Comparative analysis of Gene Finding tools when applied to Trichoderma genomes

A thesis submitted to the

College of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies
in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of Master of Science
in the Applied Computing of Computer Science
University of Saskatchewan
Saskatoon

Ву

Connor Burbridge, Dave Schneider, Tony Kusalik

©Connor Burbridge, Dave Schneider, Tony Kusalik, All rights reserved.

Unless otherwise noted, copyright of the material in this thesis belongs to the author.

Permission to Use

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a Postgraduate degree from the University of Saskatchewan, I agree that the Libraries of this University may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by the professor or professors who supervised my thesis work or, in their absence, by the Head of the Department or the Dean of the College in which my thesis work was done. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to the University of Saskatchewan in any scholarly use which may be made of any material in my thesis.

Disclaimer

Reference in this thesis to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the University of Saskatchewan. The views and opinions of the author expressed herein do not state or reflect those of the University of Saskatchewan, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other uses of materials in this thesis in whole or part should be addressed to:

Head of the Department of Computer Science 176 Thorvaldson Building, 110 Science Place University of Saskatchewan Saskatoon, Saskatchewan S7N 5C9 Canada

OR

Dean

College of Graduate and Postdoctoral Studies University of Saskatchewan 116 Thorvaldson Building, 110 Science Place Saskatoon, Saskatchewan S7N 5C9 Canada

Abstract

placeholder

Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge both Dr. Kusalik and Dave Schneider for their excellent support and mentorship during both COVID and my MSc. project. I would also like to thank Brendan Ashby and Dr. Leon Kochian for providing both data and additional financial support.

Contents

Pe	ermis	sion to Use	i
Al	ostra	${ m ct}$	ii
A	cknov	wledgements	iii
Co	onten	its	iv
Li	st of	Tables	vi
Li	st of	Figures	vii
Li	st of	Abbreviations	viii
1	Bac	kground	1
	1.1	Genomics	1
	1.2	Sequencing	1
	1.3	Whole Genome Shotgun Sequencing	1
	1.4	Next Generation Sequencing - Illumina	2
	1.5	3rd Generation Sequencing - Nanopore	2
	1.6	Secondary Metabolites	2
	1.7	Non-ribosomal Peptide Synthetases	3
	1.8	Polyketide Synthetases	3
	1.9	Trichoderma	3
	1.10	Novel Trichoderma Genomes	3
		Genome Assembly	4
		Identification of Anomolous Genomic regions	5
		Repeat Identification and Masking	5
		Centromere Identification	5
		Gene Finding Methods	6
		RefSeq	7
		InterProScan	7
		File Formats	8
	1.10	1.18.1 FASTA	
			8
		1.18.2 FASTQ	8
	1 10	1.18.3 General Feature Format - GFF	9
		BLAST and tblastn	9
	1.20	BUSCO	9
3	Res	earch Questions	11
	3.1	Research Questions	11
	3.2	Trichoderma Assembly Results	11
	3.3	Profiling of Gene Finding Tools	11
	3.4	Number of Features Predicted	12
	3.5	Lengths of Predicted Genes	12
	3.6	Performance in Regions of Anomalous Sequence Content	12
	3.7	BUSCO Completeness	12
	3.8	Identifying Regions of Agreement and Disagreement	12
	3.9	Validation of Predicted Genes via InterProScan	13
		Selection of a Gene Finding Tool	13

4	Dat	a and Methodology	14
	4.1	Methodology Overview	14
	4.2	Sequence Processing and Assembly Workflow	14
	4.3	Sequence Pre-processing and Assembly	14
	4.4	Overview of Gene Prediction and Further Processing	17
	4.5	Selection of Datasets from NCBI	19
	4.6	Gene Prediction Using GeneMark-ES	19
	4.7	Gene Prediction Using Braker2	19
	4.8	Statistical Analysis of CDS Lengths	20
	4.9	Overview of Evaluation and Validation of Gene Predictions	20
	4.10	Evaluating Gene-Finder Performance Using BUSCO	22
		Functional Annotation Using InterProScan	22
	4.12	Ground-truthing with BLAST	22
		Region Identification Overview	22
	4.14	Region Identification Algorithm	23
	4.15	Gene predictions in AT-rich Genomic Sequence	24
	4.16	Visualization of Identified Regions	24
5	Ros	ults and Discussion	28
9	5.1	Overview	28
	5.2	Assemblies of DC1 and Tsth20	28
	5.2	Installation and Profiling Gene Finding Tools	31
	5.4	Initial Gene Finding Results	32
	5.5	Distribution of Predicted Coding Sequence Lengths	33
	5.6	BUSCO Results	37
	5.7	Region Identification	40
	5.8	InterProScan as Supporting Evidence for Predicted Genes	44
	5.9	BLAST Results	47
	0.0	Genes in Regions of Anomalous GC Content	49
	0.10		10
	~	•	P 1
6	Con		5 1
6	6.1	Selection of Gene Finding Tool	51
6			

