

# Cloud Based Distributed Data Acquisition

## Exemplified on Power Quality Monitoring

DIPLOMARBEIT

zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades

**Diplom-Ingenieur**

im Rahmen des Studiums

**Software Engineering & Internet Computing**

eingereicht von

**Martin Gebhard Jenny**

Matrikelnummer 0728228

an der Fakultät für Informatik

der Technischen Universität Wien

Betreuung: Pretitle Forename Surname, Posttitle

Mitwirkung: Pretitle Forename Surname, Posttitle

Pretitle Forename Surname, Posttitle

Pretitle Forename Surname, Posttitle

Wien, 1. Jänner 2001

---

Martin Gebhard Jenny

---

Forename Surname



# Cloud Based Distributed Data Acquisition

## Exemplified on Power Quality Monitoring

### DIPLOMA THESIS

submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

### Diplom-Ingenieur

in

### Software Engineering & Internet Computing

by

**Martin Gebhard Jenny**

Registration Number 0728228

to the Faculty of Informatics

at the TU Wien

Advisor: Pretitle Forename Surname, Posttitle

Assistance: Pretitle Forename Surname, Posttitle

Pretitle Forename Surname, Posttitle

Pretitle Forename Surname, Posttitle

Vienna, 1<sup>st</sup> January, 2001

---

Martin Gebhard Jenny

---

Forename Surname



# Erklärung zur Verfassung der Arbeit

Martin Gebhard Jenny  
Silvrettastr. 38, 6780 Schruns

Hiermit erkläre ich, dass ich diese Arbeit selbständig verfasst habe, dass ich die verwendeten Quellen und Hilfsmittel vollständig angegeben habe und dass ich die Stellen der Arbeit – einschließlich Tabellen, Karten und Abbildungen –, die anderen Werken oder dem Internet im Wortlaut oder dem Sinn nach entnommen sind, auf jeden Fall unter Angabe der Quelle als Entlehnung kenntlich gemacht habe.

Wien, 1. Jänner 2001

---

Martin Gebhard Jenny



# Danksagung

**TODO: Ihr Text hier.**





# Acknowledgements

**TODO:** Enter your text here.



# Kurzfassung

**TODO: Ihr Text hier.**



# Abstract

**TODO:** Enter your text here.



# Contents

<b>Kurzfassung</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Contents</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Goals</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3 Theoretical Foundation</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1 Power Quality Monitoring . . . . .	5
3.2 Cloud Computing . . . . .	10
3.3 Internet of Things . . . . .	13
<b>4 System Design</b>	<b>21</b>
4.1 Requirements . . . . .	21
4.2 System Overview . . . . .	21
4.3 Hardware Architecture . . . . .	21
4.4 Software Architecture . . . . .	21
4.5 Network Architecture . . . . .	23
4.6 Security Aspects / Threat-Modeling . . . . .	23
<b>5 Simulation</b>	<b>25</b>
5.1 Tools . . . . .	25
5.2 Simulation Design . . . . .	25
5.3 Evaluation . . . . .	26
5.4 Impact on System Design . . . . .	26
<b>6 Implementation</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>7 Evaluation</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>33</b>

<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>List of Algorithms</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>37</b>



# CHAPTER 1

## Introduction

### **TODO: Global todo's:**

- Check quotation marks: “text to quote”
- Check quotation marks vs italic text
- Check citation positions: xxx [1]. or xxx[1]. or xxx. [1] ...
- List of Algorithms not populated



# CHAPTER 2

## Goals



# Theoretical Foundation

## 3.1 Power Quality Monitoring

Electric power is often seen as self-evident. It can be consumed at any time and any point all over the country. But, this standard of comfort implies a lot of effort for the power suppliers. The wide variety of power producers makes it hard for the suppliers to deliver a power grid with stable frequency and voltage. On the one hand, nuclear power plants produce a stable high amount of baseline power, on the other hand highly dynamic techniques like solar or wind energy can make the grid unstable. As a result, the grid has to be balanced. Surplus power has to be compensated, for example by pumping water into big artificial lakes in the mountains. Especially the increasing use of electric equipment in private and industrial environments punctuates the need for a stable power grid.

To fulfill the task of keeping the grid stable, precise measurement is needed at defined points in the power grid. Therefore, the term power quality monitoring describes the the monitoring of important parameters of the power grid, such as frequency or different aspects of the voltage. Furthermore, analysis are made to react to imbalances and to predict further events like the outage of a component of the power grid.

The European Standard EN 50160 defines the parameters of the power supply network that have to be monitored to ensure the given limits by the standard. The standard describes the characteristics under normal operation conditions at a supply terminal from a customer to the public network. The following sections describes some example characteristics of the corresponding Austrian standard ÖVE/ÖNORM EN 50160[1]. Furthermore, the International Standard IEC 61000-4-30 defines testing and measurement techniques for power quality monitoring. [2]

The goal of the proposed thesis is to develop a measurement system that measures relevant characteristics of a power system for power network supplier. According to the

underlying standard (EN 50160), it is sufficient to capture the voltage on a power line to calculate the relevant properties for a power quality analysis. The scenario used in the thesis consist of synchronized measurement devices, distributed over a wider geographical area, that are connected to a cloud system. The cloud system provides functionality for managing the devices and visualization of the measured data. Furthermore, interfaces can be consumed to use the data with external systems. With this design, the power quality of a power supplier network can be captured.

