limit of $g(x)$ is nonzero]. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow a} (f+g)(x) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) + \lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x), \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow a} (\alpha f)(x) &= \alpha \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x), \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow a} (f \cdot g)(x) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) \cdot \lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x) \end{aligned}$$

and [when $Y = \mathbb{R}$ and the limit of $g(x)$ is nonzero]

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \left(\frac{f}{g} \right) (x) = \frac{\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)}{\lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x)}.$$

4. Squeeze Theorem for Functions. Suppose that $Y = \mathbb{R}$. If $h : X \setminus \{a\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $g(x) \leq h(x) \leq f(x) \forall x \in X \setminus \{a\}$, and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x) = L$$

then the limit of h exists, as $x \rightarrow a$, and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} h(x) = L$$

5. Comparison Theorem for Functions. Suppose that $Y = \mathbb{R}$. If $f(x) \leq g(x) \forall x \in X \setminus \{a\}$, and if f and g have a limit as x approaches a , then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x)$$

for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$0 < \rho(x, a) < \delta \implies \tau(f(x), L) < \epsilon.$$

7.2 Uniform Convergence of Series

There exist differentiable functions f_n and f such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise on $[0, 1]$ but

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x) \neq \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \right)'$$

for $x = 1$.

Remark 7.6

There exist continuous functions f_n and f such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise on $[0, 1]$ but

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f_n(x) dx \neq \int_0^1 \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \right) dx$$

Definition 7.7 Uniform Convergence

Let E be a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} . A sequence of function $f_n : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to converge uniformly on E if and only if the sequence $s_n(x) = f_n(x)$ converges uniformly on E for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$n \geq N \implies |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$$

for all $x \in E$.

Theorem 7.9

Let E be a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} and suppose that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on E , then f is continuous at $x_0 \in E$.

Theorem 7.10

Suppose that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on a closed interval $[a, b]$. If each f_n is integrable on $[a, b]$, then f is integrable on $[a, b]$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_n(x) dx = \int_a^b \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \right) dx$$

In fact, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_n(t) dt = \int_a^b f(t) dt$ uniformly for $x \in [a, b]$.

Lemma 7.11 Uniform Cauchy Criterion

Let E be a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} . Then f_n converges uniformly on E if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$n, m \geq N \implies |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \epsilon$$

for all $x \in E$.

Theorem 7.12

Let E be a bounded interval and suppose that f_n is a sequence of functions which converges at some $x_0 \in (a, b)$. If each f_n is differentiable on (a, b) , and f'_n converges uniformly on (a, b) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the f_n converges uniformly on (a, b) and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x) = (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x))'$

Definition 7.13 Continuity

Let E be a nonempty subset of X and $f : E \rightarrow Y$.

Definition 10.27 Continuity

Let E be a nonempty subset of X and $f : E \rightarrow Y$.

Definition 10.28

Let E be a nonempty subset of X and $f, g : E \rightarrow Y$.

Definition 10.29

Suppose that X, Y , and Z are metric space and that a is a cluster point of X . Suppose further that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : F(X) \rightarrow Z$. If $f(x) \rightarrow L$ as $x \rightarrow a$ and g is continuous at L , then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} (g \circ f)(x) = g(\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)).$$

[We refer to the last two conditions in the definition of ∂E by saying $B_r(x)$ intersects E and E' .]

Theorem 10.39

Let $E \subseteq X$. Then

7.3 Power Series

Definition Power Series

Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of real numbers, and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. A power series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x - c)^n$$

With a_n being the coefficients and c its centre.

Definition Radius of Convergence

The radius of convergence R of the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x - c)^n$ is defined by

$$R = \sup\{r \geq 0 : (a_n r^n)\text{ is bounded}\}$$

unless $(a_n r^n)$ is bounded for all $r \geq 0$, in which case we declare $R = \infty$.

Theorem 1

Suppose the radius of convergence R satisfies $0 < R < \infty$. If $|x - c| < R$, the power series converges absolutely. If $|x - c| > R$, the power series diverges.

Theorem 2

Assume that $R > 0$. Suppose that $0 < r < R$. Then the series converges uniformly and absolutely on $|x - c| \leq r$ to a continuous function f . Hence

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x - c)^n$$

defines a continuous function $f : (c - r, c + r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma

The two power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x - c)^n$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n (x - c)^{n-1}$ have the same radius of convergence.

Theorem 3

Suppose the radius of convergence of the power series is R . Then the function

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x - c)^n$$

$f : E \rightarrow Y$. Then f is said to be *uniformly continuous* on E if and only if given $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|x - a| < \delta$ and $f(x), f(a) \in E \implies |f(x) - f(a)| < \epsilon$.

Theorem 10.52

Suppose that E is a compact subset of X and that $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Then f is uniformly continuous on E if and only if $f^{-1}(V) \cap E$ is relatively open in E for all open sets V in Y .

8.5 Connected Sets

Definition 10.53 Separate & Connected
Let X be a metric space.

1. A pair of nonempty open sets U, V in X is said to *separate* X if and only if $X = U \cup V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.
2. X is said to be *connected* if and only if X cannot be separated by any pair of open sets U, V .

Definition 10.54 Relatively open & closed
Let X be a metric space and $E \subseteq X$.

1. A set $U \subseteq E$ is said to be *relatively open* in E if and only if there is a set V open in X such that $U = E \cap V$.
2. A set $A \subseteq E$ is said to be *relatively closed* in E if and only if there is a set C closed in X such that $A = E \cap C$.

Remark 10.55

Let $E \subseteq X$. If there exists a pair of open sets A, B in X which separate E , then E is not connected.

Theorem 10.56

A subset E of \mathbb{R} is connected if and only if E is an interval.

8.6 Continuous Functions

Theorem 10.55

Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Then f is continuous if and only if $f^{-1}(V)$ is open in X for every open V in Y .

Workshop 2 – Uniform convergence of sequences of functions

The purpose of this workshop activity is to provide some practice in the notions of pointwise and uniform convergence of sequences of functions, and in some of the theorems concerning uniform convergence of sequences of functions.

1. Let $f_n(x) = \frac{x^n}{1+x^n}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Prove that f_n converges pointwise to the zero function. Is the convergence uniform over \mathbb{R} ? (Hint: Fix n and think about $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |f_n(x)|$. Does this go to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$?)

9. Find an example of an $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is continuous but not uniformly continuous. Where exactly did we use the fact that $[a, b]$ was a closed and bounded interval in the proof of the theorem?

Solution: We've already noted that $f(x) = 1/x$ is one such. When we used the Bolzano–Weierstrass theorem it was important that the subsequence converged to some point **inside** the interval in question. If we were working with $[a, b]$ rather than $(a, b]$ the subsequence might well converge to a or b , which would be useless.

Workshop 5 – Riemann Integration

This workshop provides some practice in questions concerning Riemann integration. We've basically covered Questions 1–4 in the lectures, so this is an opportunity to consolidate your understanding of them. Then move right on to Question 5. Recall that we're following the lecture notes issued on Learn, not the treatment in Wade, so all arguments should be made with reference to the lecture notes issued.

1. Show that if $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann-integrable, then f must be bounded and have bounded support.

