Run information:

	2006-2010	2011-2015	2015-2019
Number of parallel heated chains		4	
Swap acceptance rate	54%	56.8%	56.7%
Total number of iterations		15000	
Burn-in period		5000	
Thinning		10	
Kmax		50	
Dirichlet prior with gamma		1/Kmax	

Estimated posterior	Estimated posterior distribution of the number of clusters for each survey wave (P ($K = k \mid data$)									
ACS Survey Year	ACS Survey Year 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14									
2006-2010	0	0	0.156	0.428 [‡]	0.256	0.100	0.043	0.013	0.004	
2011-2015	0	0.088	0.328	0.343 [‡]	0.174	0.049	0.018	0	0	
2015-2019	0.081	0.347 [‡]	0.328	0.163	0.064	0.017	0	0	0	
‡Most probable me	odel									

Mixing weights

		2006	-2010			2011	-2015	15 2015-2019				
	Mean	SD	2.50%	97.50%	Mean	SD	2.50%	97.50%	Mean	SD	2.50%	97.50%
p.1	0.2043	0.0175	0.1712	0.2404	0.1292	0.0176	0.1037	0.1893	0.2946	0.0138	0.2684	0.3216
p.2	0.1054	0.014	0.0774	0.1322	0.1035	0.0112	0.0823	0.1255	0.1033	0.0133	0.0782	0.1287
p.3	0.1126	0.0392	0	0.1666	0.1984	0.0178	0.1663	0.2366	0.0373	0.0276	0.0000	0.0873
p.4	0.1003	0.0132	0.0754	0.1266	0.264	0.0152	0.2334	0.2933	0.1129	0.0143	0.0857	0.1431
p.5	0.138	0.0136	0.1098	0.1636	0.1124	0.0156	0.0857	0.1462	0.1081	0.0405	0.0073	0.1969
p.6	0.1005	0.0146	0.0721	0.1315	0.0715	0.0221	0	0.1154	0.2420	0.0242	0.1996	0.2897
p.7	0.053	0.0107	0.0345	0.0741	0.0109	0.0184	0	0.0563	0.1018	0.0437	0.0350	0.2070
p.8	0.0284	0.0227	0	0.0829	0.0397	0.0109	0.0225	0.0667				
p.9	0.1573	0.0319	0.1044	0.2212	0.0703	0.0209	0	0.0958				

^{***}Note: The range of the confidence intervals do not include zero, the percentile values are very small – close to zero that R rounds them.

Cluster descriptions for ACS 2006-2010

Cluster	Description—highlighting main drivers	Age distribution	Distribution of original variables across	Conclusion/Summary
1	- Below poverty - Renter-occupied housing - Crowded housing - NH black - Hispanic Latino - Unemployment - Working class -	Avg median age is 33.2. Higher average proportions in the age groups: - 20-24 - 25-34 - 35-44 - 45-54	estimated clusters (average proportions) - Low average median income - Low proportion of >=Bachelors - High proportion of renter-occupied housing - High proportion of working-class population - High female household - Compared to other clusters:	Main drivers for this cluster seem to be below poverty line, renter occupied housing, crowding among housing units and decent mean posterior success probabilities for NH black and Hispanic Low ed, Low income, high working class, and high ethnic minority + high limited language proficiency
2	-Below poverty - Owner occupied housing - Working class - < HS - Unemployment	Avg median age is 40.8 Higher average proportions in the age groups: - 25-34 - 35-44 - 45-54	 Medium income (mean median income is 63200) High proportion of >=HS edu High proportion of owner occupied housing Low ethnic minorities 	Low education Medium income High household ownership Low multi-lingual/multi-cultural
3	- Below poverty - >= Bachelors - Owner occupied - >= HS - Median income	Avg median age is 42.4 Higher average proportions in the age groups: - 35-44 - 45-54	 High median income high proportion of >=HS ed and decent for >= Bachelors High owner occupied housing 	Low multi-lingual/low multi-cultural High income Medium education High household ownership Low unemployment (in comparison)
4	 Below poverty Owner-occupied Working class >= HS Median income 	Avg median age is 43.1. Higher average proportions in the age groups: - 35-44 - 45-54	 High median income high proportion of >=HS ed and decent for >= Bachelors (avg 30%) High owner occupied housing Working class Low ethnic minority 	Medium education Medium income Low multi-lingual/low multi-cultural
5	- Renter-occupied - >=Bachelors - >=HS - 2 nd highest for Hispanic - 2 nd highest for limited EN proficiency	Avg median age is 36.0 Higher average proportions in the age groups: - 25-34	 High median income high proportion of >=HS ed and decent for >= Bachelors medium owner occupied housing 2nd highest for no -vehicle 	Medium education Medium income Low-med multi-lingual/low multi-cultural