List of Tables

5.1	General assembly metrics produced by QUAST[19] (a genome quality assessment tool)	29
5.2	Gene prediction counts	33
5.3	Table of P-values from two-sided two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests between gene finding	
	tools	37
5.4	Results from BUSCO using the fungal analysis option organized by gene finding tool. The selected BUSCO dataset contains 758 markers. For more information on the categories assigned	
	by BUSCO, please refer to the documentation.	38
5.5	GeneMark missed BUSCO proteins	39
5.6	InterProScan Pfam Evidence	45
5.7	tblastn hits from reference protein sequences to selected <i>Trichoderma</i> assemblies. Hits are reported if the alignment length is greater than 30% of the reference protein length and if 30%	
F 0	of the alignment length has identical matches	47
5.8	Counts of transcripts predicted by Braker2, GeneMark and RefSeq in regions containing tblastn hits from <i>Ttrichoderma atroviride</i> , <i>Fusarium granminarium</i> , and <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> .	48
5.9	Agreement of predictions in anomalous GC regions	49
5.10	Number of genes predicted by Braker2, GeneMark and RefSeq in AT-rich genomic sequence from each assembly. It is important to note that in the cases of DC1 and Tsth20, full support	
	indicates supporting gene predictions from both GeneMark and Braker2	50
5.11	p-values produced from a two-sided binomial test for each combination of tool and assembly.	50
6.1	Final scoring table	53

List of Figures

1.1	Example of two FASTA sequence entries. One example with sequence characters split across multiple lines, and one showing all sequence characters on the same line	8
1.3	An example of GFF entries for a single gene.	(
4.1	A simplified workflow used in this work. Each processing stage is represented by an octagon, and the flow of data are indicated by directed edges between them. In this figure and subsequent figures, the sequence processing stage is coloured brown, the gene prediction and processing stage is coloured green, the evaluation and validation stage is coloured yellow, and the region identification process is coloured blue.	1
4.2	A workflow (in brown) depicting the steps taken to process input sequences, generate assemblies, and post-process those assemblies for use in other workflows. Processing steps are represented by boxes, datasets of interest that were produced by this work are represented by folders, and datasets that were not produced in this work are represented by cylinders. Other workflows are represented by green and blue arrows outside of the brown graph. Directed	
4.3	A workflow (green) depicting the steps taken to predict genes for use in other workflows and process CDS sequence lengths. Processing steps are represented by boxes, datasets of interest that were produced by this work are represented by folders, and datasets that were not produced in this work are represented by cylinders. Other workflows are represented by	10
4.4	blue and yellow arrows outside of the green graph. Directed edges indicate the flow of data A workflow (yellow) depicting the steps taken to evaluate and validate predicted genes. Processing steps are represented by boxes, datasets of interest that were produced by this work are represented by folders, and datasets that were not produced in this work are represented by cylinders. Other workflows using results from these processing steps are represented by a	18
4.5	An overview of the region identification process for different datasets. Sections of the graph are outlined with rectangles, indicating that the datasets within were processed along with gene calls and discussed in their own results sections. Processing steps are represented by boxes, datasets of interest that were produced by this work are represented by folders, and datasets that were not produced by this work are represented by cylinders. Directed edges indicate the	2
4.6	A plot of GC content of Illumina sequences generated for DC1. The X-axis indicates mean GC content of sequences while the Y-axis indicates the total number of sequences for a given	26
4.7	IGV example	2
5.1	Plots showing proportions of sliding windows of GC content for each <i>Trichoderma</i> genome assembly	30
5.2	CDF plots part 1	3
5.3	<u></u>	3!
5.4		36
5.5		42
5.6	1 0 0 0	4:
5.7 5.8	11 0	44
5.9		46
		4

List of Abbreviations

DNA Deoxyribonucleic acid

RNA Ribonucleic acid
CDS Coding sequence

Mb Megabases

Kb Kilobase

WGS Whole Genome Shotgun (sequencing)

NGS Next generation sequencing PCR Polymerase chain reaction

GMO Genetically modified organism

CPU Central processing unit

GC Guanine cytosine

HMM Hidden Markov model
UTR Untranslated region

GFF General feature format

BUSCO Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs

RSMI Root, Soil and Microbial Interactions

MITE Minature inverted-repeat transposable element

TIR Terminal inverted repeat
TDR Terminal direct repeat

maybe Should I include tools like BLAST and resources like NCBI?

1 Background

1.1 Genomics

Genomics is a wide area of study focusing on the genomes of organisms from all varieties of life. A genome is a sequence of characters that contains the fundamental set of 'rules' used to create what we know as life. One can think of a genome as a set of instructions that our cells use in order to complete the tasks that make us function. A genome is comprised of tightly bundled sequences of DNA, which are stored in the nucleus of cells. These bundles of DNA contain sections known as genes, which can be thought of as the tools described by the set of instructions. These tools carry out a vast number of processes ranging from no known function at all to genes that are key in protecting against diseases cancer. Genomes can vary widely in size, ranging from small bacterial genomes of roughly 4 Mb up to approximately 149000 Mb. Piecing together genomes provides numerous opportunities to understand other 'omics' within cells, such as proteomics, metabolomics, transcriptomics and epigenomics.