Solution: If f is Riemann-integrable, then, taking $\epsilon = 1$ in the definition, there exist step functions ϕ and ψ such that $\phi \leq f \leq \psi$. Then $|f| \leq \max\{\|\phi\|, \|\psi\|\}$, which, as a step function itself, takes on only finitely many values and is therefore bounded. [Or, if you like, the step function $\sum_{x_i \leq x < x_{i+1}} \chi_{[x_i, x_{i+1})}$ has maximum value at most $\sum_i \chi_{[x_i, x_{i+1})}$ and so is bounded.] So f is bounded. Moreover, there are $M, N \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $\phi(x) = 0$ for $x > M$ and $\psi(x) = 0$ for $x > N$, so that $\phi(x) = \psi(x) = 0$ for $x > \max\{M, N\}$, and so f has bounded support.

2. Show that $\chi_{[0, 1]}(x)$ is not Riemann-integrable.

Solution: Let ϕ and ψ be any step functions such that $\phi \leq \chi_{[0, 1]} \leq \psi$. Then on any interval of positive length on which ϕ is constant, the value of ϕ must in fact be non-positive. This is because any interval of positive length must contain irrationals, and we have that $\chi_{[0, 1]}(x) = 0$ for irrational x . Thus $\phi(x) = 0$ except for possibly finitely many values of x , and $\psi(x) = 1$ for all x . So the step functions ϕ and ψ are discontinuous, since any interval of positive length must contain rationals, ϕ must be at least 1 on any interval of positive length in $[0, 1]$ on which it is constant. Therefore $f \geq 1$. Hence $f \geq \phi \geq \epsilon^{M, \psi}$. This is not true that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist step functions ϕ and ψ such that $0 \leq \chi_{[0, 1]} \leq \psi$ and $\psi - f \geq \epsilon$.

3. Show that the function defined by $f(x) = 1$ if $x = 1/n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f(x) = 0$ otherwise, is Riemann-integrable, and calculate $\int f dx$.

Solution: Let $\phi_n = 0$ for all n and let $\psi_n(x) = 1$ if $0 \leq x \leq 1/n$, or if $x = 1/(n-1), 1/(n-2), \dots, 1$. Then ϕ_n and ψ_n are step functions, $\phi_n \leq f \leq \psi_n$, $\phi_n = 0$ for all n and $\psi_n = 1$ for all n . So the sequences ϕ_n and ψ_n have the same common limit 0. By Theorem 2 from the lecture notes, f is Riemann-integrable, and $\int f = 0$.

4. Give an example demonstrating the falsehood of the statement “ f is Riemann-integrable implies f is Riemann-integrable”.

Solution: Let $f(x) = 1$ if $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and x is rational, $f(x) = -1$ if $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and x is irrational, and $f(x) = 0$ otherwise. Then $|f(x)| = \chi_{[0, 1]}$ is a step function, so Riemann-integrable. On the other hand, f is not Riemann-integrable for the same reasons as for $\chi_{[0, 1]}$ in Question 2.

5. Suppose $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann-integrable, and that $f = 0$ outside $[a, b]$ where $a < b$. Show that $(\exp f)_{[a, b]}$ is also Riemann-integrable.

Corollary 10.59

Let $E \subseteq X$ and $f : E \rightarrow Y$. Then f is continuous on E if and only if $f^{-1}(V) \cap E$ is relatively open in E for all open sets V in Y . So $f(x) = \cos(x)$ is not a contraction on \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 10.61

If H is compact in X and $f : H \rightarrow Y$ is continuous on H , then f is uniformly continuous on E .

Theorem 10.62

If E is connected in X and $f : E \rightarrow Y$ is continuous on E , then $f(E)$ is connected in Y .

Theorem 10.63 Extreme Value Theorem

If (X, d) is a complete metric space and if $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a contraction, then there is a unique point $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = x$.

Remarks

1. It's really important that X be complete.
2. It's really important that the image of X under f is contained in X .
3. A point x such that $f(x) = x$ is called a *fixed point* of f .

Theorem 10.64

If H is a compact subset of X and $f : H \rightarrow Y$ is injective and continuous, then f^{-1} is continuous on $f(H)$.

9 Contraction Mapping & ODEs

9.1 Banach's Contraction Mapping Theorem

Definition Contraction

Let (X, d) be a metric space. A function $f : X \rightarrow X$ is called a *contraction* if there exists a number α with $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \alpha d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

Note the target space and the domain must be the same.

Remark

Solution. If $x = 0$ we have $f_n(0) = 0$ for all n and $f_n(0)$ converges to 0. If $x \neq 0$, then $|f_n(x)| \leq \frac{|x|^{\frac{n+1}{n}}}{1+|x|^{\frac{n+1}{n}}} \leq \frac{|x|}{1+|x|}$ which goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So f_n converges pointwise to 0. But $f_n(n^{-1/2}) = 1/2$ for all n so the convergence is not uniform over \mathbb{R} . (If you hadn't spotted that $n^{-1/2}$ is an interesting point, you could have used calculus to find the maximum of the function $|f_n| \dots$)

Solution. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Then f is continuous if and only if $f^{-1}(V)$ is open in X for every open V in Y .

Solution. From FPM we know that for $0 \leq x < 1$ we have $nx^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. However $\int_0^1 f_n = n/(n+1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So pointwise convergence on an interval does not imply the corresponding convergence of definite integrals.

Solution. If $x = 0$ we have $f_n(0) = 0$ for all n and $f_n(0)$ converges to 0. If $x \neq 0$, then $|f_n(x)| \leq \frac{|x|^{\frac{n+1}{n}}}{1+|x|^{\frac{n+1}{n}}} \leq \frac{|x|}{1+|x|}$ which goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So f_n converges pointwise to 0. But the sequence $f_n(n^{-1/2}) = 1/2$ for all n so the convergence is not uniform over \mathbb{R} . (If you hadn't spotted that $n^{-1/2}$ is an interesting point, you could have used calculus to find the maximum of the function $|f_n| \dots$)

Solution. From FPM we know that for $0 \leq x < 1$ we have $nx^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. However $\int_0^1 f_n = n/(n+1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So pointwise convergence on an interval does not imply the corresponding convergence of definite integrals.

Solution. We note that since f is integrable, f is bounded, so there exists an M such that $|f(x)| \leq M$ for all x , and has bounded support $[a, b]$. Therefore $(\exp f)_{[a, b]}$ is also bounded by M and has bounded support $[a, b]$.

Solution 1: Since f is Riemann-integrable we have Lemma 1 from the lecture notes that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sup_{x \in (x_{j-1}, x_j)} |f(x) - f(y)|(x_j - x_{j-1}) < \epsilon.$$

Now the derivative of $\exp : [-M, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded by e^M and therefore for all x and y we have $|\exp(x) - \exp(y)| \leq e^M |x - y|$ by the Mean Value theorem. Hence

$$\int f g \leq \left(\int f^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int g^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Solution: Let $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f_n(x) = nx^n$. Show that f_n is pointwise but $\int_0^1 f_n \rightarrow 1$. What does this demonstrate?

Solution. From FPM we know that for $0 \leq x < 1$ we have $nx^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. However $\int_0^1 f_n = n/(n+1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So pointwise convergence on an interval does not imply the corresponding convergence of definite integrals.

Solution: We first note that since f is integrable, f is bounded, so there exists an M such that $|f(x)| \leq M$ for all x , and has bounded support $[a, b]$. Therefore $(\exp f)_{[a, b]}$ is also bounded by M and has bounded support $[a, b]$.

