New Construction of Authentication Codes with Arbitration from Pseudo-Symplectic Geometry over Finite Fields

Chen Shang-di Zhao Da-wei

College of Science, Civil Aviation University of China, Tianjin, 300300, P.R. China

Abstract. A new construction of authentication codes with arbitration from pseudo-symplectic geometry over finite fields is given. The parameters and the probabilities of deceptions of the codes are also computed.

§1 Introduction

To solve the distrust problem of the transmitter and the receiver in the communications system, Simmons introduced a model of authentication codes with arbitration (see [1]), we write symply $(A^2$ -code) defined as follows:

Let S, E_T , E_R and M be four non-empty finite sets, and $f: S \times E_T \to M$ and $g: M \times E_R \to S \cup \{reject\}$ be two maps. The six-tuple $(S, E_T, E_R, M; f, g)$ is called an authentication code with arbitration $(A^2$ -code), if

- (1) The maps f and g are surjective;
- (2) For any $m \in M$ and $e_T \in E_T$, if there is an $s \in S$, satisfying $f(s, e_T) = m$, then such an s is uniquely determined by the given m and e_T ;
 - (3) $p(e_T, e_R) \neq 0$ and $f(s, e_T) = m$ implies $g(m, e_R) = s$, otherwise, $g(m, e_R) = \{reject\}$.
- S, E_T , E_R and M are called the set of source states, the set of transmitter's encoding rules, the set of receiver's decoding rules and the set of messages, respectively; f and g are called the encoding map and decoding map respectively. The cardinals |S|, $|E_T|$, $|E_R|$ and |M| are called the size parameters of the code.

In a authentication system that permits arbitration, this model includes four attendances: the transmitter, the receiver, the opponent and the arbiter, and includes five attacks:

MR Subject Classification: 94A62; 94A60

 $\label{eq:Keywords:pseudo-symplectic geometry, authentication codes, arbitration, finite field.$

Supported by the NNSF of China(60776810), the NSF of Tianjin(08JCYBJC13900).

Address: College of Science, Civil Aviation University of China, Tianjin 300300, PR CHina.

E-mail address: sdchen@cauc.edu.cn

1) The opponent's impersonation attack: the largest probability of an opponent's successful impersonation attack is P_I . Then

$$P_I = \max_{m \in M} \left\{ \frac{\mid e_R \in E_R \mid e_R \subset m \mid}{\mid E_R \mid} \right\}.$$

2) The opponent's substitution attack: the largest probability of an opponent's successful substitution attack is P_S . Then

$$P_{S} = \max_{m \in M} \left\{ \frac{\max_{m \neq m' \in M} \mid e_{R} \in E_{R} \mid e_{R} \subset m \text{ and } e_{R} \subset m'}{\mid e_{R} \in E_{R} \mid e_{R} \subset m \mid} \right\}.$$

3) The transmitter's impersonation attack: the largest probability of a transmitter's successful impersonation attack is P_T . Then

$$P_{T} = \max_{e_{T} \in E_{T}} \left\{ \frac{\max_{m \in M, e_{T} \notin m} | \{e_{R} \in E_{R} | e_{R} \subset m \text{ and } p(e_{R}, e_{T}) \neq 0\} |}{| \{e_{R} \in E_{R} | p(e_{R}, e_{T}) \neq 0\} |} \right\}.$$

4) The receiver's impersonation attack: the largest probability of a receiver's successful impersonation attack is P_{R_0} . Then

$$P_{R_0} = \max_{e_R \in E_R} \left\{ \frac{\max_{m \in M} | \{e_T \in E_T | e_T \subset m \text{ and } p(e_R, e_T) \neq 0\} |}{| \{e_T \in E_T | p(e_R, e_T) \neq 0\} |} \right\}.$$

5) The receiver's substitution attack: the largest probability of a receiver's successful substitution attack is P_{R_1} . Then

$$P_{R_{1}} = \max_{e_{R} \in E_{R}, m \in M} \left\{ \frac{\max_{m' \in M} | \{e_{T} \in E_{T} | e_{T} \subset m, m' \text{ and } p(e_{R}, e_{T}) \neq 0\} |}{| \{e_{T} \in E_{T} | e_{T} \subset m \text{ and } p(e_{R}, e_{T}) \neq 0\} |} \right\}.$$

Notes: $p(e_R, e_T) \neq 0$ implies that any information s encoded by e_T can be authenticated by e_R .

