

Compositional properties of crypto-based components

Maria Spichkova

May 14, 2014

Abstract

This paper presents an Isabelle/HOL+Isar [1, 4] set of theories which allows to specify crypto-based components and to verify their composition properties wrt. cryptographic aspects. We introduce a formalisation of the security property of data secrecy, the corresponding definitions and proofs. A part of these definitions is based on [3]. Please note that here we import here the Isabelle/HOL theory ListExtras.thy, presented in [2].

Contents

1	Auxiliary data types	2
2	Correctness of the relations between sets of Input/Output channels	2
3	Secrecy: Definitions and properties	4
4	Local Secrets of a component	19
5	Knowledge of Keys and Secrets	26

1 Auxiliary data types

```
theory Secrecy-types
imports Main
begin
```

- We assume disjoint sets: Data of data values,
- Secrets of unguessable values, Keys - set of cryptographic keys.
- Based on these sets, we specify the sets EncType of encryptors that may be used for encryption or decryption, and Expression of expression items.
- The specification (component) identifiers should be listed in the set specID,
- the channel indentifiers should be listed in the set chanID.

```
datatype Keys = CKey | CKeyP | SKey | SKeyP | genKey
datatype Secrets = secretD | N | NA
type-synonym Var = nat
type-synonym Data = nat
datatype KS = kKS Keys | sKS Secrets
datatype EncType = kEnc Keys | vEnc Var
datatype specID = sComp1 | sComp2 | sComp3 | sComp4
datatype Expression = kE Keys | sE Secrets | dE Data | idE specID
datatype chanID = ch1 | ch2 | ch3 | ch4
```

```
primrec Expression2KSL:: Expression list  $\Rightarrow$  KS list
where
```

```
Expression2KSL [] = [] |
Expression2KSL (x#xs) =
  ((case x of (kE m)  $\Rightarrow$  [kKS m]
    | (sE m)  $\Rightarrow$  [sKS m]
    | (dE m)  $\Rightarrow$  []
    | (idE m)  $\Rightarrow$  []) @ Expression2KSL xs)
```

```
primrec KS2Expression:: KS  $\Rightarrow$  Expression
where
```

```
KS2Expression (kKS m) = (kE m) |
KS2Expression (sKS m) = (sE m)
```

```
end
```

2 Correctness of the relations between sets of Input/Output channels

```
theory inout
imports Secrecy-types
begin
```

```
consts
subcomponents :: specID  $\Rightarrow$  specID set
```

— Mappings, defining sets of input, local, and output channels
 — of a component

consts

$ins :: specID \Rightarrow chanID \ set$
 $loc :: specID \Rightarrow chanID \ set$
 $out :: specID \Rightarrow chanID \ set$

— Predicate insuring the correct mapping from the component identifier
 — to the set of input channels of a component

definition

$inStream :: specID \Rightarrow chanID \ set \Rightarrow bool$

where

$inStream \ x \ y \equiv (ins \ x = y)$

— Predicate insuring the correct mapping from the component identifier
 — to the set of local channels of a component

definition

$locStream :: specID \Rightarrow chanID \ set \Rightarrow bool$

where

$locStream \ x \ y \equiv (loc \ x = y)$

— Predicate insuring the correct mapping from the component identifier
 — to the set of output channels of a component

definition

$outStream :: specID \Rightarrow chanID \ set \Rightarrow bool$

where

$outStream \ x \ y \equiv (out \ x = y)$

— Predicate insuring the correct relations between
 — to the set of input, output and local channels of a component

definition

$correctInOutLoc :: specID \Rightarrow bool$

where

$correctInOutLoc \ x \equiv$
 $(ins \ x) \cap (out \ x) = \{\}$
 $\wedge (ins \ x) \cap (loc \ x) = \{\}$
 $\wedge (loc \ x) \cap (out \ x) = \{\}$

— Predicate insuring the correct relations between
 — sets of input channels within a composed component

definition

$correctCompositionIn :: specID \Rightarrow bool$

where

$correctCompositionIn \ x \equiv$
 $(ins \ x) = (\bigcup (ins \ ' (subcomponents \ x)) - (loc \ x))$
 $\wedge (ins \ x) \cap (\bigcup (out \ ' (subcomponents \ x))) = \{\}$

— Predicate insuring the correct relations between

— sets of output channels within a composed component

definition

correctCompositionOut :: *specID* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

correctCompositionOut *x* \equiv

$(out\ x) = (\bigcup (out\ ' (subcomponents\ x)) - (loc\ x))$

$\wedge (out\ x) \cap (\bigcup (ins\ ' (subcomponents\ x))) = \{\}$

— Predicate insuring the correct relations between

— sets of local channels within a composed component

definition

correctCompositionLoc :: *specID* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

correctCompositionLoc *x* \equiv

$(loc\ x) = \bigcup (ins\ ' (subcomponents\ x))$

$\cap \bigcup (out\ ' (subcomponents\ x))$

— If a component is an elementary one (has no subcomponents)

— its set of local channels should be empty

lemma *subcomponents-loc*:

assumes *correctCompositionLoc* *x*

and *subcomponents* *x* = $\{\}$

shows *loc* *x* = $\{\}$

using *assms* **by** (*simp add: correctCompositionLoc-def*)

end

3 Secrecy: Definitions and properties

theory *Secrecy*

imports *Secrecy-types inout ListExtras*

begin

— Encryption, decryption, signature creation and signature verification functions

— For these functions we define only their signatures and general axioms,

— because in order to reason effectively, we view them as abstract functions and

— abstract from their implementation details

consts

Enc :: *Keys* \Rightarrow *Expression list* \Rightarrow *Expression list*

Decr :: *Keys* \Rightarrow *Expression list* \Rightarrow *Expression list*

Sign :: *Keys* \Rightarrow *Expression list* \Rightarrow *Expression list*

Ext :: *Keys* \Rightarrow *Expression list* \Rightarrow *Expression list*

— Axioms on relations between encryption and decryption keys

axiomatization

EncrDecrKeys :: *Keys* \Rightarrow *Keys* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

ExtSign:

EncrDecrKeys *K1 K2* \longrightarrow (*Ext* *K1* (*Sign* *K2* *E*)) = *E* **and**

DecrEnc:

$$\text{EncrDecrKeys } K1 \ K2 \longrightarrow (\text{Decr } K2 \ (\text{Enc } K1 \ E)) = E$$

— Set of private keys of a component

consts

specKeys :: *specID* \Rightarrow *Keys set*

— Set of unguessable values used by a component

consts

specSecrets :: *specID* \Rightarrow *Secrets set*

— Join set of private keys and unguessable values used by a component

definition

specKeysSecrets :: *specID* \Rightarrow *KS set*

where

specKeysSecrets *C* \equiv

$$\{y \mid \exists x. y = (kKS \ x) \wedge (x \in (specKeys \ C))\} \cup \\ \{z \mid \exists s. z = (sKS \ s) \wedge (s \in (specSecrets \ C))\}$$

— Predicate defining that a list of expression items does not contain

— any private key or unguessable value used by a component

definition

notSpecKeysSecretsExpr :: *specID* \Rightarrow *Expression list* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

notSpecKeysSecretsExpr *P* *e* \equiv

$$(\forall x. (kE \ x) \text{ mem } e \longrightarrow (kKS \ x) \notin specKeysSecrets \ P) \wedge \\ (\forall y. (sE \ y) \text{ mem } e \longrightarrow (sKS \ y) \notin specKeysSecrets \ P)$$

— If a component is a composite one, the set of its private keys

— is a union of the subcomponents' sets of the private keys

definition

correctCompositionKeys :: *specID* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

correctCompositionKeys *x* \equiv

$$subcomponents \ x \neq \{\} \longrightarrow \\ specKeys \ x = \bigcup (specKeys \ ' (subcomponents \ x))$$

— If a component is a composite one, the set of its unguessable values

— is a union of the subcomponents' sets of the unguessable values

definition

correctCompositionSecrets :: *specID* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

correctCompositionSecrets *x* \equiv

$$subcomponents \ x \neq \{\} \longrightarrow \\ specSecrets \ x = \bigcup (specSecrets \ ' (subcomponents \ x))$$

— If a component is a composite one, the set of its private keys and

— unguessable values is a union of the corresponding sets of its subcomponents

definition

correctCompositionKS :: *specID* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

$correctCompositionKS\ x \equiv$
 $subcomponents\ x \neq \{\} \longrightarrow$
 $specKeysSecrets\ x = \bigcup (specKeysSecrets\ ' (subcomponents\ x))$

- Predicate defining set of correctness properties of the component's
- interface and relations on its private keys and unguessable values

definition

$correctComponentSecrecy :: specID \Rightarrow bool$

where

$correctComponentSecrecy\ x \equiv$
 $correctCompositionKS\ x \wedge$
 $correctCompositionSecrets\ x \wedge$
 $correctCompositionKeys\ x \wedge$
 $correctCompositionLoc\ x \wedge$
 $correctCompositionIn\ x \wedge$
 $correctCompositionOut\ x \wedge$
 $correctInOutLoc\ x$

- Predicate $exprChannel\ I\ E$ defines whether the expression item E can be sent via the channel I

consts

$exprChannel :: chanID \Rightarrow Expression \Rightarrow bool$

- Predicate $eoutM\ sP\ M\ E$ defines whether the component sP may eventually
- output an expression E if there exists a time interval t of
- an output channel which contains this expression E

definition

$eout :: specID \Rightarrow Expression \Rightarrow bool$

where

$eout\ sP\ E \equiv$
 $\exists (ch :: chanID). ((ch \in (out\ sP)) \wedge (exprChannel\ ch\ E))$

- Predicate $eout\ sP\ E$ defines whether the component sP may eventually
- output an expression E via subset of channels M ,
- which is a subset of output channels of sP ,
- and if there exists a time interval t of
- an output channel which contains this expression E

definition

$eoutM :: specID \Rightarrow chanID\ set \Rightarrow Expression \Rightarrow bool$

where

$eoutM\ sP\ M\ E \equiv$
 $\exists (ch :: chanID). ((ch \in (out\ sP)) \wedge (ch \in M) \wedge (exprChannel\ ch\ E))$

- Predicate $ineM\ sP\ M\ E$ defines whether a component sP may eventually
- get an expression E if there exists a time interval t of
- an input stream which contains this expression E

definition

$ine :: specID \Rightarrow Expression \Rightarrow bool$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ine } sP \ E &\equiv \\ &\exists (ch :: \text{chanID}). ((ch \in (\text{ins } sP)) \wedge (\text{exprChannel } ch \ E)) \end{aligned}$$

- Predicate $\text{ine } sP \ E$ defines whether a component sP may eventually
- get an expression E via subset of channels M ,
- which is a subset of input channels of sP ,
- and if there exists a time interval t of
- an input stream which contains this expression E

definition

$$\text{ineM} :: \text{specID} \Rightarrow \text{chanID set} \Rightarrow \text{Expression} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ineM } sP \ M \ E &\equiv \\ &\exists (ch :: \text{chanID}). ((ch \in (\text{ins } sP)) \wedge (ch \in M) \wedge (\text{exprChannel } ch \ E)) \end{aligned}$$