Solution 1: Since f is Riemann-integrable we have Lemma 1 from the lecture notes that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sup_{x \in (x_{j-1}, x_j)} |f(x) - f(y)|(x_j - x_{j-1}) < \epsilon.$$

Now the derivative of $\exp : [-M, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and has bounded support $[a, b]$.

Solution 2: We have

$$\exp f(x)_{[a, b]}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)^n}{n!} \chi_{[a, b]}(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(x).$$

Now each g_n is Riemann-integrable since it is the product of Riemann integrable functions, (g_n) is integrable as $\chi_{[a, b]}$ is. Moreover, the series $\sum_n g_n(x)$ converges uniformly on $[a, b]$ by the Weierstrass M-test: if $|f(x)| \leq M$ then $\sum_n |f(x)|^n / n! \leq M^n / n!$ for all n . So the series $\sum_n g_n(x)$ converges uniformly on $[a, b]$ by the Weierstrass M-test.

Solution 3: Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exist step functions ϕ with $\phi \leq f \leq \psi$ and $\int_a^b |\phi - f| < \epsilon$. Let $\phi = \chi_{[a, b]}^M$ and $\psi = \chi_{[a, b]}^M$. Then Φ, Ψ are step functions and $\Phi \leq f \leq \Psi$. By the previous result, $\int_a^b |\Phi - f| < \epsilon$ since $\int_a^b |\Phi - f| \leq \int_a^b |\Phi - \psi| + \int_a^b |\psi - f| + \int_a^b |f - \Phi|$ and $\int_a^b |\psi - f| \leq \int_a^b |\psi - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| + \int_a^b |\chi_{[a, b]}^M - f| + \int_a^b |f - \Phi|$.

Solution 4: We note that since $\int_a^b |\Phi - f| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |\psi - f| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |\Phi - \psi| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 5: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 6: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 7: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 8: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 9: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 10: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 11: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 12: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 13: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 14: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 15: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 16: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 17: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 18: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 19: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 20: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 21: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 22: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 23: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 24: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 25: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 26: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 27: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 28: We note that since $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ and $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < \epsilon$ then $\int_a^b |f - \chi_{[a, b]}^M| < 2\epsilon$.

Solution 29

$$d((x_n), (y_n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n - y_n|$$

defines a metric on ℓ^1 .

B. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Show that $\rho(x, y) = \frac{d(x, y)}{1+d(x, y)}$ defines a metric on X .

C. Does $\sigma(x, y) = (|x_1 - y_1|^{1/2} + |x_2 - y_2|^{1/2})^2$ define a metric on \mathbb{R}^2 ?

D. Let X be a vector space with an inner product $\langle x, y \rangle$. Let

$$d(x, y) = \langle x - y, x - y \rangle^{1/2}.$$

Show that d defines a metric on X .

Workshop 7 – More on Metric Spaces

In this workshop we shall develop some of the aspects of the theory of metric spaces that we haven't had time to cover in the lectures. In particular we want to understand sensible ways of comparing two metrics on a given set, and to further understand the notion of completeness in metric spaces.

A. Comparison of metrics

In last week's workshop we considered the metrics d_1, d_2 and d_∞ on \mathbb{R}^n and we proved that

$$d_\infty(x, y) \leq d_2(x, y) \leq d_1(x, y) \leq nd_\infty(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

1. What was the picture that went along with this set of inequalities?

We say that two metrics d and ρ on a set X are **strongly equivalent** if there exist positive numbers A and B such that

$$d(x, y) \leq A\rho(x, y) \leq Bd(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

2. Show that each pair from $\{d_1, d_2, d_\infty\}$ is a pair of strongly equivalent metrics on \mathbb{R}^n .

Consider the metrics d_1, d_2 and d_∞ , but now on the space $C([0, 1])$ of continuous functions $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

3. Show that

$$d_1(f, g) \leq d_2(f, g) \leq d_\infty(f, g) \text{ for all } f, g \in C([0, 1]).$$

Solution: By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have $\int_0^1 (|f - g|)^2 ds \leq \int_0^1 (|f'| - |g'|)^2 ds \leq \left(\int_0^1 (|f'| + |g'|)^2 ds\right)^{1/2}$. Also, $\|f - g\|_2^2 \leq d_\infty(f, g)^2$ for all $0 \leq s \leq 1$ so integration gives $\int_0^1 |f(s) - g(s)|^2 ds \leq d_\infty(f, g)^2$.

4. Which pairs of metrics from $\{d_1, d_2, d_\infty\}$ are strongly equivalent?

Solution: No pair is strongly equivalent. For example, with $f_n(x) = x^n$ we have $d_1(f_n, 0) = 1/(n+1)$ but $d_2(f_n, 0) = 1$ for all n , showing that d_1 and d_∞ are not strongly equivalent.

We say that two metrics d and ρ on a set X are **equivalent** if for every $x \in X$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$d(x, y) < \delta \text{ implies } \rho(x, y) < \epsilon.$$

and such that

$$\rho(x, y) < \delta \text{ implies } d(x, y) < \epsilon.$$

The ball $B((0, 1), r)$ will therefore contain points $(1/n, 1)$ for n large. Let's fix one such point. It follows that $(1/n, 1) \in U$.

We claim that any point $y \in E$ that is path-connected with $(1/n, 1)$ must also lie in U . If this wasn't the case, i.e., $y \in E$ then looking at $\gamma^{-1}(U)$, $\gamma^{-1}(V)$ we see that these are disjoint, open in $[0, 1]$ and cover $[0, 1]$ which would imply that the interval $[0, 1]$ is not connected which is false. Therefore $E \subseteq U$.

As all points of E except $(0, 1)$ are path-connected with $(1/n, 1)$ and we already know that $(0, 1), (1/n, 1) \in U$ we can conclude that $E \subseteq U$ and therefore $V \cap E = \emptyset$. Hence E is a connected set.

3. Show that any two points in E are path-connected.

Solution: Let $y, z \in E$. As y is path-connected with both y and z once can write a formula for a path joining y and z through the point x . Hence the claim holds.

4. Show that the sets U, V are open. Hint consider $y \in U$ and show that there exists $r > 0$ such that all points inside $B_r(y)$ are path-connected with y by a path that lies inside E . Repeat a similar argument for V .

Solution: Let $y \in U$. Since E is open there exists $r > 0$ such that $B_r(y, r) \subseteq E$. Consider any $z \in B_r(y)$. We claim that z and y are path-connected. We know that z and y are path-connected, i.e., there is $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow E$ continuous such that $\gamma(0) = z$ and $\gamma(1) = y$. Consider now $\tilde{\gamma} : [0, 1] \rightarrow E$:

Since f_d and f_ρ are strongly equivalent then they are also equivalent.

Solution: If $\epsilon > 0$ then choosing $\delta = \epsilon/B$ works (for all x) for the first statement and $\delta = 1/A$ (works for all x) for the second statement. So $\delta = \min\{1/A, \epsilon/B\}$ works for both.

6. Interpret the definition of equivalence between two metrics d and ρ on a set X in terms of balls $B_d(x, r)$ and $B_\rho(x, s)$ in the corresponding metric spaces (X, d) and (X, ρ) . Hint: Question 4.

