

Intro to Macro Labor

Christine Braun

What is Macro Labor?

- Kydland, Prescott, Hansen, ect.
 - real business cycle models
 - understanding total hours and employment
 - no labor market frictions
 - loosely speaking: models of participation

What is Macro Labor?

- Diamond, Mortensen, Pissarides, ect.
 - labor market frictions
 - models of unemployment
 - understanding how people search for jobs
 - understanding how people flow between E and U

What are Frictions?

- **Search Frictions:** it takes time or money (or both) for buyers and seller to find each other
- **Matching Frictions:** when buyers and sellers meet they may not be a good, or the best match


What are some markets with frictions?

- **Labor Market:** workers are looking for jobs, it takes time to apply to jobs. Firms are looking for workers, it costs money to interview people.
- **Housing Market:** buyers are looking for homes
- **Marriage Market:** everyone's looking for someone
- **Asset Market:** Over-the-counter markets for non-routine financial assets


Walrasian Model does not work with frictions

- From a Walrasian perspective
 - Excess demand: vacant jobs
 - Excess supply: unemployed workers
 - Unemployment explained by wages being too high to clear the market
 - Vacancies explained by wages being too low to clear the market
- How can excess demand and excess supply coexist?

Labor Market in the US



Labor Market in the UK



How do we model markets with frictions

- the individual's decision problem:
 - how to search for a job, search effort
 - which jobs to accept
- the firm's decision problem:
 - how many workers they need
 - how much to pay
- an equilibrium
 - number of unemployed and vacancies
 - a wage distribution

A Short Chronological Outline

- **One-sided Search:** individual decision problem, optimal stopping problem.
 - McCall (1970)
- **1-st Generation Equilibrium Search:** random search models with wage posting. Consider workers decisions of accepting jobs and firms decisions on wages.
- **Matching and Bargaining:** Diamond, Mortensen, Pissarides (DMP). Also take into consideration the firms decision to post vacancies.
- **Directed (Competitive):** Workers decide *which* jobs to apply to.

Outline for the next weeks

- **Today:** Stigler (1961) & McCall (1970)
- The wage distribution
- Diamond, Mortensen, Pissarides
- Student Presentations
- My current work / Some Data
- Assignment: Due 6th Dec.

Stigler (1961): The Economics of Information

- **Observation:** Prices of homogenous goods vary across seller
 - Chevrolets
 - Coal
- **Theory:** People must visit multiple sellers to get the best price, people search
- **Question:** How do you decide how many seller to visit before you know enough of the price distribution to buy the good?
 - How many wage offers should you get before you take the best job?

Example

- Suppose there are two prices: \$2 and \$3
- Seller are split equally between the prices

| Number of Prices Sampled | Probability of Minimum Price of | | Expected Minimum Price |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----|------------------------------|
| | \$2 | \$3 | |

- How many prices should you sample if it's costless to search?
- How many prices should you sample if it's costly to search?

Example

- Suppose there are two prices: \$2 and \$3
- Seller are split equally between the prices

| Number of Prices Sampled | Probability of Minimum Price of | | Expected Minimum Price |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----|------------------------------|
| | \$2 | \$3 | |
| 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.5 |

- How many prices should you sample if it's costless to search?
- How many prices should you sample if it's costly to search?

Example

- Suppose there are two prices: \$2 and \$3
- Seller are split equally between the prices

| Number of Prices Sampled | Probability of Minimum Price of | | Expected Minimum Price |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|------|------------------------------|
| | \$2 | \$3 | |
| 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.5 |
| 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 2.25 |

- How many prices should you sample if it's costless to search?
- How many prices should you sample if it's costly to search?

Example

- Suppose there are two prices: \$2 and \$3
- Seller are split equally between the prices

| Number of Prices Sampled | Probability of Minimum Price of | | Expected Minimum Price |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|
| | \$2 | \$3 | |
| 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.5 |
| 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 2.25 |
| 3 | 0.875 | 0.125 | 2.125 |

- How many prices should you sample if it's costless to search?
- How many prices should you sample if it's costly to search?

