CLRS Chapter 2 Edition 4 Solutions

Cameron Beck

Updated June 15, 2023

Section 2.1

```
INSERTION-SORT(A)

1 for j = 2 to A. length

2 key = A[j]

3 // Insert A[j] into the sorted sequence A[1 ... j - 1].

4 i = j - 1

5 while i > 0 and A[i] > key

6 A[i + 1] = A[i]

7 i = i - 1

8 A[i + 1] = key
```

- A *loop invariant* is a condition that is necessarily true immediately before and immediately after each iteration of a loop. (Note that this says nothing about its truth or falsity part way through an iteration.)
- Given an appropriate invariant, we can help prove the correctness of an algorithm.
- In the example above, we might say our loop invariant is that the subarray A[1...j-1] is **always** sorted.

To use a loop invariant, you must show three things

- **Initialization:** It is true prior to the first iteration of the loop.
- Maintenance: If it is true before an iteration of the loop, it remains true before the next iteration.
- **Termination:** The loop terminates, and when it terminates, the invariant, along with the reason that the loop terminated, gives us a useful property that helps show that the algorithm is correct.

Let's apply these principles to INSERTION-SORT

- Initialization: We start by considering j = 2. The sub-array A[1..1] consists of only one element, A[1], and therefore must be sorted.
- Maintenance: The body of the for loop works by moving the values in A[j-1], A[j-2], A[j-3], and so on by one position to the right until it finds the proper position for A[j] (line 8). Thus, the sub-array A[1..j-1] remains sorted. Incrementing j for the next iteration of the for loop preserves the loop invariant.
- **Termination:** Once the value of j exceeds A.length, the loop terminates. Substituting A.length+1 for j in the wording of the loop variant yields that the sub-array A[1..n] consists of the elements originally in A[1..n], but in sorted order. Hence, the algorithm is correct.

A more formal treatment would require that we state and show a loop invariant for the **while** loop on line 5 as well.

Exercise 2.1-1

	1	2	3	4	5	6
j=2	31	41	59	26	41	58
j=3	31	41	59	26	41	58
j=4	26	31	41	59	41	58
j=5	26	31	41	41	59	58
j=6	26	31	41	41	58	59

Table 1: Values of the array at each index after each iteration of the **for** loop in INSERTION-SORT given the sequence (31, 41, 59, 26, 41, 58).

Exercise 2.1-2

```
Sum-Array(A, n)
1 \quad sum = 0
2 \quad \textbf{for } i = 1 \textbf{ to } n
3 \quad sum = sum + A[i]
4 \quad \textbf{return } sum
```

A loop invariant for the given procedure SUM-ARRAY is that before each iteration of the loop, the variable sum always contains the sum of the subarray from A[1..i-1], with the following proof:

- Initialization: Since the sub-array will consist of zero elements, and sum is initialized to zero, we can suppose that it is reasonable to say that an array of size zero has a sum of zero, and thus the loop invariant is true prior to the first iteration.
- Maintenance: During each iteration, we add the value at the current index to sum and increment i. In doing so, we ensure that sum continues to equal the sum of elements in the sub-array A[1...i-1].
- **Termination:** The loop terminates when i > n, meaning that for an array of n = 5, the loop would terminate when i = 6. According to our loop invariant, this would mean that we have added up all elements of the sub-array A[1...5], which is equal to the original input array.

Exercise 2.1-3

Changing Insertion-Sort to be monotonically decreasing instead of increasing requires only a slight adjustment to line 5:

```
INSERTION-SORT(A)

1 for j = 2 to A. length

2 key = A[j]

3 /\!\!/ Insert A[j] into the sorted sequence A[1 ... j - 1].

4 i = j - 1

5 while i > 0 and A[i] < key

6 A[i + 1] = A[i]

7 i = i - 1

8 A[i + 1] = key
```

Exercise 2.1-4

```
LINEAR-SEARCH(A, x)

1 for i = 1 to A.length

2 if A[i] == x

3 return i

4 return NIL
```

Loop invariant: at the start of each iteration of the **for** loop, the element x has not been found in the sub-array A[1..i-1]

- Initialization: At i = 1 we have yet to perform any comparisons, so the element x has yet to be found.
- Maintenance: During the *i*th iteration, we compare the value at the current index to *x* and immediately terminate the loop if they are equal.

This means that we are guaranteed to have not found x in the sub-array A[1...i-1] by the time we start a new iteration.

• **Termination:** The loop terminates when i > A. length or A[i] == x. In either case, the loop invariant holds true. If NIL is returned, we know that we scanned the entire array without finding x. If we return an index, we know that although the sub-array A[1..i-1] did not contain x, A[i] did, which satisfies the requirements of our loop invariant.

Exercise 2.1-5

Problem: Add two *n*-bit binary integers a and b stored in two *n*-element arrays A[0..n-1] and B[0..n-1], where each element is either 0 or 1, $a = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A[i] \cdot 2^i$, and $b = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} B[i] \cdot 2^i$. The sum c = a + b of the two integers should be stored in binary form in an (n+1)-element array C[0..n] where $c = \sum_{i=0}^{n} C[i] \cdot 2^i$. Write a procedure ADD-BINARY-INTEGERS that takes as input arrays A and B, along with the length n, and returns array C holding the sum.

```
ADD-BINARY-INTEGERS(A, B, n)
   Let C be an array of length n+1 initialized with 0's
    carry = 0
   for i = n to 1
        digitSum = A[i] + B[i] + carry
        C[i+1] = digitSum \pmod{2}
        if digitSum > 1
             carry = 1
 8
        else
9
             carry = 0
    C[1] = carry
10
    return C
11
```

Section 2.2

Exercise 2.2-1

Exercise 2.2-2

Exercise 2.2-3

Problems

Problem 2-1