In this paper, the ${}^{t}P$ denotes the transpose of a matrix P. Some concepts and notations refer to [2].

§2 Pseudo-Symplectic Geometry

Let F_q be the finite field with q elements, where q is a power of 2, $n=2\nu+\delta$ and $\delta=1,2$. Let

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I^{(\nu)} \\ I^{(\nu)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} K \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} K \\ & 0 & 1 \\ & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and S_{δ} is an $(2\nu + \delta) \times (2\nu + \delta)$ non-alternate symmetric matrix.

The pseudo-symplectic group of degree $(2\nu + \delta)$ over F_q is defined to be the set of matrices $Ps_{2\nu+\delta}(F_q) = \{T|TS_{\delta}\ ^tT = S_{\delta}\}$ denoted by $Ps_{2\nu+\delta}(F_q)$.

Let $F_q^{(2\nu+\delta)}$ be the $(2\nu+\delta)$ -dimensional row vector space over F_q . $Ps_{2\nu+\delta}(F_q)$ has an action

CHEN Shanq-di, et al.

on $F_q^{(2\nu+\delta)}$ defined as follows:

$$F_q^{(2\nu+\delta)} \times Ps_{2\nu+\delta}(F_q) \to F_q^{(2\nu+\delta)}$$

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2\nu+\delta}, T) \to (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2\nu+\delta})T$$

 $((x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{2\nu+\delta}),T)\to (x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{2\nu+\delta})T$ The vector space $F_q^{(2\nu+\delta)}$ together with this group action is called the pseudo-symplectic space over the finite field F_q of characteristic 2.

Let P be an m-dimensional subspace of $F_q^{(2\nu+\delta)}$, then $PS_{\delta}^{\ t}P$ is cogredient to one of the following three normal forms

$$M(m, 2s, s) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I^{(s)} \\ I^{(s)} & 0 \\ & 0^{(m-2s)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M(m, 2s+1, s) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I^{(s)} & & \\ I^{(s)} & 0 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 0^{(m-2s-1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M(m, 2s+2, s) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I^{(s)} & & & \\ I^{(s)} & 0 & & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & \\ & & 1 & 1 & \\ & & & 0^{(m-2s-2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

for some s such that $0 \le s \le [m/2]$. We say that P ia a subspace of type $(m, 2s + \tau, s, \epsilon)$, where $\tau = 0, 1$ or 2 and $\epsilon = 0$ or 1, if

- (i) $PS_{\delta}^{t}P$ is cogredient to $M(m, 2s + \tau, s)$, and
- (ii) $e_{2\nu+1} \notin P$ or $e_{2\nu+1} \in P$ according to $\epsilon = 0$ or $\epsilon = 1$, respectively.

Let P be an m-dimensional subspace of $F_q^{(2\nu+\delta)}$. Denote by P^{\perp} the set of vectors which are orthogonal to every vector of P, i.e.,

$$P^{\perp}=\{y\in F_q^{(2\nu+\delta)}|yS_{\delta}\ ^tx=0\ for\ all\ x\in P\}$$

Obviously, P^{\perp} is a $(2\nu+\delta-m)\text{-dimensional subspace of }F_q^{(2\nu+\delta)}$.

More properties of geometry of pseudo-symplectic groups over finite fields of characteristic 2 can be found in [2].

In [3-5] several constructions of authentication codes with arbitration from the geometry of classical groups over finite fields were given and studied. In this paper a construction of authentication codes with arbitration from pseudo-symplectic geometry over finite fields is given. The parameters and the probabilities of deceptions of the codes are also computed.