#### 3.1.1 Parameters

##### Effective Value

The effective value of the power supply voltage has to be  $230 \text{ V} \pm 10\%$  in 95% of every 10 ten minute interval and  $230 \text{ V} + 10\%/- 15\%$  in every ten minute interval. The following formula is used to calculate the effective value of the captured signal:

$$U_{eff} \approx \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^n x_i^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + \dots + x_n^2)}$$

In order to calculate the following characteristics, a Fourier transformation (see 3.1.3 for further information) has to be applied to the captured data.

##### Frequency

The frequency of the power supply voltage has to be  $50 \text{ Hz} \pm 1\%$  at 99.5% of the year and  $50 \text{ Hz} + 1\%/- 6\%$  at 100% of the year. After the Fourier transformation, the frequency with the highest amplitude is assumed to be the fundamental frequency  $f_0$ .

##### Voltage harmonics

According to the standard, all voltage harmonics up to the 25<sup>th</sup> order has to be monitored. Each value has to be calculated over an interval of ten minutes and has to be tested against the values listed in the standard as described in appendix ???. After the fundamental frequency  $f_0$  is extracted out of the results of the Fourier transformation, the voltage harmonics can be calculated. The frequencies of the corresponding harmonics can be calculated by  $f_n = n \cdot f_0$ . The voltage harmonics of the desired order can then be calculated by the following formula:

$$V_n = \frac{A(f_n)}{A(f_0)} \cdot 100\%$$

where  $A(f_n)$  is the amplitude of the signal at the frequency  $f_n$ .

### Total harmonic distortion

In addition to the voltage harmonics, the total harmonic distortion (THD) has to be  $\leq 8\%$ . For this, the voltage harmonics up to the 40<sup>th</sup> order are aggregated by using the following formula:

$$THD = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{h=2}^{40} V_h^2}}{V_1} \cdot 100\% = \frac{\sqrt{V_2^2 + V_3^2 + V_4^2 + \dots + V_{40}^2}}{V_1} \cdot 100\%$$

#### 3.1.2 Measuring Electric Characteristics

**TODO: better title**

To calculate the selected power quality characteristics, the voltage of the power line has to be monitored. In order to accomplish this task, the analog signal has to be digitized for further computational processing. The signal has to be sampled and each sample has to be quantized to a finite number of bits representing the sample in a digital way. The accuracy of the quantization process strongly depends on the number of bits that such an analog/digital converter (ADC) offers. Assume an ADC represents a sample by  $B$  bits, the sample is transformed to a value in the range of  $2^B$  bits, furthermore, the full-scale range  $R$  has to be taken into account. As a result of this, the full-scale range  $R$  is divided in  $2^B$  steps and the resulting quantization with or quantization resolution can be represented using the following formula[3]:

$$Q = \frac{R}{2^B}$$

In the proposed scenario, a ADC with a  $R = \pm 1200 \text{ V} = 2400 \text{ V}$  and  $B = 24$  bits is used. This results in the following quantization resolution:

$$Q = \frac{2400 \text{ V}}{2^{24} \text{ bits}} = 0.000143 \text{ V} \approx 143 \mu\text{V}$$

Beside having a efficient quantization resolution, choosing the right sample rate is crucial. In order to reconstruct the measured signal, the time between two sample has to be chosen in a manner that on the one hand, unnecessary often taken samples of the same signal level are generated (oversampling) and on the other hand, not too less samples are taken such that the original signal cannot be reconstructed (undersampling)[3]. To avoid undersampling, the Nyquist sampling theorem has to be applied: Taken a real signal, that is bandlimited to  $B$  Hz (only signals with a frequency below  $B$  Hz are sampled), can be reconstructed without errors with the frequency  $R$ , described by the following formula[4]:

$$R > 2 \cdot B$$

In the proposed scenario, we examine total harmonic distortion up to the 40<sup>th</sup> order or

harmonics. According to the standard, the maximal allowed frequency is  $50 \text{ Hz} + 1\% = 50.5 \text{ Hz}$ . Therefore, the  $40^{th}$  harmonic has a frequency of at most  $2020 \text{ Hz}$ . The signal is bandlimited by a lowpass filter to  $B = 5000 \text{ Hz}$ . According to the Nyquist sampling theorem, the sample frequency has to be set to at least  $R > 2 \cdot B = 10000 \text{ Hz}$ . Hence, the sample frequency of the measurement device used to evaluate the scenario is set to  $20000 \text{ Hz}$ .