	- 3 rd highest NH black	- 35-44 - 45-54	- Working class - 2 nd Highest prop of NHA	
6	- Renter occupied - Below poverty - Working class - Unemployment - < HS - Female household	Avg median age is 37.5 Higher average proportions in the age groups: - 25-34 - 35-44 - 45-54	Low avg median income At least HS ed (low bachelor) Similar distribution of renter occupied vs. owner occupied housing units Decent avg proportion of Hispanics and NHB	Low ed Low income Med ethnic minority + limited language proficiency
7	- Renter occupied - >=bachelors - < HS - NH Asian - NH Black - Crowded housing	Avg median age is 35.5 Higher average proportions in the age groups: - 25-34 - 35-44 - 45-54	 Low avg median income High prop of renter-occupied 2nd Highest SNAP benefits Similar to cluster 1 in terms of vehicle ownership Medium proportions of ethnic minorities (highest for NHA) 2nd highest avg proportion of limited EN proficiency compared to other clusters 	High ed, Low income, Low household, and high ethnic minority + high limited language proficiency
8	- Owner occupied - < HS - Median income - Oweber occupied - >= Bachelors (decent)	Avg median age is 41.2 Higher average proportions in the age groups: - 25-34 - 35-44 - 45-54	 High median income High education High proportion of owner-occupied housing units Decent proportion of Hispanics 	Highly educated and occupation Medium-high income Low-med Multi-lingual (based on avg proportion of language spoken at home other than EN)
9	- >= Bachelors - Owner occupied >= HS - Below poverty line Low probs for everything else	Avg median age is 43.4 Higher average proportions in the age groups: - 35-44 - 45-54	- Highest avg median income compared to all other clusters - High education - High proportion of owner occupied housing units	**compared to other clusters—this one seems to be the most "affluent/ less diverse"—see the heatmap more purple! High education, high income, high white collar occupations, less diverse in terms of ethnic minorities.

For lack of plumbing, 0 means there is no lack.

Cluster descriptions for ACS 2011-2015

About the survey:

- population grew 3.5% from 2006-2010 to 2011-2015.
- https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/guidance/comparing-acs-data/2015/5-year-comparison.html
- Change in geographic boundaries The 2006-2010 ACS 5-year estimates used legal boundaries as of January 1, 2010. The 2011-2015 ACS 5-year estimates use legal boundaries as of January 1, 2015.—I think this has been adjusted from what I've seen so far

Cluster	Description—highlighting main drivers (>= 45)	Age distribution	Distribution of original variables across estimated clusters (average proportions)	Conclusion/Summary
1	- >= Bachelors - Renter-occupied - >= HS - NH black - Hispanic - Crowding	Avg median age is 35.7 - 25-34 - 35-44 - 45-54	 High proportion of HS or higher and bachelor's or higher Higher proportion of renter occupied housing Low working class 2nd highest NH Asian 	High education Low working class Medium ethnic minorities + limited EN language proficiency
2	Owner occupied Working class < HS	Avg median age: 42.2 - 25-34 - 35-44 - 45-54	 Low avg proportion of > = bachelors High avg prop of owner- occupied housing units High avg prop of working class High avg prop of SNAP benefits 	At least HS ed Working class Low proportions of ethnic minorities
3	>= Bachelors Owner occupied. >= HS Below poverty	Avg median age: 44.1 - 35-44 - 45-54	 -high avg median income - high avg proportion of education for both >= HS and >= Bachelors - High avg prop of owner-occupied housing units - low proportions of ethnic minorities - higher avg proportion of non-working class 	Low proportions of ethnic minorities Highly educated and occupation High income
4	Renter occupied. Working class Crowded housing BPL NH black < HS	Avg median age: 34.7 - 25-34 - 35-44 - 45-54	 Low average median income Higher avg proportion of female households compared to other clusters. High avg proportion of no vehicle in household High avg proportion of Hispanic High avg proportion of NH black High avg prop of limited EN language proficiency High avg prop of working class 	Low ed, Low income, working class, and high ethnic minority + high limited language proficiency (multicultural/multi-lingual)