Solution: Fix $x \in X$. Suppose that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $d(x, y) < \delta$ implies $\rho(x, y) < \epsilon$. Then, given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $B_d(x, \delta) \subseteq B_\rho(x, \epsilon)$. So, inside every ρ -open ball we can inscribe a d -open ball with the same centre. And vice-versa.

7. Suppose that d and ρ are equivalent and let X be a metric space.

The metrics d and ρ are equivalent if and only if every subset of X which is open with respect to d is also open with respect to ρ , and every subset of X which is open with respect to ρ is also open with respect to d .

Solution: Suppose first that d and ρ are equivalent and that E is open with respect to d . Then, for every $a \in E$ there exists an $r > 0$ such that $B_d(a, r) \subseteq E$. By the previous question there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\rho(a, \epsilon) \subseteq B_d(a, r)$ and hence such that $B_\rho(a, \epsilon) \subseteq E$. Hence E is open with respect to ρ . By symmetry, if E is open with respect to ρ it is also E is open with respect to d .

10. Let (X, d) be a discrete metric space. Show that it is complete.

Solution: Suppose (x_n) is Cauchy in (X, d) . Take $\epsilon = 1$. Then there exists some N such that for $m, n \geq N$ we have $d(x_m, x_n) < 1$. But this means that for $m, n \geq N$ we have $x_m = x_n$, i.e. x_n is constant for $N \leq n \leq \infty$. Hence the sequence (x_n) converges and so (x_n) is complete.

which goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So (f_n) is Cauchy. However, there is no continuous function $J : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $d_1(f_n, J) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. To see this, suppose for a contradiction that there is such an J .

Then $d_1(f_n, J) \geq \int_0^1 |f_n(s) - J| ds \geq \int_0^1 e^{-s} ds = d_\infty(f_n, J)$ and hence

$$d_\infty(f_n, J) \leq (1 - e^{-1})d_\infty(f_n, J).$$

Since $(1 - e^{-1}) < 1$ we have that F is a contraction and so there is a unique fixed point in X .

3. Let $K : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Show that there is a unique continuous function $\phi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$x(t) = \int_0^t K(s, t)x(s)ds \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq 1$$

by following the following steps.

(i) Let $T(x) = \int_0^1 K(t)x(s)ds$ and suppose that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |K(t, s)| = M$. Assuming that Tx is a continuous function for x continuous, and letting $T^{(n)}$ be the n -fold composition of T with itself, show by induction that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq s \leq 1$ we have

$$|T^{(n)}(x)(t)| \leq \frac{M^n}{n!} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |x(s)|.$$

(ii) Show that for n sufficiently large, $T^{(n)}$ is a contraction on $C([0, 1])$ with the uniform metric.

Indeed, continuity of ϕ implies ϕ is uniformly continuous on $[0, 1]$ so that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|x - y| < \delta$ implies $|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| < \epsilon$. This means that for $n \geq N$ and $0 \leq s \leq 1$ we have $|\phi(s) - \phi(s')| < \epsilon$. Therefore, for $t - t' < \delta$,

$$|T^{(n)}(x)(t')| \leq |T^{(n)}(x)(t) + \int_0^{t'} e^{-s} |\phi(s) - \phi(s')| ds| < \epsilon + \int_0^{t'} e^{-s} ds = \epsilon(1 - e^{-1}).$$

(iii) Apply a previously established result.

4. Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = 2tx, \quad x(0) = 1.$$

Let $x_0(t) = 1$ and

$$x_n(t) = 1 + \int_0^t 2sx_n(s)ds.$$

Find a formula for x_1, x_2 and x_3 , and then by induction, for x_n . Find x_n and x_{n+1} and show that this agrees with the solution of the ordinary differential equation obtained by separation of variables. Why is this not surprising?

Solution:

$$x_1(t) = 1 + \int_0^t 2sds = 1 + 2t^2/2;$$

$$x_2(t) = 1 + \int_0^t 2s(1 + 2s^2)ds = 1 + 2t^2/2 + \frac{2s^4}{4}.$$

Similarly

$$x_3(t) = 1 + \int_0^t 2s(2s^2 + 2s^4)ds = 1 + \int_0^t 2s(1 + 2s^2 + 2s^4)ds = 1 + t^2 + \frac{2t^4}{4} - \frac{2t^6}{6}.$$

This suggests that

$$F(\phi)(t) - F(\psi)(t) = \int_0^t e^{-s} |\phi(s) - \psi(s)| ds$$

so that

$$\phi(t) = \int_0^t e^{-s} \phi(s)ds.$$

(Hint: Find a suitable complete metric space X and a suitable contraction $F : X \rightarrow X$ such that the fixed points of F correspond precisely to solutions of the displayed equation. You may assume that if ϕ is continuous on $[0, 1]$, so is $\phi' = e^{-s}\phi'(s)ds$.

Solution: Let $X = C([0, 1])$ with the d_∞ metric (which is complete) and let $F(\phi)(t) = t + \int_0^t e^{-s}\phi(s)ds$ which is a continuous function of t for $\phi \in X$. Moreover

$$F(\phi)(t) - F(\psi)(t) = \int_0^t e^{-s} |\phi(s) - \psi(s)| ds$$

so that

$$|\phi(t) - \psi(t)| \leq \int_0^t e^{-s} |\phi(s) - \psi(s)| ds.$$

Find the unique solution to this equation near $t = 0$. (Hint: Don't look for t .)

1. We have established that every path-connected set is connected. Is the reverse statement also true? As a hint, consider the following set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Solution: The RHS satisfies the conditions of the existence and uniqueness theorem. Observing that $x(t) \equiv 0$ is a solution, it is therefore the unique one near $t = 0$.

6. Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = 2tx, \quad x(0) = 1.$$

Let $x_0(t) = 1$ and

$$x_n(t) = 1 + \int_0^t 2sx_n(s)ds.$$

Find a formula for x_1, x_2 and x_3 , and then by induction, for x_n . Find x_n and x_{n+1} and show that this agrees with the solution of the ordinary differential equation obtained by separation of variables. Why is this not surprising?

Solution:

$$x_1(t) = 1 + \int_0^t 2sds = 1 + 2t^2/2;$$

$$x_2(t) = 1 + \int_0^t 2s(1 + 2s^2)ds = 1 + 2t^2/2 + \frac{2s^4}{4}.$$

Similarly

$$x_3(t) = 1 + \int_0^t 2s(2s^2 + 2s^4)ds = 1 + \int_0^t 2s(1 + 2s^2 + 2s^4)ds = 1 + t^2 + \frac{2t^4}{4} - \frac{2t^6}{6}.$$

This suggests that

$$F(\phi)(t) - F(\psi)(t) \leq \int_0^t 2s |\phi(s) - \psi(s)| ds$$

so the contraction constant is $A^2 = 1$. (This does not explain why x_n seeks out the solution to the ODE for all time.)

5. Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (e^{-s} - 1)cos(x^2 - x^2 + t^2 + 1)^{-1} \text{ with } x(0) = 0.$$

Find the unique solution to this equation near $t = 0$. (Hint: Don't look for t .)

1. We have established that every path-connected set is connected. Is the reverse statement also true? As a hint, consider the following set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Solution: The RHS satisfies the conditions of the existence and uniqueness theorem. Observing that $x(t) \equiv 0$ is a solution, it is therefore the unique one near $t = 0$.

6. Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (e^{-s} - 1)cos(x^2 - x^2 + t^2 + 1)^{-1} \text{ with } x(0) = 0.$$

Find the unique solution to this equation near $t = 0$. (Hint: Don't look for t .)

1. We have established that every path-connected set is connected. Is the reverse statement also true? As a hint, consider the following set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Solution: The RHS satisfies the conditions of the existence and uniqueness theorem. Observing that $x(t) \equiv 0$ is a solution, it is therefore the unique one near $t = 0$.

6. Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (e^{-s} - 1)cos(x^2 - x^2 + t^2 + 1)^{-1} \text{ with } x(0) = 0.$$

Find the unique solution to this equation near $t = 0$. (Hint: Don't look for t .)

1. We have established that every path-connected set is connected. Is the reverse statement also true? As a hint, consider the following set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Solution: The RHS satisfies the conditions of the existence and uniqueness theorem. Observing that $x(t) \equiv 0$ is a solution, it is therefore the unique one near $t = 0$.

6. Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (e^{-s} - 1)cos(x^2 - x^2 + t^2 + 1)^{-1} \text{ with } x(0) = 0.$$

Find the unique solution to this equation near $t = 0$. (Hint: Don't look for t .)

1. We have established that every path-connected set is connected. Is the reverse statement also true? As a hint, consider the following set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Solution: The RHS satisfies the conditions of the existence and uniqueness theorem. Observing that $x(t) \equiv 0$ is a solution, it is therefore the unique one near $t = 0$.

6. Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (e^{-s} - 1)cos(x^2 - x^2 + t^2 + 1)^{-1} \text{ with } x(0) = 0.$$

Find the unique solution to this equation near $t = 0$. (Hint: Don't look for t .)

1. We have established that every path-connected set is connected. Is the reverse statement also true? As a hint, consider the following set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Solution: The RHS satisfies the conditions of the existence and uniqueness theorem. Observing that $x(t) \equiv 0$ is a solution, it is therefore the unique one near $t = 0$.

6. Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (e^{-s} - 1)cos(x^2 - x^2 + t^2 + 1)^{-1} \text{ with } x(0) = 0.$$

Find the unique solution to this equation near $t = 0$. (Hint: Don't look for t .)

1. We have established that every path-connected set is connected. Is the reverse statement also true? As a hint, consider the following set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Solution: The RHS satisfies the conditions of the existence and uniqueness theorem. Observing that $x(t) \equiv 0$ is a solution, it is therefore the unique one near $t = 0$.

6. Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (e^{-s} - 1)cos(x^2 - x^2 + t^2 + 1)^{-1} \text{ with } x(0) = 0.$$

Find the unique solution to this equation near $t = 0$. (Hint: Don't look for t .)

1. We have established that every path-connected set is connected. Is the reverse statement also true? As a hint, consider the following set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Solution: The RHS satisfies the conditions of the existence and uniqueness theorem. Observing that $x(t) \equiv 0$ is a solution, it is therefore the unique one near $t = 0$.

6. Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (e^{-s} - 1)cos(x^2 - x^2 + t^2 + 1)^{-1} \text{ with } x(0) = 0.$$

Find the unique solution to this equation near $t = 0$. (Hint: Don't look for t .)

1. We have established that every path-connected set is connected. Is the reverse statement also true? As a hint, consider the following set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Solution: The RHS satisfies the conditions of the existence and uniqueness theorem. Observing that $x(t) \equiv 0$ is a solution, it is therefore the unique one near $t = 0$.

6. Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (e^{-s} - 1)cos(x^2 - x^2 + t^2 + 1)^{-1} \text{ with } x(0) = 0.$$

Find the unique solution to this equation near $t = 0$. (Hint: Don't look for t .)

1. We have established that every path-connected set is connected. Is the reverse statement also true? As a hint, consider the following set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Solution: The RHS satisfies the conditions of the existence and uniqueness theorem. Observing that $x(t) \equiv 0$ is a solution, it is therefore the unique one near $t = 0$.

6. Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (e^{-s} - 1)cos(x^2 - x^2 + t^2 + 1)^{-1} \text{ with } x(0) = 0.$$

7.15 **Proof.** Let $\epsilon > 0$ and use the Cauchy Criterion to choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \geq n \geq N$ implies $\sum_{k=n}^m M_k < \epsilon$. Thus, by hypothesis,

$$\sum_{k=0}^m f_k(x) \leq \sum_{k=n}^m |f_k(x)| \leq \sum_{k=n}^m M_k < \epsilon$$

for $m \geq n \geq N$ and $x \in E$. Hence the partial sums of $\sum_{k=0}^m f_k$ are uniformly Cauchy and the partial sums of $\sum_{k=0}^m |f_k(x)|$ are Cauchy for each $x \in E$. ■

10.09 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. By definition, we must prove that given $x \in E$, $B_r(x)$ is an open ball. Let $x \in B_r(x)$ and set $\rho(x, a) = r - d(x, a)$. (Look at Figure 8.5 to see why this choice of ρ should work.) If $y \in B_r(x)$, then by the Triangle Inequality, assumption, and the choice of ρ ,

$$\rho(y, a) = \rho(x, a) + \rho(x, y) > r - d(x, a) = r.$$

Thus, by Definition 10.7, $y \in B_r(a)$. In particular, $B_r(x) \subseteq B_r(a)$. Similarly, we can show that $\{x \in E : \rho(x, a) > r\}$ is also open. Hence, every closed ball is closed. ■

10.10 **Proof.** By Definition 10.8, it suffices to prove that the complement of every singleton $E := \{a\}$ is open. Let $x \in E'$ and set $\rho = \rho(x, a)$. Then, by Definition 10.7, $a \notin B_r(x)$, so $B_r(x) \subseteq E'$. Therefore, E' is open by Definition 10.8. ■

10.11 **Proof.** Since $x \in E$ and $x \in E'$, it suffices by Definition 10.8 to prove that \emptyset and $x \in E$ are both open. Because the empty set contains no points, “every” point x satisfies $B_r(x) \subseteq \emptyset$. (This is called the vacuous implication.) Therefore, \emptyset is open. On the other hand, since $B_r(x) \subseteq X$ for all $x \in X$ and all $r > 0$, it is clear that X is open. ■

10.15 **Proof.** Suppose that $x_n \rightarrow a$, and let V be an open set containing a . By Definition 10.8, there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(x_n) \subseteq V$. Given this ϵ , use Definition 10.13 to choose an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N \geq N'$ implies $x_N \in B_\epsilon(a)$. By the choice of ϵ , $x_N \in V$ for all $N \geq N'$.

Conversely, let $\epsilon > 0$ and $x_0 \in B_\epsilon(a)$. Then V is an open set which contains a ; hence, by hypothesis, there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq N$ implies $x_n \in V$. In particular, $x_n \rightarrow a$ for all $n \geq N$. ■

10.16 **Proof.** The theorem is vacuously satisfied if E is the empty set.