1.2 Sequencing

Sequencing data is a pivotal form of data used in nearly all applications of Bioinformatics. To understand the processes used by organisms for survival, we must have an initial set of data points to work with. These sequences, referred to as reads after sequencing, are the foundation for solving problems ranging from taxonomical classification to the understanding or complex biological functions like signaling pathways. Reads may come in a variety of forms and formats depending on the desired application.

1.3 Whole Genome Shotgun Sequencing

Whole Genome Shotgun sequencing (WGS), is a method to produce a large number of genomic sequences from a sample of interest for the purpose of genome asembly. This is form of sequencing is quite common as it is has a wide variety of applications in research [2]. WGS involves slicing up genomic DNA into smaller segments. These small segments are then processed further resulting in a set of physical molecules that can be supplied to a compatible sequencing platform of which there are a variety. Modern sequencing platforms are comprised of next generation sequencing (NGS) and 3rd generation sequencing approaches.

1.4 Next Generation Sequencing - Illumina

Illumina™ [7] sequencing is one of the most popular NGS platforms currently available. Illumina sequencing produces a very large number of high quality short reads, typically between 75 and 250 base pairs in length. Sequencing libraries can be prepared to produce reads solely from one end of a sequence fragment (single-end) or both ends (paired-end). Advantages of paired end sequences are the additional context provided by the paired sequence on the opposite end of the fragment. This context is leveraged by read processing tools to identify features such as repetitive regions and genomic rearrangments, which can be significant in downstream analyses. Illumina sequence librairies are generated by first fragmenting the DNA samples, amplifying them via PCR, ligating adapters that allow the sequence to bind to the sequencing plate, and finally identifying each fragment's sequence of nucleotides using fluorescently-labeled nucleotides that bind to the fragments [18].

1.5 3rd Generation Sequencing - Nanopore

Nanopore™ [44] sequencing data is relatively recent approach to sequencing projects. While Illumina reads are short, Nanopore reads are much larger, ranging from 10Kb to 300Kb depending on the approach used. Long reads are beneficial due to their ability to bridge the gaps between difficult to assemble regions when performing sequence assembly. An example of a difficult to assemble region would be a region with a high repeat content, where a large number of small repeats may be collapsed during the assembly process, resulting in an assembly that does not represent the true nature of the sequence being studied [27]. While Nanopore was previously known for having lower quality base calls when compared to Illumina, that is no longer the case at this time. Nanopore sequencing works by passing long segments of genetic sequence through a membrane bound protein and measuring changes in electrical current, which is characteristic of the nucleotide at a given position.

1.6 Secondary Metabolites

While cellular products essential to an organism's viability are of great interest, there are a vast number of products that are not essential, but provide great benefit to the organism[13][?]. These other cellular products are known as secondary metabolites, and they are involved in several roles ranging from cellular signalling to antibiotic activity, making them a frequent subject of study in pharmaceutical research. Enzymes that produce secondary metabolites are comprised of non-ribosomal peptide synthetases (NRPS) and polyketide synthetases (PKS), which synthesize amino acids and other basic enzymatic building blocks[?]. Genes responsible for the production of secondary metabolites are often found in clusters within a genome, but their products remain unknown[?]. The study of these products is difficult, as many genes responsible

for secondary metabolites are not expressed under normal laboratory conditions[?]. Secondary metabolites are commonly found in both bacteria and fungi, and of particular interest to this work, *Trichoderma* species and their interactions with plants.

1.7 Non-ribosomal Peptide Synthetases

Non-ribosomal peptide synthetases (NRPS) are a class of enzymes that

1.8 Polyketide Synthetases

1.9 Trichoderma

Trichoderma are a genus of ascomycete fungi found in a wide variety of soils, and are well-known for their use in biomanufacturing of cellulases and hemicellulases and their roles as non-toxic, avirulent opportunistic plant symbionts [46][23]. It is believed that Trichoderma initally evolved as a parasite or saprotroph of plants, due to their abundant production of cellulases and plant wall degrading enzymes [23]. In addition to saprotrophy, Trichoderma species are also mycoparasitic, feeding on other Ascomycete and closely related fungal species [15]. Trichoderma comprises a large number of species with several large clades.

In addition to these beneficial properties some strains *Trichoderma* is a well studied. Many strains of *Trichoderma* have been shown to provide resistance to pathogenic bacteria and other fungi in soils through the use of polyketides, non-ribosomal peptide synthetases and other secondary metabolites[46] (SMs). Despite growing knowledge and study of these SMs, the mechanisms behind their function are still unclear[5], especially in the case of new *Trichoderma* species and strains.

Crop resistance to environmental stressors is a necessity for crop health and overall crop yields. Current popular methods for crop protection involve the use of pesticides and genetically modified organisms, which can be expensive and potentially politically dividing in the case of GMOs[42]. In addition, crops suffer when soils are not sufficient for crop growth and health. Soil insufficiencies can result in drought stress as well as nutrient stress, leading to poor overall yields.