### 3.1.3 Transformation from Time- to Frequency Domain

Since a great number of important characteristics of the power quality depends on measuring the frequency (and it's components) on a power line, the transformation from a signal recorded in the time domain to it's frequency parts shall be discussed. The most important method used for transformation is the Fourier transform. By using the Fourier analysis, a signal can be represented by its sinusoidal waveforms. In other words, the output of the Fourier analysis shows the amplitude and phase (cosine and sine components) at every frequency of the original signal. The conversion of a signal from the time- to the frequency-domain can be represented by the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT). The input for the DFT is a signal of  $N$  points and can be calculated using the following formula[5]:

$$X(k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) e^{-j \frac{2\pi}{N} nk}$$

Implementing the DFT directly results in an algorithm with a complexity of  $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ . Therefore, efficient implementations (Fast Fourier Transform - FFT) are available that reduce the complexity to  $\mathcal{O}(N \cdot \log(N))$ . The most common FFT algorithm is the Cooley-Tukey algorithm that uses the divide and conquer approach which can be found in listing 3.1[6]. The results of this transformation are 'Bins' representing a sample of the spectrum with the frequency

$$f_i = \frac{R}{N} \cdot i$$

where  $i$  is the current Bin-index. Therefore, the output of the FFT has a limited resolution of the frequency. In the proposed scenario, the resolution (or the difference between two Bins) should be at least  $0.5 \text{ Hz}$  which can be represented as  $\Delta f = f_n - f_{n-1} = 0.5 \text{ Hz}$ . Since  $n \geq 0$  and  $n < N$ , we can choose an arbitrary  $n$  in this range.

$$\begin{aligned} n &= 2, R = 20000 \text{ Hz} \\ \Delta f &= f_n - f_{n-1} = f_2 - f_1 \\ \Delta f &= \frac{R}{N} \cdot 2 - \frac{R}{N} \cdot 1 = \frac{2 \cdot R - 1 \cdot R}{N} = \frac{R}{N} \\ \Rightarrow N &= \frac{R}{\Delta f} = \frac{20000 \text{ Hz}}{0.5 \text{ Hz}} = 40000 \end{aligned}$$



When looking at the recursive algorithm, it can be seen that the algorithm works efficiently when  $N$  is a power of two. Hence we take the next greater power of two for  $N$ .  $N = 2^{16} = 65536$ , which results in a resolution  $\Delta f = 0.305$  Hz.

For the sake of completeness, 'Window functions' have to be taken into account. The FFT works under the precondition that the signal is periodic in the examined window ( $N$  points). Due to measurement uncertainty and that in practice, the signal is not a perfect sine wave, errors occur in the output. To minimize the error, window function can be applied to the measurement data before FFT, which transforms the input signal to a periodic signal. Different window function (Hanning, Hamming, Blackman, etc.) exists to examine different aspects of the spectrum. Practice has shown that the Hanning-Window is useful in most situations and is also applied in the proposed thesis. Every sample  $x[n]$  in the time domain is multiplied with  $w[n]$ , which has the following formula[7]:

$$w[n] = 0.5 - 0.5 \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi n}{N}\right)$$

A common implementation of the FFT algorithm is the recursive version of Cooley and Tukey. The complex signal input array is splitted in two arrays. One with the even indices, one with the odd indices. After that, the function is called again on this two arrays until the length of the input array is less or equal 1. After the recursion returns, the calculation of the frequency-domain data is made by applying .... **TODO: cite**

Listing 3.1: Recursive Cooley-Tukey FFT algorithm

```
private void CalculateFFT(ref Complex[] signal)
{
    int n = signal.Length;

    if (n <= 1)
    {
        return;
    }

    //Divide
    Complex[] even = new Complex[n / 2];
    Complex[] odd = new Complex[n / 2];

    for (int i = 0; i < n / 2; i++)
    {
        even[i] = signal[2 * i];
        odd[i] = signal[2 * i + 1];
    }
}
```

```
//Conquer
CalculateFFT(ref even);
CalculateFFT(ref odd);

//Combine
for (int i = 0; i < n / 2; i++)
{
    double kth = -2 * i * Math.PI / n;
    Complex wk = new Complex(Math.Cos(kth), Math.Sin(kth));

    signal[i] = even[i] + wk * odd[i];
    signal[i + n / 2] = even[i] - wk * odd[i];
}
}
```

**TODO: cite**

## 3.2 Cloud Computing

"I don't need a hard disk in my computer if I can get to the server faster... carrying around these non-connected computers is byzantine by comparison."

---

— Steve Jobs, former Apple CEO

### 3.2.1 Cloud Characteristics

The essential characteristics of cloud computing are as follows[8][9]:

#### **On-demand self-service**

Allows users of the cloud to consume capabilities from it. Common capabilities are network storage, server time or applications. The big difference compared to other computing models is, that the capabilities can be consumed as needed without complex interaction with the cloud provider.

#### **Broad network access**

All of the capabilities can be accessed over the network by standard mechanisms. Furthermore, thin and thick client platforms are supported (from mobile devices up to workstations).

**Resource pooling**

The cloud provider's resources are pooled together to serve multiple consumers. End users of the cloud mostly need not to know the location of the data center hosting the cloud environment (often users can choose the country or a certain datacenter). The different physical and virtual resources are assigned and reassigned dynamically on demand.

**Rapid elasticity**

For rapid elasticity and scalability, the provisioned capability can be automatically scaled up and down. For the end users, capabilities are often presented as unlimited.

**Measured service**

For billing purposes and transparency for both the cloud provider and the end user, measuring capabilities are applied. Furthermore, automatic control and optimization of the resources are performed based on these measures.

**3.2.2 Service Models**

By definition, the cloud system can be divided into the following three service models[9]:

**Software as a Service (SaaS)**

Software is provided to the users within the cloud infrastructure. To use the software, the services provided by the cloud can be accessed by either a web interface or is integrated into software installed locally. The advantage of this service model is, that the users consuming the services of the cloud rely on the underlying hardware (physical servers or network interfaces) and software (operating systems or databases)[9].

