

0.1 Overview

Let

$$\xi_1 = (-1, 1, 0) \text{ and } \xi_2 = (0, -1, 1),$$

and let X_n^θ be a discrete time Markov chain on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3$ with the following transition probabilities:

$$p_{\vec{x}, \vec{x}+\xi_1} = \frac{\theta xy}{\theta xy + y} \quad (1)$$

$$p_{\vec{x}, \vec{x}+\xi_2} = 1 - p_1(x, y, z), \quad (2)$$

Assume that $X_0^\theta = (100, 5, 0)$ and $\theta = 0.05$. Let $f(X^\theta) = (X_1^\theta)_{100}$; that is the first component of the process after 100 steps. We estimate $\frac{d}{d\theta} E[f(X^\theta)]$ using a number of different techniques.

0.2 Finite Difference Method

In this problem, we employ a centered finite difference method, using an estimator

$$\hat{\mu}_k^{\theta, h} = \frac{f(X_k^{\theta+h/2}) - f(X_k^{\theta-h/2})}{h}.$$

In an attempt to reduce the variance, we employ the common random variables technique; in which we will use the same sequence of uniform random variables when generating realizations $X_k^{\theta+h/2}$ and $X_k^{\theta-h/2}$.

For the finite difference method we employed the naive algorithm. That is, for a given value h , we sample the random variable:

$$Y^{\theta, h} := \frac{f(X^{\theta+h}) - f(X^\theta)}{h}.$$

Here we estimated the expected value $\hat{Y}^{\theta, h} = \frac{1}{n} \sum^n Y_k^{\theta, h}$, and the sample variance $\sqrt{\sigma}$. We *did not*, however, use

$$Y^{\theta, h} := h^2 \sum \frac{f(X^{\theta+h}) - f(X^\theta)}{h}$$

for our estimator. During our trials, we did, and the sample variance remained roughly constant throughout different choices of h . We decided not to use these estimators for our table to illustrate the additional work required when estimating for small h . We took 100,000 samples.

h	$\hat{Y}^{\theta,h}$	σ^2	Confidence
0.01	-268.59	236102.86	3.01
0.005	-286.76	969545.56	6.10
0.001	-290.61	24351035.83	30.59
0.0005	-349.46	97367597.71	61.16

0.3 Likelihood Ratio Method

For likelihood ratio method, we took $n = 100,000$ samples.

\hat{Y}^{θ}	σ^2	Confidence
-331.29	5640541.81	46.55

While employing a control variate with the weight function obtained using the Likelihood Ratio method, we had a dramatic reduction in variance.

\hat{Y}^{θ}	σ^2	Confidence
-302.56	190379.28	8.55