

DOCUMENT 2: HIERARCHICAL DNA SYSTEM

Implementation and Algorithms for Infinite Traceability

For: Cyril Amegah - Technical Assessment THE BRIDGE

Date: August 10, 2025

Purpose: Implement hierarchical DNA with anti-collision algorithms

PART A: DNA CONCEPT - WHY IT'S REVOLUTIONARY

DNA = COMPLETE HISTORY OF EVERY PROCESS

Simple analogy: Just as human DNA tells the genetic history of a person, the processual DNA tells the complete history of every business activity.

CONCRETE EXAMPLE:

```
TSK25001→PRJ25002→RCH25003→TEH25004→APR25005
```

This code means:

- TSK25001: Everything started with a CLIENT PHONE CALL
- PRJ25002: From the phone call a PROJECT was born
- RCH25003: The project generated SAMPLE REQUEST
- TEH25004: The samples led to TECHNICAL SHEET
- APR25005: The technical sheet is under APPROVAL

DNA POWER:

- **Infinite traceability:** Always trace back to origin
- **Semantic navigation:** The code tells the story
- **Automatic aggregation:** Reports generate themselves
- **Consistency control:** Errors become impossible

ADVANTAGES vs TRADITIONAL SYSTEMS

Traditional System:

- TASK_001, TASK_002, TASK_003 (meaningless numbers)
- Business Intelligence needed to understand connections
- Manual reporting subject to errors

3P3 DNA System:

- TSK25001→PRJ25002→RCH25003 (readable history)
 - Automatic connections from the code itself
 - Reports generated automatically following DNA
-

PART B: DEFINITIVE DNA FORMAT

STANDARD STRUCTURE

FORMAT: PRXYYNNNN

WHERE:

- └ PRX = Process Type (TSK, PRJ, RCH, TEH, APR, etc.)
- └ YY = Year (25 = 2025)
- └ NNNN = Progressive (0001, 0002, 0003, etc.)

REAL KOOL TOOL EXAMPLES

COLOR CHART WORKFLOW:

1. CLIENT PHONE CALL:

DNA: TSK25001 └ TSK = Type: Task/Phone call └ 25 = Year: 2025
└ 001 = First phone call of the year

2. PROJECT OPENING:

DNA: PRJ25001

Parent: TSK25001

MEANING: Project opened, generated from phone call TSK25001

3. SAMPLE REQUEST:

DNA: RCH25001

Parent: PRJ25001

MEANING: Sample request for project PRJ25001

4. TECHNICAL SHEET:

DNA: TEH25001

Parent: RCH25001

MEANING: Technical sheet based on samples RCH25001

5. FINAL APPROVAL:

DNA: APR25001

Parent: TEH25001

MEANING: Approval of technical sheet TEH25001

SUB-PROCESSES RCH (Managing 120 Hair Strands):

Registration Swatches:

DNA: RCH25002

Parent: RCH25001

Type: Registration of the 120 requested strands

Sample Preparation:

DNA: RCH25003

Parent: RCH25002

Type: Workspace preparation for sampling

Specific Strand (example BLONDE_L8):

DNA: RCH25004

Parent: RCH25003

Specification: BLONDE_L8

Recipe: BOB001(3parts) + BOB002(2parts)

Label Printing:

DNA: RCH25005

Parent: RCH25004

Type: Label printing for strands

Client Approval (Web Interface):

DNA: APR25002

Parent: RCH25005

Status: PENDING_CLIENT_APPROVAL

PART C: DNA GENERATION ALGORITHMS

ANTI-COLLISION ALGORITHM

PROBLEM: How to guarantee uniqueness in multi-user environment?

SOLUTION: SEQUENCE Table + Lock Mechanism

1. SEQUENCE TABLE:

sql

SEQUENCE TABLE:

```
|--- SequenceDate (Date, Primary Key)  
|--- ProcessType (Text, Primary Key)  
|--- LastNumber (Number)  
|--- Lock_UserID (Text)  
|--- Lock_Timestamp (Timestamp)  
└--- Lock_Duration (Number, seconds)
```

2. STEP-BY-STEP ALGORITHM:

javascript

```
FUNCTION generateDNA(processType, ownerCode) {  
    // STEP 1: Lock sequence per process/year  
    currentYear = getYear(today())  
    acquireLock(processType, currentYear, userID)  
  
    // STEP 2: Get next number  
    currentSequence = getSequence(processType, currentYear)  
    nextNumber = currentSequence + 1  
  
    // STEP 3: Update sequence  
    updateSequence(processType, currentYear, nextNumber)  
  
    // STEP 4: Generate DNA  
    yearString = String(currentYear).substring(2,4) // "25"  
    sequenceString = padLeft(nextNumber, 4) // "0001", "0002", etc.  
    DNA = processType + yearString + sequenceString  
  
    // STEP 5: Release lock  
    releaseLock(processType, currentYear)  
  
    return DNA  
}
```

