

# Inference in Hybrid Bayesian Networks

Carlos Badenes

Computational Intelligence Group, Departamento de Inteligencia Artificial, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain

## Abstract

There are many interesting domains containing not only discrete variables, but also continuous values such as distance, temperature or location. Hybrid models are used for representing uncertainty in these type of domains but it is well-known that only for linear Gaussian models an exact inference is possible. Even so, the complexity of these algorithms is so high that present significant challenges to perform. In this article, we review the advances that have been development in this regard in the last years.

KEY WORDS: Hybrid Bayesian Networks; Inference;

## 1 Introduction

The introduction of continuous variables in a graphical model has several particularities. The representation of factors that imply continuous variables is the first challenge. It is impossible to represent a factor over continuous variables in a general way, so you have to select a valid representation for each Conditional Probability Distribution (CPD) in the network. It is generally unlikely that you can find a single parametric family that can correctly encode all of the intermediate factors in the network. (Koller and Friedman (2009))

The second challenge is using integration rather than summation when you marginalize a variable because not all functions are integrable: in some cases, the integral may be infinite or even ill defined. In addition, even functions where the integral is well defined may not have a closed-form integral, requiring the use of a numerical integration method, which is usually approximate.

Thus, inference in hybrid models, although similar to discrete inference, implies a new set of challenges. When the distribution is a multivariate Gaussian many of these challenges disappear. The integration operation used to marginalization is always well defined, and it is guaranteed to produce a finite integral under certain conditions.

The remainder of this article is organized as follows. Section State-of-the-art describes articles, papers and researches centered in how to inference in Hybrid Bayesian Networks (HBN). After that, in the section Conclusions and future research, the main open lines about this are mentioned.

## 2 State-of-the-art

Since Bayesian network (BN) was introduced in the field of artificial intelligence in 1980s, some inference algorithms have been developed for probabilistic reasoning. However, when continuous variables are present in this networks, their dependence relationships could be nonlinear and their probability distributions could be arbitrary.

Heskes and Zoeter (2003) applied a generalized belief propagation to approximate inference in HBN. They replaced the strong marginalization in discrete networks:  $P_{\alpha}(x_{\beta}) = \sum_{X_{\alpha \setminus \beta}} P_{\alpha}(X_{\alpha})$  with a *weak* marginal in HBN. Changing summation into integration these networks consisting of continuous Gaussian variables can be handled in a similar manner. Continuous Gaussian potentials and beliefs

are summarized with a mean  $E[x]$ , covariance matrix  $E[(x - E[x])(y - E[y])]$ , and (if necessary) proportionality constant.

But ? considered that using Continuous Gaussian potentials is a drawback in the mere usage of the first two moments (mean and variance) to characterize continuous densities because exist different densities having identical first moments. Another problem detected is can not handle discrete nodes as children of continuous parents. An attempt to remove this restriction by using sigmod functions and it is picked up to include it into Lauritzen’s mechanism. But again, their accuracy is restricted to the first two moments of the densities. They proposed using hybrid conditional densities to capture the relationship between continuous and discrete variables. These densities must describe the probability of a continuous or discrete random variable, depending on the state of a set of mixed parent variables. Mixed means the set of parent variables contains continuous and discrete variables as well. The conditional densities  $f^*(x|u_1, \dots, u_n)$

Other researchers as ? described a mechanism for evaluating HBN using Gaussian mixtures and Dirac Mixtures as messages to calculate marginal densities. The densities are approximated by means of Gaussian mixtures hence the accuracy of resulting marginals. The Gaussian mixtures are sums of weighted Gaussian densities to approximate the likelihood functions.

Inference in HBN with both discrete and continuous variables is hard. Existing research often focuses on special instances, such as Conditional Linear Gaussian (CLG) (Lauritzen (1992)) where a discrete node can have continuous children, but a continuous node is not allowed to have discrete child and all the local probability models of continuous variables are conditional linear Gaussian CPDs, or Augmented CLGs. (Cogate and Detcher (2005)).

For Bayesian networks with arbitrary continuous variables Prakash P. Shenoy introduce a method for exact inference. This method consists of approximating general hybrid Bayesian networks by a mixture of Gaussians (MoG) BNs (Shenoy (2006)). Then it allows to use the Lauritzen-Jensen (LJ) algorithm for a bigger class of HBNs with continuous chance nodes with non-Gaussian distributions, networks with no restrictions on the topology of discrete and continuous variables, networks with conditionally deterministic variables that are a nonlinear function of their continuous parents, and networks with continuous chance variables whose variances are functions of their parents. This method first approximates a given hybrid BN by a MoG BN, and then using the LJ method for exact inference in MoG BNs.

In the line of approximate inference, Wei Sun and Kuo-Chu Chang propose (Sun and Chang (2007)) an approximate inference algorithm called *Unscented Message Passing* (UMP-BN) that combines a deterministic sampling method and Pearl’s message passing algorithm to provide the estimates of the first two moments of the posterior distributions. In this approximation, messages are represented by mean and variance of the continuous distribution for every node.