1.10 Novel *Trichoderma* Genomes

Recently, two strains of *Trichoderma* have been identified in the prairie regions of Alberta and Saskatchewan. These two strains, named Tsth20 and DC1, have been found to have beneficial properties when used as an inoculant for plants in the soils mentioned before. In addition to these beneficial properties, the two strains mentioned previously provide even further protection for plants in dry, salty soils and one strain also has potential for use as a bioremediation tool in soils contaminated with hydrocarbon content. Bioremediation and resistance to drought tolerance has also been investigated in other strains of *Trichoderma* as well[38].

However, little is known about the mechanisms at work in these strains, so DC1 and Tsth20 were sequenced by the Global Institute for Food Security (no publication yet) in an initial attempt to better understand the details of these genomes. While this research does not directly identify genomic elements related the beneficial properties of these genomes, it may serve as a foundation for future research of *Trichoderma*.

1.11 Genome Assembly

Sequence assembly has been a long-standing problem in the field of bioinformatics[28]. Determining the correct order and combination of smaller subsequences into an accurate complete sequence assembly is computationally difficult in terms of compute resources such as memory, CPU cycles and storage required for input sequences[28]. In addition to these difficulties, there can be other issues encountered during asssembly due to the nature of the data or genomes themselves, such as low quality base calls for long read data, which is not necessarily the case today, or the inherent content of genomes themselves using repetitive regions as an example. Insufficient data may result in short, fragmented assemblies, depending on the size of the genomes, while sequence data that is not long enough can fail to fully capture repetitive regions in an assembly. A wide range of assembly tools have been developed with their own unique approaches to the genome assembly problem, so it is important to use an appropriate assembler for the task at hand, and also important to evaluate the assembly thoroughly.

Genome assembly tools generally approach the assembly problem using a graph-based approach. The most common graph-based approach is the de Bruijn graph assembly [12]. A graph in this context, is set of nodes (k-mers from sequences) connected by edges (overlaps between k-mers). Traversing through this graph results in longer subsequences that ultimately result in a set of sequences referred to as an assembly. In the early years of long read sequence data, sequencing platforms encountered difficulties producing consistently high scores for base calls when sequencing. To combat this, some assembly workflows may also include a polishing or correction step once the initial assembly is completed in which high quality short read sequences are used as supplemental information to correct low quality regions in the assembly. These low quality base calls are typically not present in modern long read sequencing approaches as the methodology and quality of calls have improved drastically. While the polishing step is arguably unnecessary in modern assemblies, the polishing programs remain available should researchers be interested in applying additional reads for polishing.

One approach to aid in the previously mentioned issue of assembly correctness is to use a combination of long and short reads in what is known as a hybrid assembly. Combining both highly accurate short reads with deep coverage along with less accurate but much longer reads can produce high quality genome assemblies that capture long repetitive regions. Hybrid assembly approaches have been shown to produce high quality assemblies in a wide variety of organisms as the combine long read data with short data to produce assemblies that properly represent long repetetive regions with additionally high quality Illumina sequences for correction.

Once assembled, the sequences must also be evaluated with measures such as N50, L50, coverage, average contig length and total assembled length to ensure that the genomes are well assembled, at least based on these metrics[28]. Following appropriate assembly protocols is essential to the further success of a project as downstream processing such as annotation depends on a high-quality assembly.

1.12 Identification of Anomolous Genomic regions

One important aspect of interest when assembling any form of sequence is GC content or percent GC of the assembled sequence. Large regions of anomolous GC content may be of interest to researchers as they may contain repetitive regions and unique features responsible for traits specific to the organism in question.

1.13 Repeat Identification and Masking

Repeat identification within assembled genomes is a problem that needs to be considered during the genome annotation process. Regions with long repeats can have a significant impact on genome assembly as well as gene finding due to the limitation of short reads used in some assemblies[43]. Short reads may be unable to bridge or cover entire repeat regions within a genome, so it is important to consider the use of long reads from technologies such as Nanopore or PacBio™[35] to provide a complete picture of these regions when pursuing a new genome assembly project. It is also possible for repetitive regions to contain genes as well, making for an interesting investigation in regards to *Trichoderma*, as fungal genomes have been shown to contain many repeat regions with a high concentration of A and T nucleotides[45]. Once these repetitive regions have been identified, the genome could be masked as a method to mark these regions for downstream processing if desired, as these regions may be poorly assembled and may result in found genes that do not truly exist in those regions. However, this may not be as common today, as repetetive regions have been shown to contain genes as well[40]. This may affect the gene finding process described later and may be an interesting topic to look into considering the large number of available gene finding programs.