3. PRACTICAL EXAMPLE:

Scenario: Marco and Giuseppe create RCH simultaneously on 20/08/2025

Marco (14:30:15):

1. acquireLock("RCH", "2025", "MAR") → SUCCESS
2. getSequence("RCH", "2025") → 0
3. nextNumber = 1

4. updateSequence("RCH", "2025", 1)

5. DNA = "RCH25001"

6. releaseLock("RCH", "2025")

Giuseppe (14:30:16):

1. acquireLock("RCH", "2025", "GIU") → WAIT (Marco has lock)

2. acquireLock("RCH", "2025", "GIU") → SUCCESS (after 0.5 sec)

3. getSequence("RCH", "2025") → 1 (updated by Marco)

4. nextNumber = 2

5. updateSequence("RCH", "2025", 2)

6. DNA = "RCH25002"

7. releaseLock("RCH", "2025")

RESULT: Zero collisions, sequence automatically correct

✖ COMPLETE FILEMAKER SCRIPTS

SCRIPT 1: Generate_DNA

applescript

```

# GENERATE_DNA Script
# Input: $processType, $ownerCode, $parentDNA

# Step 1: Prepare variables
Set Variable [ $currentYear ; Year(GetCurrentDate) ]
Set Variable [ $yearString ; Right("00" & ($currentYear - 2000) ; 2) ]
Set Variable [ $lockKey ; $processType & "_" & $currentYear ]

# Step 2: Acquire lock with retry
Set Variable [ $lockAcquired ; False ]
Loop
    Go to Layout [ "SEQUENCE" ]
    Perform Find [ Find Records: SEQUENCE::LockKey = $lockKey AND SEQUENCE::LockUserID = "" ]
    If [ Get(FoundCount) > 0 ]
        Set Field [ SEQUENCE::LockUserID ; Get(AccountName) ]
        Set Field [ SEQUENCE::LockTimestamp ; Get(CurrentTimestamp) ]
        Set Variable [ $lockAcquired ; True ]
        Exit Loop If [ True ]
    Else
        Pause/Resume Script [ Duration (seconds): 0.1 ]
    End If
End Loop

# Step 3: Get and increment sequence
Set Variable [ $currentSeq ; SEQUENCE::LastNumber ]
Set Variable [ $nextSeq ; $currentSeq + 1 ]
Set Field [ SEQUENCE::LastNumber ; $nextSeq ]

# Step 4: Build DNA
Set Variable [ $seqString ; Right("0000" & $nextSeq ; 4) ]
Set Variable [ $newDNA ; $processType & $yearString & $seqString ]

# Step 5: Create LOG record
Go to Layout [ "LOG" ]
New Record/Request
Set Field [ LOG::ProcessID ; $newDNA ]
Set Field [ LOG::ProcessType ; $processType ]
Set Field [ LOG::ParentProcessID ; $parentDNA ]
Set Field [ LOG::ResponsibleID ; $ownerCode ]
Set Field [ LOG::Status ; "TO_DO" ]
Set Field [ LOG::DateCreated ; Get(CurrentTimestamp) ]

# Step 6: Release lock
Go to Layout [ "SEQUENCE" ]
Perform Find [ Find Records: SEQUENCE::LockKey = $lockKey ]
Set Field [ SEQUENCE::LockUserID ; "" ]

```

```
Set Field [ SEQUENCE::LockTimestamp ; ""]
```

```
# Step 7: Return to original layout
```

```
Go to Layout [ original layout ]
```

```
Exit Script [ Text Result: $newDNA ]
```

