

Evaluation Criteria	Relative Importance (Weight)	Alternative 1: Customer Application Using .NET	Score (1-5)	Weighted Score	Alternative 2: Customer Application using Java	Score (1-5)	Weighted Score	Alternative 3: Unicentral web application	Score (1-5)	Weighted Score
Technical Issue:										
Criterion 1	10		4	40		3	30		3	30
Criterion 2	20		5	100		3	60		5	100
Criterion 3	10		3	30		3	30		3	30
Economic Issue:										
Criterion 4	15	Supporting	4	60	Supporting	2	30	Supporting	5	75
Criterion 5	20	Information	4	80	Information	3	60	Information	5	100
Organizational Issue:										
Criterion 6	5		4	20		5	25		4	20
Criterion 7	10		5	50		4	40		2	20
Criterion 8	10		4	40		5	50		2	20
TOTAL:	100			320			325			395

Criterion 1: Develop desirable in-school skills

Criterion 2: Integration with existing systems

Criterion 3: Experience with product

Criterion 4: Cost

Criterion 5: Project Duration

Criterion 6: Competing with other products

Criterion 7: Customizable interface

Criterion 8: Training for Maintenance

Develop Desirable In-school Skills (Criterion 1):

Justification: The web application should focus on fostering skills relevant to the school environment, such as collaborative learning, digital literacy, and subject-specific competencies. Features that enhance these skills contribute positively to the overall educational experience.

Integration with Existing Systems (Criterion 2):

Justification: Seamless integration with the school's existing web infrastructure is crucial. The chosen web application should effortlessly interact with other online tools and databases, ensuring a smooth flow of information and minimizing disruptions in the digital ecosystem.

Experience with Product (Criterion 3):

Justification: Previous successful implementations of the web application in similar educational settings demonstrate its reliability and effectiveness in an online learning environment. Positive experiences with the product in a web-based context provide confidence in its suitability for the current school.

Cost (Criterion 4):

Justification: Cost considerations are particularly relevant for web applications. The selected product should offer a cost-effective solution, taking into account licensing fees, hosting expenses, and any additional costs associated with web-based deployment while still meeting the school's requirements.

Project Duration (Criterion 5):

Justification: The web application should have a reasonable implementation timeline, ensuring that the school can quickly leverage its benefits. A well-defined project duration for deployment and training aligns with the dynamic nature of educational technology.

Competing with Other Products (Criterion 6):

Justification: Comparative analysis of the web application against other similar products is crucial. Understanding its strengths and weaknesses in the context of web-based educational tools helps in making an informed decision that maximizes the advantages offered by the selected application.

Customizable Interface (Criterion 7):

Justification: A customizable web interface is essential to adapt the application to the school's unique requirements. This flexibility enhances user experience, allowing for the incorporation of specific features and design elements that align with the school's branding and educational goals.

Training for Maintenance (Criterion 8):

Justification: Since it's a web application, training for maintenance is vital. The staff responsible for managing the application should receive comprehensive training to handle updates, troubleshoot issues, and ensure the continuous functionality of the web-based educational system.