- This predicate defines whether an input channel ch of a component sP
- is the only one input channel of this component
- via which it may eventually output an expression E

definition

$$\text{out-exprChannelSingle} :: \text{specID} \Rightarrow \text{chanID} \Rightarrow \text{Expression} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{out-exprChannelSingle } sP \ ch \ E &\equiv \\ &(ch \in (\text{out } sP)) \wedge \\ &(\text{exprChannel } ch \ E) \wedge \\ &(\forall (x :: \text{chanID}) (t :: \text{nat}). ((x \in (\text{out } sP)) \wedge (x \neq ch) \longrightarrow \neg \text{exprChannel } x \ E)) \end{aligned}$$

- This predicate yields true if only the channels from the set $chSet$,
- which is a subset of input channels of the component sP ,
- may eventually output an expression E

definition

$$\text{out-exprChannelSet} :: \text{specID} \Rightarrow \text{chanID set} \Rightarrow \text{Expression} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{out-exprChannelSet } sP \ chSet \ E &\equiv \\ &((\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). ((x \in chSet) \longrightarrow ((x \in (\text{out } sP)) \wedge (\text{exprChannel } x \ E)))) \\ &\wedge \\ &(\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). ((x \notin chSet) \wedge (x \in (\text{out } sP)) \longrightarrow \neg \text{exprChannel } x \ E))) \end{aligned}$$

- This predicate defines whether
- an input channel ch of a component sP is the only one input channel
- of this component via which it may eventually get an expression E

definition

$$\text{ine-exprChannelSingle} :: \text{specID} \Rightarrow \text{chanID} \Rightarrow \text{Expression} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ine-exprChannelSingle } sP \ ch \ E &\equiv \\ &(ch \in (\text{ins } sP)) \wedge \\ &(\text{exprChannel } ch \ E) \wedge \\ &(\forall (x :: \text{chanID}) (t :: \text{nat}). ((x \in (\text{ins } sP)) \wedge (x \neq ch) \longrightarrow \neg \text{exprChannel } x \ E)) \end{aligned}$$

- This predicate yields true if the component sP may eventually
- get an expression E only via the channels from the set chSet,
- which is a subset of input channels of sP

definition

ine-exprChannelSet :: *specID* \Rightarrow *chanID set* \Rightarrow *Expression* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

ine-exprChannelSet sP chSet E \equiv
 $((\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). ((x \in \text{chSet}) \longrightarrow ((x \in (\text{ins } sP)) \wedge (\text{exprChannel } x E))))$
 \wedge
 $(\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). ((x \notin \text{chSet}) \wedge (x \in (\text{ins } sP)) \longrightarrow \neg \text{exprChannel } x E)))$

- If a list of expression items does not contain any private key
- or unguessable value of a component P, then the first element
- of the list is neither a private key nor unguessable value of P

lemma *notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-L1*:

assumes *notSpecKeysSecretsExpr* P (a # l)

shows *notSpecKeysSecretsExpr* P [a]

using *assms* **by** (*simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-def*)

- If a list of expression items does not contain any private key
- or unguessable value of a component P, then this list without its first
- element does not contain them too

lemma *notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-L2*:

assumes *notSpecKeysSecretsExpr* P (a # l)

shows *notSpecKeysSecretsExpr* P l

using *assms* **by** (*simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-def*)

- If a channel belongs to the set of input channels of a component P
- and does not belong to the set of local channels of the composition of P and Q
- then it belongs to the set of input channels of this composition

lemma *correctCompositionIn-L1*:

assumes *subcomponents* PQ = {P, Q}

and *correctCompositionIn* PQ

and *ch* \notin *loc* PQ

and *ch* \in *ins* P

shows *ch* \in *ins* PQ

using *assms* **by** (*simp add: correctCompositionIn-def*)

- If a channel belongs to the set of input channels of the composition of P and Q
- then it belongs to the set of input channels either of P or of Q

lemma *correctCompositionIn-L2*:

assumes *subcomponents* PQ = {P, Q}

and *correctCompositionIn* PQ

and *ch* \in *ins* PQ

shows (*ch* \in *ins* P) \vee (*ch* \in *ins* Q)

using *assms* **by** (*simp add: correctCompositionIn-def*)

lemma *ineM-L1*:

assumes *ch* \in *M*

and $ch \in ins\ P$
and $exprChannel\ ch\ E$
shows $ineM\ P\ M\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *ineM-def*, *blast*)

lemma *ineM-ine*:
assumes $ineM\ P\ M\ E$
shows $ine\ P\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *ineM-def* *ine-def*, *blast*)

lemma *not-ine-ineM*:
assumes $\neg\ ine\ P\ E$
shows $\neg\ ineM\ P\ M\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *ineM-def* *ine-def*)

lemma *eoutM-eout*:
assumes $eoutM\ P\ M\ E$
shows $eout\ P\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *eoutM-def* *eout-def*, *blast*)

lemma *not-eout-eoutM*:
assumes $\neg\ eout\ P\ E$
shows $\neg\ eoutM\ P\ M\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *eoutM-def* *eout-def*)

lemma *correctCompositionKeys-subcomp1*:
assumes *correctCompositionKeys* C
and $x \in subcomponents\ C$
and $xb \in specKeys\ C$
shows $\exists\ x \in subcomponents\ C. (xb \in specKeys\ x)$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *correctCompositionKeys-def*, *auto*)

lemma *correctCompositionSecrets-subcomp1*:
assumes *correctCompositionSecrets* C
and $x \in subcomponents\ C$
and $s \in specSecrets\ C$
shows $\exists\ x \in subcomponents\ C. (s \in specSecrets\ x)$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *correctCompositionSecrets-def*, *auto*)

lemma *correctCompositionKeys-subcomp2*:
assumes *correctCompositionKeys* C
and $xb \in subcomponents\ C$
and $xc \in specKeys\ xb$
shows $xc \in specKeys\ C$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *correctCompositionKeys-def*, *auto*)

lemma *correctCompositionSecrets-subcomp2*:
assumes *correctCompositionSecrets* C
and $xb \in subcomponents\ C$

and $xc \in \text{specSecrets } xb$
shows $xc \in \text{specSecrets } C$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *correctCompositionSecrets-def*, *auto*)

lemma *correctCompKS-Keys*:
assumes *correctCompositionKS C*
shows *correctCompositionKeys C*
proof (*cases subcomponents C = {}*)
 assume *subcomponents C = {}*
 from this and assms show *?thesis*
 by (*simp* *add*: *correctCompositionKeys-def*)
next
 assume *subcomponents C \neq {}*
 from this and assms show *?thesis*
 by (*simp* *add*: *correctCompositionKS-def*
 correctCompositionKeys-def
 specKeysSecrets-def, *blast*)
qed

lemma *correctCompKS-Secrets*:
assumes *correctCompositionKS C*
shows *correctCompositionSecrets C*
proof (*cases subcomponents C = {}*)
 assume *subcomponents C = {}*
 from this and assms show *?thesis*
 by (*simp* *add*: *correctCompositionSecrets-def*)
next
 assume *subcomponents C \neq {}*
 from this and assms show *?thesis*
 by (*simp* *add*: *correctCompositionKS-def*
 correctCompositionSecrets-def
 specKeysSecrets-def, *blast*)
qed

lemma *correctCompKS-KeysSecrets*:
assumes *correctCompositionKeys C*
 and *correctCompositionSecrets C*
shows *correctCompositionKS C*
proof (*cases subcomponents C = {}*)
 assume *subcomponents C = {}*
 from this and assms show *?thesis*
 by (*simp* *add*: *correctCompositionKS-def*)
next
 assume *subcomponents C \neq {}*
 from this and assms show *?thesis*
 by (*simp* *add*: *correctCompositionKS-def*
 correctCompositionKeys-def
 correctCompositionSecrets-def
 specKeysSecrets-def, *blast*)

qed

lemma *correctCompositionKS-subcomp1*:
assumes *correctCompositionKS C*
 and *h1:x ∈ subcomponents C*
 and *xa ∈ specKeys C*
shows $\exists y \in \text{subcomponents } C. (xa \in \text{specKeys } y)$
proof (*cases subcomponents C = {}*)
 assume *subcomponents C = {}*
 from *this* and *h1* **show** ?thesis **by** *simp*
next
 assume *subcomponents C ≠ {}*
 from *this* and *assms* **show** ?thesis
 by (*simp add: correctCompositionKS-def specKeysSecrets-def, blast*)
qed

lemma *correctCompositionKS-subcomp2*:
assumes *correctCompositionKS C*
 and *h1:x ∈ subcomponents C*
 and *xa ∈ specSecrets C*
shows $\exists y \in \text{subcomponents } C. xa \in \text{specSecrets } y$
proof (*cases subcomponents C = {}*)
 assume *subcomponents C = {}*
 from *this* and *h1* **show** ?thesis **by** *simp*
next
 assume *subcomponents C ≠ {}*
 from *this* and *assms* **show** ?thesis
 by (*simp add: correctCompositionKS-def specKeysSecrets-def, blast*)
qed

lemma *correctCompositionKS-subcomp3*:
assumes *correctCompositionKS C*
 and *x ∈ subcomponents C*
 and *xa ∈ specKeys x*
shows *xa ∈ specKeys C*
using *assms*
by (*simp add: correctCompositionKS-def specKeysSecrets-def, auto*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-subcomp4*:
assumes *correctCompositionKS C*
 and *x ∈ subcomponents C*
 and *xa ∈ specSecrets x*
shows *xa ∈ specSecrets C*
using *assms*
by (*simp add: correctCompositionKS-def specKeysSecrets-def, auto*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-PQ*:
assumes *subcomponents PQ = {P, Q}*
 and *correctCompositionKS PQ*

and $ks \in \text{specKeysSecrets } PQ$
shows $ks \in \text{specKeysSecrets } P \vee ks \in \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: correctCompositionKS-def*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-neg1*:
assumes *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionKS* PQ
and $ks \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P$
and $ks \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$
shows $ks \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } PQ$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: correctCompositionKS-def*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-negP*:
assumes *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionKS* PQ
and $ks \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } PQ$
shows $ks \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: correctCompositionKS-def*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-negQ*:
assumes *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionKS* PQ
and $ks \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } PQ$
shows $ks \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: correctCompositionKS-def*)

lemma *out-exprChannelSingle-Set*:
assumes *out-exprChannelSingle* $P \text{ } ch \text{ } E$
shows *out-exprChannelSet* $P \{ch\} E$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: out-exprChannelSingle-def out-exprChannelSet-def*)

lemma *out-exprChannelSet-Single*:
assumes *out-exprChannelSet* $P \{ch\} E$
shows *out-exprChannelSingle* $P \text{ } ch \text{ } E$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: out-exprChannelSingle-def out-exprChannelSet-def*)

lemma *ine-exprChannelSingle-Set*:
assumes *ine-exprChannelSingle* $P \text{ } ch \text{ } E$
shows *ine-exprChannelSet* $P \{ch\} E$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: ine-exprChannelSingle-def ine-exprChannelSet-def*)