SCRIPT 2: Create_Child_Process

```
applescript
```

```
# CREATE_CHILD_PROCESS Script
```

```
# Input: $parentDNA, $childProcessType, $ownerCode
```

```
# Step 1: Validate parent exists
```

```
Go to Layout [ "LOG" ]
```

```
Perform Find [ Find Records: LOG::ProcessID = $parentDNA ]
```

```
If [ Get(FoundCount) = 0 ]
```

```
    Show Custom Dialog [ "Error: Parent process not found" ]
```

```
    Exit Script [ Text Result: "ERROR" ]
```

```
End If
```

```
# Step 2: Generate child DNA
```

```
Perform Script [ "Generate_DNA" ; Parameter: $childProcessType & "T" & $ownerCode & "T" & $parentDNA ]
```

```
Set Variable [ $childDNA ; Get(ScriptResult) ]
```

```
# Step 3: Update hierarchy relationship
```

```
Set Field [ LOG::ParentProcessID ; $parentDNA ]
```

```
# Step 4: Return child DNA
```

```
Exit Script [ Text Result: $childDNA ]
```

PART D: OPERATIONAL BENEFITS WITH KOOL TOOL EXAMPLES

🎯 INFINITE TRACEABILITY

Scenario: LILA COSMETIC client complains about BLONDE_L8 color quality

AUTOMATIC INVESTIGATION via DNA:

1. PROBLEM: BLONDE_L8 color non-compliant
2. Strand DNA: RCH25004
3. Parent RCH25003: Workspace preparation
4. Parent RCH25002: Registration 120 strands
5. Parent RCH25001: Original sample request

6. Parent PRJ25001: LILA project

7. Parent TSK25001: Initial phone call Simona

AUTOMATIC RESULT:

- └─ Sampling responsible: Giuseppe (RCH25004)
- └─ Preparation responsible: Marco (RCH25003)
- └─ Registration responsible: Giuseppe (RCH25002)
- └─ Machine used: Found via timestamp in machine log
- └─ Spools used: BOB001(3parts) + BOB002(2parts)
- └─ Spool supplier: Retrieved from spool purchases
- └─ Dyeing batch: Identified from spool production date

INVESTIGATION TIME: 30 seconds vs 3 hours manual

SEMANTIC NAVIGATION

EXAMPLE: Automatic Dashboard for Project

Input: Selection PRJ25001

Automatic output via DNA:

```
sql  
-- FileMaker Find automatic based on DNA pattern  
FIND: LOG::ProcessID = "PRJ25001" OR  
      LOG::ParentProcessID LIKE "*PRJ25001*"
```

RESULT:

- └─ 1 Project (PRJ25001)
- └─ 1 Sample Request (RCH25001)
- └─ 4 RCH Sub-processes (RCH25002-25005)
- └─ 1 Technical Sheet (TEH25001)
- └─ 1 Approval (APR25001)
- └─ 120 Specific Strands (RCH25004 + variants)

TOTAL PROCESSES: 128 automatically connected

AUTOMATIC AGGREGATION

EXAMPLE: Project Cost Report

Automatic query via DNA hierarchy:

```
sql
```

-- All processes connected to project

GET ALL CHILDREN OF: PRJ25001

-- Automatic cost calculation

FOR EACH child_process:

```
cost += process.duration * resource.hourly_rate  
cost += process.materials_used * material.unit_cost  
cost += process.machine_time * machine.hourly_rate
```

-- Automatic final report

PROJECT PRJ25001 "LILA COSMETIC CHARTS":

```
|--- Phone Call Cost (TSK25001): 15€ (0.5h Simona)  
|--- Project Cost (PRJ25001): 40€ (1h Luca setup)  
|--- Sample Cost (RCH25001): 280€ (detail below)  
|   |--- RCH25002 Registration: 30€ (1h Giuseppe)  
|   |--- RCH25003 Sample Prep: 120€ (4h Marco + materials)  
|   |--- RCH25004 120 Strands: 120€ (4h assembly)  
|   |--- RCH25005 Labels: 10€ (labels + printing)  
|--- Technical Sheet Cost (TEH25001): 80€ (2h Marco design)  
|--- Approval Cost (APR25001): 25€ (0.5h control)
```

TOTAL PROJECT: 440€

MARGIN: 76€ quote - 440€ costs = -364€ (RED!)

ACTION: Review prices or optimize processes

PART E: INFINITE STRAND RECIPE MANAGEMENT

PROBLEM: 120 Strands with Different Recipes

TECHNICAL CHALLENGE:

- Each strand has unique recipe (e.g., BOB001:3parts + BOB002:2parts)
- Infinite possible combinations with available spools
- Traceability of every single strand in final product

DNA SOLUTION for Infinite Recipes:

1. SPECIFIC STRAND DNA:

FORMAT: RCHYYNNNN + RECIPE_EXTENSION

EXAMPLES:

```
|--- RCH25006_BLONDE_L8_R001 (first blonde L8 recipe)  
|--- RCH25007_BROWN_M7_R045 (recipe 45 for brown M7)
```

```
|--- RCH25008_RED_R4_R123 (recipe 123 for red R4)
|   ... (117 remaining strands)
```