1.14 Centromere Identification

A centromere is a region of a chromosome that is crucial for the proper cell division. These regions are the main anchor for microtubules, which are fibers that attach to centromeres to separate chromosomes during both mitosis and meiosis. Centromeres are critical to the survival of an organism, with malfunctions in the process of cell division usually resulting in potential disease and fatal outcomes[33]. Centromeric sequences can be comprised of several different genetic components, with repetititve regions being the most prevalent in the forms of satellite DNA and transposable elements. In addition to centromeric regions, there are flanking pericentric regions with their own properties, including potential candidates for small-interfering RNAs[33]. Identification and consideration of centromeric regions may prove useful when comparing the outputs of gene

finding tools, as the underlying properties and structure of the genetic sequence differ in comparison to typical coding regions of DNA.

1.15 Gene Finding Methods

Gene finding (or gene annotation) has been a long standing computational problem in bioinformatics, which concerns itself with identifying potential genes within assemblies based on patterns or pre-existing experimental evidence considered by the gene finding program. This process is critical for unraveling and understanding the complex processes occurring in all forms of life. In a general sense, gene finding programs operate by searching for patters or indicators showing that a gene of feature may be present. The most basic indicators being start and stop codons, with splice sites in between should the sequence match the applied model. The results produced by gene finding tools can vary considerably for a number of reasons, including quality of the assembly, the intrinsic model used by the gene finder, filtering criteria, and even the nature of the organism and assembly itself. Given the broad applications, choice of gene finding tools, and the variability of assemblies being considered, it is important that we gain a deeper understanding of these tools prior to putting them to use.

There are two common methods for gene finding, those methods being ab initio methods, where programs search for patterns and gene structures, and similarity or evidence-based searches, which use prior information such as RNAseq data, expressed sequence tags and expressed protein sequences to identify genes within a new genome [16]. Complicating the process more is the introduction of introns and alternative splicing in eukaryotes, making it possible for one gene to have several possible transcripts at the same locus. An example of an ab initio method would be GeneMark-ES[25], while an evidence based tool would be Braker2[10]. Ab initio gene finders typically predict genes using a Hidden Markov Model (HMM)[16]. These predictions are based on 'signals' or features associated with a gene, such as the usual start, stop, exon and intron portions of a gene as well as upstream promoter sequences and more. In this case, these signals would be considered states in the terminology associated with HMMs. Gene finders wish to predict these states based on observations, or sequences presented to the model. HMMs in gene finding tools are trained beforehand and then applied to a sequence. This means that a gene finding program may not be trained in the context of any assembly provided to it, and thus may miss genes that are unique to the assembly in question. On the other hand, while still relying on HMMs for a 'base' set of predictions, evidence-based gene finding tools leverage new evidence that may be outside the scope of the pre-existing model?]. As an example, an evidence-based model would be useful in a situation where you are interested in annotating a new assembly for a non-model organism. The addition of experimental data provides context specific to your assembly of interest while still retaining the predictions from existing HMM models.

There are also other aspects of gene finding tools that are important to consider. These include features such as whether or not the gene finders find non-coding RNAs, annotation of 5' and 3' UTR regions, and in

the case of ab-initio methods, the assumptions made by the underlying models used for gene finding. These features and others can influence a user's decision on which gene finding tool to consider and will complicate comparative analysis of multiple gene finding tools. (citation needed somewhere in here)

1.16 RefSeq

First, we will briefly discuss the RefSeq annotation process. RefSeq annotation is only applied to data that is submitted to NCBI. The RefSeq Eukaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline [29] is a genome annotation process developed and maintained by NCBI. The pipeline is not directly publicly available to public users, and requires submission of data to NCBI. Once data is submitted to NCBI, the RefSeq annotation pipeline may be applied upon request only if the genome is the highest quality assembly for the species in question or if the genome is of significant interest to the scientific community, limiting reach of the annotation process to many users. The pipeline supplies existing RNAseq, CDS and protein sequences to NCBI's in-house gene prediction tool Gnomon, which produces trained models for gene prediction. While the tools used for alignment and processing of supporting sequence information are listed, the inner workings of Gnomon are not well documented, at least from the public perspective, and I was unable to find Gnomon in any compilable or executable form during my search. Recreation of the RefSeq pipeline would prove extremely challenging if not impossible without supporting information. Run times for this pipeline are difficult to determine due to the hidden nature of the pipeline, unknown compute resources and varying quantities of data used. The RefSeq annotation process produces comprehensive outputs, including CDS sequences, translated CDS, RNA from genomic sequences, proteins, feature counts and tables, and finally GFF and GTF formatted annotation files for these features.

1.17 InterProScan

The outputs from gene finding tools are a set of potential genes that fit the model used by each tool. While they are considered genes, the use of the word gene is used in a very loose sense, in that these genes may or may not be functional or match any existing gene sequences from previous research. Typically, to confirm the 'correctness' of predicted genes, the outputs from a given tool are used in a sequence similarity search against a reference set of genes or a large datasbase comprised of multiple organisms. This approach is straightforward, but can introduce bias from database choice and also allows for vague or loose matches, depending on the parameters used and the interpretation of the results. Another approach is to use InterProScan, which is a tool used for functional annotation of proteins using evidence from a variety of databases [?]. The presence of some form of functional domain or annotated structure in a predicted gene sequence is reasonable evidence for the existence of a predicted gene. This approach also avoids the problems associated with similarity-based approaches.