§3 Construction

Assume that $n=(2\nu+\delta)$, $s-1\leq s_0\leq \nu$, $2s\leq m_0, 2s_0\leq m_0$. Let $\langle \nu_0,e_{2\nu+1}\rangle$ be a fixed subspace of type (2,0,0,1) in the $(2\nu+2)$ -dimensional pseudo-symplectic space $F_q^{(2\nu+2)}$; P_0 is a

fixed subspace of type $(m_0, 2s_0, s_0, 1)$ in $F_q^{(2\nu+2)}$ and $\langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle \subset P_0 \subset \langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle^{\perp}$. The set of source states $S = \{s | s \text{ is a subspace of type } (2s, 2(s-1), s-1, 1) \text{ and } \langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle \subset s \subset P_0\}$; the set of transmitter's encoding rules $E_T = \{e_T | e_T \text{ is a subspace of type } (4,4,1,1) \text{ and } e_T \cap P_0 = \langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle \}$; the set of receiver's decoding rules $E_R = \{e_R | e_R \text{ is a subspace of type } (2,2,0,1) \text{ in the } (2\nu+2)\text{-dimensional pseudo-symplectic space } F_q^{(2\nu+2)}\}$; the set of messages $M = \{m | m \text{ is a subspace of type } (2s+2,2s+2,s,1), \langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle \subset m, \text{ and } m \cap P_0 \text{ is a subspace of type } (2s,2(s-1),s-1,1)\}$.

Define the encoding map:

$$f: S \times E_T \to M, (s, e_T) \longmapsto m = s + e_T$$

and the decoding map:

$$g: M \times E_R \to S \cup \{reject\}$$

$$(m, e_R) \mapsto \begin{cases} s & \text{if } e_R \subset m, \text{ where } s = m \cap P_0. \\ \{reject\} & \text{if } e_R \not\subset m. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 1. The six-tuple $(S, E_T, E_R, M; f, g)$ is an authentication code with arbitration, that is

- (1) $s + e_T = m \in M$, for all $s \in S$ and $e_T \in E_T$;
- (2) for any $m \in M$, $s = m \cap P_0$ is the uniquely source state contained in m and there is $e_T \in E_T$, such that $m = s + e_T$.

Proof. (1) For any $s \in S$, s is a subspace of type (2s, 2(s-1), s-1, 1) and $\langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle \subset S \subset P_0$, we can assume that

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ \nu_0 \\ e_{2\nu+1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{c} 2s - 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} ,$$

then

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q \\ \nu_0 \\ e_{2\nu+1} \end{pmatrix} S_2 \quad \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ \nu_0 \\ e_{2\nu+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ I^{(s-1)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I^{(s-1)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

$$s-1 \quad s-1 \quad 1 \quad 1$$

For any $e_T \in E_T$, e_T is a subspace of type (4,4,1,1) and $e_T \cap P_0 = \langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle$, we can assume that

$$e_T = \begin{pmatrix} v_0 \\ e_{2\nu+1} \\ u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_0 \\ e_{2\nu+1} \\ u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} S_2 \quad \begin{pmatrix} v_0 \\ e_{2\nu+1} \\ u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

CHEN Shanq-di, et al. 5

Obviously, $u_1, u_2 \notin S$. Hence $m = s + e_T$ is a (2s + 2)-dimensional subspace and $m \cap P_0 = s$ is a subspace of type (2s, 2(s - 1), s - 1, 1). We also have

$$mS_2 t m = \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ v_0 \\ e_{2\nu+1} \\ u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} S_2 \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ v_0 \\ e_{2\nu+1} \\ u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I^{(s-1)} & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ I^{(s-1)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ * & * & * & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, m is a subspace of type (2s+2, 2s+2, s, 1), $\langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle \subset m$, and $m \cap P_0$ is a subspace of type (2s, 2(s-1), s-1, 1), i.e., $m \in M$ is a message.

(2) If $m \in M$, let $s = m \cap P_0$, then s is a subspace of type (2s, 2(s-1), s-1, 1) and $\langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle \subset S \subset P_0$, i.e., $s \in S$ is a source state. Now let

Since $m \neq P_0$, therefore, there are $u_1, u_2 \in m \setminus P_0$ such that $m = s \oplus \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q \\ v_0 \\ e_{2\nu+1} \\ u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} S_2 \qquad \begin{pmatrix} Q \\ v_0 \\ e_{2\nu+1} \\ u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I^{(s-1)} & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ I^{(s-1)} & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ * & * & * & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (*)

Let $e_T = \langle v_0, e_{2\nu+1}, u_1, u_2 \rangle$. Form (*) we deduce that e_T is a subspace of type (4,4,1,1) and $e_T \cap P_0 = \langle v_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle$. Therefore e_T is a encoding rule of transmitter and satisfying $s + e_T = m$.