lemma *ine-exprChannelSet-Single*:
assumes *ine-exprChannelSet* $P \{ch\} E$
shows *ine-exprChannelSingle* $P \text{ } ch \text{ } E$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: ine-exprChannelSingle-def ine-exprChannelSet-def*)

```

lemma ine-ins-neg1:
assumes  $\neg \text{ine } P \ m$ 
         and exprChannel  $x \ m$ 
shows    $x \notin \text{ins } P$ 
using assms by (simp add: ine-def, auto)

theorem TBtheorem1a:
assumes ine  $PQ \ E$ 
         and subcomponents  $PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
         and correctCompositionIn  $PQ$ 
shows ine  $P \ E \ \vee \ \text{ine } Q \ E$ 
using assms by (simp add: ine-def correctCompositionIn-def, auto)

theorem TBtheorem1b:
assumes ineM  $PQ \ M \ E$ 
         and subcomponents  $PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
         and correctCompositionIn  $PQ$ 
shows   ineM  $P \ M \ E \ \vee \ \text{ineM } Q \ M \ E$ 
using assms by (simp add: ineM-def correctCompositionIn-def, auto)

theorem TBtheorem2a:
assumes eout  $PQ \ E$ 
         and subcomponents  $PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
         and correctCompositionOut  $PQ$ 
shows   eout  $P \ E \ \vee \ \text{eout } Q \ E$ 
using assms by (simp add: eout-def correctCompositionOut-def, auto)

theorem TBtheorem2b:
assumes eoutM  $PQ \ M \ E$ 
         and subcomponents  $PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
         and correctCompositionOut  $PQ$ 
shows   eoutM  $P \ M \ E \ \vee \ \text{eoutM } Q \ M \ E$ 
using assms by (simp add: eoutM-def correctCompositionOut-def, auto)

lemma correctCompositionIn-prop1:
assumes subcomponents  $PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
         and correctCompositionIn  $PQ$ 
         and  $x \in (\text{ins } PQ)$ 
shows    $(x \in (\text{ins } P)) \vee (x \in (\text{ins } Q))$ 
using assms by (simp add: correctCompositionIn-def)

lemma correctCompositionOut-prop1:
assumes subcomponents  $PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
         and correctCompositionOut  $PQ$ 
         and  $x \in (\text{out } PQ)$ 
shows    $(x \in (\text{out } P)) \vee (x \in (\text{out } Q))$ 
using assms by (simp add: correctCompositionOut-def)

```

```

theorem TBtheorem3a:
assumes  $\neg (ine\ P\ E)$ 
         and  $\neg (ine\ Q\ E)$ 
         and  $subcomponents\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
         and  $correctCompositionIn\ PQ$ 
shows    $\neg (ine\ PQ\ E)$ 
using assms by (simp add: ine-def correctCompositionIn-def, auto )

theorem TBlemma3b:
assumes  $h1: \neg (ineM\ P\ M\ E)$ 
         and  $h2: \neg (ineM\ Q\ M\ E)$ 
         and  $subPQ: subcomponents\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
         and  $cCompI: correctCompositionIn\ PQ$ 
         and  $chM: ch \in M$ 
         and  $chPQ: ch \in ins\ PQ$ 
         and  $eCh: exprChannel\ ch\ E$ 
shows False
proof (cases  $ch \in ins\ P$ )
  assume  $a1: ch \in ins\ P$ 
  from  $a1$  and  $chM$  and  $eCh$  have  $ineM\ P\ M\ E$  by (simp add: ineM-L1)
  from this and  $h1$  show ?thesis by simp
next
  assume  $a2: ch \notin ins\ P$ 
  from  $subPQ$  and  $cCompI$  and  $chPQ$  have  $(ch \in ins\ P) \vee (ch \in ins\ Q)$ 
  by (simp add: correctCompositionIn-L2)
  from this and  $a2$  have  $ch \in ins\ Q$  by simp
  from this and  $chM$  and  $eCh$  have  $ineM\ Q\ M\ E$  by (simp add: ineM-L1)
  from this and  $h2$  show ?thesis by simp
qed

theorem TBtheorem3b:
assumes  $\neg (ineM\ P\ M\ E)$ 
         and  $\neg (ineM\ Q\ M\ E)$ 
         and  $subcomponents\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
         and  $correctCompositionIn\ PQ$ 
shows    $\neg (ineM\ PQ\ M\ E)$ 
using assms by (metis TBtheorem1b)

theorem TBtheorem4a-empty:
assumes  $(ine\ P\ E) \vee (ine\ Q\ E)$ 
         and  $subcomponents\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
         and  $correctCompositionIn\ PQ$ 
         and  $loc\ PQ = \{\}$ 
shows    $ine\ PQ\ E$ 
using assms by (simp add: ine-def correctCompositionIn-def, auto)

theorem TBtheorem4a-P:
assumes  $ine\ P\ E$ 
         and  $subcomponents\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 

```

and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
and $\exists ch. (ch \in (ins\ P) \wedge exprChannel\ ch\ E \wedge ch \notin (loc\ PQ))$
shows *ine* $PQ\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *ine-def* *correctCompositionIn-def*, *auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem4b-P*:
assumes *ineM* $P\ M\ E$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
and $\exists ch. ((ch \in (ins\ Q)) \wedge (exprChannel\ ch\ E) \wedge$
 $(ch \notin (loc\ PQ)) \wedge (ch \in M))$
shows *ineM* $PQ\ M\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *ineM-def* *correctCompositionIn-def*, *auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem4a-PQ*:
assumes $(ine\ P\ E) \vee (ine\ Q\ E)$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
and $\exists ch. (((ch \in (ins\ P)) \vee (ch \in (ins\ Q))) \wedge$
 $(exprChannel\ ch\ E) \wedge (ch \notin (loc\ PQ)))$
shows *ine* $PQ\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *ine-def* *correctCompositionIn-def*, *auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem4b-PQ*:
assumes $(ineM\ P\ M\ E) \vee (ineM\ Q\ M\ E)$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
and $\exists ch. (((ch \in (ins\ P)) \vee (ch \in (ins\ Q))) \wedge$
 $(ch \in M) \wedge (exprChannel\ ch\ E) \wedge (ch \notin (loc\ PQ)))$
shows *ineM* $PQ\ M\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *ineM-def* *correctCompositionIn-def*, *auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem4a-notP1*:
assumes *ine* $P\ E$
and $\neg ine\ Q\ E$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
and $\exists ch. ((ine-exprChannelSingle\ P\ ch\ E) \wedge (ch \in (loc\ PQ)))$
shows $\neg ine\ PQ\ E$
using *assms*
by (*simp* *add*: *ine-def* *correctCompositionIn-def*
ine-exprChannelSingle-def, *auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem4b-notP1*:
assumes *ineM* $P\ M\ E$
and $\neg ineM\ Q\ M\ E$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
and $\exists ch. ((ine-exprChannelSingle\ P\ ch\ E) \wedge (ch \in M))$

$\wedge (ch \in (loc\ PQ)))$

shows $\neg ineM\ PQ\ M\ E$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: ineM-def correctCompositionIn-def*
ine-exprChannelSingle-def, auto)

theorem *TBtheorem4a-notP2*:
assumes $\neg ine\ Q\ E$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
and *ine-exprChannelSet* $P\ ChSet\ E$
and $\forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSet) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc\ PQ)))$
shows $\neg ine\ PQ\ E$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: ine-def correctCompositionIn-def*
ine-exprChannelSet-def, auto)

theorem *TBtheorem4b-notP2*:
assumes $\neg ineM\ Q\ M\ E$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
and *ine-exprChannelSet* $P\ ChSet\ E$
and $\forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSet) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc\ PQ)))$
shows $\neg ineM\ PQ\ M\ E$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: ineM-def correctCompositionIn-def*
ine-exprChannelSet-def, auto)

theorem *TBtheorem4a-notPQ*:
assumes *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
and *ine-exprChannelSet* $P\ ChSetP\ E$
and *ine-exprChannelSet* $Q\ ChSetQ\ E$
and $\forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSetP) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc\ PQ)))$
and $\forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSetQ) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc\ PQ)))$
shows $\neg ine\ PQ\ E$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: ine-def correctCompositionIn-def*
ine-exprChannelSet-def, auto)

lemma *ineM-Un1*:
assumes *ineM* $P\ A\ E$
shows *ineM* $P\ (A\ Un\ B)\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: ineM-def, auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem4b-notPQ*:
assumes *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
and *ine-exprChannelSet* $P\ ChSetP\ E$

and *ine-exprChannelSet* Q $ChSetQ$ E
and $\forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSetP) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc\ PQ)))$
and $\forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSetQ) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc\ PQ)))$
shows $\neg ineM\ PQ\ M\ E$
using *assms*
by (*simp* add: *ineM-def correctCompositionIn-def*
ine-exprChannelSet-def, auto)

lemma *ine-nonempty-exprChannelSet*:
assumes *ine-exprChannelSet* P $ChSet\ E$
and $ChSet \neq \{\}$
shows $ine\ P\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* add: *ine-def ine-exprChannelSet-def, auto*)

lemma *ine-empty-exprChannelSet*:
assumes *ine-exprChannelSet* P $ChSet\ E$
and $ChSet = \{\}$
shows $\neg ine\ P\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* add: *ine-def ine-exprChannelSet-def*)

theorem *TBtheorem5a-empty*:
assumes $(eout\ P\ E) \vee (eout\ Q\ E)$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionOut* PQ
and $loc\ PQ = \{\}$
shows $eout\ PQ\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* add: *eout-def correctCompositionOut-def, auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem45a-P*:
assumes $eout\ P\ E$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionOut* PQ
and $\exists\ ch. ((ch \in (out\ P)) \wedge (exprChannel\ ch\ E) \wedge$
 $(ch \notin (loc\ PQ)))$
shows $eout\ PQ\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* add: *eout-def correctCompositionOut-def, auto*)

theorem *TBtheore54b-P*:
assumes $eoutM\ P\ M\ E$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionOut* PQ
and $\exists\ ch. ((ch \in (out\ Q)) \wedge (exprChannel\ ch\ E) \wedge$
 $(ch \notin (loc\ PQ)) \wedge (ch \in M))$
shows $eoutM\ PQ\ M\ E$
using *assms* **by** (*simp* add: *eoutM-def correctCompositionOut-def, auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem5a-PQ*:
assumes $(eout\ P\ E) \vee (eout\ Q\ E)$
and *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$

and *correctCompositionOut PQ*
and $\exists \text{ ch. } (((\text{ch} \in (\text{out } P)) \vee (\text{ch} \in (\text{out } Q))) \wedge$
 $(\text{exprChannel ch } E) \wedge (\text{ch} \notin (\text{loc } PQ)))$
shows *eout PQ E*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: eout-def correctCompositionOut-def, auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem5b-PQ:*
assumes $(\text{eoutM } P \text{ M } E) \vee (\text{eoutM } Q \text{ M } E)$
and *subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}*
and *correctCompositionOut PQ*
and $\exists \text{ ch. } (((\text{ch} \in (\text{out } P)) \vee (\text{ch} \in (\text{out } Q))) \wedge (\text{ch} \in M)$
 $\wedge (\text{exprChannel ch } E) \wedge (\text{ch} \notin (\text{loc } PQ)))$
shows *eoutM PQ M E*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: eoutM-def correctCompositionOut-def, auto*)