Figure 1.1: Example of two FASTA sequence entries. One example with sequence characters split across multiple lines, and one showing all sequence characters on the same line.

Figure 1.2: Example of the four lines in a FASTQ entry.

1.18 File Formats

1.18.1 FASTA

One of the most popular formats for sequences of DNA, RNA and amino acids is the FASTA format. The FASTA format consists of one or more entries containing two or more lines. The first line of an entry is the ID line, which must begin with a greater-than (>) character, followed by an ID and any other pertinent inormation for the following sequence. The greater-than character is the indicator that a new sequence has begun. The following line(s) contain the actual sequenced nucleotides or amino acids, which can be contained on one line or split across many lines. An example of multiple FASTA entries are shown in figure 1.1.

1.18.2 FASTQ

Another popular sequencing format is the FASTQ format. This format is very similar to the FASTA format but with the addition of two more lines per sequence entry and a change to the character indicating the beginning of a new sequence entry. An example of a FASTQ entry is shown in figure 1.2. In FASTQ formatted entries, the greater-than (>) character is swapped with the at (@) character. The IDs for the sequence also follow a specific format, which provide information about the sequencing run and flowcell that the read was sequenced on. This information can then be traced back to the sequencing experiment in the case that there were errors or anomalies in the output from the experiment. Following the ID is the string of base calls. The third line in a FASTQ entry is a plus (+) character, which indicates that the sequences character line has finished. Following the plus character is another sequence of characters, this time indicatint the quality of basecall for the corresponding nucleotide base calls in the second line. The quality information included in FASTQ files are used to assess the quality of a sequencing run and extensively used in downstream processing steps, most notably in alignments.

```
ctq000000
               AUGUSTUS
                                     10842 11309
                              gene
                                                                            ID=a4:
ctg000000
               AUGUSTUS
                              mRNA
                                     10842 11309 0.6
                                                                            ID=g4.t1;Parent=g4;
ctg000000
               AUGUSTUS
AUGUSTUS
                              stop_codon
                                             10842 10844
                                                                                   ID=g4.t1.stop1;Parent=g4.t1;
                                                                           ID=g4.t1.CDS1;Parent=g4.t1;
ID=g4.t1.exon1;Parent=g4.t1;
                                     10842 11309 0.6
10842 11309 .
ctq000000
                              CDS
                                                                    0
               AUGUSTUS
ctq000000
                              exon
                                                                                   ID=g4.t1.start1;Parent=g4.t1;
ctg000000
               AUGUSTUS
                                                    11309
                              start_codon
```

Figure 1.3: An example of GFF entries for a single gene.

1.18.3 General Feature Format - GFF

General feature format (GFF) is a popular format for storing information about features relative to a position on an genetic sequence, and comprises a large portion of annotation results from this work. These features can be whatever the user desires, as long as the feature entry follows the required GFF guidelines. Relative to a reference sequence, each GFF entry contains the following tab-delimited columns: sequence ID, source, feature type, start position, end position, score, strand, phase, and a semi-colon delimited list of attributes. GFF files are widely supported accross bioinformatics tools, making them highly versatile while also remaining relatively simple in nature but also allowing for storage of more complicated items via the attributes column. One significant useage of GFF files is in visualization of features against the reference sequence from which they were derived. Most genome viewers (or browsers) support GFF files as input, allowing intuitive visualization of many features when overlayed on a reference sequence. An example of a GFF entry can be seen in figure 1.3.

1.19 BLAST and tblastn

The basic local alignment search tool (BLAST)?? has been a popular tool in the bioinformatics space, used to align biological sequences and measure their similarity. The original blast program was developed for alignment of nucleotide sequences, but other blast tools have been developed as well. One of these tools is tblastn which was developed to align reverse-translated protein sequences to another nucleotide sequence. To align the protein sequences, the query nucleotide sequences are translated in all six reading frames and then aligned to the proteins. Tblastn is particularly useful for identifying functional or conserved proteins in a nucleotide sequence.

1.20 BUSCO

The Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCO)?? tool was developed to evaluate assemblies and subsequent annotations from the perspective of gene orthology. As genomes diverge evolutionarily, it is expected that some genes will be conserved as they are required for basic function. The BUSCO (Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs) tool and datasets were developed to assess completeness of an annotation in comparison to evolutionarily conserved genes.