Examples: Google Mail, Google Docs[10].

**Platform as a Service (PaaS)**

Software created by users can be deployed to the cloud. For this, the cloud provides libraries, services and tools to support the users by developing a software with a programming language supported by the cloud. Like before, the underlying hardware and software is maintained by the cloud operator[9].

Examples: Google App Engine, Windows Azure[10].

**Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS)**

The users can consume computational power from the cloud. This includes the use of servers and operating systems, storage solutions, networking resources or additional ca-

pabilities like processing power. Again, the underlying hard- and software is maintained by the cloud operator[9].

Examples: Amazon Elastic Compute Cloud (EC2), Amazon Simple Storage Service (S3)[10].

Figure 3.1 shows the three main service models and the responsible entities for the involved services.




Figure 3.1: Service models[10]

**TODO:** <https://blogs.technet.microsoft.com/yungchou/2010/11/15/cloud-comput>

Furthermore, the following subset of service models can be derived from the Everything as a Service (XaaS) aspect:

#### Data Storage as a Service (DaaS)

DaaS can be seen as enhancement of IaaS. The main idea behind this model is to provide storage solutions to users. Often supported services are databases (RDBMS or other types) and file storage.

Example: Amazon Simple Storage Service (S3)[11].

### Human as a Service (HuaaS)

Services, that rely on information generated by a crowd of people. Human intelligence is used to fulfill tasks that are provided as a service to the users. Persons in the crowd use their tools, skills or knowledge to solve a task or a small subset of a task. The cloud systems aggregates the single results and provide as a service to the users.

Example: Amazon Mechanical Turk[12].

### Other models

Since there is no strict separation between the stated service models, there exist various combination and modifications of them. The following listing shows some additional classification [8].

- Database-as-a-Service
- Security-as-a-Service
- Communication-as-a-Service
- Management/Governance-as-a-Service
- Integration-as-a-Service
- Testing-as-a-Service
- Business Process-as-a-Service

## 3.3 Internet of Things

When looking at the past, a clear separation of digital and physical industries can be observed. Since 1990, the Internet gave new opportunities to non-digital industries by creating new business models. Around 2005, the term “Web 2.0” came up as a summarization for Social Media, Open Source, Crowd sourcing, etc, allowing users to contribute to content in the Internet. With “Web 3.0” (around 2015), the concept of the “Internet of Things (IoT)” was introduced with new business models like “Digitally Charged Products” or “Sensor as a Service” [13].

The Internet of Things introduces hybrid solutions that merge physical objects and digital services, with the vision to connect every object and location to the Internet. To fulfill this task, objects are equipped with computational intelligence (computers) that can be access over the Internet (or other objects) to read and write information from and to a physical object. The object itself is called a “Thing”, hence the Name “Internet of Things” and is now a composition of both the physical and digital world [13].

By adding computational intelligence to a physical object, the value of the “Thing” is increased in many ways. From an academic view, the value creation can be seen as five layers called the “Value-creation Layers” and will be explained in the next section [13].

### 3.3.1 Value-creation Layers

Figure 3.2 shows the five layers of value-creation within the Internet of Things. To get a better understanding of what the five layers are responsible for, a smart light bulb is used as an example.




Figure 3.2: Value-creation Layers[13]

#### Layer 1: Physical thing

This layer describes the physical thing, e.g. a light bulb. A thing is always bound to a location and has a direct benefit for the user (e.g. serving light). Since the location is fixed, it can only act in an immediate environment.

#### Layer 2: Sensor/Actuator

Layer 2 adds sensor and actuator elements to the thing. By adding a minicomputer to the physical object, the sensors can measure data and the actuators can deliver local services. In the proposed example, the sensor could measure the presence of a person and then switch of the light bulb.

#### Layer 3: Connectivity

This layer connects the physical thing and the sensors/actuators to the Internet. Within this layer the device gets accessible via the Internet by, for example, a radio module that is connected to the local wireless network. By using modern technologies, this step can be done at marginal costs.

**Layer 4: Analytics**

In this layer, the collected data from the sensors are collected and stored for further processing (e.g. plausibility checks or classification). Additional web services can be integrated to generate actions for the actuators or add additional information to the sensor data. Usually this is achieved by using a cloud system. In this layer and additional value is added to the “stupid” thing. In the example this layer could derive motion patterns from the light bulb.

**Layer 5: Digital service**

This layer combines all the previous layers and offers a functionality as a digital service. As an example, the light bulb could be exposed to users all over the world via a website or a mobile application. On this layers new digital business model patterns can be applied.

By applying the previous layers to a physical object, the value of the thing is more than the sum of its parts. Connectivity to the Internet nowadays can be made at small costs. One important thing is, that the layers are not independent of each other. The flow of information has to pass the five layers always in both directions in order to ensure the additional value of the product.

**3.3.2 Business model patterns**

By applying the concept of the Internet of Things to non-digital industries, new business model patterns can be derived. One new business model is called “Digitally Charged Products”. Within this model, six components can be found that link digital services to physical objects. An object becomes *charged* with sensors and digital services to generate a new value proposition. This new object is a hybrid bundle of both, the physical and the digital world.

In the next section, the six components of “Digitally Charged Products” are explained:

**Physical Freemium**

A physical object is equipped with free digital services that a customer can use unlimited. “Physical Freemium” describes that some costumers will select additional fee-based (or premium) services after some time that can be invoiced by the asset supplier.

