Running head: TITLE

Anorexia Nervosa: Symptom-Related Information Alters Decision-Making Policy Despite

Corrado Caudek¹ & Ernst-August Doelle^{1,2}

Outcome Irrelevance

- ¹ Wilhelm-Wundt-University
- ² Konstanz Business School

Author Note

- Add complete departmental affiliations for each author here. Each new line herein must be indented, like this line.
- Enter author note here.

2

- The authors made the following contributions. Corrado Caudek: Conceptualization,
- $_{11}$ Writing Original Draft Preparation, Writing Review & Editing; Ernst-August Doelle:
- Writing Review & Editing, Supervision.
- Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Corrado Caudek, Postal address. E-mail: my@email.com

Abstract 15

One or two sentences providing a basic introduction to the field, comprehensible to a

scientist in any discipline.

Two to three sentences of more detailed background, comprehensible to scientists 18

in related disciplines.

One sentence clearly stating the **general problem** being addressed by this particular 20

study. 21

One sentence summarizing the main result (with the words "here we show" or their 22

equivalent).

Two or three sentences explaining what the main result reveals in direct comparison 24

to what was thought to be the case previously, or how the main result adds to previous

knowledge.

One or two sentences to put the results into a more **general context**. 27

Two or three sentences to provide a **broader perspective**, readily comprehensible to 28

a scientist in any discipline.

Keywords: keywords 30

Word count: X 31

Anorexia Nervosa: Symptom-Related Information Alters Decision-Making Policy Despite
Outcome Irrelevance

Introduction

Anorexia Nervosa (AN) is one of the most common eating disorders characterized by distorted body perception and pathological weight loss, particularly in its restricting type (R-AN) (American Psychiatric Association, 2022). Lifetime prevalence for AN has been reported at 1.4% for women and 0.2% for men (Galmiche, Déchelotte, Lambert, & Tavolacci, 2019; Smink, Hoeken, & Hoek, 2013), with a mortality rate that can be as high as 5–20% (Qian et al., 2022). Treating AN is extremely challenging, highlighting the importance of gaining a deeper understanding of its underlying mechanisms (Chang, Delgadillo, & Waller, 2021).

- Dysfunctional executive processes, including impairments in cognitive inflexibility (Wu et al., 2014), decision-making (Guillaume et al., 2015), and inhibitory control (Bartholdy, Dalton, O'Daly, Campbell, & Schmidt, 2016), have been proposed as potential risk and maintaining factors for AN. Among these processes, associative learning within the framework of Reinforcement Learning (RL) has received the greatest attention.
- It is well-known that AN shows dysfunction reward processing, with reduced subjective reward sensitivity and decreased neural response to rewarding stimuli. Additionally, the processing of aversive stimuli may be also disrupted in AN, leading to elevated harm avoidance, intolerance of uncertainty, anxiety, and oversensitivity to punishment (Fladung, Schulze, Schöll, Bauer, & Groen, 2013; Jappe et al., 2011; Keating, Tilbrook, Rossell, Enticott, & Fitzgerald, 2012; O'Hara, Campbell, & Schmidt, 2015). These factors can contribute to an altered response to negative feedback and a bias towards avoiding aversive outcomes (Jonker, Glashouwer, & Jong, 2022; Matton, Goossens, Braet, & Vervaet, 2013). Neuroimaging studies provide evidence of neural dysfunction in AN's response to loss and aversive taste (Bischoff-Grethe et al., 2013; Monteleone et al., 2017; Wagner et al., 2007).

The existing research on reward sensitivity in AN provides valuable insights into how individuals respond to reward and punishment. However, there is a notable lack of evidence regarding potential abnormalities specifically in reinforcement learning processes related to both reward and punishment (Bernardoni et al., 2018; Foerde et al., 2021; Foerde & Steinglass, 2017). Understanding these processes is crucial because the persistent dietary restriction observed in R-AN, despite negative consequences, may be partially attributed to anomalies in the ability to learn from experience, that is, in reinforcement learning processes (Bischoff-Grethe et al., 2013; Glashouwer, Bloot, Veenstra, Franken, & Jong, 2014; Harrison, Genders, Davies, Treasure, & Tchanturia, 2011; Jappe et al., 2011; Matton et al., 2013).

