Discussion. Parametric g-formula: Outcome modeling

Cornell STSCI / INFO / ILRST 3900 Fall 2025 causal3900.github.io

08 Oct 2025

Statistical modeling

Under exchangeability,

$$E\left(Y^{a}\mid\vec{L}=\vec{\ell}\right)=E\left(Y^{a}\mid A=a,\vec{L}=\vec{\ell}\right)$$

Under consistency,

$$E\left(Y^{a}\mid A=a,\vec{L}=\vec{\ell}\right)=E\left(Y\mid A=a,\vec{L}=\vec{\ell}\right)$$

To estimate, we have been taking the subgroup mean

$$\hat{E}(Y\mid A=a,\vec{L}=\vec{\ell}) = \frac{1}{n_{a,\vec{\ell}}} \sum_{i:A_i=a,\vec{L}_i=\vec{\ell}} Y_i$$

When subgroups are empty, we need a model. Example:

$$\hat{E}\left(Y\mid A=a,\vec{L}=\vec{\ell}\right)=\hat{\alpha}+A\hat{\beta}+\vec{L}'\hat{\vec{\gamma}}+A\vec{L}'\hat{\vec{\eta}}$$

Parametric g-formula: Outcome modeling

- 1. Learn a model to predict Y given $\{A, \vec{L}\}$
- 2. For each i, predict
 - $\blacktriangleright \ \{A=1, \vec{L}=\vec{\ell}_i\},$ the conditional average outcome under treatment
 - $\blacktriangleright~\{A=0, \vec{L}=\vec{\ell}_i\}$, the conditional average outcome under control
- 3. Take the difference for each unit
- 4. Average over the units

G-formula: Data example

Estimate a model based on the true data

```
# A tibble: 10 \times 4
  а
                          race
                   sex
             <lgl> <chr> <fct>
  <chr>
 1 college
             FALSE Female Non-Hispanic Non-Black
 2 college
             FALSE Female Non-Hispanic Non-Black
 3 college
             TRUE Male
                          Non-Hispanic Non-Black
 4 college TRUE Male Non-Hispanic Non-Black
 5 no_college FALSE Male Hispanic
 6 no_college FALSE Female Hispanic
 7 no_college TRUE Male
                          Hispanic
 8 no_college FALSE Female Hispanic
 9 no_college FALSE Male
                          Hispanic
10 no college FALSE Female Hispanic
```

Predict values - control

Predict the counterfactuals when everybody is in the control group

```
# A tibble: 10 \times 3
   а
              sex
                     race
   <chr>
             <chr> <fct>
 1 no_college Female Non-Hispanic Non-Black
 2 no_college Female Non-Hispanic Non-Black
 3 no_college Male Non-Hispanic Non-Black
 4 no_college Male Non-Hispanic Non-Black
 5 no_college Male Hispanic
 6 no_college Female Hispanic
 7 no_college Male Hispanic
 8 no_college Female Hispanic
 9 no_college Male
                     Hispanic
10 no_college Female Hispanic
```

Predict values - treatment

Predict the counterfactuals when everybody is in the treatment group

```
# A tibble: 10 x 3
  а
        sex
                 race
   <chr> <chr> <chr> <fct>
 1 college Female Non-Hispanic Non-Black
 2 college Female Non-Hispanic Non-Black
 3 college Male Non-Hispanic Non-Black
 4 college Male Non-Hispanic Non-Black
 5 college Male Hispanic
 6 college Female Hispanic
 7 college Male Hispanic
 8 college Female Hispanic
 9 college Male Hispanic
10 college Female Hispanic
```

1. Learn a model to predict Y given $\{A, \vec{L}\}$

2. Predict conditional average potential outcomes for every unit

3. Difference to estimate conditional average effects

```
conditional_average_effects <-
  conditional_average_outcomes %>%
  mutate(effect = yhat1 - yhat0)
```

4. Average over units

```
conditional_average_effects %>%
  select(yhat1, yhat0, effect) %>%
  summarize_all(.funs = mean)

# A tibble: 1 x 3
  yhat1 yhat0 effect
  <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> 1 0.427 0.164 0.263
```

Recap. Parametric g-formula: Outcome modeling

- 1. Learn a model to predict Y given $\{A, \vec{L}\}$
- 2. For each i, predict
 - $\blacktriangleright~\{A=1, \vec{L}=\vec{\ell}_i\},$ the conditional average outcome under treatment
 - $\blacktriangleright~\{A=0, \vec{L}=\vec{\ell}_i\},$ the conditional average outcome under control
- 3. Take the difference for each unit
- 4. Average over the units

Extension 1: Conditional average effects

Modify the procedure above to estimate the average effect in subgroups defined by mom's education:

- 1. those with sex == Male
- 2. those with sex == Female

Extension 1: Conditional average effects

Modify the procedure above to estimate the average effect in subgroups defined by mom's education:

- 1. those with sex == Male
- 2. those with sex == Female

One way to code it:

```
conditional_average_effects %>%
  group_by(sex) %>%
  select(sex, yhat0,yhat1,effect) %>%
  summarize_all(.funs = mean)
```

Extension 2: Logistic regression

Repeat the steps above with logistic regression

$$\log \left(\frac{\hat{P}\left(Y \mid A=a, \vec{L}=\vec{\ell}\right)}{1-\hat{P}\left(Y \mid A=a, \vec{L}=\vec{\ell}\right)} \right) = \hat{\alpha} + A\hat{\beta} + \vec{L}'\hat{\vec{\gamma}} + A\vec{L}'\hat{\vec{\eta}}$$

Helpful hints:

- ▶ read about using glm() to estimate logistic regression
- when using predict(), search to find out how to predict probabilities

Extension: Logistic regression

Fit a model

Extension: Logistic regression

Predict and summarize to estimate the average effect

```
d %>%
 mutate(yhat1 = predict(fit,
                         newdata = d %>%
                           mutate(a = "college"),
                         type = "response"),
         yhat0 = predict(fit,
                         newdata = d %>%
                           mutate(a = "no_college"),
                         type = "response"),
         effect = yhat1 - yhat0) %>%
  select(yhat1,yhat0,effect) %>%
  summarize_all(.funs = mean)
```

```
# A tibble: 1 x 3
  yhat1 yhat0 effect
  <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> 1 0.406 0.165 0.241
```

Recap. Parametric g-formula: Outcome modeling

- 1. Learn a model to predict Y given $\{A, \vec{L}\}$
- 2. For each i, predict
 - $\blacktriangleright~\{A=1, \vec{L}=\vec{\ell}_i\},$ the conditional average outcome under treatment
 - $\blacktriangleright~\{A=0, \vec{L}=\vec{\ell}_i\},$ the conditional average outcome under control
- 3. Take the difference for each unit
- 4. Average over the units