theorem *TBtheorem5a-notP1:*
assumes *eout P E*
and $\neg \text{eout } Q \text{ E}$
and *subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}*
and *correctCompositionOut PQ*
and $\exists \text{ ch. } ((\text{out-exprChannelSingle } P \text{ ch } E) \wedge (\text{ch} \in (\text{loc } PQ)))$
shows $\neg \text{eout } PQ \text{ E}$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: eout-def correctCompositionOut-def*
out-exprChannelSingle-def, auto)

theorem *TBtheorem5b-notP1:*
assumes *eoutM P M E*
and $\neg \text{eoutM } Q \text{ M } E$
and *subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}*
and *correctCompositionOut PQ*
and $\exists \text{ ch. } ((\text{out-exprChannelSingle } P \text{ ch } E) \wedge (\text{ch} \in M)$
 $\wedge (\text{ch} \in (\text{loc } PQ)))$
shows $\neg \text{eoutM } PQ \text{ M } E$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: eoutM-def correctCompositionOut-def*
out-exprChannelSingle-def, auto)

theorem *TBtheorem5a-notP2:*
assumes $\neg \text{eout } Q \text{ E}$
and *subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}*
and *correctCompositionOut PQ*
and *out-exprChannelSet P ChSet E*
and $\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). ((x \in \text{ChSet}) \longrightarrow (x \in (\text{loc } PQ)))$
shows $\neg \text{eout } PQ \text{ E}$
using *assms*
by (*simp add: eout-def correctCompositionOut-def*
out-exprChannelSet-def, auto)

```

theorem TBtheorem5b-notP2:
assumes  $\neg \text{eoutM } Q \ M \ E$ 
    and  $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
    and  $\text{correctCompositionOut } PQ$ 
    and  $\text{out-exprChannelSet } P \ \text{ChSet } E$ 
    and  $\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). ((x \in \text{ChSet}) \longrightarrow (x \in (\text{loc } PQ)))$ 
shows  $\neg \text{eoutM } PQ \ M \ E$ 
using assms
by (simp add: eoutM-def correctCompositionOut-def
      out-exprChannelSet-def, auto)

theorem TBtheorem5a-notPQ:
assumes  $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
    and  $\text{correctCompositionOut } PQ$ 
    and  $\text{out-exprChannelSet } P \ \text{ChSetP } E$ 
    and  $\text{out-exprChannelSet } Q \ \text{ChSetQ } E$ 
    and  $\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). ((x \in \text{ChSetP}) \longrightarrow (x \in (\text{loc } PQ)))$ 
    and  $\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). ((x \in \text{ChSetQ}) \longrightarrow (x \in (\text{loc } PQ)))$ 
shows  $\neg \text{eout } PQ \ E$ 
using assms
by (simp add: eout-def correctCompositionOut-def
      out-exprChannelSet-def, auto)

theorem TBtheorem5b-notPQ:
assumes  $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
    and  $\text{correctCompositionOut } PQ$ 
    and  $\text{out-exprChannelSet } P \ \text{ChSetP } E$ 
    and  $\text{out-exprChannelSet } Q \ \text{ChSetQ } E$ 
    and  $M = \text{ChSetP} \cup \text{ChSetQ}$ 
    and  $\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). ((x \in \text{ChSetP}) \longrightarrow (x \in (\text{loc } PQ)))$ 
    and  $\forall (x :: \text{chanID}). ((x \in \text{ChSetQ}) \longrightarrow (x \in (\text{loc } PQ)))$ 
shows  $\neg \text{eoutM } PQ \ M \ E$ 
using assms
by (simp add: eoutM-def correctCompositionOut-def
      out-exprChannelSet-def, auto)

end

```

4 Local Secrets of a component

```

theory CompLocalSecrets
imports Secrecy
begin

```

- Set of local secrets: the set of secrets which does not belong to
- the set of private keys and unguessable values, but are transmitted
- via local channels or belongs to the local secrets of its subcomponents

axiomatization

LocalSecrets :: *specID* \Rightarrow *KS set*

where

LocalSecretsDef:

LocalSecrets A =

$$\{(m :: KS). m \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } A \wedge \\ ((\exists x y. ((x \in \text{loc } A) \wedge m = (kKS y) \wedge (\text{exprChannel } x (kE y)))) \\ | (\exists x z. ((x \in \text{loc } A) \wedge m = (sKS z) \wedge (\text{exprChannel } x (sE z))))) \} \\ \cup (\bigcup (\text{LocalSecrets } (subcomponents A)))$$

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition1*:

assumes *ls* ∈ *LocalSecrets P*

and *subcomponents PQ* = {*P*, *Q*}

shows *ls* ∈ *LocalSecrets PQ*

using *assms* **by** (*simp* (*no-asm*) *only*: *LocalSecretsDef*, *auto*)

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-exprChannel-k*:

assumes *exprChannel x (kE Keys)*

and $\neg \text{ine } P (kE \text{ Keys})$

and $\neg \text{ine } Q (kE \text{ Keys})$

and $\neg (x \notin \text{ins } P \wedge x \notin \text{ins } Q)$

shows *False*

using *assms* **by** (*metis ine-def*)

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-exprChannel-s*:

assumes *exprChannel x (sE Secrets)*

and $\neg \text{ine } P (sE \text{ Secrets})$

and $\neg \text{ine } Q (sE \text{ Secrets})$

and $\neg (x \notin \text{ins } P \wedge x \notin \text{ins } Q)$

shows *False*

using *assms* **by** (*metis ine-ins-neg1*)

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-neg1-k*:

assumes *subcomponents PQ* = {*P*, *Q*}

and *correctCompositionLoc PQ*

and $\neg \text{ine } P (kE \text{ Keys})$

and $\neg \text{ine } Q (kE \text{ Keys})$

and *kKS Keys* ∉ *LocalSecrets P*

and *kKS Keys* ∉ *LocalSecrets Q*

shows *kKS Keys* ∉ *LocalSecrets PQ*

proof –

from *assms* **show** *?thesis*

apply (*simp* (*no-asm*) *only*: *LocalSecretsDef*,

simp add: *correctCompositionLoc-def*, *clarify*)

by (*rule LocalSecretsComposition-exprChannel-k*, *auto*)

qed

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-neg-k*:

assumes *subcomponents PQ* = {*P*, *Q*}

and *correctCompositionLoc PQ*

and *correctCompositionKS PQ*

```

    and (kKS m)  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets P
    and (kKS m)  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets Q
    and  $\neg$  ine P (kE m)
    and  $\neg$  ine Q (kE m)
    and (kKS m)  $\notin$  ((LocalSecrets P)  $\cup$  (LocalSecrets Q))
shows (kKS m)  $\notin$  (LocalSecrets PQ)
proof -
  from assms show ?thesis
  apply (simp (no-asm) only: LocalSecretsDef,
    simp add: correctCompositionLoc-def, clarify)
  by (rule LocalSecretsComposition-exprChannel-k, auto)
qed

lemma LocalSecretsComposition-neg-s:
assumes subPQ:subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}
    and cCompLoc:correctCompositionLoc PQ
    and cCompKS:correctCompositionKS PQ
    and notKSP:(sKS m)  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets P
    and notKSQ:(sKS m)  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets Q
    and  $\neg$  ine P (sE m)
    and  $\neg$  ine Q (sE m)
    and notLocSeqPQ:(sKS m)  $\notin$  ((LocalSecrets P)  $\cup$  (LocalSecrets Q))
shows (sKS m)  $\notin$  (LocalSecrets PQ)
proof -
  from subPQ and cCompKS and notKSP and notKSQ
  have sg1:sKS m  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets PQ
  by (simp add: correctCompositionKS-neg1)
  from subPQ and cCompLoc and notLocSeqPQ have sg2:
    sKS m  $\notin$   $\bigcup$  (LocalSecrets 'subcomponents PQ)
  by simp
  from sg1 and sg2 and assms show ?thesis
  apply (simp (no-asm) only: LocalSecretsDef,
    simp add: correctCompositionLoc-def, clarify)
  by (rule LocalSecretsComposition-exprChannel-s, auto)
qed

lemma LocalSecretsComposition-neg:
assumes subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}
    and correctCompositionLoc PQ
    and correctCompositionKS PQ
    and ks  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets P
    and ks  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets Q
    and h1: $\forall$  m. ks = kKS m  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\neg$  ine P (kE m)  $\wedge$   $\neg$  ine Q (kE m))
    and h2: $\forall$  m. ks = sKS m  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\neg$  ine P (sE m)  $\wedge$   $\neg$  ine Q (sE m))
    and ks  $\notin$  ((LocalSecrets P)  $\cup$  (LocalSecrets Q))
shows ks  $\notin$  (LocalSecrets PQ)
proof (cases ks)
  fix m
  assume a1:ks = kKS m

```

from *this* and *h1* have $\neg \text{ine } P \text{ (} kE \text{ } m) \wedge \neg \text{ine } Q \text{ (} kE \text{ } m)$ by *simp*
 from *this* and *a1* and *assms* show ?thesis
 by (simp add: LocalSecretsComposition-neg-k)
 next
 fix *m*
 assume *a2*:*ks* = *sKS m*
 from *this* and *h2* have $\neg \text{ine } P \text{ (} sE \text{ } m) \wedge \neg \text{ine } Q \text{ (} sE \text{ } m)$ by *simp*
 from *this* and *a2* and *assms* show ?thesis
 by (simp add: LocalSecretsComposition-neg-s)
 qed

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-neg1-s*:
 assumes *subcomponents* *PQ* = {*P*, *Q*}
 and *correctCompositionLoc PQ*
 and $\neg \text{ine } P \text{ (} sE \text{ } s)$
 and $\neg \text{ine } Q \text{ (} sE \text{ } s)$
 and *sKS s* \notin *LocalSecrets P*
 and *sKS s* \notin *LocalSecrets Q*
 shows *sKS s* \notin *LocalSecrets PQ*
 proof –
 from *assms* have
sKS s $\notin \bigcup (\text{LocalSecrets } ' \text{ subcomponents } PQ)$
 by *simp*
 from *assms* and *this* show ?thesis
 apply (simp (no-asm) only: LocalSecretsDef,
 simp add: correctCompositionLoc-def, clarify)
 by (rule LocalSecretsComposition-exprChannel-s, auto)
 qed