We propose that the inconsistent evidence regarding reinforcement learning
abnormalities in AN may be partially attributed to the assumption that reinforcement
learning is a unitary process that operates uniformly in all conditions. According to such
assumption, anomalies in AN concerning RL should be attributed to deficits in this
underlying unitary learning process (ref).

However, an alternative perspective suggests that atypical reinforcement learning
behavior in AN may be attributed to the influence of contextual factors (Haynos, Widge,
Anderson, & Redish, 2022). According to this hypothesis, contextual factors, including
personal characteristics, long-term goals, and situational influences, can have a negative
impact on performance in a reinforcement learning task, even if they are not directly related
to the task outcome (Caudek, Sica, Cerea, Colpizzi, & Stendardi, 2021). Individuals with
AN may be particularly susceptible to these factors, which can encompass symptom-related
information such as food, body weight, and social pressure [ref].

This study aims to investigate whether decision-making in AN can be influenced by
extraneous contextual factors, even in the absence of any deficit in the underlying RL
mechanisms (Haynos et al., 2022). To this purpose, in the present study we utilized a
probabilistic associative learning task for comparing RL performance of three groups:

individuals with DSM-5 restricting-type AN, healthy controls (HCs), and individuals at risk
of developing eating disorders (RIs). The primary focus of our study was to utilize
computational models of reinforcement learning to analyze and discern variations in learning
outcomes within two distinct contextual conditions: decision-making tasks related to food
and those unrelated to food. We posited that the disparities in RL between AN patients and
the other two control groups can emerge in the food-related decision-making context, even
when no group differences are found in the food-unrelated context. To the best of our
knowledge, no prior study has directly compared performance in neutral and food-related
conditions for the same patients using a computational approach to RL performance.

Evidence of contextual factors on RL learning in AN

94 TODO

The hypothesis of maladaptive associative learning is theoretically appealing, as it offers potential for treatment, but the evidence to support it is inconsistent (for a recent discussion, see Caudek et al., 2021).

The aim of this study is to add to the existing research by investigating if individuals with eating disorders can display impaired decision-making despite having normal cognitive decision-making skills. Specifically, we will ask whether *task-irrelevant* symptom-related information can negatively impact decision-making in EDs, potentially indicating that disordered eating may not stem from deficient decision-making abilities, but rather from external factors like long-term goals, personality traits, etc. affecting their choices. The potential translational impact of this result would be noteworthy, when considering that ...

RL is the ability to infer causal associations between actions and outcomes in a trial-and-error manner. Learning the consequences of past actions is usually studied in the laboratory with a 2-armed bandit task, where a decision maker is presented with two options. One option has a higher likelihood of winning. The participant must learn which choice will

yield the highest reward.

In the 2-armed bandit task, the optimal policy for maximizing long-term rewards is 110 based solely on the history of actions and outcomes. Recent research has shown, however, 111 that human reinforcement learning can be impacted by features unrelated to the outcomes. 112 For instance, a study by Shahar et al. (2019) explored the impact of spatial-motor 113 associations on participant reinforcement learning. Optimal decision making should prioritize 114 the reward regardless of any spatial-motor associations (such as the choice of response key in 115 the previous trial). Instead, Shahar et al. (2019) found that rewards had a greater impact on 116 the probability of selecting one of two images presented in each trial when the chosen image 117 was linked to the same response key in both the n-1 and n trials. This demonstrates that, 118 in the general population, decision making can be influenced by features that have no 119 relation to the outcomes (see also Ben-Artzi, Luria, & Shahar, 2022). 120

The evidence that outcome-irrelevant factors impact action value-updating raises the possibility of reevaluating prior reinforcement learning results in EDs. Therefore, subpar decision-making in eating disorders may be linked to these factors rather than being solely considered as a deficit. This external influence could partially account for the inconsistent findings of abnormal decision-making in some ED studies but not in others (for a discussion, see Caudek et al., 2021).

The hypothesis that motivates the present study is that AN patients, due to their strict weight control behavior and emphasis on long-term thinness, and BN patients, due to their impulsivity, will be impacted by interference in their decision-making when faced with a 2-bandit task between a food or non-food item. This suggests that long-term goals in AN or temperamental factors in BN can influence their decision-making process when food is present in the task but outcome-irrelevant, even in the absence of any decision-making deficits (see also Haynos et al., 2022).

