

Saving, Interest Rates, and Growth. [PerfForesightCRRA](#) shows that in a perfect foresight infinite horizon CRRA-utility consumption model, the formula for consumption

$$c_t = (h_t \mathbf{p}_t + b_t) \kappa \quad (1)$$

implies that

$$\mathbf{c}_t \approx \overbrace{\mathbf{p}_t (1 - \mathbf{p}_\gamma / (r - \gamma))}^{\text{from human wealth}} + \overbrace{(r - \rho^{-1}(r - \vartheta)) \mathbf{b}_t}^{\text{from nonhuman wealth}} \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \text{Growth rate of permanent noncapital income} \\ \mathbf{p}_\gamma &= \rho^{-1}(r - \vartheta) - \gamma \\ &\equiv \text{'growth impatience rate'} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

ExplainRIC

1. Explain, in words, why the ‘return impatience condition’ $\mathbf{p}_r < 0$ needs to be imposed in order for the model to have a sensible solution. Explain, in words, why the ‘finite human wealth’ condition, $\gamma < r$ needs to be imposed. Explain, in words, what imposing the ‘growth impatience condition’ $\mathbf{p}_\gamma < 0$ accomplishes and why it might be desirable to impose that condition in an infinite-horizon model. (Reminder: $\mathbf{p}_r \equiv \rho^{-1}(r - \vartheta) - r$).

DeriveSavRate

2. Use (??) and the fact that the level of saving \mathbf{s} can be defined as total income minus total consumption:

$$\mathbf{s}_t = r a_{t-1} + \mathbf{p}_t - \mathbf{c}_t \quad (4)$$

to show that the ratio of saving to permanent labor income \mathbf{p}_t is approximately

$$s_t \approx \mathbf{p}_\gamma / (r - \gamma) + \rho^{-1}(r - \vartheta) a_{t-1} \quad (5)$$

and that the ratio of saving to total income (the ‘saving rate’) is

$$\varsigma_t = \left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_\gamma / (r - \gamma) + \rho^{-1}(r - \vartheta) a_{t-1}}{1 + r a_{t-1}} \right). \quad (6)$$

SavSens

3. Use the tools available at [Econ-ARK/HARK](#) to plot the relationship between the saving rate and assets under various combinations of parameter values, with the objective of exploring the sensitivity of saving to the model’s calibration. (You probably will find it useful to start with the notebook [PerfForesightCRRA-SavingRate](#)).
4. Opinions about the long-term growth rate of income, γ , are widely divergent today. Some scholars (e.g., Robert ?) believe that productivity growth in the U.S. is likely to be slow, perhaps 1 percent, over the next 50 years, while others (e.g., Erik ?) are considerably more optimistic, projecting little if any slowdown from the growth rate of 2.5 percent a year that has characterized the postwar period. Furthermore, beliefs about long-run growth have become considerably more pessimistic in the period since the Great Recession.

- a) Explain why these facts cast doubt upon this model as a useful or reliable guide to understanding actual saving choices. Relate this point to the argument of ? about the magnitude of the human wealth effect in perfect foresight models.
- b) Explain why the saving rate is higher in a **TractableBufferStock** model than in the perfect foresight model
- c) Using the same Jupyter notebook as before, compare Compare the degree of sensitivity of the saving rate to the growth rate of income in this model compared to the perfect foresight model. Give the intuition for any differences.