If s' is another source state contained in m, then $s' \subset m, P_0$, i.e., $s' \subset m \cap P_0 = s$. While $\dim s' = \dim s$, so s' = s, i.e., s is the uniquely source state contained in m.

Assuming the transmitter's encoding rules and the receiver's decoding rules are chosen according to a uniform probability distribution, we can assume that $\langle v_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle = \langle e_1, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle$, then $\langle v_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle^{\perp} = \langle e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{\nu}, e_{\nu+2}, \dots e_{2\nu}, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle$.

Let n_1 denote the number of subspaces of type (2s, 2(s-1), s-1, 1) contained in $\langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle^{\perp}$, and containing $\langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle$; n_2 , the number of subspaces of type $(m_0, 2s_0, s_0, 1)$ contained in $\langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle^{\perp}$, and containing a fixed subspace of type (2s, 2(s-1), s-1, 1) as above; and n_3 , the number of subspaces of type $(m_0, 2s_0, s_0, 1)$ contained in $\langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle^{\perp}$, and containing $\langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle$.

Lemma 2. (1) $n_1 = N(2s-2, s-1; 2\nu - 2);$

- (2) $n_2 = N(m_0 2s, s_0 s + 1; 2(\nu s));$
- (3) $n_3 = N(m_0 2, s_0; 2\nu 2)$.

Where N(m, s; n) is the number of subspaces of type (m, s) in the *n*-dimensional symplectic space $F_q^{(n)}$.

Proof. (1) We can assume that s is a subspace of type (2s, 2(s-1), s-1, 1) and $\langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle \subset s \subset \langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle^{\perp}$. Clearly, s has a form as follows

where (P_2, P_3, P_5, P_6) is a subspace of type (2s - 2, s - 1) in the symplectic space $F_q^{(2\nu - 2)}$. Therefore, $n_1 = N(2s - 2, s - 1; 2\nu - 2)$.

(2) Assume that P is a subspace of type $(m_0, 2s_0, s_0, 1)$ containing a fixed subspace of type (2s, 2(s-1), s-1, 1) as above and $P \subset \langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle^{\perp}$. It is easy to know that P has a form as follows

where (L_3, L_6) is a subspace of type $(m_0 - 2s, s_0 - s + 1)$ in the symplectic space $F_q^{2(\nu - s)}$. Therefore, $n_2 = N(m_0 - 2s, s_0 - s + 1; 2(\nu - s))$.

(3) Similar to the proof of (1), we have $n_3 = N(m_0 - 2, s_0; 2\nu - 2)$.

Lemma 3. The number of the source states is $|S| = N(2s-2, s-1; 2\nu-2)N(m_0-2s, s_0-s+1; 2(\nu-s))/N(m_0-2, s_0; 2\nu-2).$

Proof. |S| is the number of subspace of type (2s, 2(s-1), s-1, 1) contained in P_0 , and containing $\langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle$. In order to compute |S|, we define a (0,1)-matrix, whose rows are indexed by the subspaces of type (2s, 2(s-1), s-1, 1) containing $\langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle$ and contained in $\langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle^{\perp}$ whose columns are indexed by the subspaces of type $(m_0, 2s_0, s_0, 1)$ containing $\langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle$ and contained in $\langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle^{\perp}$, and with a 1 or 0 in the (i,j) position of the matrix, if the i-th subspace of type (2s, 2(s-1), s-1, 1) is or is not contained in the j-th subspace of type $(m_0, 2s_0, s_0, 1)$, respectively. If we count the number of 1's in the matrix by rows, we get $n_1 \cdot n_2$, where n_1 is the number of rows and n_2 is the number of 1's in each row. If we count the number of 1's in the matrix by columns, we get $n_3 \cdot |S|$, where n_3 is the number of columns and |S| is the number of 1's in each column. Thus we have $n_1 \cdot n_2 = n_3 \cdot |S|$.

Lemma 4. The number of the encoding rules of transmitter is $|E_T| = q^{4(\nu-1)}$.

