ComputersAreHard's Change Log for Deliverable #1

Overall

Issues:

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Quality: clarity, format, writing, etc

Changes:

- 1. Crossed out unclear statements and unprofessional language
- 2. Replaced all crossed out statements with new clear, concise, and meaningful statements and details
- 3. Went into greater detail when explaining certain aspects of various sections, specifically "High Level Modules" and "Low level details" for each deliverable section.

New Grade Estimate:

Motivation 5 / 5

Assumptions, risks, and issues 4 / 5

Quality: clarity, format, writing, etc 5 / 5

Engine

Issues:

- 1. High-level modules (Lack of data structure specification)
- 2. Low-level details (Classes/Methods not stated)
- 3. Assumption, Risks, and Issues

Changes:

- 1. We have changed the Engine portion of the design document to specify the 3 types of data structures we will be using.
 - Vectors
 - ArrayLists
 - Hashmaps

The document goes into detail as to why we chose to use these 3 data structures and how they will be implemented into the Engine

- 2. Also added to the design documents were the classes and methods that will be used by the Engine.
 - The Engine will consist of two classes Engine.java & Table.java
 - The methods/functions of the two classes are added to the design document along with their purpose.
 - 3. Revamped "Assumptions, Risks, and Issues" portion

New Grade Estimate:

We previously received 12/35 for the Engine portion because of our lack of details in our High Level Modules and Low Level Design. Now that we have re-done these sections with added information about the data structures and methods that will be implemented, our score

Motivation	5/5
High-level modules	5/5
Low-level details: classes, methods, and data structures	10/10
Low-level details: how different parts work together	8 / 10
Assumptions, risks, and issues	4/5

Parser

Issues:

- 1. Lack of clarity on how the Parser and Engine will work together.
- 2. High level modules

Changes:

- 1. Added a "High Level Modules" section with detailed descriptions of modules.
- 2. Added a "Low Level Design" section, detailing each module and explaining how the parser will evaluate statements and call functions from the engine.

New Grade Estimate:

Our 2 new sections cover the parsing modules very well, and we feel like we deserve full credit for both "High-Level modules" and "Low-details". This should change our grade to

Motivation	5/5
High-level modules	5/5
Low-level details: how different parts work together	7/7
Assumptions, risks, and issues	4/5

<u>Interactive System</u>

Issues:

- 1. Interaction Specification
- 2. Engagingness

Changes:

- Added "High Level Modules" and "Interaction Specification" sections to the Interactive System
- 2. Revamped the "Low Level Design" and "Benefits/Assumptions/Risks" sections.

New Grade Estimate:

The new sections, "Interaction Specification" and "High Level Modules" are thorough, and we believe our new grade for the Interactive System should be:

Motivation 5 / 5

Complexity 5 / 5

ER diagram 5 / 5

Interaction specification 8 / 10

Engagingness 5/5

Total Grade Estimate:

We believe our new grade should be a **93/100**, as we feel this is modest and accurate representation of our time spent and work accomplished.