Suppose that $E \neq \emptyset$ is closed and some $x_n \in E$ converges to a point $x \in E'$. Then $x \in \text{cl}(E) = E$, so E is open. Thus, by Remark 10.15, there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq N$ implies $x_n \in E$, a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that E is a nonempty set so that every convergent sequence in E has its limit in E . If E is not closed, then, by Remark 10.11, $E \neq X$, and, by definition, E' is nonempty and not open. Thus, there is at least one point $x \in E'$ such that no ball $B_r(x)$ is contained in E' . Let $x_1 \in B_{r_1}(x)$ for $r_1 = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $x_1 \in E$ and $\rho(x_1, x) < r_1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Now $1/r_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, so it follows from the Squeeze Theorem (there are real sequences) that $\rho(x_1, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ (i.e., $x_1 \rightarrow x$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$). Thus, by hypothesis, $x \in E$, a contradiction. ■

10.17 **Proof.** Let $X = E$ be the discrete metric space introduced in Example 10.3. Since $(x, k) = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{k\}$ is a bounded sequence in X . Suppose that there exist integers $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ and $x \in X$ such that $k_j \rightarrow x$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Then there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma(k_j, x) < 1$ for $j \geq N$, i.e., $k_j = x$ for all $j \geq N$. This contradiction proves that $\{k\}$ has no convergent subsequences. ■

10.18 **Proof.** Suppose that $f(E)$ is not connected. By Definition 10.5.3, there exists a pair U, V in E relatively open sets in $f(E)$ which separates $f(E)$. By Exercise 10.6.4, $f^{-1}(U) \cap E$ and $f^{-1}(V) \cap E$ are relatively open in E . Since $f(E) = U \cup V$, we have

$$E = f^{-1}(U) \cap E \cup f^{-1}(V) \cap E.$$

Since $U \cap V = \emptyset$, we also have $f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$. Thus, $f^{-1}(U) \cap E$, $f^{-1}(V) \cap E$ is a pair of relatively open sets which separates E . Hence, by Definition 10.5.3, E is not connected, a contradiction. ■

Riemann Prop 1

Proof. List all the potential jump points of either ϕ or ψ together as $\{x_0 < \dots < x_n\}$. Suppose $\phi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \chi_{[x_{j-1}, x_j)}(x)$ and $\psi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \chi_{[x_{j-1}, x_j)}(x)$ for $x \neq x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$. Then the left hand side is $\sum_{j=1}^n (\alpha_j + \beta_j)(x_j - x_{j-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(x_j - x_{j-1}) + \beta \sum_{j=1}^n d_j(x_j - x_{j-1}) = \alpha \phi + \beta \psi$. ■

Riemann Theorem 1

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose first that f is Riemann-integrable. Then, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exist step functions ϕ_0 and ψ_0 such that $\phi_0 \leq f \leq \psi_0$ and $\int \psi_0 - \int \phi_0 < \epsilon$. Consider the sets of real numbers

$$A = \{f : \phi \text{ is a step function and } \phi \leq f\}$$

and

$$B = \{\int \psi : \psi \text{ is a step function and } \psi \geq f\}.$$

Then A is a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} (since $f \phi_0$ belongs to it) which is bounded above by $\int \psi_0$ or indeed any member of B . So it has a least upper bound U which satisfies $\int \phi_0 \leq U \leq \int \psi_0$. Similarly, the greatest lower bound L of B satisfies $\int \psi_0 \geq L \geq \int \phi_0$, and moreover $U \leq L$. Hence $0 \leq L - U < \epsilon$. Since this is true for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ we deduce that $U = L$, i.e. $\sup A = \inf B$.

Now suppose that $\sup A = \inf B := I$. By the approximation property of sup and inf, given any $\epsilon > 0$ there exist step functions ϕ and ψ with $\phi \leq f \leq \psi$ and $\int \phi - \int f > I - \epsilon/2$, and $\int \psi - \int f < I + \epsilon/2$. Hence $\int \psi - \int \phi < \epsilon$. ■

Riemann Theorem 1

Proof. Suppose first that f is Riemann-integrable. Then, taking $\epsilon = 1/n$ in the definition, there exist step functions ϕ_n and ψ_n such that $\phi_n \leq f \leq \psi_n$ and $\int \psi_n - \int \phi_n < 1/n$. Hence $\int \psi_n - \int \phi_n \rightarrow 0$.

Now suppose that there exist ϕ_n and ψ_n as in the statement of the theorem. Given $\epsilon > 0$ choose N such that $\int \psi_n - \int \phi_n < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq N$; then ϕ_N and ψ_N do the job.

Finally, in such a case, by the definition of f (in Definition 4) we have $\int \phi_n \leq \int f \leq \int \psi_n$, and so $|\int \phi_n - \int f| = \int f - \int \phi_n \leq \int \psi_n - \int \phi_n \rightarrow 0$. Similarly $\int \psi_n \rightarrow \int f$. ■

Riemann Lemma 1

Proof. Choose (by the Density of Rationals) points $q_k \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $q_k \rightarrow x$. Then $\{q_k\}$ is Cauchy (by Theorem 10.4iv) but does not converge in X since $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$. ■

10.21 **Proof.** Suppose that E is complete and that $x_n \in E$ converges. By Theorem 10.4iv, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy. Since $E to x . It follows that $x \in E$$

Conversely, suppose that $x \notin E$. Since $\{q_k\}$ is Cauchy, it follows from Definition 10.19 that the limit of $\{q_k\}$ belongs to E . Thus, $E is closed. ■$

Conversely, suppose that E is complete and that $x_n \in E$ converges. By Theorem 10.16, E is closed. ■

10.22 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. By definition, we must prove that given $x \in E$, $B_r(x)$ is an open ball. and set $\rho(x, a) = r - d(x, a)$. (Look at Figure 8.5 to see why this choice of ρ should work.)

If $y \in B_r(x)$, then by the Triangle Inequality, assumption, and the choice of ρ ,

$$\rho(y, a) = \rho(x, a) + \rho(x, y) > r - d(x, a) = r.$$

Thus, by Definition 10.7, $y \in B_r(a)$. In particular, $B_r(x) \subseteq B_r(a)$. ■

10.23 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.24 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.25 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.26 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.27 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.28 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.29 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.30 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.31 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.32 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.33 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.34 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.35 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.36 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.37 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.38 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.39 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.40 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.41 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.42 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.43 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.44 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.45 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.46 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.47 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.48 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.49 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.50 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.51 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.52 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.53 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball. Then $x_n \in E$ is Cauchy in E . Since the metrics on X and E are identical, $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in X . Since E is complete, it follows that x_n converges to some $x \in E$. But $x \in E$ is closed, so x must belong to E . Thus, E is complete by definition. ■

10.54 **Proof.** Let E be an open ball

Proof. Let us first suppose that $|x - c| < R$. Consider a number ρ such that $|x - c| < \rho < R$. So we have that $(a_n\rho^n)$ is bounded, say $|a_n\rho^n| \leq K$ for all n . Then

$$|a_n||x - c|^n = |a_n|\left(\frac{|x - c|}{\rho}\right)^n\rho^n = \left(\frac{|x - c|}{\rho}\right)^n \times |a_n|\rho^n \leq K\left(\frac{|x - c|}{\rho}\right)^n,$$

and the geometric series

$$\sum \left(\frac{|x - c|}{\rho}\right)^n$$

converges since $\frac{|x - c|}{\rho} < 1$. Thus, by comparison, $(*)$ converges absolutely for such x , and hence also converges for such x .