Proof. Since e_T is a subspace of type (4,4,1,1) and $e_T \cap P_0 = \langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle$, the transmitter's encoding rules have the form as follows

CHEN Shang-di, et al. 7

where $R_2, R_3, R_5, R_6, L_2, L_3, L_5, L_6$ arbitrarily. Therefore, $|E_T| = q^{4(\nu-1)}$.

Lemma 5. The number of the decoding rules of receiver is $|E_R| = q^{2\nu}$.

Proof. Since e_R is a subspace of type (2,2,0,1) in the $(2\nu + 2)$ -dimensional pseudo-symplectic space $F_q^{(2\nu+2)}$, it has the form as follows

$$e_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ R_1 & R_2 & R_3 & R_4 & R_5 & R_6 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ s-1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s-1 \\ s-$$

where $R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, R_5, R_6$ arbitrarily. Therefore, $|E_R| = q^{2\nu}$.

Lemma 6. For any $m \in M$, let the number of e_T and e_R contained in m be a and b, respectively. Then $a = q^{4(s-1)}$, $b = q^{2s}$.

Proof. Let m be a message. From the definition of m, we may take m as follows:

If $e_T \subset m$, then we can assume

where R_2, R_5, L_2, L_5 arbitrarily. Therefore, $a = q^{4(s-1)}$.

If $e_R \subset m$, then we can assume

$$e_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ R_1 & R_2 & 0 & R_4 & R_5 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1$$

where R_1, R_2, R_4, R_5 arbitrarily. Therefore, $b = q^{2s}$

Lemma 7. The number of the messages is $|M| = q^{4(\nu-s)}|S|$.

Proof. We know that a message contains a source state and the number of the transmitter's encoding rules contained in a message is a. Therefore we have $|M| = |S||E_T|/a = q^{4(\nu-s)}|S|$.

Lemma 8. (1) For any $e_T \in E_T$, the number of e_R which is incidence with e_T is $c = q^2$.

(2) For any $e_R \in E_R$, the number of e_T which is incidence with e_R is $d = q^{2(\nu-1)}$.

Proof. (1) Assume that $e_T \in E_T$, e_T is a subspace of type (4,4,1,1) and $e_T \cap P_0 = \langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle$,

we may take e_T as follows:

If $e_R \subset e_T$, then we can assume

$$e_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ R_1 & 0 & 0 & R_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} {}_1^1 ,$$

where R_1, R_4 , arbitrarily. Therefore, $c = q^2$.

(2) Assume that $e_R \subset E_R$, e_R is a subspace of type (2,2,0,1) in the $(2\nu + 2)$ -dimensional pseudo-symplectic space $F_q^{(2\nu+2)}$, we may take e_R as follows:

$$e_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array}.$$

If $e_T \supset e_R$, then we can assume

where R_2, R_3, R_5, R_6 arbitrarily. Therefore, $d = q^{2(\nu-1)}$.

Lemma 9. For any $m \in M$ and $e_R \subset m$, the number of e_T contained in m and containing e_R is $q^{2(s-1)}$.

Proof. The matrix of m is like lemma 6, then for any $e_R \subset m$, assume that

$$e_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ R_1 & R_2 & 0 & R_4 & R_5 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{1}{}_{1},$$

if $e_T \subset m$ and $e_T \supset e_R$, then e_T has a form as follows

where L_2, L_5 arbitrarily. Therefore, the number of e_T contained in m and containing e_R is $q^{2(s-1)}$.

Lemma 10. Assume that m_1 and m_2 are two distinct messages which commonly contain a transmitter's encoding rule e'_T . s_1 and s_2 contained in m_1 and m_2 are two source states,

CHEN Shanq-di, et al. 9

respectively. Assume that $s_0 = s_1 \cap s_2$, dim $s_0 = k$, then $2 \le k \le 2s - 1$, and

- (1) The number of e_R contained in $m_1 \cap m_2$ is q^k ;
- (2) For any $e_R \subset m_1 \cap m_2$, the number of e_T contained in $m_1 \cap m_2$ and containing e_R is q^{k-2} .

Proof. Since $m_1 = s_1 + e'_T$, $m_2 = s_2 + e'_T$ and $m_1 \neq m_2$, then $s_1 \neq s_2$. And because of $\langle \nu_0, e_{2\nu+1} \rangle \subset s_1, s_2$, therefore, $2 \leq k \leq 2s - 1$.