2. RECIPE TABLE:

sql

RECIPES TABLE:

```
|--- RecipeCode (Text, Primary Key: BLONDE_L8_R001)
|--- ProcessID (Text, FK: RCH25006_BLONDE_L8_R001)
|--- ColorName (Text: "Blonde Level 8")
|--- ColorCode (Text: "BLONDE_L8")
|--- RecipeFormula (Text: "BOB001:3 + BOB002:2")
|--- SpoolsUsed (Text: "BOB001,BOB002")
|--- Quantities (Text: "3,2")
|--- TotalWeight (Number: 5 grams)
|--- ProductionTime (Number: 45 seconds)
|--- QualityCheck (Text: "OK/KO/PENDING")
|--- ClientApproval (Text: "APPROVED/REJECTED/PENDING")
|--- Notes (Text: specific details)
```

3. SPOOL TRACEABILITY:

sql

SPOOL_USAGE TABLE:

```
|--- UsageID (Text, Primary Key auto-generated)
|--- RecipeCode (Text, FK: BLONDE_L8_R001)
|--- ProcessID (Text, FK: RCH25006_BLONDE_L8_R001)
|--- SpoolCode (Text: BOB001, BOB002, etc.)
|--- QuantityUsed (Number: 3 parts)
|--- SpoolLot (Text: spool production batch)
|--- Supplier (Text: dye supplier)
|--- DyeDate (Date: dyeing date)
|--- Quality (Text: spool quality control)
```

PRODUCTION WORKFLOW WITH DNA

COMPLETE EXAMPLE: BLONDE_L8 Strand Production

STEP 1 - Client Sample Registration:

DNA: RCH25002

ACTION: Giuseppe registers BLONDE_L8 sample sent by client

RESULT: Image file + target color specifications

STEP 2 - Sampling Preparation:

DNA: RCH25003

Parent: RCH25002

ACTION: Marco prepares workspace for sampling

RESULT: Spools BOB001, BOB002 prepared + tools

STEP 3 - Sample Strand Creation:

DNA: RCH25004_BLONDE_L8_R001

Parent: RCH25003

ACTION: Marco assembles: BOB001(3parts) + BOB002(2parts)

RESULT: BLONDE_L8 sample strand

MACHINE: Assembly_001 (tracked automatically)

TIME: 45 seconds (recorded automatically)

STEP 4 - Labeling:

DNA: RCH25005

Parent: RCH25004_BLONDE_L8_R001

ACTION: Anna prints label with QR code containing DNA

RESULT: Physical label applied to strand

QR_CONTENT: RCH25004_BLONDE_L8_R001

STEP 5 - Client Approval (Web Interface):

DNA: APR25002 Parent: RCH25005 ACTION: Client accesses with browser, views strand, approves/rejects

WEB_URL: <https://kooltool.eu/approval/APR25002> RESULT: Status = "APPROVED" → Recipe confirmed for production

STEP 6 - Series Production (120 identical strands):

DNA_BATCH: TEH25002 Parent: APR25002 ACTION: Marco produces 120 BLONDE_L8 strands with

approved recipe RECIPE: Copy from BLONDE_L8_R001 (BOB001:3 + BOB002:2) RESULT: 120 identical

strands for final chart

MACHINE AND OPERATOR INTEGRATION

COMPLETE TRACEABILITY via DNA:

Machines Used:

sql

MACHINE_LOG TABLE:

- └── ProcessID (Text, FK: RCH25004_BLONDE_L8_R001)
- └── MachineID (Text: ASSEMBLY_001)
- └── StartTime (Timestamp: 14:30:15)
- └── EndTime (Timestamp: 14:31:00)
- └── Duration (Number: 45 seconds)
- └── OperatorID (Text: MAR - Marco)
- └── QualityCheck (Text: "Tension OK, Color OK")
- └── MaintenanceStatus (Text: "Machine OK")

Involved Operators:

sql

OPERATOR_LOG TABLE:

- └── ProcessID (Text, FK: RCH25004_BLONDE_L8_R001)
- └── OperatorID (Text: MAR)
- └── OperatorName (Text: "Marco Rossi")
- └── TaskDescription (Text: "BLONDE_L8 strand assembly")
- └── SkillLevel (Text: "Expert - Strands")
- └── TimeSpent (Number: 45 seconds)
- └── QualityRating (Number: 9.5/10)