			 Lowest avg proportion of >= HS 	
			and >= Bachelors compared to	
			other clusters	
			 High avg proportion of SNAP 	
			benefits	
			 High avg prop of crowding 	
5	Owner occupied	Avg median age: 46.4	 High avg proportion of >= HS + 	Less diverse in terms of proportions of ethnic
	>= HS	- 35-44	decent proportion of >=	minorities
	Working class	- 45-54	bachelors	Medium education
	Ŭ		- High avg prop of owner-occupied	Medium income
			housing units	Medium education
			- Medium-high avg proportion of	mediam education
			working class	
			- Low avg proportions of ethnic	
			minorities	
6	Median income	Avg median age: 42.6	- High avg medium income	High income
"	>= HS	- 25-34	- High avg proportion of >= HS +	High education
	Owner occupied	- 35-44	>= bachelors	Low ethnic minorities
	>= Bachelors			Low ethnic minorities
	>= Bacrieiors	- 45-54		
			occupied housing units	
			- Low avg proportions of ethnic	
			minorities	
7	Mostly medium to low probabilities			
	Highest for < HS			
	Owner occupied housing			
8	-Female household	Avg median age: 40.6	 Not very high avg medium 	Medium income
	Unemployment	- 25-34	income	Medium education
	<hs< td=""><td>- 35-44</td><td> Lower avg proportion of >= HS </td><td></td></hs<>	- 35-44	 Lower avg proportion of >= HS 	
	Working class	- 45-54	and >= Bachelors compared to	
	Renter-occupied housing unit	- Similar distribution	other clusters	
			- 3rd highest avg proportion of	
			SNAP benefit	
			_	
9	< HS	Avg median age: 36.0	- Not very high avg medium	Medium income
]	Crowded housing	- 25-34 (majority)	income	High ethnic + limited EN proficiency
	Renter occupied.	- 35-44	- 2nd highest avg proportion of	(multi-cultural/multi-lingual)
	>= Bachelors	- 45-54	SNAP benefit	High education
	Below 150% poverty	1 75 54	- High avg proportions of ethnic	Tight cadeation
	Below 130% poverty		minorities and limited EN	
			language proficiency	

Cluster descriptions for ACS 2015-2019

Cluster	Description—highlighting main drivers	Age distribution	Distribution of original variables across estimated clusters (average proportions)	Conclusion/Summary
1		Avg median age is 35.9 Higher average proportions in the age groups: - 25-34 - 35-44 - 45-54	Low avg median income High avg proportion of renter- occupied housing units Highest avg proportion of working class and unemployment high avg proportion of Hispanic and NH black + limited EN language proficiency At least HS educated. high avg proportion of female household High avg proportion of households with no vehicle High avg proportion of SNAP benefits -	Multi-cultural/ multi-lingual Renters Low education Low income
2		Avg median age is 43.1 Higher average proportions in the age groups: - 25-34 - 35-44 45-54	At least HS educated. High avg proportion of owner- occupied housing units High avg proportion of working class -	
3		Avg median age is 42.8 Higher average proportions in the age groups: - 25-34 - 35-44 45-54	-High proportion of >= HS and >=bachelors degree - High avg proportion of owner-occupied housing units	High education
4		Avg median age is 46.5 Higher average proportions in the age groups: - 25-34 - 35-44 45-54	 High avg proportion of owner-occupied housing units At least HS education High avg proportion of working class 	Medium education
5		Avg median age is 35.5 Higher average proportions in the age groups: - 25-34	 High avg median income High avg proportion of >= HS and >= bachelor's degree 	

	- 35-44 45-54	High avg proportion of households with no vehicle High proportion of renter occupied housing units High avg proportion of NH Asians
6	Avg median age is 44.8 Higher average proportions in the age groups: - 25-34 - 35-44 45-54	High avg proportion of owner- occupied housing units High avg proportions of ethnic minorities
7	Avg median age is 36.2 Higher average proportions in the age groups: - 25-34 - 35-44 45-54	High avg proportion of limited EN language proficiency High avg proportion of SNAP benefits -

Relabeling clusters to match a reference dataset in this case we use 2006-2010.

Original

								@ ×
	acs15							
acs10	cluster1	cluster2	cluster3	cluster4	cluster5	cluster6	cluster7	cluster8
cluster1	3	0	38	2	1	44	20	1
cluster2	6	1	289	3	2	26	9	7
cluster3	1	5	16	24	4	1	0	59
cluster4	1	24	1	12	85	2	7	5
cluster5	3	12	1	66	30	2	2	24
cluster6	1	15	4	27	213	4	9	1
cluster7	3	1	55	3	2	11	3	7
cluster8	5	7	7	3	16	35	128	0
cluster9	0	8	5	18	2	4	2	40

After relabeling based on cluster description similarities

								∅ × ×
	acs15							
acs10	cluster1	cluster2	cluster3	cluster4	cluster5	cluster6	cluster7	cluster8
cluster1	44	38	1	0	2	1	3	20
cluster2	26	289	7	1	3	2	6	9
cluster3	1	16	59	5	24	4	1	0
cluster4	2	1	5	24	12	85	1	7
cluster5	2	1	24	12	66	30	3	2
cluster6	4	4	1	15	27	213	1	9
cluster7	11	55	7	1	3	2	3	3
cluster8	35	7	0	7	3	16	5	128
cluster9	4	5	40	8	18	2	0	2

Original

								5	$\hat{\sim}$	\times
	acs19									
acs15	cluster1	cluster2	cluster3	cluster4	cluster5	cluster6	cluster7			
cluster1	21	8	3	3	3	26	65			
cluster2	362	12	15	2	2	5	18			
cluster3	17	2	98	3	23	0	1			
cluster4	2	9	19	28	10	3	2			
cluster5	9	2	34	27	84	1	1			
cluster6	2	5	8	296	33	10	1			
cluster7	12	0	3	0	1	2	5			
cluster8	7	2	0	16	3	129	23			