**Digital Add-on**

Like “Physical Freemium” this components describes that customers by inexpensive thing and over the time, the customer can purchase or activate other digital services for a higher price.

#### **Digital Lock-in**

“Digital Lock-in” describes the principle that only original components are compatible with a already bought system. The manufacturer of a device can decide which add-ons for a product can be applied. This concept limits compatibility but enhances warranties issues.

#### **Product as Point of Sales**

This component describes that a physical product can be used for digital advertising. Mechanisms like collecting loyalty points or record user activity and tracking of the environment can be applied.

#### **Object Self Service**

With “Object Self Service” a thing can place orders on the Internet without any user interaction.

#### **Remote Usage and Condition Monitoring**

By submitting information about the environment in real time, things like error prediction can be established. In the non-IoT approach, this was only possible with high costs. With IoT, such prediction mechanisms can be deployed at low cost anywhere in the world.

Beside “Digitally Charged Products”, “Sensor as a Server” can be derived as a further business model pattern. This pattern brings the data in the foreground and makes advantage that there is a market for sensor data. Data that measured by things is no longer only processed for this thing, but collected together with data from other things and offered on a market.

#### **3.3.3 Comparision with “Industry 4.0”**

On an industrial perspective, the Internet of Things opens the marked for smart, connected and automated systems that can be used in production facilities and manufacturing systems. Because of this, Germany introduced the term “Industry 4.0” together with an initiative of the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research [14].

IoT can affect the industry in three different ways: [14]

1. Use IoT data to improve internal and external processes
2. Apply the “Digitally Charged Products” business model pattern to update their product portfolio
3. Use IoT technologies to introduce others to the IoT ecosystem



According to experts, IoT will be a game changer in industries that uses B2C<sup>1</sup> and B2B<sup>2</sup>. IoT offers methods and patterns to save costs, improve outputs and enable new technologies. One great German example of such a game change was done with cyber-physical production systems (CPPS) in Germany. Scientific terms like preventive maintenance, remote control or other analysis and services can now be easily accomplished. As a further example, IoT can be integrated in the whole supply chain to track and trace logistics in a company. [14]

### 3.3.4 Comparision with “Industrial Internet of Things”

By introducing the concept of “Industry 4.0” and the ongoing trend to use IoT devices in an industrial context, the need for a more reliable and secure concept is shown. The term “Industrial Internet of Things” or “IoT”, summarizes methods and concepts to achieve the this goal. IIoT covers topics like security-critical or privacy-sensitive data, which have no big impact in class IoT devices, but play a big role in an industrial environment[15]. As an example of such industries aerospace, energy or healthcare can be used. In such industries, a error or failure in a system can yield to dangerous situation or the threat to somebody’s life[16].

In industries, an ongoing trend of replacing programmable logic controllers (PLCs) by cyberphysical systems (CPDs) can be observed. A CPD is a programmable device that controls or monitors a physical process and is one of the fundamental building blocks of smart factories. Furthermore, this devices have to have a communication with other parts of the manufacturing process by closed internal networks, or the Internet[15].

By the use of security, privacy and standardization concepts, IIoT provides a solution for smart factories. Further aspects like social or legal issues has to be taken into account by implementing IIoT devices[15].

### 3.3.5 Security concerns

**TODO: chapter really needed?**

### 3.3.6 IoT protocols

While there are stable and grown concepts for building IoT devices by using existing technologies, IoT protocols are still developing. When looking at communication technologies for IoT or IIoT devices, mostly wireless transmission modes are used. Common examples are Zigbee, WiFi or Bluetooth. All this technologies allow smart devices to connect to the Internet for submitting data to web servers or cloud-based services[17].

By choosing the right protocol for an application, the (probably) limited resources of a smart device have to be taken into account. Parameters like computational power, power supply (battery) and network bandwidth have a big impact on the decision[17].

---

<sup>1</sup>Business-to-Consumer

<sup>2</sup>Business-to-Business

When looking at such IoT endpoints two services can be seen often[17]:

1. APIs that can a user of such an infrastructure can use to read data from the devices.
2. Asynchronous nodes that can be used for communication between devices and end-user applications.

The following sections should give a short overview about the currently common used Internet-of-Things protocols[17].

#### CoAP

The Constrained Application Protocol is a protocol suitable for constrained-devices (devices with limited network access or battery powered devices). It uses a subset of the HTTP methods (GET, POST, PUT and DELETE) for a synchronous and asynchronous request/response communication

As base technology, CoAP uses UDP because of the less overhead compared to TCP. To ensure reliability the protocol has a two bits header field in each packet that defines the desired Quality of Service (QoS) level:

1. Confirmable: A message must be acknowledged either synchronously or asynchronously with an ACK.
2. Non-Confirmable: A message needs not to be acknowledged.
3. Acknowledgment: The acknowledge message for a request has has to be acknowledged.
4. Reset: A message stating that a request could not be processed.

CoAP does not include any build-in security, thus Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS) can be used. DTLS for UDP follows the same principle than TLS or SSL for TCP **TODO: ref**. Other ways to secure a CoAP connection are available but not stated here.

#### MQTT

The Message Queue Telemetry Transport is a protocol really suitable for IoT applications. It supports asynchronous publish/subscribe mechanism over TCP.