(1) Assume that s_i' is the complementary subspace of s_0 in the s_i , then $s_i = s_0 + s_i'$ (i = 1,2). From $m_i = s_i + e_T' = s_0 + s_i' + e_T'$ and $s_i = m_i \cap P_0$ (i = 1,2), we have $s_0 = (m_1 \cap P_0) \bigcap (m_2 \cap P_0) = m_1 \cap m_2 \cap P_0 = s_1 \cap m_2 = s_2 \cap m_1$ and $m_1 \cap m_2 = (s_1 + e_T') \cap m_2 = (s_0 + s_1' + e_T') \cap m_2 = ((s_0 + e_T') + s_1') \cap m_2$. Because $s_0 + e_T' \subset m_2$, $m_1 \cap m_2 = (s_0 + e_T') + (s_1' \cap m_2)$. While $s_1' \cap m_2 \subseteq s_1 \cap m_2 = s_0$, $m_1 \cap m_2 = s_0 + e_T'$. Therefore dim $(m_1 \cap m_2) = k + 2$. From the definition of the message, we may take m_1 and m_2 as follows respectively

1 s-1 $\nu-s$ 1 s-1 $\nu-s$ 1 1

1 s-1 $\nu-s$ 1 s-1 $\nu-s$ 1 1

Thus

and

$$\dim \quad \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & P_2 & 0 & 0 & P_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2' & 0 & 0 & P_5' & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \ = k-2.$$

If for any $e_R \subset m_1 \cap m_2$, then

$$e_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ R_1 & R_2 & 0 & R_4 & R_5 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{1}{}_{1} ,$$

where R_1, R_4 arbitrarily, and every row of $(0 R_2 0 0 R_5 0 0 0)$ is the linear combination of the base of

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & P_2 & 0 & 0 & P_5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2' & 0 & 0 & P_5' & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

So it is easy to know that the number of e_R contained in $m_1 \cap m_2$ is q^k .

(2) Assume that $m_1 \cap m_2$ has the form of (1), then for any $e_R \subset m_1 \cap m_2$, we can assume that

$$e_R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ R_1 & R_2 & 0 & R_4 & R_5 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{1}{}_{1} ,$$

If $e_T \subset m_1 \cap m_2$ and $e_R \subset e_T$, then e_T has the form as follows

where every row of
$$(0\ L_2\ 0\ 0\ L_5\ 0\ 0\ 0)$$
 is the linear combination of the base of
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0\ P_2\ 0\ 0\ P_5\ 0\ 0\ 0\\ 0\ P_2'\ 0\ 0\ P_5'\ 0\ 0\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then the number of e_T contained in $m_1 \cap m_2$ and containing e_R is q^{k-2} .

Theorem 1. The parameters of constructed authentication codes with arbitration are

$$|S| = N(2s - 2, s - 1; 2\nu - 2)N(m_0 - 2s, s_0 - s + 1; 2(\nu - s))/N(m_0 - 2, s_0; 2\nu - 2);$$

$$|M| = q^{4(\nu - s)}|S|; |E_T| = q^{4(\nu - 1)}; |E_R| = q^{2\nu}.$$

Theorem 2. In the A^2 authentication codes, if the transmitter's encoding rules and the receiver's decoding rules are chosen according to a uniform probability distribution, the largest probabilities of success for different types of deceptions:

$$P_I = \frac{1}{q^{2(\nu - s)}}; \quad P_S = \frac{1}{q}; \quad P_T = \frac{1}{q}; \quad P_{R_0} = \frac{1}{q^{2(\nu - s)}}; \quad P_{R_1} = \frac{1}{q};$$

Proof. (1) The number of the transmitter's encoding rules contained in a message is b, then

$$P_I = \max_{m \in M} \left\{ \frac{|e_R \in E_R|e_R \subset m|}{|E_R|} \right\} = \frac{b}{|E_R|} = \frac{1}{q^{2(\nu - s)}}.$$