Two predictions are made regarding the influence of outcome-irrelevant features on 134 PRL performance. Firstly, we anticipate that both ED patients and healthy controls will 135 process food information with more caution compared to neutral information (the 136 domain-specific cognitive load hypothesis, H1). This outcome, which has not been observed 137 in previous PRL studies, aligns with prior research that has shown differences in attention 138 and cognitive control for food and non-food information in other tasks. (e.q., Schiff, Testa, 139 Rusconi, Angeli, & Mapelli, 2021). Secondly and more significantly, we expect a decline in 140 the learning rate due to symptom-related interference caused by disease-specific information 141 that is outcome-irrelevant (the domain-specific policy hypothesis, H2). 142

143 Implications for treatment

The hypothesis of maladaptive RL in AN has potential implications for treatment. For 144 example, Cognitive Remediation Therapy (CRT) has been proposed as an adjunct treatment 145 targeting specific cognitive processes in AN and other eating disorders. CRT involves 146 cognitive exercises and behavioral interventions aimed at increasing central coherence 147 abilities, reducing cognitive and behavioral inflexibility, and enhancing thinking style 148 comprehension (Tchanturia et al., 2010). A key aspect of CRT is to avoid discussing 149 symptom-related themes and instead use neutral stimuli in cognitive and behavioral 150 exercises. This approach aims to develop a therapeutic alliance and to decrease drop-out 151 rates, particularly with AN patients. 152

However, recent evidence shows that CRT may not consistently improve central coherence abilities, cognitive flexibility, or ED-related symptoms (Hagan et al., 2020;
Tchanturia et al., 2017). Trapp et al. (2022) have proposed improvements to address practical issues encountered in CRT application, questioning the use of neutral stimuli and drawing support from Beck's cognitive theory of depression (Beck et al., 1987). This proposal aligns with the present hypothesis that maladaptive eating behavior in AN may be influenced by contextual factors rather than solely deficits in the RL mechanism. Confirming

the hypothesis of contextual learning would therefore have significant treatment implications.

161 Methods

We report how we determined our sample size, all data exclusions (if any), all manipulations, and all measures in the study.

164 Participants

The final sample consists of 69 female outpatients (acAN N = 40, recAN N = 10, acBN 165 N = 13, recBN = 6) and 222 healthy female controls (HCs). Outpatients met Diagnostic and 166 Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-5 (DSM-5) (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) 167 criteria for AN or BN. They were recruited from the Specchidacqua Institute, Montecatini 168 (PT), Italy, specialized in Eating Disorders. Eligibility was evaluated by the Mental Health 169 professionals of the Institute, the exclusion criteria were having neurological illness, suicidal 170 ideation, alcohol or drug addiction, or psychosis. The acAN (mean age = 20.5 years, SD = 171 1.13) and acBN (mean age = 23.15 years, SD = 1.87) participants were admitted to 172 psychological treatment at Specchidacqua Institute, 45% of them were also taking 173 antidepressant medication (SSRI), and 38% reported comorbidity with other psychiatric 174 illnesses (22% anxiety disorders, 20% obsessive-compulsive disorder, 9% mood disorders). 175 Mean Body Mass Index was considerable lower for acAN patients (BMI mean = 18.29kg/m^2) 176) then acBN (BMI mean = 24.84kg/m²). Recovered outpatients were recruited from the 177 Gruber Residence, Bologna (BO), Italy. To be included in the recovered group, recAN (mean 178 age = 24.1 years, SD = 1.8) and recBN (mean age = 29.3 years, SD = 2.5) outpatients had 179 to (a) not being seriously underweight $(BMI \ge 18.5 \text{ kg/m2})$, (b) not engage in dysfunctional eating behaviors (e.q., restrictive diet or binging/purging) for at least 6 181 months, and (c) being adherent to the psychological treatment. HC participants were 182 recruited from undergraduate psychology courses at the University of Florence, Italy, or via 183 social networks. To be included in the HC group, participants had to have a normal Body 184 Mass Index (BMI mean = 21.29 kg/m²), have no history of psychiatric illness, and have no 185

diagnosis of Eating Disorders, according to the Eating Attitudes Test-26 [EAT-26; Garner, Olmsted, Bohr, and Garfinkel (1982), Dotti and Lazzari (1998)] score (EAT-26 < 20).

However, 28 out of 222 participants exceeded the EAT-26 cut-off (EAT-26 > 20), meaning the presence of a tendency to eating symptoms. Therefore, the final HC group was composed by 194 participants (mean age = 21.5 years, SD = 0.23), and the other 28 were classified as at-risk participants (mean age = 21.28 years, SD = 0.55). All participants were caucasian, right-handed, and were na very to the aim of the study.