References

- [1] Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test, pages 283–287. Springer New York, New York, NY, 2008.
- [2] Jill Adams. Complex Genomes: Shotgun Sequencing. Nature Education, 1(1):186, 2008.
- [3] S F Altschul, W Gish, W Miller, E W Myers, and D J Lipman. Basic local alignment search tool. Journal of molecular biology, 215(3):403–410, oct 1990.
- [4] S Andrews. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data., 2010.
- [5] Scott E Baker, Giancarlo Perrone, Nathan M Richardson, Antonia Gallo, and Christian P Kubicek. Phylogenomic analysis of polyketide synthase-encoding genes in Trichoderma. *Microbiology (Reading, England)*, 158(Pt 1):147–154, jan 2012.
- [6] Derek W Barnett, Erik K Garrison, Aaron R Quinlan, Michael P Strömberg, and Gabor T Marth. BamTools: a C++ API and toolkit for analyzing and managing BAM files. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, 27(12):1691–1692, jun 2011.
- [7] Simon Bennett. Solexa Ltd. Pharmacogenomics, 5(4):433–438, jun 2004.
- [8] Anthony M Bolger, Marc Lohse, and Bjoern Usadel. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 30(15):2114–2120, aug 2014.
- [9] Mark Borodovsky and Alex Lomsadze. Eukaryotic gene prediction using GeneMark.hmm-E and GeneMark-ES. Current Protocols in Bioinformatics, Chapter 4(SUPPL.35):4.6.1–4.6.10, sep 2011.
- [10] Tomáš Brůna, Katharina J Hoff, Alexandre Lomsadze, Mario Stanke, and Mark Borodovsky. BRAKER2: automatic eukaryotic genome annotation with GeneMark-EP+ and AUGUSTUS supported by a protein database. NAR genomics and bioinformatics, 3(1):lqaa108, mar 2021.
- [11] Brad Chapman. BCBio Python Package.
- [12] Phillip E C Compeau, Pavel A Pevzner, and Glenn Tesler. How to apply de Bruijn graphs to genome assembly. *Nature biotechnology*, 29(11):987–991, nov 2011.
- [13] Arryn Craney, Salman Ahmed, and Justin Nodwell. Towards a new science of secondary metabolism. The Journal of Antibiotics, 66(7):387–400, 2013.
- [14] Rina Dechter. chapter 12 Temporal Constraint Networks. In Rina Dechter, editor, Constraint Processing, The Morgan Kaufmann Series in Artificial Intelligence, pages 333–362. Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco, 2003.
- [15] Irina S Druzhinina, Komal Chenthamara, Jian Zhang, Lea Atanasova, Dongqing Yang, Youzhi Miao, Mohammad J Rahimi, Marica Grujic, Feng Cai, Shadi Pourmehdi, Kamariah Abu Salim, Carina Pretzer, Alexey G Kopchinskiy, Bernard Henrissat, Alan Kuo, Hope Hundley, Mei Wang, Andrea Aerts, Asaf Salamov, Anna Lipzen, Kurt LaButti, Kerrie Barry, Igor V Grigoriev, Qirong Shen, and Christian P Kubicek. Massive lateral transfer of genes encoding plant cell wall-degrading enzymes to the mycoparasitic fungus Trichoderma from its plant-associated hosts. PLoS genetics, 14(4):e1007322, apr 2018.
- [16] Girum Fitihamlak Ejigu and Jaehee Jung. Review on the Computational Genome Annotation of Sequences Obtained by Next-Generation Sequencing. *Biology*, 9(9), sep 2020.

