CBGL: Fast Monte Carlo Passive Global Localisation of 2D LIDAR Sensor

Alexandros Filotheou

School of Electrical and Computer Engineering
Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

IROS '24 ABU DHABI

ΑΡΙΣΤΟΤΕΛΕΙΟ ΠΑΝΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΙΟ ΘΕΣΣΑΛΟΝΙΚΗΣ

Generate hypotheses randomly → Compute CAER (eq. 1) for each → Rank them



Setup & Motivation



Unknown LIDAR pose $\boldsymbol{p}(x, y, \theta)$ and estimate $\hat{\boldsymbol{p}}(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{\theta})$. $\boldsymbol{p} - \hat{\boldsymbol{p}} = (\Delta \hat{\boldsymbol{l}}, \Delta\hat{\theta})$

Real $\mathcal{S}_R(\boldsymbol{p})$ and virtual $\mathcal{S}_V(\hat{\boldsymbol{p}})$ scans, in the local coordinate frame of each sensor

Definition 1. The Cumulative Absolute Error per Ray (CAER) metric

$$\text{CAER}(\mathcal{S}_R, \mathcal{S}_V) \triangleq \sum_{n=0}^{\text{scan rays}-1} \Big| \mathcal{S}_R[n] - \mathcal{S}_V[n] \Big| \quad (1)$$





The gist

The method estimates the pose of a 2D LIDAR given only a single measurement and the map of the environment, while

- being robust against
  - environment repetitions
  - map distortions
  - sensor noise
  - sensor FOV (radial & angular)
- executing at ≈ 1 sec per 100 m^2 of environment area
- requiring no parameters to be tuned
- making no assumptions about the environment

because CAER (eq. (1))

- scales with position and orientation error
- is computationally cheap at $\sim O$(sensor rays)





Experiments with real and synthetic data

In > 6000 attempts	Mean Position Error [m]	Mean Orientation Error [rad]	Mean Execution Time [sec]
ALS [1]	0.500	1.956	6.15
CBGL	**0.041**	**0.011**	**1.61**







[1] Naoki Akai, "Reliable Monte Carlo Localization for Mobile Robots", *Journal of Field Robotics*, 2023