

Representations

In this note, we will introduce and derive some properties of the *weighted empirical distribution*.

Definition 1 (Weighted empirical distribution). Given a sample $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and n weighting coefficients $\mathbf{w} := (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, a one-dimensional random variable $X \in \mathbb{R}$ is distributed according to the weighted empirical distribution $\mathcal{E}(\cdot; \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$ with

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) := \frac{1}{Z} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \cdot \delta(x - x_i), \quad Z := \sum_{j=1}^n w_j, \quad (1)$$

If $w_1 = w_2 = \dots = w_n = 1$ we simply write

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}) := \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{1}). \quad (2)$$

Cumulative Distribution Function

Theorem 1 (Cumulative distribution function). Let $X \sim \mathcal{E}(\cdot; \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$ be distributed according to a weighted empirical distribution with points $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and weights $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$. Then the cumulative distribution function $F_X(\cdot)$ of X is given by

$$F_X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) \, dx = \frac{1}{Z} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \cdot \mathbb{I}(x_i \leq t), \quad Z := \sum_{j=1}^n w_j. \quad (3)$$

Proof. Using the definition of the Dirac delta, $\delta(x) := \lim_{\sigma^2 \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{N}(x; 0, \sigma^2)$, and (1) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} F_X(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^t \frac{1}{Z} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \cdot \lim_{\sigma^2 \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{N}(x - x_i; 0, \sigma^2) \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \cdot \lim_{\sigma^2 \rightarrow 0} \left[\int_{-\infty}^t \mathcal{N}(x; x_i, \sigma^2) \, dx \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \cdot \lim_{\sigma^2 \rightarrow 0} \Phi\left(\frac{t - x_i}{\sigma}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \cdot \mathbb{I}(x_i \leq t), \end{aligned}$$

where the second line follows from exchanging the order of summation and integration, the third line uses the fact that $\Phi(t; \mu, \sigma^2) = \Phi\left(\frac{t - \mu}{\sigma}\right)$ and the last line exploits that $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(z) = 1$ and $\lim_{z \rightarrow -\infty} \Phi(z) = 0$. \square

Moments

Theorem 2 (Moments). Let $X \sim \mathcal{E}(\cdot; \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$ be distributed according to a weighted empirical distribution with points $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and weights $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$. Then we have for the k -th moment $\mathbb{E}[X^k]$ of X the following

$$\mathbb{E}[X^k] = \frac{1}{Z} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \cdot x_i^k, \quad Z := \sum_{j=1}^n w_j. \quad (4)$$

Proof. Let $M_k(\mu, \sigma^2)$ be the k -th moment of the normal distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2 . According to [1], we know that

$$M_k(\mu, \sigma^2) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^k \cdot \mathcal{N}(x; \mu, \sigma^2) \, dx = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{2i} \cdot (2i-1)!! \cdot \sigma^{2i} \cdot \mu^{k-2i}, \quad (5)$$

where $(2i-1)!!$ is the double factorial of $2i-1$ and the product of all odd numbers up to $2i-1$. Using the definition of the expectation, the Dirac delta, and (1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X^k] &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^k \cdot \left[\frac{1}{Z} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \cdot \lim_{\sigma^2 \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{N}(x - x_i; 0, \sigma^2) \right] \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \cdot \lim_{\sigma^2 \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^k \cdot \mathcal{N}(x; x_i, \sigma^2) \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \cdot \lim_{\sigma^2 \rightarrow 0} M_k(x_i, \sigma^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \cdot x_i^k, \end{aligned}$$

where the second line follows from exchanging the order of summation and integration, the third line uses the definition of the moment of a normal distribution, and the last line exploits that $\lim_{\sigma^2 \rightarrow 0} M_k(x_i, \sigma^2) = M_k(x_i, 0) = x_i^k$ using (5). \square

References

- [1] Andreas Winkelbauer. Moments and absolute moments of the normal distribution. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1209.4340*, 2012.