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-neg1*:
 assumes *subcomponents* *PQ* = {*P*, *Q*}
 and *correctCompositionLoc PQ*
 and *h1*: $\forall m. ks = kKS m \longrightarrow (\neg \text{ine } P \text{ (} kE \text{ } m) \wedge \neg \text{ine } Q \text{ (} kE \text{ } m))$
 and *h2*: $\forall m. ks = sKS m \longrightarrow (\neg \text{ine } P \text{ (} sE \text{ } m) \wedge \neg \text{ine } Q \text{ (} sE \text{ } m))$
 and *ks* \notin *LocalSecrets P*
 and *ks* \notin *LocalSecrets Q*
 shows *ks* \notin *LocalSecrets PQ*
 proof (cases *ks*)
 fix *m*
 assume *a1*:*ks* = *kKS m*
 from *this* and *h1* have $\neg \text{ine } P \text{ (} kE \text{ } m) \wedge \neg \text{ine } Q \text{ (} kE \text{ } m)$ by *simp*
 from *this* and *a1* and *assms* show ?thesis
 by (simp add: LocalSecretsComposition-neg1-k)
 next
 fix *m*
 assume *a2*:*ks* = *sKS m*
 from *this* and *h2* have $\neg \text{ine } P \text{ (} sE \text{ } m) \wedge \neg \text{ine } Q \text{ (} sE \text{ } m)$ by *simp*
 from *this* and *a2* and *assms* show ?thesis
 by (simp add: LocalSecretsComposition-neg1-s)

qed

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-ine1-k*:
assumes $kKS\ k \in LocalSecrets\ PQ$
and $subcomponents\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $correctCompositionLoc\ PQ$
and $\neg\ ine\ Q\ (kE\ k)$
and $kKS\ k \notin LocalSecrets\ P$
and $kKS\ k \notin LocalSecrets\ Q$
shows $ine\ P\ (kE\ k)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis LocalSecretsComposition-neg1-k*)

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-ine1-s*:
assumes $sKS\ s \in LocalSecrets\ PQ$
and $subcomponents\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $correctCompositionLoc\ PQ$
and $\neg\ ine\ Q\ (sE\ s)$
and $sKS\ s \notin LocalSecrets\ P$
and $sKS\ s \notin LocalSecrets\ Q$
shows $ine\ P\ (sE\ s)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis LocalSecretsComposition-neg1-s*)

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-ine2-k*:
assumes $kKS\ k \in LocalSecrets\ PQ$
and $subcomponents\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $correctCompositionLoc\ PQ$
and $\neg\ ine\ P\ (kE\ k)$
and $kKS\ k \notin LocalSecrets\ P$
and $kKS\ k \notin LocalSecrets\ Q$
shows $ine\ Q\ (kE\ k)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis LocalSecretsComposition-ine1-k*)

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-ine2-s*:
assumes $sKS\ s \in LocalSecrets\ PQ$
and $subcomponents\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $correctCompositionLoc\ PQ$
and $\neg\ ine\ P\ (sE\ s)$
and $sKS\ s \notin LocalSecrets\ P$
and $sKS\ s \notin LocalSecrets\ Q$
shows $ine\ Q\ (sE\ s)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis LocalSecretsComposition-ine1-s*)

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-neg-loc-k*:
assumes $kKS\ key \notin LocalSecrets\ P$
and $exprChannel\ ch\ (kE\ key)$
and $kKS\ key \notin specKeysSecrets\ P$
shows $ch \notin loc\ P$
using *assms* **by** (*simp only: LocalSecretsDef, auto*)

lemma *LocalSecretsComposition-neg-loc-s*:
assumes $sKS \text{ secret} \notin \text{LocalSecrets } P$
 and $\text{exprChannel } ch \ (sE \text{ secret})$
 and $sKS \text{ secret} \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P$
shows $ch \notin \text{loc } P$
using *assms* **by** (*simp only: LocalSecretsDef, auto*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-k-P*:
assumes $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$
 and $\text{correctCompositionKS } PQ$
 and $kKS \text{ key} \notin \text{LocalSecrets } PQ$
 and $ch \in \text{ins } P$
 and $\text{exprChannel } ch \ (kE \text{ key})$
 and $kKS \text{ key} \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } PQ$
 and $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$
shows $ch \in \text{ins } PQ \wedge \text{exprChannel } ch \ (kE \text{ key})$
using *assms*
by (*metis LocalSecretsComposition-neg-loc-k correctCompositionIn-L1*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-k-Pex*:
assumes $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$
 and $\text{correctCompositionKS } PQ$
 and $kKS \text{ key} \notin \text{LocalSecrets } PQ$
 and $ch \in \text{ins } P$
 and $\text{exprChannel } ch \ (kE \text{ key})$
 and $kKS \text{ key} \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } PQ$
 and $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$
shows $\exists ch. ch \in \text{ins } PQ \wedge \text{exprChannel } ch \ (kE \text{ key})$
using *assms*
by (*metis correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-k-P*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-k-Q*:
assumes $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$
 and $\text{correctCompositionKS } PQ$
 and $kKS \text{ key} \notin \text{LocalSecrets } PQ$
 and $ch \in \text{ins } Q$
 and $h1:\text{exprChannel } ch \ (kE \text{ key})$
 and $kKS \text{ key} \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } PQ$
 and $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$
shows $ch \in \text{ins } PQ \wedge \text{exprChannel } ch \ (kE \text{ key})$
proof –
 from *assms* **have** $ch \notin \text{loc } PQ$
 by (*simp add: LocalSecretsComposition-neg-loc-k*)
 from this and *assms* **have** $ch \in \text{ins } PQ$
 by (*simp add: correctCompositionIn-def*)
 from this and *h1* **show** ?thesis **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-k-Qex*:

assumes *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionKS* PQ
and $kKS \text{ key} \notin \text{LocalSecrets } PQ$
and $ch \in \text{ins } Q$
and *exprChannel* $ch \text{ (} kE \text{ key)}$
and $kKS \text{ key} \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } PQ$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
shows $\exists ch. ch \in \text{ins } PQ \wedge \text{exprChannel } ch \text{ (} kE \text{ key)}$
using *assms*
by (*metis correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-k-Q*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-s-P*:
assumes *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionKS* PQ
and $sKS \text{ secret} \notin \text{LocalSecrets } PQ$
and $ch \in \text{ins } P$
and *exprChannel* $ch \text{ (} sE \text{ secret)}$
and $sKS \text{ secret} \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } PQ$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
shows $ch \in \text{ins } PQ \wedge \text{exprChannel } ch \text{ (} sE \text{ secret)}$
using *assms*
by (*metis LocalSecretsComposition-neg-loc-s correctCompositionIn-L1*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-s-Pex*:
assumes *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionKS* PQ
and $sKS \text{ secret} \notin \text{LocalSecrets } PQ$
and $ch \in \text{ins } P$
and *exprChannel* $ch \text{ (} sE \text{ secret)}$
and $sKS \text{ secret} \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } PQ$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
shows $\exists ch. ch \in \text{ins } PQ \wedge \text{exprChannel } ch \text{ (} sE \text{ secret)}$
using *assms*
by (*metis correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-s-P*)

lemma *correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-s-Q*:
assumes *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionKS* PQ
and $sKS \text{ secret} \notin \text{LocalSecrets } PQ$
and $ch \in \text{ins } Q$
and *h1:exprChannel* $ch \text{ (} sE \text{ secret)}$
and $sKS \text{ secret} \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } PQ$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
shows $ch \in \text{ins } PQ \wedge \text{exprChannel } ch \text{ (} sE \text{ secret)}$
proof –
from *assms* **have** $ch \notin \text{loc } PQ$
by (*simp add: LocalSecretsComposition-neg-loc-s*)
from this and *assms* **have** $ch \in \text{ins } PQ$
by (*simp add: correctCompositionIn-def*)

from this and h1 show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma *correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-s-Qex*:
assumes *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and *correctCompositionKS* PQ
and $sKS\ secret \notin LocalSecrets\ PQ$
and $ch \in ins\ Q$
and *exprChannel* $ch\ (sE\ secret)$
and $sKS\ secret \notin specKeysSecrets\ PQ$
and *correctCompositionIn* PQ
shows $\exists ch. ch \in ins\ PQ \wedge exprChannel\ ch\ (sE\ secret)$
using *assms*
by (*metis correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-s-Q*)
end

5 Knowledge of Keys and Secrets

theory *KnowledgeKeysSecrets*
imports *CompLocalSecrets*
begin

An component A knows a secret m (or some secret expression m) that does not belong to its local secrets , if

- *A may eventually get the secret m,*
- *m belongs to the set LS_A of its local secrets,*
- *A knows some list of expressions m_2 which is an concatenations of m and some list of expressions m_1 ,*
- *m is a concatenation of some lists of secrets m_1 and m_2 , and A knows both these secrets,*
- *A knows some secret key k^{-1} and the result of the encryption of the m with the corresponding public key,*
- *A knows some public key k and the result of the signature creation of the m with the corresponding private key,*
- *m is an encryption of some secret m_1 with a public key k, and A knows both m_1 and k,*
- *m is the result of the signature creation of the m_1 with the key k, and A knows both m_1 and k.*

primrec

know :: *specID* \Rightarrow *KS* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

know $A\ (kKS\ m) =$
 $((ine\ A\ (kE\ m)) \vee ((kKS\ m) \in (LocalSecrets\ A))) \mid$
know $A\ (sKS\ m) =$
 $((ine\ A\ (sE\ m)) \vee ((sKS\ m) \in (LocalSecrets\ A)))$

axiomatization

$knows :: specID \Rightarrow Expression\ list \Rightarrow bool$

where

$knows_emptyexpression:$

$knows\ C\ [] = True$ **and**

$know1k:$

$knows\ C\ [KS2Expression\ (kKS\ m1)] = know\ C\ (kKS\ m1)$ **and**

$know1s:$

$knows\ C\ [KS2Expression\ (sKS\ m2)] = know\ C\ (sKS\ m2)$ **and**

$knows2a:$

$knows\ A\ (e1\ @\ e) \longrightarrow knows\ A\ e$ **and**

$knows2b:$

$knows\ A\ (e\ @\ e1) \longrightarrow knows\ A\ e$ **and**

$knows3:$

$(knows\ A\ e1) \wedge (knows\ A\ e2) \longrightarrow knows\ A\ (e1\ @\ e2)$ **and**

$knows4:$

$(IncrDecrKeys\ k1\ k2) \wedge (know\ A\ (kKS\ k2)) \wedge (knows\ A\ (Enc\ k1\ e))$
 $\longrightarrow knows\ A\ e$

and

$knows5:$

$(IncrDecrKeys\ k1\ k2) \wedge (know\ A\ (kKS\ k1)) \wedge (knows\ A\ (Sign\ k2\ e))$
 $\longrightarrow knows\ A\ e$

and

$knows6:$

$(know\ A\ (kKS\ k)) \wedge (knows\ A\ e1) \longrightarrow knows\ A\ (Enc\ k\ e1)$

and

$knows7:$

$(know\ A\ (kKS\ k)) \wedge (knows\ A\ e1) \longrightarrow knows\ A\ (Sign\ k\ e1)$

primrec $eoutKnowCorrect :: specID \Rightarrow KS \Rightarrow bool$

where

$eout_know_k:$

$eoutKnowCorrect\ C\ (kKS\ m) =$
 $((eout\ C\ (kE\ m)) \longleftrightarrow (m \in (specKeys\ C) \vee (know\ C\ (kKS\ m)))) \mid$

