

**Friedman (1957)’s Permanent Income Hypothesis.** Answer the following questions under the assumption that Friedman’s Permanent Income Hypothesis  $\mathbf{c}_i = \mathbf{p}_i$  is true. Assume that income in each period for each household is  $\mathbf{y}_{t,i} = \mathbf{p}_i + \xi_{t,i}$  where  $\xi_{t,i}$  is a mean-0 random (‘white noise’) transitory shock to income.

1. Suppose that you have consumption and income data for a cross-section of households in a particular year. Suppose further that you know that the variance of transitory income is higher for farmers than it is for members of other occupational groups. Now imagine estimating a Keynesian consumption function  $\mathbf{c}_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \mathbf{y}_i + \epsilon_i$ . How would you expect the estimated coefficients  $\alpha_1$  to differ between the farmers in your sample and the rest of the population? Do you need to know any other information to answer the question definitively?

Make a copy of the “KeynesFriedmanModigliani” Jupyter notebook, and rename your copy to “FriedmanPIH-[Your-Last-Name].” Using tools in that notebook simulate 50 observations of  $\mathbf{y}_i$  for each group (farmers and non-farmers), with:

- a)  $\sigma_{\psi,\text{farmers}}^2 = \sigma_{\psi,\text{workers}}^2, \sigma_{\xi,\text{farmers}}^2 = 3\sigma_{\xi,\text{workers}}^2$
- b)  $\sigma_{\psi,\text{farmers}}^2 = 10\sigma_{\psi,\text{workers}}^2, \sigma_{\xi,\text{farmers}}^2 = 3\sigma_{\xi,\text{workers}}^2$
- c)  $\sigma_{\psi,\text{farmers}}^2 = 0.1\sigma_{\psi,\text{workers}}^2, \sigma_{\xi,\text{farmers}}^2 = 3\sigma_{\xi,\text{workers}}^2$

For purposes of these simulations, assume that  $\{\sigma_{\psi,\text{workers}}^2, \sigma_{\xi,\text{workers}}^2\} = 0.01, 0.02$ . For each scenario, estimate coefficient  $\alpha_1$  of the Keynesian consumption function, draw the observations and estimated consumption function on a graph with  $\mathbf{y}$  on the horizontal axis and  $\mathbf{p}$  on the vertical axis (use different colors for different groups). Make sure you include a 45-degree line (corresponding to  $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{y}$ ) on each graph. Relate your results to the first part of this question, and briefly discuss what these graphs tell you. Do the graphs support your answers?

2. Imagine that you observe a set of households for two consecutive periods,  $t$  and  $t + 1$ . What relationship should you find between the saving rate at time  $t$  and income growth between  $t$  and  $t + 1$ ?

Using your Jupyter notebook again, simulate 50 observations of  $\mathbf{y}_i$  for each of two consecutive periods  $t$  and  $t + 1$ . Assume that any individual household’s permanent income does not change from period  $t$  to  $t + 1$ .

For each household, calculate the simulated saving rate in period  $t$ , the growth rate of income between  $t$  and  $t + 1$ , and draw them on a graph (Hint: Put the saving rate in period  $t$  on the x-axis, and income growth between  $t$  and  $t + 1$  on the y-axis. Your graph should consist of 50 points). Relate your results to the first part of this question, and briefly discuss what these graphs tell you. Do the graphs support your answers?

3. One of the facts that emerged from early household budget studies was that, at any given level of income, Black households had higher saving rates than white households. This seemed puzzling, because other surveys found that Black

households had *lower* levels of wealth; and that most households (white or Black) begin life with little wealth.

Suppose that

- a) White households all have the same permanent income as each other, and all Black households also have the same permanent incomes
- b) The permanent income of Black households is considerably lower than that of whites.
- c) Both groups of households are subject to similarly sized (and large) transitory shocks to income

Do some simulations of a model like this and plot the saving rates by income of the two groups. After pondering the results, explain how the Friedman PIH can explain the two apparently contradictory facts:

- a) At any given income level Black households save more
  - b) Black households end up with lower levels of wealth
4. In his 1992 State of the Union address, President George H.W. Bush said that, in order to spur consumer spending, he was instructing the IRS to reduce the rate at which income taxes were withheld from taxpayers' wages. Income tax rates were not changed, only the timing of when consumers would pay those taxes. What effect might you expect this change in withholding to have had on consumption?

## References

FRIEDMAN, MILTON A. (1957): *A Theory of the Consumption Function*. Princeton University Press.