193 Material

Clinical and Demographic Measurements. The Eating Attitude Test-26 194 (EAT-26, Garner et al., 1982) consists of 26 items assessing levels and types of eating 195 disturbances in the past three mouths. The EAT-26 is characterized by three subscales: the 196 Dieting Scale, the Bulimia and Food Preoccupation Scale and the Oral Control Scale. Scores 197 ≥ 20 point out the presence of an eating disorder. Respondents are required to rate intensity 198 associated with the items on a 6-point Likert scale (0 = never, rarely, sometimes; 3 =190 always). The Italian version of the EAT-26 demonstrated good psychometric properties 200 (Dotti & Lazzari, 1998). In fact, Cronbach's alpha was high in an undergraduate sample for 201 the Dieting scale (.87), for Bulimia and Food Preoccupation scale (.70), for Oral Control 202 Scale (.62). Cronbach's alpha for the total scores was 0.86. 203

The Body Shape Questionnaire-14 [BSQ-14; Dowson and Henderson (2001)] is a 14-item self-report scale assessing the global body satisfaction in the past two weeks. Respondents are required to rate intensity of concerns about own appearance associated with the items on a 6-point Likert scale (1 = never, 6 = always). The Italian version of the BSQ-14 demonstrated good psychometric properties (Matera, Nerini, & Stefanile, 2013). In the present sample, $\omega = 0.978$. For the 40-item BSQ, a score below 80 is considered "no concern", a score of 80 to 110 is considered "slight concern", a score of 111 to 140 is considered "moderate concern", and a score above 140 is considered "marked concern".

The Social Interaction Anxiety Scale [SIAS; Mattick and Clarke (1998)] is a 20-item 212 self-report questionnaire assessing social interaction anxiety. Respondents are required to 213 rate intensity associated with the items on a 4-point Likert scale from 0 (not at all true) to 4 214 (extremely true). Higher scores denote greater social interaction anxiety levels. Both original 215 version and the Italian version (Sica, Musoni, Bisi, Lolli, & Sighinolfi, 2007) show acceptable 216 psychometric properties (in the present sample $\omega = 0.938$). Heimberg, Mueller, Holt, Hope, 217 and Liebowitz (1992) have suggested a cut-off of 34 on the 20-item SIAS to denote a clinical 218 level of social anxiety (32.3 for the Italian 19 item version). 219

The Depression Anxiety Stress Scale-21 [DASS-21; Lovibond and Lovibond (1995)] is a 21-item self-report measure assessing depression, anxiety, and stress over the previous week. Items are rated on a 4-point scale ranging from 0 (did not apply to me at all) to 3 (applied to me very much). Both the original and the Italian version (Bottesi et al., 2015) demonstrate adequate reliability. In the present sample $\omega_{\text{anxiety}} = 0.875$, $\omega_{\text{depression}} = 0.914$, $\omega_{\text{stress}} = 0.899$; for the total scale, $\omega = 0.945$.

The Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale [RSES; Rosenberg (1965)] is a 10-item scale designed to assess person's overall self-esteem. It comprises five straightforwardly worded and five reverse-worded items each rated on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 4 (strongly agree) to 1 (strongly disagree). Increased values indicate increased self-esteem. In the present sample, $\omega = 0.949$.

The Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale [MPS-F; Frost, Marten, Lahart, and Rosenblate (1990)] is a 35-item assessing perfectionism tendencies. According to Stöber (1998), MPS-F is composed of four underlying factors: Concerns over Mistakes and Doubts (CMD), Parental Expectations and Criticism (PEC), Personal Standards (PS), and Organization (O). Both the original MPS-F and the Italian version (Lombardo, 2008) demonstrate adequate reliability. In the present sample, $\omega_{\text{CMD}} = 0.919$, $\omega_{\text{PS}} = 0.851$, $\omega_{\text{PEPC}} = 0.946$, $\omega_{\text{OR}} = 0.931$; for the total scale, $\omega = 0.932$.

Height and weight were measured with a stadiometer and a digital scale, respectively.
Estimated IQ was assessed with the Progressive Raven's Matrices Intelligence test.

