

Discussion of
*Measuring the Natural Rate of Interest:
A Note on Transitory Shocks*
by Kurt F. Lewis and Francisco Vazquez-Grande

C. Cantore¹

¹Bank of England, Centre for Macroeconomics and University of Surrey

XXV Meeting of the Central Bank Researchers Network
Banco Central del Uruguay
28 October 2020

Highlights of the paper

- ▶ **What this paper is about:** measuring r^* .
- ▶ Usually done by assuming two main drivers:
 - ▶ (g) aggregate supply shock which directly affects potential output;
 - ▶ (z) aggregate demand shock
- ▶ **Contribution:** Using looser set of prior parameter restrictions in order to let the data determine the statistical properties of r^* , g and $z \Rightarrow$
 - ▶ z turns out to be **stationary** in contrast with previous literature;
 - ▶ This implies: (i) a **more volatile** r^* and (ii) a **higher** r^* after the GFC wrt what is commonly estimated.

Comments

Disclaimer: I am a theorist so my comments/suggestion will be driven/biased by that.

1. How do your results square with increasing evidence of permanent effects of (some) demand shocks?
2. What if g and z are correlated?
3. Cross country evidence?

Comment I: Hysteresis and persistent demand shocks

In principle there is no clear theoretical justification why both drivers of r^ , g and z , need to be non-stationary processes. In fact, theory suggests that shocks to aggregate demand, such as fiscal or financial shocks, may weigh on aggregate demand only temporarily.*

- ▶ This view is consistent with the tradition of treating growth and business cycle independently. However there is mounting evidence of **hysteresis**: the dependence of GDP levels on its history of shocks.
[Cerra et al., 2020]
- ▶ Growing **theoretical literature** showing permanent effects of demand shocks. [Benigno and Fornaro, 2018]
- ▶ Evidence of permanent effects of monetary policy.
[Òscar Jordá et al., 2020]

Comment II: Demand and Supply shocks loops

- ▶ Covid-19 shock generated interest in modelling feedbacks and loops between supply and demand shocks. [Fornaro and Wolf, 2020], [Guerrieri et al., 2020]
- ▶ Can you generalize the model to allow for this?

Comment III: Cross country evidence?

- ▶ Are your results and implications for r^* specific to the US or do they apply to other advanced economies?
- ▶ Adding cross country evidence would be interesting and also constitute a cross check given that the available evidence so far points towards a similar pattern for advanced economies. [Del Negro et al., 2019])

Conclusions

- ▶ Really enjoyed reading the paper.
 - ▶ Extremely relevant for current policy discussion.
-
1. Compare and contrast your results against recent evidence of hysteresis.
 2. Can you generalize your model to allow for demand-supply loops?
 3. Cross country evidence.



Benigno, G. and Fornaro, L. (2018).
Stagnation Traps.
Review of Economic Studies, 85(3):1425–1470.



Cerra, V., Fatás, A., and Saxena, S. (2020).
Hysteresis and Business Cycles.
CEPR Discussion Papers 14531, C.E.P.R. Discussion Papers.



Del Negro, M., Giannone, D., Giannoni, M. P., and Tambalotti, A. (2019).
Global trends in interest rates.
Journal of International Economics, 118(C):248–262.



Fornaro, L. and Wolf, M. (2020).
Covid-19 Coronavirus and Macroeconomic Policy.
Working Papers 1168, Barcelona Graduate School of Economics.



Guerrieri, V., Lorenzoni, G., Straub, L., and Werning, I. (2020).
Macroeconomic Implications of COVID-19: Can Negative Supply Shocks
Cause Demand Shortages?
NBER Working Papers 26918, National Bureau of Economic Research,
Inc.



Òscar Jordá, Singh, S. R., and Taylor, A. M. (2020).
The Long-Run Effects of Monetary Policy.
NBER Working Papers 26666, National Bureau of Economic Research,
Inc.