Now suppose that $|x - c| > R$. For such x we have that the sequence $(a_n(x - c)^n)$ of individual terms in $(*)$ is unbounded, so the series cannot converge. ■

Power Theorem 2

Proof. We have already seen the absolute convergence. With the same notation and argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 above, we have (for $r < \rho < R$) that

$$|a_n||x - c|^n \leq K\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^n := M_n$$

for all x with $|x - c| \leq r$. Since $\sum M_n$ converges, the Weierstrass M -test tells us the convergence is uniform on $[c - r, c + r]$. Since each $a_n(x - c)^n$ is a continuous function, so is the limiting function $f : [c - r, c + r] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Since $r < R$ was arbitrary, we see that f is defined and continuous on $(c - R, c + R)$. ■

Power Lemma 1

Proof. Let the radii of convergence be R_1 and R_2 respectively. Since $|a_n r^n| \leq |a_n r'^n|$ (for $n \geq 1$) we see that $R_2 \leq R_1$. [As the terms of the second series are ‘bigger’, there’s in principle a smaller chance that it’ll converge.] Suppose now for a contradiction that $R_2 < R_1$. Then we can choose ρ and r such that $R_2 < \rho < R_1$ and such that $(a_n r^n)$ is bounded, say $|a_n r^n| \leq K$. Then

$$|a_n r^n| = |a_n r^n| \times n(r/\rho)^n \leq K \times n(r/\rho)^n.$$

But the sequence $n(r/\rho)^n$ converges to zero since $\rho < r$, and therefore $(na_n r^n)$ is also bounded. This contradicts the definition of R_2 , and so $R_1 = R_2$. ■

Ex. 10.1.9

Solution. a) Consider for $s = r - \rho(a, x)$ the ball

$$\{(x : \rho(a, x) \leq s/2)\}.$$

b) Consider the balls

$$B_{r/2}(a) = \{(x : \rho(x, a) < r/2)\}, \quad B_{r/2}(b) = \{(x : \rho(x, b) < r/2)\},$$

where $r = \rho(a, b) > 0$. Are these disjoint?

c) Let $x \in B(a, r) \cap B(b, s)$. Take $t = \min\{r - \rho(a, x), s - \rho(b, x)\}$. Why is

$$B(x, t) \subset B(a, r), \quad B(x, t) \subset B(b, s)?$$

Hint: Use triangle inequality for each.

For the second part take $u = 2r + 2s$. Why this works?

Ex. 10.3.4

Suppose $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$. Prove that $\bar{A} \subseteq \bar{B}$ and that $\text{int } A \subseteq \text{int } B$.

Solution. If $x \in \bar{A}$ then there exists $(x_n) \subset A$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$. As $(x_n) \subset A \subset B$ we have that x is a limit point of the set B as well and therefore $x \in \bar{B}$.

If $x \in \text{int } A$ then $\exists r > 0$ such that $B_r(A) \subset A \implies B_r(A) \subset B$ and hence $x \in \text{int } B$.

Hint: Use triangle inequality for each.

For the second part take $u = 2r + 2s$. Why this works?

Ex. 10.4.7

Ex. 10.4.8

a) Show that if A and B are compact subsets of a metric space X , then there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that the closed ball centred at x with radius ϵ is contained in $B(a, r)$.

b) If $a \neq b$ are distinct points in X prove that there is an $r > 0$ such that $B(a, r) \cap B(b, r) = \emptyset$.

c) Show that given two balls $B(a, r)$ and $B(b, s)$ and a point

$x \in B(a, r) \cap B(b, s)$, there are radii ρ and u such that

$B(x, t) \subseteq B(a, r) \cap B(b, s)$ and such that $B(a, r) \cap B(b, s) \subseteq B(x, u)$.

Ex. 10.5.3

a) Let $H_{k+1} \subseteq H_k$ be a nested sequence of compact nonempty sets in an arbitrary metric space X . Show that $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} H_k \neq \emptyset$. (This is the Cantor intersection theorem.)

b) Consider the metric space \mathbb{Q} with the usual metric inherited from \mathbb{R} . Show that $(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ is closed and bounded but not compact.

c) Show that the Cantor intersection theorem does not hold in an arbitrary metric space if compact is replaced by closed and bounded.

Solution. a) We’ll prove the result by contradiction. Assume that $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} H_k = \emptyset$. It follows that

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} H_k^c = X,$$

and hence $\{H_k^c\}$ is an open cover of the compact set H_1 . Thus it has a finite subcover, say that

$$H_1 \cup H_2 \cup H_3 \cup \dots \cup H_n.$$

Since the original sets H_k are nested, their complements are nested as well (will the inclusion sign reversed). It follows that if $s = \max\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\}$ then $H_s \subset H_1$. Thus

$$\emptyset = H_s \cap H_1 = H_s,$$

but this is a contradiction since we assume that each $H_k \neq \emptyset$.

b) It might seem strange that $(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ is closed, but since $\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ the complement of this set is \mathbb{Q} ,

$$[(-\infty, \sqrt{2}) \cap \mathbb{Q}] \cup [(\sqrt{3}, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}],$$

which is fairly early to see to be open by considering x from the set and then finding $r > 0$ such that $B(x, r)$ lies entirely inside this set.

c) Borrowing the idea from b) in the metric space \mathbb{Q} the sets

$$H_k = (\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2} + 1/k) \cap \mathbb{Q}$$

are closed and bounded but their intersection is empty.

Solution. Suppose that $E \subseteq X$, $E \subseteq \bar{E}$ and that E is connected. Show that A is connected.

Solution. Assume that A is disconnected, and hence there exists nonempty open sets U, V such that

$$U \cap V = \emptyset, \quad A \subset U \cup V, \quad U \cap A \neq \emptyset, \quad V \cap A \neq \emptyset.$$

Let us prove that $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Assume this is false. It follows that there exists

$$x \in U \text{ such that } x \in A \setminus E.$$

But since $A \subset \bar{E}$ we must have that x is a cluster point of E . Since E is open, we have for some $r > 0$: $B(x, r) \subset E$. But $B(x, r)$ must contain infinitely many points of E from which $E \cap U \neq \emptyset$ as desired. Similarly, we can prove that $E \cap V \neq \emptyset$. But this contradicts our assumption that E is connected.

Ex. 10.5.11

Solution. Suppose that $\{E_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a collection of connected sets in a metric space such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n \neq \emptyset$. Prove that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ is connected.

Solution. Denote by $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$. Assume that A is disconnected and hence there exists nonempty open sets U, V such that

$$U \cap V = \emptyset, \quad A \subset U \cup V, \quad U \cap A \neq \emptyset, \quad V \cap A \neq \emptyset.$$

Let $x \in U \cap V$. Clearly either $x \in U$ or $x \in V$ but not both. Without loss of generality assume that $x \in U$. It follows that

$$U \cap E_n \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We then must have $V \cap E_n = \emptyset$, for each n otherwise E_n would be disconnected. It follows that $V \cap \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n = \emptyset$ which is just $V \cap A = \emptyset$ contradicting our assumption. Thus A must be connected.

Ex. 10.5.5

Suppose that $E \subseteq X$, $E \subseteq \bar{E}$ and that E is connected. Show that A is connected.

Solution. Assume that A is disconnected, and hence there exists

$$U \cap V = \emptyset, \quad A \subset U \cup V, \quad U \cap A \neq \emptyset, \quad V \cap A \neq \emptyset.$$

Let us prove that $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Assume this is false. It follows that

$$x \in U \text{ such that } x \in A \setminus E.$$

But since $A \subset \bar{E}$ we must have that x is a cluster point of E . Since E is open, we have for some $r > 0$: $B(x, r) \subset E$. But $B(x, r)$ must contain infinitely many points of E from which $E \cap U \neq \emptyset$ as desired. Similarly, we can prove that $E \cap V \neq \emptyset$. But this contradicts our assumption that E is connected.