$eout_know_s:$

$eoutKnowCorrect\ C\ (sKS\ m) =$
 $((eout\ C\ (sE\ m)) \longleftrightarrow (m \in (specSecrets\ C) \vee (know\ C\ (sKS\ m)))) \mid$

definition $eoutKnowsECorrect :: specID \Rightarrow Expression \Rightarrow bool$

where

$eoutKnowsECorrect\ C\ e \equiv$
 $((eout\ C\ e) \longleftrightarrow$
 $((\exists\ k. e = (kE\ k) \wedge (k \in specKeys\ C)) \vee$
 $(\exists\ s. e = (sE\ s) \wedge (s \in specSecrets\ C)) \vee$
 $(knows\ C\ [e])))$

lemma $eoutKnowCorrect-L1k:$

assumes $eoutKnowCorrect\ C\ (kKS\ m)$

and $eout\ C\ (kE\ m)$
shows $m \in (specKeys\ C) \vee (know\ C\ (kKS\ m))$
using *assms* **by** (*metis* *eout-know-k*)

lemma *eoutKnowCorrect-L1s*:
assumes *eoutKnowCorrect* $C\ (sKS\ m)$
and $eout\ C\ (sE\ m)$
shows $m \in (specSecrets\ C) \vee (know\ C\ (sKS\ m))$
using *assms* **by** (*metis* *eout-know-s*)

lemma *eoutKnowsECorrect-L1*:
assumes *eoutKnowsECorrect* $C\ e$
and $eout\ C\ e$
shows $(\exists\ k. e = (kE\ k) \wedge (k \in specKeys\ C)) \vee$
 $(\exists\ s. e = (sE\ s) \wedge (s \in specSecrets\ C)) \vee$
 $(knows\ C\ [e])$
using *assms* **by** (*metis* *eoutKnowsECorrect-def*)

lemma *know2knows-k*:
assumes *know* $A\ (kKS\ m)$
shows *knows* $A\ [kE\ m]$
using *assms*
by (*metis* *KS2Expression.simps(1)* *know1k*)

lemma *knows2know-k*:
assumes *knows* $A\ [kE\ m]$
shows *know* $A\ (kKS\ m)$
using *assms*
by (*metis* *KS2Expression.simps(1)* *know1k*)

lemma *know2knowsPQ-k*:
assumes *know* $P\ (kKS\ m) \vee know\ Q\ (kKS\ m)$
shows *knows* $P\ [kE\ m] \vee knows\ Q\ [kE\ m]$
using *assms* **by** (*metis* *know2knows-k*)

lemma *knows2knowPQ-k*:
assumes *knows* $P\ [kE\ m] \vee knows\ Q\ [kE\ m]$
shows *know* $P\ (kKS\ m) \vee know\ Q\ (kKS\ m)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis* *knows2know-k*)

lemma *knows1k*:
 $know\ A\ (kKS\ m) = knows\ A\ [kE\ m]$
by (*metis* *know2knows-k* *knows2know-k*)

lemma *know2knows-neg-k*:
assumes $\neg know\ A\ (kKS\ m)$
shows $\neg knows\ A\ [kE\ m]$
using *assms* **by** (*metis* *knows1k*)

lemma *knows2know-neg-k*:
assumes $\neg \text{knows } A \text{ [kE m]}$
shows $\neg \text{know } A \text{ (kKS m)}$
using *assms* **by** (*metis know2knowsPQ-k*)

lemma *know2knows-s*:
assumes $\text{know } A \text{ (sKS m)}$
shows $\text{knows } A \text{ [sE m]}$
using *assms*
by (*metis KS2Expression.simps(2) know1s*)

lemma *knows2know-s*:
assumes $\text{knows } A \text{ [sE m]}$
shows $\text{know } A \text{ (sKS m)}$
using *assms*
by (*metis KS2Expression.simps(2) know1s*)

lemma *know2knowsPQ-s*:
assumes $\text{know } P \text{ (sKS m)} \vee \text{know } Q \text{ (sKS m)}$
shows $\text{knows } P \text{ [sE m]} \vee \text{knows } Q \text{ [sE m]}$
using *assms* **by** (*metis know2knows-s*)

lemma *knows2knowPQ-s*:
assumes $\text{knows } P \text{ [sE m]} \vee \text{knows } Q \text{ [sE m]}$
shows $\text{know } P \text{ (sKS m)} \vee \text{know } Q \text{ (sKS m)}$
using *assms* **by** (*metis knows2know-s*)

lemma *knows1s*:
 $\text{know } A \text{ (sKS m)} = \text{knows } A \text{ [sE m]}$
by (*metis know2knows-s knows2know-s*)

lemma *know2knows-neg-s*:
assumes $\neg \text{know } A \text{ (sKS m)}$
shows $\neg \text{knows } A \text{ [sE m]}$
using *assms* **by** (*metis knows2know-s*)

lemma *knows2know-neg-s*:
assumes $\neg \text{knows } A \text{ [sE m]}$
shows $\neg \text{know } A \text{ (sKS m)}$
using *assms* **by** (*metis know2knows-s*)

lemma *knows2*:
assumes $e2 = e1 \ @ \ e \vee e2 = e \ @ \ e1$
and $\text{knows } A \ e2$
shows $\text{knows } A \ e$
using *assms* **by** (*metis knows2a knows2b*)

lemma *correctCompositionInLoc-exprChannel*:
assumes *subcomponents* $PQ = \{P, Q\}$

```

    and correctCompositionIn PQ
    and ch : ins P
    and exprChannel ch m
    and  $\forall x. x \in \text{ins } PQ \longrightarrow \neg \text{exprChannel } x \ m$ 
shows   ch : loc PQ
using   assms by (simp add: correctCompositionIn-def, auto)

```

```

lemma eout-know-nonKS-k:
assumes m  $\notin \text{specKeys } A$ 
    and eout A (kE m)
    and eoutKnowCorrect A (kKS m)
shows   know A (kKS m)
using   assms by (metis eoutKnowCorrect-L1k)

```

```

lemma eout-know-nonKS-s:
assumes m  $\notin \text{specSecrets } A$ 
    and eout A (sE m)
    and eoutKnowCorrect A (sKS m)
shows   know A (sKS m)
using   assms by (metis eoutKnowCorrect-L1s)

```

```

lemma not-know-k-not-ine:
assumes  $\neg \text{know } A \ (kKS \ m)$ 
shows    $\neg \text{ine } A \ (kE \ m)$ 
using   assms by simp

```

```

lemma not-know-s-not-ine:
assumes  $\neg \text{know } A \ (sKS \ m)$ 
shows    $\neg \text{ine } A \ (sE \ m)$ 
using   assms by simp

```

```

lemma not-know-k-not-eout:
assumes m  $\notin \text{specKeys } A$ 
    and  $\neg \text{know } A \ (kKS \ m)$ 
    and eoutKnowCorrect A (kKS m)
shows    $\neg \text{eout } A \ (kE \ m)$ 
using   assms by (metis eout-know-k)

```

```

lemma not-know-s-not-eout:
assumes m  $\notin \text{specSecrets } A$ 
    and  $\neg \text{know } A \ (sKS \ m)$ 
    and eoutKnowCorrect A (sKS m)
shows    $\neg \text{eout } A \ (sE \ m)$ 
using   assms by (metis eout-know-nonKS-s)

```

```

lemma adv-not-know1:
assumes out P  $\subseteq \text{ins } A$ 
    and  $\neg \text{know } A \ (kKS \ m)$ 
shows    $\neg \text{eout } P \ (kE \ m)$ 

```

```

using assms
by (metis (full-types) eout-def ine-ins-neg1 not-know-k-not-ine set-rev-mp)

lemma adv-not-know2:
assumes out P  $\subseteq$  ins A
      and  $\neg$  know A (sKS m)
shows  $\neg$  eout P (sE m)
using assms
by (metis (full-types) eout-def ine-ins-neg1 not-know-s-not-ine set-rev-mp)

lemma LocalSecrets-L1:
assumes (kKS) key  $\in$  LocalSecrets P
      and (kKS key)  $\notin \bigcup$  (LocalSecrets ‘ subcomponents P)
shows kKS key  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets P
using assms by (simp only: LocalSecretsDef, auto)

lemma LocalSecrets-L2:
assumes kKS key  $\in$  LocalSecrets P
      and kKS key  $\in$  specKeysSecrets P
shows kKS key  $\in \bigcup$  (LocalSecrets ‘ subcomponents P)
using assms by (simp only: LocalSecretsDef, auto)

lemma know-composition1:
assumes notKSP:m  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets P
      and notKSQ:m  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets Q
      and know P m
      and subPQ:subcomponents PQ = {P, Q}
      and cCompI:correctCompositionIn PQ
      and cCompKS:correctCompositionKS PQ
shows know PQ m
proof (cases m)
  fix key
  assume a1:m = kKS key
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases ine P (kE key))
    assume a11:ine P (kE key)
    from this have a11ext:ine P (kE key) | ine Q (kE key) by simp
    from subPQ and cCompKS and notKSP and notKSQ have m  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets PQ
    by (rule correctCompositionKS-neg1)
    from this and a1 have sg1:kKS key  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets PQ by simp
    from a1 and a11ext and cCompKS show ?thesis
  proof (cases loc PQ = {})
    assume a11locE:loc PQ = {}
    from a11ext and subPQ and cCompI and a11locE have ine PQ (kE key)
    by (rule TBtheorem4a-empty)
    from this and a1 show ?thesis by auto
  next
    assume a11locNE:loc PQ  $\neq$  {}

```

```

    from a1 and a11 and sg1 and assms show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: ine-def, auto)
    by (simp add: correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-k-Pex)
  qed
next
  assume a12:¬ ineq P (kE key)
  from this and a1 and assms show ?thesis
  by (auto, simp add: LocalSecretsComposition1)
  qed
next
  fix secret
  assume a2:m = sKS secret
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases ineq P (sE secret))
    assume a21:ineq P (sE secret)
    from this have a21ext:ineq P (sE secret) | ineq Q (sE secret) by simp
    from subPQ and cCompKS and notKSP and notKSQ have m ∉ specK-
eysSecrets PQ
    by (rule correctCompositionKS-neg1)
    from this and a2 have sg2:sKS secret ∉ specKeysSecrets PQ by simp
    from a2 and a21ext and cCompKS show ?thesis
    proof (cases loc PQ = {})
      assume a21locE:loc PQ = {}
      from a21ext and subPQ and cCompI and a21locE have ineq PQ (sE secret)

      by (rule TBtheorem4a-empty)
      from this and a2 show ?thesis by auto
    next
      assume a21locNE:loc PQ ≠ {}
      from a2 and a21 and sg2 and assms show ?thesis
      apply (simp add: ine-def, auto)
      by (simp add: correctCompositionKS-exprChannel-s-Pex)
    qed
  next
    assume a12:¬ ineq P (sE secret)
    from this and a2 and assms show ?thesis
    by (metis LocalSecretsComposition1 know.simps(2))
  qed
qed

lemma know-composition2:
assumes m ∉ specKeysSecrets P
  and m ∉ specKeysSecrets Q
  and know Q m
  and subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}
  and correctCompositionIn PQ
  and correctCompositionKS PQ
shows know PQ m
using assms by (metis insert-commute know-composition1)