(2) Assume that opponent get m_1 which is from transmitter, and send m_2 instead of m_1 , when s_1 contained in m_1 is different from s_1 contained in m_2 , the opponent's substitution attack can success. Because $e_R \subset e_T \subset m_1$, thus the opponent select $e_T^{'} \subset m_1$, satisfying CHEN Shang-di, et al. 11

 $m_2 = s_2 + e_T^{'}$ and $\dim(s_1 \cap s_2) = k$, then

$$P_{S} = \max_{m \in M} \left\{ \frac{\max_{m \neq m' \in M} |e_{R} \in E_{R}|e_{R} \subset m \text{ and } e_{R} \subset m'}{|e_{R} \in E_{R}|e_{R} \subset m|} \right\} = \frac{q^{k}}{b}$$

where $k = 2s - 1, P_s = \frac{1}{a}$ is the largest.

(3) Let e_T be the transmitter's secret encoding rules, s be a source state, and m_1 be the message corresponding to the source state s encoded by e_T . Then the number of the receiver's decoding rules contained in m_1 is e_R . Assume that m_2 is a distinct message corresponding to s, but m_2 cannot be encoded by e_T . Then $m_1 \cap m_2$ contains q receiver's decoding rules at most. Therefore the probability of transmitter's successful impersonation attack is

$$P_{T} = \max_{e_{T} \in E_{T}} \left\{ \frac{\max_{m \in M, e_{T} \not\subset m} | \{e_{R} \in E_{R} | e_{R} \subset m \cap e_{T}\} |}{| \{e_{R} \in E_{R} | e_{R} \subset e_{T}\} |} \right\} = \frac{q}{q^{2}} = \frac{1}{q}$$

(4) Let e_R be the receiver's decoding rule, we have known that the number of transmitter's encoding rules containing e_R is $q^{2(\nu-s)}$ and a message containing e_R has $q^{2(s-1)}$ transmitter's encoding rules. Hence the probability of a receiver's successful impersonation attack is

$$P_{R_0} = \max_{e_R \in E_R} \left\{ \frac{\max_{m \in M} \mid \{e_T \in E_T | e_T \subset m \text{ and } e_R \subset e_T \mid}{\mid \{e_T \in E_T | e_R \subset e_T \mid} \right\} = \frac{q^{2(s-1)}}{q^{2(\nu-1)}} = \frac{1}{q^{2(\nu-s)}}.$$

(5) Assume that the receiver declares to receive a message m_2 instead of m_1 , when s_2 contained in m_1 is different from s_2 contained in m_2 , the receiver's substitution attack can be successful. Since $e_R \subset e_T \subset m_1$, receiver is superior to select $e_T^{'}$, satisfying $e_R \subset e_T^{'} \subset m_1$, thus $m_2 = s_2 + e_T^{'}$, and $\dim(s_1 \cap s_2) = k$ as large as possible. Therefore, the probability of a receiver's successful substitution attack is

$$P_{R_{1}} = \max_{e_{R} \in E_{R}, m \in M} \left\{ \frac{\max_{m' \in M} \mid \{e_{T} \in E_{T} | e_{T} \subset m, m' \text{ and } e_{R} \subset e_{T}\} \mid}{\mid \{e_{T} \in E_{T} | e_{R} \subset e_{T}\} \mid} \right\} = \frac{q^{k-2}}{q^{2(s-1)}},$$

where k = 2s - 1, $P_{R_1} = \frac{1}{q}$ is the largest.

References

- [1] G.J. Simmons. Message authentication with arbitration of transmitter/receiver disputes. Proc. Eurcrypt 87. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 1987(304):151-165.
- [2] WAN Zhexian. Geometry of Classical Groups over Finite Fields (Second Edition) [M]. Beijing/New York: Science Press, 2002.
- [3] G.J. Simmons. Message authentication with arbitration of transmitter/receiver disputes. Proc. Eurcrypt 87. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 1985(304):151-165.

- [4] Gao You, Zou Zengjia. Some Constructions of Cartesian Authentication Codes from Pseudo- Symplectic Geometry[J]. Northeast. Math.J 1995, 11(1):47-55.
- [5] Wang Hongli ,Gao You. Construction of Authentication Codes with Arbitration from Singular Pseudo-Symplectic Geometry [J]. Acta Scientiarum Naturalium Science and Engineering University Of Hebei. 2008, 02:65-70.