Ex. 10.6.3.5

Show that if $E \subseteq X$ is nonempty and closed, and $a \notin E$, then $\inf_{x \in E} d(x, a) > 0$.

Solution. Pick $x_0 \in E$ and let $x_1 = f(x_0)$, $x_2 = f(x_1)$ etc. so that $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$. Consider $d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \inf_{x \in E} d(x, x_0)$ by hypothesis. Repeating, we have

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \alpha^n d(x_1, x_0)$$

so that, when $m \geq n$

$$d(x_m, x_n) \leq d(x_m, x_{m-1}) + \dots + d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq (\alpha^{m-1} + \dots + \alpha^n)d(x_1, x_0)$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha^n}{1 - \alpha} d(x_1, x_0)$$

since $\alpha < 1$. This shows that (x_n) is a Cauchy sequence in X and hence, by completeness of X , there is an $x \in X$ to which it converges.

Now a contraction map is continuous, so continuity of f at x shows that $f(x) = f(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = x$, so that indeed $f(x) = x$.

Finally, if there are $x, y \in X$ with $f(x) = x$ and $f(y) = y$, we have $d(x, y) = d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \inf_{x \in E} d(x, x_0)$, which, since $\alpha < 1$, forces $d(x, y) = 0$, i.e. $x = y$.

Ex. 10.6.3.7

Show that if $E \subseteq X$ is nonempty and closed, and $a \notin E$, then $\inf_{x \in E} d(x, a) > 0$.

Solution. Let $x \in E$ and $y \in X \setminus E$. Then $d(x, y) > 0$.

Ex. 10.6.7

Show that if $E \subseteq X$ is nonempty and closed, and $a \notin E$, then $\inf_{x \in E} d(x, a) > 0$.

Solution. Let $x \in E$ and $y \in X \setminus E$. Then $d(x, y) > 0$.

Ex. 10.2.2

A point a in a metric space (X, d) is isolated if there is an $r > 0$ such that $B(a, r) = \{a\}$.

a) Show that a is isolated iff it is not a cluster point of X .

b) Show that a discrete metric space has no cluster points.

Solution. a) If a is isolated then clearly $B(a, r)$ only contains a single point and hence it’s not a cluster point. Conversely, if a is not a cluster point of X then for some $r > 0$ the ball $B(a, r)$ only contains finitely many points of X which we denote as x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . Let

$$\rho = \min\{d(a, x_1), d(a, x_2), \dots, d(a, x_n)\} > 0.$$

Then $X \cap B(a, \rho) = \{a\}$ and hence a is isolated.

b) For all $a \in X$ we have $B(a, 1/2) = \{a\}$ and so a is isolated. By part a) a is not a cluster point.

Ex. 10.2.3

Show that if a is a cluster point for $E \subseteq X$ iff there is a sequence $x_n \in E \setminus \{a\}$ such that $x_n \rightarrow a$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Solution. “ \Rightarrow ”: For each n we have $x_n \in B(a, 1/n)$ and hence $x_n \neq a$. It follows that $x_n \rightarrow a$ and that $x_n \in E \setminus \{a\}$.

“ \Leftarrow ”: Pick $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists N such that for all $n \geq N$ that $d(x_n, a) < \epsilon$. It follows that

$$E \cap B(a, \epsilon) = \{x_N, x_{N+1}, x_{N+2}, \dots\}.$$

This is an infinite set (why? - some argument is needed here; think about what would happen if this set were finite. Would it be possible to have $x_n \rightarrow a$?).

Ex. 10.2.4

Let $E \subseteq X$ be closed.

a) Prove that $\partial E \subseteq E$.

b) Prove that $\partial E = E$ iff $E = \text{int } E$.

c) Show that the conclusion of b) is false if E is not closed.

Solution. a) $\partial E = \bar{E} - \text{int } E \subseteq \bar{E} - E = \partial E$.

b) $\partial E = E - \text{int } E = \partial E$.

c) Clearly, always by the calculation above if $\text{int } E = \emptyset$ then $\partial E = E$. If E is not closed then $\partial E \neq E$.

Ex. 10.2.5

Let $E \subseteq X$ be closed.

a) Prove that $\text{int } E \subseteq E$ iff E is compact.

b) Prove that every bounded infinite subset of E has at least one cluster point.

Solution. a) “ \Rightarrow ”: obvious, as for a cluster point $E \cap B(a, r)$ is infinite and hence $E \cap B(a, r) \setminus \{a\}$ must be nonempty.

“ \Leftarrow ”: For each n let $x_n \in E \cap B(a, 1/n) \setminus \{a\}$. Hence $x_n \rightarrow a$ and then by the previous exercise a is a cluster point.

b) Suppose $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and infinite. We can then pick a sequence $(x_n) \subset E$ such that $x_n \neq x_m$ if $n \neq m$. As E is bounded it must be bounded and hence it has a convergent subsequence to a number $a \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows by Ex. 10.2.3 that a is a cluster point of E .

Ex. 10.4.3

Suppose $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is compact and nonempty. Prove that $\sup E$ and $\inf E$ both belong to E .

Solution. Since $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is compact it is closed and bounded. Using the boundedness of the set follows that $\sup E$ and $\inf E$ both exist.

It remains to show that $\sup E \in E$ (the proof for $\inf E$ is analogous).

By the properties of \sup for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $x_n \in E$ such that

$$\inf E = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \in E.$$

Hence by the squeeze theorem $x_n \rightarrow \sup E$ and (x_n) $\subset E$. Since E is a closed set we must therefore have

$$\sup E = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \in E.$$

Suppose $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is compact and nonempty. Prove that $\sup E$ and $\inf E$ both belong to E .

Solution. Since $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is compact so is $E \cap F$ as F is continuous. The only connected subsets of E are intervals. From this

$$(f, a), (f, b) \in E \cap F \implies [(f, a), (f, b)] \subset E \cap F.$$

Thus for any $a < y < b$ we have $y \in E \cap F$ from which the claim follows.

Ex. 10.6.9

Suppose that X is connected. Prove that if there is a non-constant, continuous $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ then X has uncountably many points.

Solution. As in the problem above $f(X)$ is a connected subset of \mathbb{R} , hence an interval. As f is non-constant it follows that $f(X)$ contains an open interval $(a, b) \subset f(X)$. It follows that for each $y \in (a, b)$ there exists at least one point $x \in X$ which we call $x = g(y)$ such that $f(x) = y$. Hence

$$g : (a, b) \rightarrow X, \quad \text{is a 1-1 map}$$

From which we see that the set $g((a, b))$ has the same size as the interval (a, b) , i.e., both are uncountable. Since $g(a, b) \subset X$ it follows that X must also be uncountable.

From which we see that the set $g((a, b))$ has the same size as the interval (a, b) , i.e., both are uncountable. Since $g(a, b) \subset X$ it follows that X must also be uncountable.

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Prove that f is continuous on \mathbb{R} iff $f^{-1}(I)$ is open in \mathbb{R} for every open interval I .

Solution. \implies