```



```

lemma know-composition:
assumes  $m \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } P$ 
  and  $m \notin \text{specKeysSecrets } Q$ 
  and  $\text{know } P \ m \ \vee \ \text{know } Q \ m$ 
  and  $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
  and  $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$ 
  and  $\text{correctCompositionKS } PQ$ 
shows  $\text{know } PQ \ m$ 
using assms by (metis know-composition1 know-composition2)

theorem know-composition-neg-ine-k:
assumes  $\neg \text{know } P \ (kKS \ \text{key})$ 
  and  $\neg \text{know } Q \ (kKS \ \text{key})$ 
  and  $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
  and  $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$ 
shows  $\neg (\text{ine } PQ \ (kE \ \text{key}))$ 
using assms by (metis TBtheorem3a not-know-k-not-ine)

theorem know-composition-neg-ine-s:
assumes  $\neg \text{know } P \ (sKS \ \text{secret})$ 
  and  $\neg \text{know } Q \ (sKS \ \text{secret})$ 
  and  $\text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
  and  $\text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$ 
shows  $\neg (\text{ine } PQ \ (sE \ \text{secret}))$ 
using assms by (metis TBtheorem3a not-know-s-not-ine)

lemma know-composition-neg1:
assumes  $\text{notknowP} : \neg \text{know } P \ m$ 
  and  $\text{notknowQ} : \neg \text{know } Q \ m$ 
  and  $\text{subPQ} : \text{subcomponents } PQ = \{P, Q\}$ 
  and  $\text{cCompLoc} : \text{correctCompositionLoc } PQ$ 
  and  $\text{cCompI} : \text{correctCompositionIn } PQ$ 
shows  $\neg \text{know } PQ \ m$ 
proof (cases m)
  fix key
  assume  $a1 : m = kKS \ \text{key}$ 
  from notknowP and a1 have  $sg1 : \neg \text{know } P \ (kKS \ \text{key})$  by simp
  then have  $sg1a : \neg \text{ine } P \ (kE \ \text{key})$  by simp
  from sg1 have  $sg1b : kKS \ \text{key} \notin \text{LocalSecrets } P$  by simp
  from notknowQ and a1 have  $sg2 : \neg \text{know } Q \ (kKS \ \text{key})$  by simp
  then have  $sg2a : \neg \text{ine } Q \ (kE \ \text{key})$  by simp
  from sg2 have  $sg2b : kKS \ \text{key} \notin \text{LocalSecrets } Q$  by simp
  from sg1 and sg2 and subPQ and cCompI have  $sg3 : \neg \text{ine } PQ \ (kE \ \text{key})$ 
    by (rule know-composition-neg-ine-k)
  from subPQ and cCompLoc and sg1a and sg2a and sg1b and sg2b have  $sg4 :$ 
     $kKS \ \text{key} \notin \text{LocalSecrets } PQ$ 
    by (rule LocalSecretsComposition-neg1-k)
  from sg3 and sg4 and a1 show ?thesis by simp

```

```

next
  fix secret
  assume a2:m = sKS secret
  from notknowP and a2 have sg1:¬ know P (sKS secret) by simp
  then have sg1a:¬ ine P (sE secret) by simp
  from sg1 have sg1b:sKS secret ∉ LocalSecrets P by simp
  from notknowQ and a2 have sg2:¬ know Q (sKS secret) by simp
  then have sg2a:¬ ine Q (sE secret) by simp
  from sg2 have sg2b:sKS secret ∉ LocalSecrets Q by simp
  from sg1 and sg2 and subPQ and cCompI have sg3:¬ ine PQ (sE secret)
    by (rule know-composition-neg-ine-s)
  from subPQ and cCompLoc and sg1a and sg2a and sg1b and sg2b have sg4:
    sKS secret ∉ LocalSecrets PQ
    by (rule LocalSecretsComposition-neg1-s)
  from sg3 and sg4 and a2 show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma know-decomposition:
  assumes knowPQ:know PQ m
    and subPQ:subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}
    and cCompI:correctCompositionIn PQ
    and cCompLoc:correctCompositionLoc PQ
  shows know P m ∨ know Q m
  proof (cases m)
    fix key
    assume a1:m = kKS key
    from this show ?thesis
    proof (cases ine PQ (kE key))
      assume a11:ine PQ (kE key)
      from this and subPQ and cCompI and a1 have
        ine P (kE key) ∨ ine Q (kE key)
        by (simp add: TBtheorem1a)
      from this and a1 show ?thesis by auto
    next
      assume a12:¬ ine PQ (kE key)
      from this and knowPQ and a1 have sg2:kKS key ∈ LocalSecrets PQ by auto
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases know Q m)
        assume know Q m
        from this show ?thesis by simp
      next
        assume not-knowQm:¬ know Q m
        from not-knowQm and a1 have sg3a:¬ ine Q (kE key) by simp
        from not-knowQm and a1 have sg3b:kKS key ∉ LocalSecrets Q by simp
        show ?thesis
        proof (cases kKS key ∈ LocalSecrets P)
          assume kKS key ∈ LocalSecrets P
          from this and a1 show ?thesis by simp
        next

```

```

    assume  $kKS \text{ key} \notin \text{LocalSecrets } P$ 
    from  $sg2$  and  $subPQ$  and  $cCompLoc$  and  $sg3a$  and  $this$  and  $sg3b$  have
ine  $P$  ( $kE \text{ key}$ )
    by (simp add:  $\text{LocalSecretsComposition-ine1-k}$ )
    from  $this$  and  $a1$  show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
qed
next
fix  $secret$ 
assume  $a2:m = sKS \text{ secret}$ 
from  $this$  show ?thesis
proof (cases ine  $PQ$  ( $sE \text{ secret}$ ))
  assume  $a21:ine \text{ } PQ \text{ } (sE \text{ secret})$ 
  from  $this$  and  $subPQ$  and  $cCompI$  and  $a2$  have
    ine  $P$  ( $sE \text{ secret}$ )  $\vee$  ine  $Q$  ( $sE \text{ secret}$ )
    by (simp add:  $TBtheorem1a$ )
  from  $this$  and  $a2$  show ?thesis by auto
next
assume  $a22:\neg \text{ } ine \text{ } PQ \text{ } (sE \text{ secret})$ 
from  $this$  and  $knowPQ$  and  $a2$  have  $sg5:$ 
   $sKS \text{ secret} \in \text{LocalSecrets } PQ$  by auto
show ?thesis
proof (cases  $know \text{ } Q \text{ } m$ )
  assume  $know \text{ } Q \text{ } m$ 
  from  $this$  show ?thesis by simp
next
assume  $not-knowQm:\neg \text{ } know \text{ } Q \text{ } m$ 
from  $not-knowQm$  and  $a2$  have  $sg6a:\neg \text{ } ine \text{ } Q \text{ } (sE \text{ secret})$  by simp
from  $not-knowQm$  and  $a2$  have  $sg6b:sKS \text{ secret} \notin \text{LocalSecrets } Q$  by simp
show ?thesis
proof (cases  $sKS \text{ secret} \in \text{LocalSecrets } P$ )
  assume  $sKS \text{ secret} \in \text{LocalSecrets } P$ 
  from  $this$  and  $a2$  show ?thesis by simp
next
assume  $sKS \text{ secret} \notin \text{LocalSecrets } P$ 
from  $sg5$  and  $subPQ$  and  $cCompLoc$  and  $sg6a$  and  $this$  and  $sg6b$  have
  ine  $P$  ( $sE \text{ secret}$ )
  by (simp add:  $\text{LocalSecretsComposition-ine1-s}$ )
  from  $this$  and  $a2$  show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
qed
qed
lemma  $eout\text{-}knows\text{-}nonKS\text{-}k$ :
  assumes  $m \notin (\text{specKeys } A)$ 
    and  $eout \text{ } A \text{ } (kE \text{ } m)$ 
    and  $eoutKnowsECorrect \text{ } A \text{ } (kE \text{ } m)$ 

```

shows $\text{knows } A \ [kE \ m]$
using *assms*
by (*metis* *Expression.distinct(1)* *Expression.inject(1)* *eoutKnowsECorrect-L1*)

lemma *eout-knows-nonKS-s*:
assumes $h1:m \notin \text{specSecrets } A$
and $h2:\text{eout } A \ (sE \ m)$
and $h3:\text{eoutKnowsECorrect } A \ (sE \ m)$
shows $\text{knows } A \ [sE \ m]$
using *assms*
by (*metis* *Expression.distinct(1)* *Expression.inject(2)* *eoutKnowsECorrect-def*)

lemma *not-knows-k-not-ine*:
assumes $\neg \text{knows } A \ [kE \ m]$
shows $\neg \text{ine } A \ (kE \ m)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis* *knows2know-neg-k* *not-know-k-not-ine*)

lemma *not-knows-s-not-ine*:
assumes $\neg \text{knows } A \ [sE \ m]$
shows $\neg \text{ine } A \ (sE \ m)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis* *knows2know-neg-s* *not-know-s-not-ine*)

lemma *not-knows-k-not-eout*:
assumes $m \notin \text{specKeys } A$
and $\neg \text{knows } A \ [kE \ m]$
and $\text{eoutKnowsECorrect } A \ (kE \ m)$
shows $\neg \text{eout } A \ (kE \ m)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis* *eout-knows-nonKS-k*)

lemma *not-knows-s-not-eout*:
assumes $m \notin \text{specSecrets } A$
and $\neg \text{knows } A \ [sE \ m]$
and $\text{eoutKnowsECorrect } A \ (sE \ m)$
shows $\neg \text{eout } A \ (sE \ m)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis* *eout-knows-nonKS-s*)

lemma *adv-not-knows1*:
assumes $\text{out } P \subseteq \text{ins } A$
and $\neg \text{knows } A \ [kE \ m]$
shows $\neg \text{eout } P \ (kE \ m)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis* *adv-not-know1* *knows2know-neg-k*)

lemma *adv-not-knows2*:
assumes $\text{out } P \subseteq \text{ins } A$
and $\neg \text{knows } A \ [sE \ m]$
shows $\neg \text{eout } P \ (sE \ m)$
using *assms* **by** (*metis* *adv-not-know2* *knows2know-neg-s*)

lemma *knows-decomposition-1-k*:

assumes $kKS\ a \notin \text{specKeysSecrets}\ P$
and $kKS\ a \notin \text{specKeysSecrets}\ Q$
and $\text{subcomponents}\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $\text{knows}\ PQ\ [kE\ a]$
and $\text{correctCompositionIn}\ PQ$
and $\text{correctCompositionLoc}\ PQ$
shows $\text{knows}\ P\ [kE\ a] \vee \text{knows}\ Q\ [kE\ a]$
using *assms* **by** (*metis know-decomposition knows1k*)

lemma *knows-decomposition-1-s*:
assumes $sKS\ a \notin \text{specKeysSecrets}\ P$
and $sKS\ a \notin \text{specKeysSecrets}\ Q$
and $\text{subcomponents}\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $\text{knows}\ PQ\ [sE\ a]$
and $\text{correctCompositionIn}\ PQ$
and $\text{correctCompositionLoc}\ PQ$
shows $\text{knows}\ P\ [sE\ a] \vee \text{knows}\ Q\ [sE\ a]$
using *assms* **by** (*metis know-decomposition knows1s*)