• Procedure

The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the University of Florence, and 241 was run in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Each eligible participant signed the 242 informed consent and agreed to be part of the study. Both the HCs group and the patients 243 group completed the same tasks. Data collection started in December, 2020 until June, 2022. 244 We have to deal with COVID-19 restrictions for the most of the time. Thus, we collected 245 data from HCs remotely: we recruited HCs participants by means of social networks or 246 advertisements at the University. Interested people contacted us using the email on the 247 advertisement, then we send them the informed consent, which they had to sign and send it 248 back to us. Individuals that signed the informant consent were tested for eligibility using 249 self-reported measures. Participants who met the inclusion criteria for HCs group, received 250 instructions via email and completed the PRL task remotely. After completing the task, 251 participants had to notify us, so that we can check the correct registration of data. On the contrary, data collection for the clinical group was in person. We enrolled only eligible patients, selected by the mental health professionals of the Institute. We scheduled two 254 meeting per participants at the Specchidacqua Institute, Montecatini (PT), Italy. 255 On the first session, participants signed the informed consent form and completed a 256 battery of self-report questionnaires. On the second session, participants were asked to 257 complete the PRL task. Data collection required overall 1 hour of their time. 258

Participants completed a reinforcement learning bandit task in two conditions: neutral (two neutral images on each trial) and symptom-specific (a symptom-specific and a neutral image on each trial). This design allowed us to examine outcome-irrelevant learning associated to a symptom-specific context.

Participants completed a total of 2 blocks of the reinforcement learning task. Each block included a different set of image stimuli and had XX trials. Participants did not received any bonus at the end of the task based on their performance.

For measuring cognitive flexibility, participants completed a computerized Probabilistic 266 Reversal Learning (PRL) task. There were two blocks of trials including 160 trials each. In 267 one of the two blocks a neutral image (e.q., a lamp) and a symptom-related image (i.e., a lamp)268 piece of cake) were shown together, to test the domain-specificity hypothesis Caudek, Sica, 269 Marchetti, Colpizzi, & Stendardi, 2020). The other block included neutral images only, as a 270 control task. In both blocks we asked participants to choose one of two stimuli presented 271 simultaneously on the left and right side of the center of a screen and made their choice with 272 a keypress. They had 3s response time per trial. An image of a euro coin was provided as a 273 reward and a strikethrough image of a euro coin as a punishment. Feedback was presented 274 for 2 s. The PRL comprises four epochs (e.q., a sequence of trials in which the same image 275 was considered correct) of 40 trials each. The feedback was probabilistic, which means that 276 for each epoch the correct image was rewarded in the 70% of the cases, whereas on 30% of 277 the trials participants received a negative feedback. As a consequence, the other image 278 provided no-reward 70% of the time. Both blocks consisted of three rule changes (reversal phase). Participants' aim was to earn as much money as possible. They were informed that the stimulus-reward contingencies would change, but they were not told how or when it would happen. Total reward earned was shown at the end of each block. The experiment 282 was controlled by Psytoolkit. 283

284 Data analysis

Credible effects were revealed by 95% credible intervals or by 97.5% of posterior samples falling above or below 0 when computing proportion of posterior in direction of effect.

288 Results

89 Quality Control

Trials were excluded for extreme RTs (<150 ms, >2500 ms), or if the remaining (log transformed) RT exceeded the participant's mean ± 3S.D. Participants' datasets were excluded if, in any block, there were more than 20 RT outliers, fewer than 24 rich or 7 lean rewards, a rich-to-lean reward ratio lower than 2.5, or lower than 40% correct accuracy. In Study 1, 258 depressed adults and 36 controls passed the QC criteria. Study 2 data are from participants who passed these QC checks.

296 Demographic and Psychopathology Measures

₉₇ Estimating outcome-irrelevant learning

Spatial-motor associations. We start by examining the presence of spatial-motor 298 connections in the participants' choices. We successfully replicated the findings of Shahar et 299 al. (2019) and Ben-Artzi et al. (2022). Our results showed robust evidence for spatial-motor outcome-irrelevant learning: the probability of choosing 'stay' was higher for 'same' (.427) 301 compared to 'flipped' (.218) response/key mapping when comparing previously rewarded 302 versus unrewarded responses (posterior $\beta = 0.93$, SE = 0.06, $HDI_{.95} = [0.81, 1.06]$; 303 probability of direction (pd) 1.0; 0% in ROPE (-0.10, 0.10) and Bayes Factor (BF) of > 100304 against the null; Fig. 1). There was no group (HC, AN, BN, RI) \times previous outcome \times 305 mapping interaction (see Supplementary Materials). 306