In an MQTT environment a broker (server in a traditional context) provides topics that can be consumed by clients. A client can subscribe and unsubscribe from a topic and

hence gets updated if a new message for this topic is available. This mechanism makes it useful for devices with limited resources.

MQTT allows three QoS levels to ensure reliability:

1. Fire and forget: A message is sent only once and an acknowledgment is not needed.
2. Deliver at least once: A message is sent at least once, furthermore, an acknowledgment of this message is needed.
3. Deliver exactly once: By using a four-way handshake the delivery of the message exactly one time is ensured.

For security concerns, TLS or SSL on top of TCP can be used to encrypt message.

### **XMPP**

The Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol, a quite old protocol originally developed for chatting, can also be used for IoT communication. On top of TCP, it provides asynchronous publish/subscribe and also synchronous request/response messaging. XMPP can be used for near real-time communication and can be extended by XMPP Extension Protocols (XEP) which makes it useable for different applications.

Messages are transmitted as XML documents which introduces an additional overhead due to the structure and thus need more computational power on the smart device.

QoS issues can not be captured by the protocol itself, security can be established by using TLS or SSL.

### **RESTFUL Services**

Compared to the previous mentioned protocols, the Representational State Transfer, is an architectural style. REST is a very common approach in the Internet for consuming and providing APIs by using the HTTP methods GET, POST, PUT and DELETE. It uses synchronous request/response message that can be interpreted by nearly every common HTTP server.

Messages are exchanged in the JSON format which makes it more lightweight compared to XML messages. Furthermore, all known HTTP mechanisms like caching, authentication and content type negotiation can be used by REST.

For security TLS or SSL can be applied. Due to the fact that TLS or SSL introduce additional overhead, unique authentication keys in every HTTP message header can be used to get a level of security.

#### AMQP

Advanced Message Queuing Protocol can make the use of different transport protocols on top of TCP. It provides asynchronous publish/subscribe messaging with a store-and-forward mechanism that ensure reliability.

Three different message-delivery guarantees can be applied to the messages:

1. At most once: A message is send once, regardless if it is delivered or not.
2. At least once: A message is definitely send once (or more) and is delivered.
3. Exactly once: A message is definitely send once and is delivered.

By using TCP, TLS or SSL can be applied to ensure security.

#### Websockets

Websockets, based on TCP, establish a session between the server and the client by a handshake. A WebSocket connection is a HTTP connection until the handshake is done, after that the HTTP headers are removed and a asynchronous full-duplex connection is established. This mechanism reduces overhead of classic HTTP connection and provides a real-time connection between server and client.

To use publish/subscribe concepts, the WebSocket Application Messaging Protocol (WAMP) can be used. Again, TLS or SSL can be used to achieve a level of security.

#### Comparison

Table 3.1 sums up the relevant points for each previously described protocol[17]:

Protocol	Transport	QoS	Architecture	Security
<b>CoAP</b>	UDP	✓	Request/Response	DTLS
<b>MQTT</b>	TCP	✓	Publish/Subscribe	TLS or SSL
<b>XMPP</b>	TCP	-	Request/Response Publish/Subscribe	TLS or SSL
<b>REST</b>	HTTP (TCP)	-	Request/Response	HTTPS (TLS or SSL)
<b>AMQP</b>	TCP	✓	Publish/Subscribe	TLS or SSL
<b>Websockets</b>	TCP	-	Client/Server Publish/Subscribe	TLS or SSL

Table 3.1: IoT proctol comparison

#### 3.3.7 Impact on the thesis

**TODO: better title**

# System Design

## 4.1 Requirements

## 4.2 System Overview

## 4.3 Hardware Architecture

## 4.4 Software Architecture

### 4.4.1 Synchronization

Synchronizing a distributed measurement system is essential. Although an not synchronized system delivers correct data that holds true for the point of measure, synchronizing theses systems allow to extract further knowledge out of the captured data. In the use case, selected for this thesis, power quality monitoring provides good arguments for synchronization. If somewhere in the power network an error occurs, it could be possible that this error is propagated throughout the network. Since the electric network and the infrastructure around it consists of resistive, inductive and capacitive load, a cascading of a fault is possible. With an adequate synchronization, it is possible to track the error propagation with a distributed measurement systems.

The testing equipment used in the proposed scenario support three different synchronization mechanisms. The radio based DCF77 time signal, the satellite based GPS signal and the Ethernet based SNTP protocol. The following section explains these three mechanisms in detail and evaluates which type suits most for this use case.

For the sake of completeness, two further synchronization modes exist. Distributed clock over EtherCAT and Q.sync. The first mode is part of the EtherCAT protocol that is implemented in the used modules, the second mode is a proprietary bus that connects

multiple controllers. Since the different power quality measurement systems are spread across a wider geographical area, this two modes can't be used.