Other researches are focused on developing methodologies for more general non-Gaussian models, such as *Mixture of Truncated Exponentials* (MTE) (Cobb and Shenoy (2005)) to approximate any probability density function (PDF) so can always be marginalized in closed form.

Barry R. Cobb and Parkash P. Shenoy (Cobb and Shenoy (2005)) extend exact inference in HBNs in which continuous variables may have any conditional density functions (not necessarily conditional linear Gaussian distributions), discrete variables may have continuous parents and may have conditionally deterministic continuous variables that are linearly dependent on their continuous parents. MTE potential are used to approximate probability density functions in the representation so that probability density functions can be easily marginalized.

But in a general HBN with nonlinear and/or non Gaussian variables there is no existing method that could produce exact posterior distribution. Changhe Yuan and Marek J. Druzdel propose an algorithm called *Hybrid Loopy Belief Propagation* (HLBP) (Yuan and Druzdel (2006)) which extends the *Loopy Belief Propagation* (LBP) (Murphy et al., 1999) and *Nonparametric Belief Propagation* (NBP) (Sudderth et al., 2003) algorithms to deal with these general networks. The main idea is to represent the LBP messages with mixture of Gaussians and formulate their calculation as Monte Carlo

integration problems.

Later, they again describe an importance sampling-based algorithm (Yuan and Drudzel (2007)) that directly deals with evidential reasoning in general HBN. They propose a technique called *delayed importance function generation* that applies the HLBP (Yuan and Drudzel (2006)) to calculate the importance function and propose another technique called *soft arc reversal* to draw samples when a deterministic variable has been observed. This technique makes importance sampling a viable approach for hybrid models. More specifically, they extend the EPIS-BN (Yuan and Drudzel (2006)) to the most general setting.

Sun, W., Chang K. and Laskey K. (Sun et al. (2010)) postulate in their paper a new inference approach called *Direct Message Passing for Hybrid Bayesian Network* (DMP-HBN) by unifying message passing between different types of variables. This algorithm is able to provide an exact solution for polytree networks, and approximate solution by loopy propagation for general hybrid models. Since DMP-HBN is a distributed algorithm utilizing only local information, there is no need to transform the network structure as required by the JT.

### 3 Conclusions and future research

What are the main open lines for research.

### References

- S. Lauritzen, *Propagation of probabilities, means and variances in mixed graphical association models* JASA, vol. 87, no. 420, pp. 1098–1108, 1992.
- Heskes T. and Zoeter O., *Generalized belief propagation for approximate inference in hybrid Bayesian networks* SNN, University of Nijmegen, Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 2003.
- Schrenpf O. and Hanebeck U., *A New Approach for Hybrid Bayesian Networks Using Full Densities* Institute of Computer Design and Fault Tolerance, Universitat Karlsruhe, 2004.
- Cobb B. R. and Shenoy P. P., *Nonlinear deterministic relationships in Bayesian networks* In Proceedings of Eighth European Conference on Symbolic and Quantitative Approaches to Reasoning with uncertainty, (ECSQARU-05), pages 27-38, Berlin, 2005
- Cobb B. R. and Shenoy P. P., *Hybrid Bayesian Networks with Linear Deterministic Variables* Department of Economics and Business, Virginia Military Institute, Lexington, 2005
- Cogate V. and Detcher R., *Approximate inference algorithms for hybrid Bayesian networks with discrete constraints* In Proceedings of 21th Annual Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence, (UAI-05), AUAI Press Corvallis, Oregon, 2005
- Shenoy P. P., *Inference in Hybrid Bayesian Networks using Mixtures of Gaussians* University of Kansas School of Business, Lawrence, KS, 2006
- Yuan C. and Drudzel M. J., *Importance sampling algorithms for Bayesian Networks: Principles and Performance* Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 43:1189-1207, 2006
- Yuan C. and Drudzel M. J., *Hybrid loopy belief propagation* In Proceedings of the Third European Workshop on Probabilistic Graphical Models (PGM'06), pages 317-324, Prague, Czech Republic, 2006

- Sun W. and Chang K. (2007) *Unscented Message Passing for Arbitrary Continuous Variables in Bayesian Networks*. Department of Systems Engineering and Operations Research, George Mason University, Fairfax.
- Yuan C. and Druzdel M. J. (2007) *Importance Sampling for General Hybrid Bayesian Networks*. Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Mississippi State University, Mississippi, 2007.
- Koller, D. and Friedman N. (2009) *Probabilistic Graphical Models. Principles and Techniques*. The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 2009
- Sun, W., Chang K. and Laskey K. (2010) *Scalable Inference for Hybrid Bayesian Networks with Full Density Estimations*. The Sensor Fusion Lab, George Mason University, Fairfax, 2010