Reinforcement learning and drift diffusion modeling

To model the two-choice decision (between image A and image B) over time in the
PRL task, we used a hierarchical reinforcement learning drift diffusion model (RLDDM), as
described in Pedersen, Frank, and Biele (2017) and Pedersen and Frank (2020). The
RLDDM was estimated in a hierarchical Bayesian framework using the HDDMr1 module of
the HDDM (version 0.9.7) Python package (Fengler et al., 2021; Wiecki et al., 2013).

By breaking down decision-making task performance into its component processes 313 through cognitive modeling analysis, it becomes possible to identify any deviances in the 314 underlying mechanisms that may not be reflected in the overall task outcome. RLDDM has 315 six basic parameters: positive learning rate $(alpha^+)$, negative learning rate $(alpha^-)$, drift 316 rate (v), decision threshold (a), non-decision time (t), and starting point bias (z) parameters. 317 The α parameter quantifies the learning rate in the Rescorla-Wagner delta learning rule 318 (Rescorla, 1972); a higher learning rate results in rapid adaptation to reward expectations, 319 while a lower learning rate results in slow adaptation. The parameter α^+ is computed from 320 reinforcements, whereas α^+ is computed from punishments. The drift rate v is the average 321 speed of evidence accumulation toward one decision. The decision boundary is the distance 322 between two decision thresholds; an increase of a increases the evidence needed to make a 323 decision. The increase of a leads to a slower but more accurate decision; a decrease in aresults in a faster but error-prone decision. The non-decision time t is the time spent for 325 stimuli encoding or motor execution (i.e., time not used for evidence accumulation). The 326 starting point parameter z captures a potential initial bias toward one or the other boundary 327 in absence of any stimulus evidence. 328

To test the interference of disease-related information on the decision process, we built
linear models over each RLDDM parameter. We compared models in which we conditioned
either none, each or all model's parameters on diagnostic category (group) and image
category (neutral, symptom-related). For each model, we computed the Deviance
Information Criterion (DIC) and we selected the model with the best trade-off between the
fit quality and model complexity (i.e., the model with the lowest DIC).

The following models were examined. Model M1 is a standard RLDDM. Model M2 extends M1 by incorporating separate learning rates for positive and negative reinforcements.

In Model M3, the α^+ and α^- parameters are based on the diagnostic group. In Model M4, the α^+ and α^- parameters of M3 are conditioned on both diagnostic group and image

category (two neutral images, or one neutral and one symptom-related image). Model M5 339 expands upon M4 by considering that the a parameter may be influenced by both diagnostic 340 group and image category. Model M6 extends M5 by taking into account the possible 341 influence of diagnostic group and image category on the v parameter. Model M7 builds upon 342 M6 by considering that the t parameter may depend on both diagnostic group and image 343 category. Finally, Model M8 adds to Model M7 the estimation of a potential bias in the z 344 parameter. All models were estimated with Bayesian methods using weakly informative 345 priors. The winning RLDDM (with lowest DIC) is M7. In the Model M7, the parameters α^+ , α^- , a, v, t (but not z) are conditioned on both diagnostic group and image category.

Model	DIC	
M1	103209.264	
M2	101590.157	
М3	101613.877	
M4	99133.675	
M5	96150.581	
M6	95434.070	
M7	92808.856	
M8	93157.611	

Convergence of Bayesian model parameters was assessed via the Gelman-Rubin statistic. All parameters had \hat{R} below 1.1 (max = 1.062, mean = 1.002), which does not suggest convergence issues.

To gauge the impact of outcome-irrelevant image category on decision-making, we
contrasted the difference in posterior estimates of the RLDDM parameters between the
neutral and symptom-related image conditions within each diagnostic group. As predicted
by Hypothesis H1, the decision threshold (a) was found to be greater for food information

than for neutral information: HC, $p(a_{\text{food}} < a_{\text{neutral}}) = .0002$; AN, $p(a_{\text{food}} < a_{\text{neutral}}) = .0026$; BN, $p(a_{\text{food}} < a_{\text{neutral}}) = .0140$; RI, $p(a_{\text{food}} < a_{\text{neutral}}) = .0139$]. Posterior parameters estimates, standard deviation, and 95% credibility intervals are shown in the following table.