- [17] Python Software Foundation. Python.
- [18] Sara Goodwin, John D McPherson, and W Richard McCombie. Coming of age: ten years of next-generation sequencing technologies. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 17(6):333–351, 2016.
- [19] Alexey Gurevich, Vladislav Saveliev, Nikolay Vyahhi, and Glenn Tesler. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, 29(8):1072–1075, apr 2013.
- [20] Jiang Hu, Junpeng Fan, Zongyi Sun, and Shanlin Liu. NextPolish: a fast and efficient genome polishing tool for long-read assembly. *Bioinformatics*, 36(7):2253–2255, apr 2020.
- [21] Jiang Hu, Zhuo Wang, Zongyi Sun, Benxia Hu, Adeola Oluwakemi Ayoola, Fan Liang, Jingjing Li, José R Sandoval, David N Cooper, Kai Ye, Jue Ruan, Chuan-Le Xiao, Depeng Wang, Dong-Dong Wu, and Sheng Wang. NextDenovo: an efficient error correction and accurate assembly tool for noisy long reads. Genome Biology, 25(1):107, 2024.
- [22] Philip Jones, David Binns, Hsin-Yu Chang, Matthew Fraser, Weizhong Li, Craig McAnulla, Hamish McWilliam, John Maslen, Alex Mitchell, Gift Nuka, Sebastien Pesseat, Antony F Quinn, Amaia Sangrador-Vegas, Maxim Scheremetjew, Siew-Yit Yong, Rodrigo Lopez, and Sarah Hunter. InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics*, 30(9):1236–1240, 2014.
- [23] Christian P Kubicek, Andrei S Steindorff, Komal Chenthamara, Gelsomina Manganiello, Bernard Henrissat, Jian Zhang, Feng Cai, Alexey G Kopchinskiy, Eva M Kubicek, Alan Kuo, Riccardo Baroncelli, Sabrina Sarrocco, Eliane Ferreira Noronha, Giovanni Vannacci, Qirong Shen, Igor V Grigoriev, and Irina S Druzhinina. Evolution and comparative genomics of the most common Trichoderma species. BMC Genomics, 20(1):485, 2019.
- [24] Chengzhi Liang, Long Mao, Doreen Ware, and Lincoln Stein. Evidence-based gene predictions in plant genomes. *Genome research*, 19(10):1912–1923, oct 2009.
- [25] Alexandre Lomsadze, Vardges Ter-Hovhannisyan, Yury O Chernoff, and Mark Borodovsky. Gene identification in novel eukaryotic genomes by self-training algorithm. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 33(20):6494–6506, 2005.
- [26] Mosè Manni, Matthew R Berkeley, Mathieu Seppey, and Evgeny M Zdobnov. BUSCO: Assessing Genomic Data Quality and Beyond. *Current Protocols*, 1(12):e323, 2021.
- [27] Vivien Marx. Method of the year: long-read sequencing. Nature Methods, 20(1):6-11, 2023.
- [28] Niranjan Nagarajan and Mihai Pop. Sequence assembly demystified. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 14(3):157–167, 2013.
- [29] NCBI. NCBI Eukaryotic Annotation Pipeline, 2024.
- [30] NCBI. NCBI SRA Toolkit, 2025.
- [31] Geo Pertea and Mihaela Pertea. GFF Utilities: GffRead and GffCompare. F1000Research, 9, 2020.
- [32] Mihaela Pertea, Geo M Pertea, Corina M Antonescu, Tsung-Cheng Chang, Joshua T Mendell, and Steven L Salzberg. StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. *Nature Biotechnology*, 33(3):290–295, 2015.
- [33] Miroslav Plohl, Nevenka Meštrović, and Brankica Mravinac. Centromere identity from the DNA point of view. *Chromosoma*, 123(4):313–325, aug 2014.
- [34] Aaron R Quinlan and Ira M Hall. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics, 26(6):841–842, 2010.
- [35] Anthony Rhoads and Kin Fai Au. PacBio Sequencing and Its Applications. *Genomics, proteomics and bioinformatics*, 13(5):278–289, oct 2015.

- [36] P Rice, I Longden, and A Bleasby. EMBOSS: the European Molecular Biology Open Software Suite. Trends in genetics: TIG, 16(6):276–277, jun 2000.
- [37] James T Robinson, Helga Thorvaldsdóttir, Wendy Winckler, Mitchell Guttman, Eric S Lander, Gad Getz, and Jill P Mesirov. Integrative genomics viewer. *Nature Biotechnology*, 29(1):24–26, 2011.
- [38] Biancamaria Senizza, Fabrizio Araniti, Simon Lewin, Sonja Wende, Steffen Kolb, and Luigi Lucini. Trichoderma spp.-mediated mitigation of heat, drought, and their combination on the Arabidopsis thaliana holobiont: a metabolomics and metabarcoding approach. Frontiers in Plant Science, 14, 2023.
- [39] Felipe A Simão, Robert M Waterhouse, Panagiotis Ioannidis, Evgenia V Kriventseva, and Evgeny M Zdobnov. BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. *Bioinformatics*, 31(19):3210–3212, 2015.
- [40] R Keith Slotkin. The case for not masking away repetitive DNA. Mobile DNA, 9(1):15, 2018.
- [41] Mario Stanke, Ana Tzvetkova, and Burkhard Morgenstern. AUGUSTUS at EGASP: using EST, protein and genomic alignments for improved gene prediction in the human genome. *Genome Biology*, 7(1):S11, 2006.
- [42] Ioannis S Arvanitoyannis Theodoros H. Varzakas and Haralambos Baltas. The Politics and Science Behind GMO Acceptance. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 47(4):335–361, 2007.
- [43] Todd J Treangen and Steven L Salzberg. Repetitive DNA and next-generation sequencing: computational challenges and solutions. *Nature reviews. Genetics*, 13(1):36–46, nov 2011.
- [44] Yunhao Wang, Yue Zhao, Audrey Bollas, Yuru Wang, and Kin Fai Au. Nanopore sequencing technology, bioinformatics and applications. *Nature Biotechnology*, 39(11):1348–1365, 2021.
- [45] David J Winter, Austen R D Ganley, Carolyn A Young, Ivan Liachko, Christopher L Schardl, Pierre-Yves Dupont, Daniel Berry, Arvina Ram, Barry Scott, and Murray P Cox. Repeat elements organise 3D genome structure and mediate transcription in the filamentous fungus Epichloë festucae. *PLOS Genetics*, 14(10):1–29, 2018.
- [46] Sheridan L Woo, Rosa Hermosa, Matteo Lorito, and Enrique Monte. Trichoderma: a multipurpose, plant-beneficial microorganism for eco-sustainable agriculture. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 21(5):312–326, 2023.
- [47] Bradley W Wright, Mark P Molloy, and Paul R Jaschke. Overlapping genes in natural and engineered genomes. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 23(3):154–168, 2022.