lemma *knows-decomposition-1*:
assumes $\text{subcomponents}\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $\text{knows}\ PQ\ [a]$
and $\text{correctCompositionIn}\ PQ$
and $\text{correctCompositionLoc}\ PQ$
and $(\exists\ z. a = kE\ z) \vee (\exists\ z. a = sE\ z)$
and $\forall\ z. a = kE\ z \longrightarrow$
 $kKS\ z \notin \text{specKeysSecrets}\ P \wedge kKS\ z \notin \text{specKeysSecrets}\ Q$
and $\text{h7}:\forall\ z. a = sE\ z \longrightarrow$
 $sKS\ z \notin \text{specKeysSecrets}\ P \wedge sKS\ z \notin \text{specKeysSecrets}\ Q$
shows $\text{knows}\ P\ [a] \vee \text{knows}\ Q\ [a]$
using *assms*
by (*metis knows-decomposition-1-k knows-decomposition-1-s*)

lemma *knows-composition1-k*:
assumes $(kKS\ m) \notin \text{specKeysSecrets}\ P$
and $(kKS\ m) \notin \text{specKeysSecrets}\ Q$
and $\text{knows}\ P\ [kE\ m]$
and $\text{subcomponents}\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $\text{correctCompositionIn}\ PQ$
and $\text{correctCompositionKS}\ PQ$
shows $\text{knows}\ PQ\ [kE\ m]$
using *assms* **by** (*metis know-composition knows1k*)

lemma *knows-composition1-s*:
assumes $(sKS\ m) \notin \text{specKeysSecrets}\ P$
and $(sKS\ m) \notin \text{specKeysSecrets}\ Q$
and $\text{knows}\ P\ [sE\ m]$
and $\text{subcomponents}\ PQ = \{P, Q\}$
and $\text{correctCompositionIn}\ PQ$

and *correctCompositionKS PQ*
 shows *knows PQ [sE m]*
 using *assms* by (*metis know-composition knows1s*)

lemma *knows-composition2-k*:
 assumes (*kKS m*) \notin *specKeysSecrets P*
 and (*kKS m*) \notin *specKeysSecrets Q*
 and *knows Q [kE m]*
 and *subcomponents PQ = {P, Q}*
 and *correctCompositionIn PQ*
 and *correctCompositionKS PQ*
 shows *knows PQ [kE m]*
 using *assms*
 by (*metis know2knowsPQ-k know-composition knows2know-k*)

lemma *knows-composition2-s*:
 assumes (*sKS m*) \notin *specKeysSecrets P*
 and (*sKS m*) \notin *specKeysSecrets Q*
 and *knows Q [sE m]*
 and *subcomponents PQ = {P, Q}*
 and *correctCompositionIn PQ*
 and *correctCompositionKS PQ*
 shows *knows PQ [sE m]*
 using *assms*
 by (*metis know2knowsPQ-s know-composition knows2know-s*)

lemma *knows-composition-neg1-k*:
 assumes *kKS m* \notin *specKeysSecrets P*
 and *kKS m* \notin *specKeysSecrets Q*
 and \neg *knows P [kE m]*
 and \neg *knows Q [kE m]*
 and *subcomponents PQ = {P, Q}*
 and *correctCompositionLoc PQ*
 and *correctCompositionIn PQ*
 and *correctCompositionKS PQ*
 shows \neg *knows PQ [kE m]*
 using *assms* by (*metis know-decomposition knows1k*)

lemma *knows-composition-neg1-s*:
 assumes *sKS m* \notin *specKeysSecrets P*
 and *sKS m* \notin *specKeysSecrets Q*
 and \neg *knows P [sE m]*
 and \neg *knows Q [sE m]*
 and *subcomponents PQ = {P, Q}*
 and *correctCompositionLoc PQ*
 and *correctCompositionIn PQ*
 and *correctCompositionKS PQ*
 shows \neg *knows PQ [sE m]*
 using *assms* by (*metis knows-decomposition-1-s*)

```

lemma knows-concat-1:
assumes knows P (a # e)
shows knows P [a]
using assms by (metis append-Cons append-Nil knows2)

lemma knows-concat-2:
assumes knows P (a # e)
shows knows P e
using assms by (metis append-Cons append-Nil knows2a)

lemma knows-concat-3:
assumes knows P [a]
and knows P e
shows knows P (a # e)
using assms by (metis append-Cons append-Nil knows3)

lemma not-knows-conc-knows-elem-not-knows-tail:
assumes  $\neg$  knows P (a # e)
and knows P [a]
shows  $\neg$  knows P e
using assms by (metis knows-concat-3)

lemma not-knows-conc-not-knows-elem-tail:
assumes  $\neg$  knows P (a # e)
shows  $\neg$  knows P [a]  $\vee$   $\neg$  knows P e
using assms by (metis append-Cons append-Nil knows3)

lemma not-knows-elem-not-knows-conc:
assumes  $\neg$  knows P [a]
shows  $\neg$  knows P (a # e)
using assms by (metis knows-concat-1)

lemma not-knows-tail-not-knows-conc:
assumes  $\neg$  knows P e
shows  $\neg$  knows P (a # e)
using assms by (metis knows-concat-2)

lemma knows-composition3:
fixes e::Expression list
assumes knows P e
and subPQ:subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}
and cCompI:correctCompositionIn PQ
and cCompKS:correctCompositionKS PQ
and  $\forall$  (m::Expression).  $((m \text{ mem } e) \longrightarrow$ 
 $((\exists z1. m = (kE z1)) \vee (\exists z2. m = (sE z2))))$ 
and notSpecKeysSecretsExpr P e
and notSpecKeysSecretsExpr Q e
shows knows PQ e

```

```

using assms
proof (induct e)
  case Nil
  from this show ?case by (simp only: knows-emptyexpression)
next
  fix a l
  case (Cons a l)
  from Cons have sg1:knows P [a] by (simp add: knows-concat-1)
  from Cons have sg2:knows P l by (simp only: knows-concat-2)
  from sg1 have sg3:a mem (a # l) by simp
  from Cons and sg2 have sg2a:knows PQ l
    by (simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-L2)
  from Cons and sg1 and sg2 and sg3 show ?case
proof (cases  $\exists z1. a = kE z1$ )
  assume  $\exists z1. a = (kE z1)$ 
  from this obtain z where a1:a = (kE z) by auto
  from a1 and Cons have sg4:(kKS z)  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets P
    by (simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-def)
  from a1 and Cons have sg5:(kKS z)  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets Q
    by (simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-def)
  from sg1 and a1 have sg6:knows P [kE z] by simp
  from sg4 and sg5 and sg6 and subPQ and cCompI and cCompKS
    have knows PQ [kE z]
    by (rule knows-composition1-k)
  from this and sg2a and a1 show ?case by (simp add: knows-concat-3)
next
  assume  $\neg (\exists z1. a = kE z1)$ 
  from this and Cons and sg3 have  $\exists z2. a = (sE z2)$  by auto
  from this obtain z where a2:a = (sE z) by auto
  from a2 and Cons have sg8:(sKS z)  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets P
    by (simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-def)
  from a2 and Cons have sg9:(sKS z)  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets Q
    by (simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-def)
  from sg1 and a2 have sg10:knows P [sE z] by simp
  from sg8 and sg9 and sg10 and subPQ and cCompI and cCompKS
    have knows PQ [sE z]
    by (rule knows-composition1-s)
  from this and sg2a and a2 show ?case by (simp add: knows-concat-3)
qed
qed

lemma knows-composition4:
  assumes knows Q e
  and subPQ:subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}
  and cCompI:correctCompositionIn PQ
  and cCompKS:correctCompositionKS PQ
  and  $\forall m. m \text{ mem } e \longrightarrow ((\exists z. m = kE z) \vee (\exists z. m = sE z))$ 
  and notSpecKeysSecretsExpr P e
  and notSpecKeysSecretsExpr Q e

```



```

shows knows PQ e
using assms
proof (induct e)
  case Nil
  from this show ?case by (simp only: knows-emptyexpression)
next
  fix a l
  case (Cons a l)
  from Cons have sg1:knows Q [a] by (simp add: knows-concat-1)
  from Cons have sg2:knows Q l by (simp only: knows-concat-2)
  from sg1 have sg3:a mem (a # l) by simp
  from Cons and sg2 have sg2a:knows PQ l
    by (simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-L2)
  from Cons and sg1 and sg2 and sg3 show ?case
proof (cases  $\exists z1. a = kE z1$ )
  assume  $\exists z1. a = (kE z1)$ 
  from this obtain z where a1:a = (kE z) by auto
  from a1 and Cons have sg4:(kKS z)  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets P
    by (simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-def)
  from a1 and Cons have sg5:(kKS z)  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets Q
    by (simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-def)
  from sg1 and a1 have sg6:knows Q [kE z] by simp
  from sg4 and sg5 and sg6 and subPQ and cCompI and cCompKS
    have knows PQ [kE z]
    by (rule knows-composition2-k)
  from this and sg2a and a1 show ?case by (simp add: knows-concat-3)
next
  assume  $\neg (\exists z1. a = kE z1)$ 
  from this and Cons and sg3 have  $\exists z2. a = (sE z2)$  by auto
  from this obtain z where a2:a = (sE z) by auto
  from a2 and Cons have sg8:(sKS z)  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets P
    by (simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-def)
  from a2 and Cons have sg9:(sKS z)  $\notin$  specKeysSecrets Q
    by (simp add: notSpecKeysSecretsExpr-def)
  from sg1 and a2 have sg10:knows Q [sE z] by simp
  from sg8 and sg9 and sg10 and subPQ and cCompI and cCompKS
    have knows PQ [sE z]
    by (rule knows-composition2-s)
  from this and sg2a and a2 show ?case by (simp add: knows-concat-3)
qed
qed

lemma knows-composition5:
assumes knows P e  $\vee$  knows Q e
  and subcomponents PQ = {P,Q}
  and correctCompositionIn PQ
  and correctCompositionKS PQ
  and  $\forall m. m \text{ mem } e \longrightarrow ((\exists z. m = kE z) \vee (\exists z. m = sE z))$ 
  and notSpecKeysSecretsExpr P e

```

```

      and notSpecKeysSecretsExpr Q e
shows knows PQ e
using assms by (metis knows-composition3 knows-composition4)

end

```

References

- [1] T. Nipkow, L. C. Paulson, and M. Wenzel. *Isabelle/HOL – A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic*. LNCS. Springer, 2013.
- [2] M. Spichkova. Stream Processing Components: Isabelle/HOL Formalisation and Case Studies. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Nov. 2013.
- [3] M. Spichkova and J. Jürjens. Formal Specification of Cryptographic Protocols and Their Composition Properties: FOCUS-oriented approach. Technical report, Technische Universität München, 2008.
- [4] M. Wenzel. *The Isabelle/Isar Reference Manual*. TU München, 2013.