##### **DCF77**

DCF77 is a radio based time distribution services. It uses a long wave radio transmitter with a carrier frequency of 77.5 kHz. Due to the fact that the transmitter is located in Germany, it covers a maximum range (by using the proper detector) of approximately 2400 km, which is in fact whole Europe. Since DCF77 is driven by atomic clocks, the received radio signal is less accurate than the them[18]. Every minute, the time information (and additional information like civil warnings[19] and weather data[18]) is transmitted amplitude modulated (AM) and phase modulated (PM) in Binary Coded Decimal (BCD). In the current message the information about the next second is transmitted, furthermore, the start of a minute can be detected. It is possible to detect leap seconds and the switch to daylight-saving time [19]. Considering accuracy of the DCF77, the decoding mechanism is crucial. For the use in watches only the amplitude modulated signal is decoded which results in accuracy of +5ms up to +150ms. By using better antennas, this values can be enhanced to +5ms up to +15ms. To gain more accuracy, the phase modulated signal has to be decoded as well. This method results in an exactness of  $\pm 2\text{ms}$ [20].

Since the signal of a DCF77 receiver cannot be connected directly to the measurement devices, the signal has to be converter inside the receiver to a suitable format. As an example of such a format, the "IRIG B003" standard is described in more details here. The Inter Range Instrumentation Group (IRIG) specifies various standards regarding transmitting time information over via a serial time code format. The name of the described standard refers to a format with 100 pulses per second (B), Pulse width code (0), no carrier (0) and Binary Coded Decimal - BCD, Straight Binary Second of Day - SBS (3). The date information is transmitted as a sequence of seconds, minutes, hours, days, years, control functions and time of day [21]. Regarding the used testing equipment, DCF77 receivers can provide the IRIG B003 signal either straight as Transistor-Transistor Logic (TTL) signal into the measurement device or over the RS-485 bus.

##### **GPS**

The Navstar Global Positioning System (GPS) is a satellite based time distribution service. In normal operation mode, it consists of 24 geometrical space slots with at least one operational satellite in it. Each satellite sends it's time information, generated by an atomic clock, and it's position to the receiver stations down on earth with a frequency of 1.57542 GHz. To control and observer the status of the GPS, the Operational Control System (OCS) is used to communicate with the satellites via ground antennas. The OCS is responsible for various tasks including telemetry, monitoring of different parameters and uploading of navigation data. Considering accuracy, GPS offers two services. On the one hand, the Standard Positioning Service (SPS) that is available to the civil public with less accuracy and on the other hand, the Precise Positioning Service (PPS) available

to the military of the USA and it allies with high accuracy.[22]. Due to this fact, the proposed system and the used testing equipment can only make use of the SPS.

After receiving the time information from one or more satellites, the data can be passed to the testing equipment. For this, the received information is transformed to the National Marine Electronics Association (NMEA) 0183 format. Since NMEA was designed as interconnection format of different devices used in the marine, the format consists of various sentences representing features of the connected devices. To use NMEA as protocol for transmitting time- and position data, the two sentences \$GPRMC (Recommended Minimum Navigation Information) and \$GPGGA (Global Positioning System Fix Data. Time, Position and fix related data for a GPS receiver) can be used. The data is transferred as ASCII text and can be decoded directly by knowing the format of the corresponding sentence. NMEA uses, like IRIG, a serial bus for communication[23].

Beside NMEA, some GPS receivers are also able to provide information via the previously described IRIG B003 format.

## **SNTP**

The Simple Network Time Protocol (SNTP), as a subset of the Network Time Protocol (NTP), provides time information in a network to clients. If a client wants to synchronize its internal clock with a clock placed in the network (SNTP server), it has to send a message to this server. In the response, three timestamps are transmitted. The client timestamp when the message was sent, server time when the message was sent and server time when the message was received. With the information in this message and the timestamp when this message was received by the client, the exact time can be applied to the client[24]. To synchronize a client's clock, the offset and delay of the client relative to the server is computed. By the used algorithm (especially because of the 64 bit integer arithmetic) a client has to be at least 34 years in the past and at most 34 years in future in order to get synchronized by the SNTP server[25].

## **Conclusion**

**TODO: add some content here**

## **4.5 Network Architecture**

## **4.6 Security Aspects / Threat-Modeling**

**TODO: check spelling**





# Simulation

## 5.1 Tools

## 5.2 Simulation Design

Figure 5.1 shows the general simulation setup that is used to test the software components of the proposed system.




Figure 5.1: Simulation design

Different parameters of the simulation can be changed, such that different environments can be simulated and evaluated against each other. The following enumeration describes the parameters that can be adapted as input data to the simulation:

- Bandwidth of the Internet connection

- Wired connection: 100 MB/s, 50 MB/s, 20 MB/s, 10 MB/s
  - WiFi connection:
  - Cellphone connection: LTE (), 4G (), 2G (), GPRS ()
- Number of PQM meters
- Number of Webservices
- Transmitted data
  - Raw data
  - Preprocessed data
- Storage
  - File archive
  - SQL database (MySQL)
  - NoSQL database (CrateDB)

Modifications of the above mentioned parameters have an effect to the following parts:

- Server side storage
- Network load, network costs
- Server side throughput

The following table shows the expected results of the simulation:

### 5.3 Evaluation


### 5.4 Impact on System Design

Parameter	Modification	Impact		
		Bandwidth	Server side storage	Throughput
Bandwidth	↗	↗	-	-
	↘	↘	-	-
Number of PQM meters	↗	↗	↗	↘
	↘	↘	↘	↗
Number of Webservices	↗	-	-	↗
	↘	-	-	↘
Transmitted Data	↗	↗	↗	↘
	↘	↘	↘	↗
Server side storage	File	-	?	-
	SQL	-	?	-
	NoSQL	-	?	-