PART F: ADVANCED FILEMAKER SCRIPTS

SCRIPT 3: Infinite Recipe Management

applescript

```

# CREATE_RECIPE_DNA Script
# Input: $baseProcess, $colorCode, $recipeFormula

# Step 1: Generate recipe code
Set Variable [ $recipeCounter ; Get_Next_Recipe_Number($colorCode) ]
Set Variable [ $recipeCode ; $colorCode & "_R" & Right("000" & $recipeCounter ; 3) ]

# Step 2: Extend base DNA with recipe
Set Variable [ $recipeDNA ; $baseProcess & "_" & $recipeCode ]

# Step 3: Create recipe record
Go to Layout [ "RECIPES" ]
New Record/Request
Set Field [ RECIPES::RecipeCode ; $recipeCode ]
Set Field [ RECIPES::ProcessID ; $recipeDNA ]
Set Field [ RECIPES::ColorCode ; $colorCode ]
Set Field [ RECIPES::RecipeFormula ; $recipeFormula ]

# Step 4: Parse and create spool usage
Set Variable [ $spoolList ; SplitFormula($recipeFormula) ]
Loop
    Exit Loop If [ ValueCount($spoolList) = 0 ]
    Set Variable [ $currentSpool ; GetValue($spoolList ; 1) ]
    Perform Script [ "Create_Spool_Usage" ; Parameter: $recipeDNA & "T" & $currentSpool ]
    Set Variable [ $spoolList ; RightValues($spoolList ; ValueCount($spoolList) - 1) ]
End Loop

Exit Script [ Text Result: $recipeDNA ]

```

⚡ SCRIPT 4: Auto-generation QR Codes

applescript

```

# GENERATE_QR_CODE Script
# Input: $processDNA

# Step 1: Build QR content
Set Variable [ $qrContent ; "https://kooltool.eu/process/" & $processDNA ]

# Step 2: Generate QR image
Set Variable [ $qrImage ; GenerateQR($qrContent) ]

# Step 3: Update process record with QR
Go to Layout [ "LOG" ]
Perform Find [ Find Records: LOG::ProcessID = $processDNA ]
Set Field [ LOG::QRCode ; $qrImage ]

# Step 4: Print label if needed
If [ $printLabel = True ]
    Go to Layout [ "LABEL_LAYOUT" ]
    Print [ Restore ; No dialog ]
End If

```

PART G: TESTING AND VALIDATION

TEST CASES for DNA Generation

TEST 1: Uniqueness under stress

SCENARIO: 10 users create RCH simultaneously

EXPECTED: No collisions, sequences RCH25001-RCH25010

SCRIPT: Multi-user stress test script

VALIDATION: Check SEQUENCE table for duplicates

TEST 2: Hierarchy consistency

SCENARIO: Parent deleted, what happens to children?

EXPECTED: Warning + impossibility of deletion if children exist

SCRIPT: Delete protection script

VALIDATION: Referential integrity maintained

TEST 3: Performance with 1000+ processes

SCENARIO: Database with 1000+ connected processes

EXPECTED: Find and aggregations < 2 seconds

SCRIPT: Performance benchmark script

VALIDATION: Timing log for each operation

COMMON TROUBLESHOOTING

PROBLEM: "Duplicate DNA generated"

CAUSE: Lock mechanism failed

SOLUTION:

1. Check SEQUENCE table for orphaned locks
2. Clear locks older than 60 seconds
3. Retry generation

PROBLEM: "Parent-child relationship broken"

CAUSE: Parent DNA manually modified

SOLUTION:

1. Never modify DNA manually
2. Use "Fix_Broken_Hierarchy" script for repair
3. Implement DNA change log

PROBLEM: "Performance degraded with many processes"

CAUSE: Missing indexes or overly complex relationships

SOLUTION:

1. Index ProcessID, ParentProcessID, ProcessType
 2. Limit found sets with date ranges
 3. Use summary fields instead of calculations
-

CONCLUSION

The hierarchical DNA system transforms KOOL TOOL from "isolated task management" to "orchestration of interconnected processes".

IMMEDIATE BENEFITS:

- **Infinite traceability** without additional effort
 - **Automatic reports** based on DNA relationships
 - **Ultra-fast debugging** for quality problems
 - **Natural scaling** for infinite recipes and processes
-

NEXT DOCUMENT: Complete Database Architecture with Implementation Schema

KOOL TOOL SRL - Craiova, România

"In the DNA of every process lies the history of the entire company"