Example

- Suppose there are two prices: \$2 and \$3
- Seller are split equally between the prices

| Number of Prices Sampled | Probability of Minimum Price of | | Expected Minimum Price |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|
| | \$2 | \$3 | |
| 1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 2.5 |
| 2 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 2.25 |
| 3 | 0.875 | 0.125 | 2.125 |
| ∞ | 1 | 0 | 2 |

- How many prices should you sample if it's costless to search?
- How many prices should you sample if it's costly to search?

Stigler (1961): The Economics of Information

- There exists some price distribution $F(p)$
- cost of making one draw: c
- Let M_n be the expected value of the min value of n samples

$$M_n = n \int_0^{\infty} p[1 - F(p)]^n f(p) dp$$

- The gain from drawing one more sample

$$\begin{aligned} G_n &= M_{n-1} - M_n \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} p[1 - F(p)]^{n-1} f(p)[nF(p) - 1] dp \end{aligned}$$

- G_n is decreasing in $n \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n = 0$
- Optimal number of draws: n s.t. $G_{n+1} > c > G_n$

McCall Model

- **Environment:**
 - workers search for a jobs, infinitely lived, discount at β
 - cost of search each period: κ
 - while unemployed worker gets c
 - if searching, each period she draws an offer from $F(w)$
 - if she accepts the job, it lasts forever

McCall Model

- **Objective:**

- maximize expected discounted earnings

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_0^{\infty} \beta^t Y_t$$

- income each period depends on employment state

$$Y_t = \begin{cases} c - \kappa & \text{if unemployed} \\ w & \text{if employed} \end{cases}$$

- **Trade off:**

- Waiting too long for a good offer is costly
- Accepting too early is costly, since better offers might arrive in the future

McCall Model

- **Solution:**

- optimal stopping problem
- the reservation wage: w_R

$w \geq w_R \Rightarrow$ accept the job

$w < w_R \Rightarrow$ keep searching

- How does this relate to Stigler's optimal number of draws problem?

McCall Model

- **Solution:**

- optimal stopping problem
- the reservation wage: w_R

$w \geq w_R \Rightarrow$ accept the job

$w < w_R \Rightarrow$ keep searching

- How does this relate to Stigler's optimal number of draws problem?
 - **Stigler:** n determines the expected maximum price we are going to pay, **non-sequential search**

McCall Model

- **Solution:**

- optimal stopping problem
- the reservation wage: w_R

$w \geq w_R \Rightarrow$ accept the job

$w < w_R \Rightarrow$ keep searching

- How does this relate to Stigler's optimal number of draws problem?
 - **Stigler:** n determines the expected maximum price we are going to pay, **non-sequential search**
 - **Here:** w_R determines the expected time of unemployment, how many periods on average we have to wait before we get an acceptable wage, **sequential search**

McCall Model - Solving Numerically

- With an offer of w in hand worker can
 - accept the job and get

$$\frac{w}{1 - \beta}$$

- reject the job and get

$$c + \beta[\text{get new offer tomorrow}]$$

- Recursive formulation of the objective:

$$V(w) = -\kappa + \max \left\{ \frac{w}{1 - \beta}, c + \beta \int V(w) dF(w) \right\}$$

McCall Model - Solving Numerically

- Policy Function

- Let $\sigma(w)$ be the policy function, $\sigma(w) = 1$ if we accept the job

$$\frac{w}{1 - \beta} > c + \beta \int V(w) dF(w)$$

$$w > (1 - \beta) \left[c + \beta \int V(w) dF(w) \right]$$

- This condition depends on the value function!
- Solve value function numerically: **QuantEcon**

McCall Model

- Now that we have $V(w)$ we can solve for

$$\bar{V} = c + \beta \int V(w) dF(w)$$

where \bar{V} is a constant

- The reservation wage makes us indifferent

$$\frac{w_R}{1 - \beta} = \bar{V}$$

- $w/(1 - \beta)$ is an increasing function of β and \bar{V} is constant so we have a solution to w_R .