Table 5.1: Simulation results



# CHAPTER 6



## Implementation



CHAPTER 7



# Evaluation





## List of Figures

3.1	Service models[10]	12
3.2	Value-creation Layers[13]	14
5.1	Simulation design	25

## List of Tables

3.1	IoT proctol comparison	20
5.1	My caption	27



# List of Algorithms



# Bibliography

- [1] ÖVE/ÖNORM. EN 50160:2010 Merkmale der Spannung in öffentlichen Elektrizitätsversorgungsnetzen, 3 2011.
- [2] ÖVE/ÖNORM. IEC 61000-4-30:2009 Elektromagnetische Verträglichkeit (EMV) Teil 4-30: Prüf- und Messverfahren - Verfahren zur Messung der Spannungsqualität, 11 2009.
- [3] O. Sophocles. *Introduction to Signal Processing*. Prentice Hall, 1995.
- [4] A Wyglinski, A. Di Pu, and D. Pu. *Digital Communication Systems Engineering with Software-Defined Radio*. Artech House Publishers, 2013.
- [5] D. Sundararajan. *The Discrete Fourier Transform: Theory, Algorithms and Applications*. World Scientific Publishing Company, Incorporated, 2001.
- [6] MobileReference. *Signal Processing Quick Study Guide for Smartphones and Mobile Devices*. Mobi Study Guides. MobileReference, 2007.
- [7] M. Meyer. *Signalverarbeitung: Analoge und digitale Signale, Systeme und Filter*. Springer Science+Business Media, 2006.
- [8] Zaigham M. Cloud Computing: Characteristics and Deployment Approaches. In *Computer and Information Technology (CIT), 2011 IEEE 11th International Conference on*, pages 121–126, Aug 2011.
- [9] P. Mell and T. Grance. The NIST definition of cloud computing. *National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Information Technology Laboratory*, NIST Special Publication 800-145, September 2011. <http://www.csrc.nist.gov>, Online, accessed 24-April-2014.
- [10] K. Hwang and D. Li. Trusted cloud computing with secure resources and data coloring. *IEEE Internet Computing*, 14(5):14–22, September 2010.
- [11] T. Dillon, Chen Wu, and E. Chang. Cloud Computing: Issues and Challenges. In *Advanced Information Networking and Applications (AINA), 2010 24th IEEE International Conference on*, pages 27–33, April 2010.

- [12] A. Lenk, M. Klems, J. Nimis, S. Tai, and T. Sandholm. What’s inside the Cloud? An architectural map of the Cloud landscape. In *Software Engineering Challenges of Cloud Computing, 2009. CLOUD ’09. ICSE Workshop on*, pages 23–31, May 2009.
- [13] E. Fleisch, M. Weinberger, and F. Wortmann. Business models and the internet of things. In *Interoperability and Open-Source Solutions for the Internet of Things*, pages 6–10. Springer, 2015.
- [14] M. Weinberger, D. Bilgeri, and E. Fleisch. IoT business models in an industrial context. *at-Automatisierungstechnik*, 64(9):699–706, 2016.
- [15] A. Sadeghi, C. Wachsmann, and M. Waidner. Security and privacy challenges in industrial internet of things. In *Design Automation Conference (DAC), 2015 52nd ACM/EDAC/IEEE*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2015.
- [16] H. Wang, O. Osen, G. Li, W. Li, H. Dai, and W. Zeng. Big data and industrial internet of things for the maritime industry in northwestern norway. In *TENCON 2015-2015 IEEE Region 10 Conference*, pages 1–5. IEEE, 2015.
- [17] V. Karagiannis, P. Chatzimisios, F. Vazquez-Gallego, and J. Alonso-Zarate. A Survey on Application Layer Protocols for the Internet of Things. *Transaction on IoT and Cloud Computing*, 3(1):11–17, 2015.
- [18] D. Engeler. Performance analysis and receiver architectures of DCF77 radio-controlled clocks. *Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control, IEEE Transactions on*, 59(5):869–884, May 2012.
- [19] D. Piester, P. Hetzel, and A. Bauch. Zeit- und Normalfrequenzverbreitung mit DCF77. In *PTB-Mitteilungen vol. 114 (Heft 4)*, pages 345–368, 2004.
- [20] Rega, B. Genauigkeitsvergleich DCF77 und GPS. [http://www.hopf.com/de/dcf77-gps\\_de.html](http://www.hopf.com/de/dcf77-gps_de.html). Online; accessed 07-May-2014.
- [21] Secretariat of Range Commanders Council, U.S. Army White Sands Missile Range. IRIG Standard 200-04: IRIG Serial Time Code Formats, 2004.
- [22] Department of Defense, United States of America. Global Positioning System Standard Positioning Service Performance Standard 4th Edition, September 2008.
- [23] National Marine Electronics Association. NMEA 0183, Standard For Interfacing Marine Electronic Devices, Version 3.01, January 2002.
- [24] S. Johannessen. Time synchronization in a local area network. *Control Systems, IEEE*, 24(2):61–69, Apr 2004.

- [25] D. Mills, U. Delaware, J. Martin, J. Burbank, and W. Kasch. RFC 5905: Network Time Protocol Version 4: Protocol and Algorithms Specification - Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), June 2010.