McCall Model

- But for this simple model we can do better than this
- Rewrite the problem with two value function: $E(w)$, U
 - suppose $\kappa = 0$
- The value of employment at wage w

$$E(w) = w + \beta E(w) \tag{1}$$

$$E(w) = \frac{w}{1 - \beta}$$

McCall Model

- Value of unemployment

$$U = c + \beta \int \max\{U, E(w)\} dF(w) \quad (2)$$

- Reservation wage

$$E(w_R) = U$$

$$\frac{w_R}{1 - \beta} = U$$

McCall Model

$$\frac{w_R}{1-\beta} = c + \beta \int_0^{w_R} \frac{w_R}{1-\beta} dF(w) + \beta \int_{w_R}^{\infty} \frac{w}{1-\beta} dF(w)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{w_R} \frac{w_R}{1-\beta} + \int_{w_R}^{\infty} \frac{w_R}{1-\beta} \\ = c + \beta \int_0^{w_R} \frac{w_R}{1-\beta} dF(w) + \beta \int_{w_R}^{\infty} \frac{w}{1-\beta} dF(w) \end{aligned}$$

$$w_R \int_0^{w_R} dF(w) - c = \beta \int_{w_R}^{\infty} \frac{\beta w - w_R}{1-\beta} dF(w)$$

McCall Model

- Adding $w_R \int_{w_R}^{\infty} dF(w)$ to both sides

$$w_R - c = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_{w_R}^{\infty} (w - w_R) dF(w)$$

- Integration by parts:

$$\int_{w_R}^{\infty} (w - w_R) dF(w) = \int_{w_R}^{\infty} [1 - F(w)] dw$$

- So finally we have

$$w_R - c = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_{w_R}^{\infty} [1 - F(w)] dw$$

McCall Model - Unemployment Duration

- The probability of getting a job in a given period

$$H = 1 - F(w_R)$$

- H is called the hazard function
- What is the probability of being unemployed for n periods

$$P(\text{dur} = n) = (1 - H)^{n-1}H$$

- The expected unemployment duration

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{dur}] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n(1 - H)^{n-1}H = \frac{1}{H}$$

Moving to Continuous Time

- McCall model is written in discrete time
 - each period you get one offer
 - each period you decide to accept or reject
- A lot of labor search models are written in continuous time
 - since we don't have periods we need an arrival rate of job offers α
- α is a Poisson arrival rate

Poisson Arrival Process

- In an infinitesimal unit of time dt only one arrival will occur with probability αdt
- The number of arrivals $N(t)$ in a finite time period t has a poisson distribution

$$P(N(t) = n) = \frac{(\alpha t)^n}{n!} e^{-\alpha t}$$

- Arrival times are independent and the time until arrival has an exponential distribution

$$P(T > t) = e^{-\alpha t}$$

Poisson Arrival Process - Two Properties

- **Memoryless:** for $t_1 \geq 0$ and $t_2 \geq 0$

$$P(T > t_1 + t_2) = P(T > t_1)P(T > t_2)$$

$$P(T > t_1 + t_2 | t_1) = P(T > t_2)$$

- Is unemployment a memoryless process?
- **Random Selection:** if each arrival is selected with probability p , independent of other arrivals, then the resulting process is a poisson process with intensity αp
 - The reservation wage is independent of the number of offers you have received

Moving to Continuous Time

- Environment
 - w, b are an instantaneous flows
 - α is a poisson arrival rate of jobs
 - r is the discount rate
- Value of Employment a period of length dt

$$E(w) = \frac{w dt + E(w)}{1 + r dt}$$

- Take the limit as $dt \rightarrow 0$

$$rE(w) = w$$

For next time

- **Homework:**

1. Write down the value function for employment (1) and unemployment (2) if you have a probability δ of losing your job every period.
2. Derive the continuous time value functions if δ is the poisson rate of losing your job

- **Think About:** Where does the wage distribution come from?