Parameter	Posterior estimate (SD)	95% CI
a(AN food)	1.415 (0.039)	1.339, 1.491
a(AN neutral)	1.260 (0.038)	1.186, 1.334
a(BN food)	1.440 (0.066)	1.309, 1.567
a(BN neutral)	1.229 (0.072)	1.086, 1.368
a(HC food)	1.340 (0.016)	1.308, 1.371
a(HC neutral)	1.258 (0.016)	1.226, 1.291
a(RI food)	1.389 (0.039)	1.312, 1.463
a(RI neutral)	1.264 (0.042)	1.183, 1.345

As expected by Hypothesis H2, our findings indicate that compared to neutral outcome-irrelevant information, decision-making regarding food information resulted in a lower estimate of the learning rate, but only for the AN group when evaluating reward-based learning, $\alpha^+ = 0.144$ (SD = 0.092), $\alpha^+ = 0.759$ (SD = 0.142), $p(\alpha_{\text{food}}^+ > \alpha_{\text{neutral}}^+) = 0.0013$, Δ score on a logit scale = 2.939, 95% CI [0.870, 4.975]. No other credible differences were found regarding Hypothesis H2 (see the Supplementary Material for details).

Biased choices

To determine if the subpar performance of AN patients in the RL task was due to a bias towards non-food choices (independent of past action-outcome history), we examined the frequency of food choices in the PRL blocks where a food image was paired with a neutral image. As anticipated based on Hypothesis H1, a bias against the food image was observed: proportion of food choices = 0.484, 95% CI [0.477, 0.492]. However, no

group-specific bias was detected, as evidenced by the following three comparisons: AN - HC: prop = -0.002, 95% CI [-0.029, 0.026]; BN - HC: prop = 0.015, 95% CI [-0.029, 0.056]; BN - AN: prop = 0.017, 95% CI [-0.035, 0.064]; RI- HC: prop = -0.007, 95% CI [-0.031, 0.016].

73 Comorbidity

Individuals with eating disorders often have comorbid psychiatric conditions, including 374 depression (up to 75%), bipolar disorder (10%), anxiety disorders, obsessive-compulsive 375 disorder (40%), panic disorder (11%), social anxiety disorder/social phobia, post-traumatic 376 stress disorder (prevalence varies with eating disorder), and substance abuse (15-40%) – see Woodside and Staab (2006) for further details. In this study, we included patients with comorbidities in our sample in order to increase the generalizability of our findings to the broader psychiatric population: 16 patients in the AN group were diagnosed with comorbid 380 anxiety disorder, 8 with OCD, 1 with social phobia, and 1 with DAP; in the BN group, 4 381 patients were diagnosed with mood disorder and 1 with OCD. Comorbid diagnoses were 382 determined using the DSM-V criteria during psychiatric evaluations spanning a minimum of 383 one year, while the absence of comorbidities was evaluated using the same methods within a 384 comparable timeframe. To determine if the lower learning rate observed in the AN group 385 could be due to comorbidity, we utilized model M7 on the patient data by separating 386 patients into groups with and without comorbid conditions. No credible differences were 387 identified in the parameters of the models between patients with and without comorbid 388 conditions (see Supplementary Materials for additional information).

390 Discussion

There is a growing consensus that the reward and punishment processes in AN are not a generic process, but instead are influenced by complex interactions between various stimulus properties (such as the type of reward/punishment cue) and contextual factors [such as long-term objectives, personality traits, temperamental dispositions, and physiological states like hunger, etc.). A recent comprehensive review by Haynos, Lavender,

Nelson, Crow, and Peterson (2020) showed that the manner in which AN patients perceive their experiences as rewarding or punishing is influenced by factors such as the degree of predictability, controllability, immediacy, and effort. For example, behaviors associated with AN that are predictable, controllable, and immediate (such as calorie counting or purging) may become rewarding to the individual, providing a sense of control and accomplishment.

On the other hand, behaviors that are unpredictable and uncontrollable (such as social outcomes) may be perceived as punishing, increasing anxiety and distress.

Most of these previous studies have mainly explored the subjective value assigned to various experiences by AN patients, which can be perceived as either rewarding or punishing, despite not inherently having these properties. In contrast, the current study examine the effect of contextual factors on the learning mechanism that blends past experiences of clearly defined reward and punishment.

The purpose of this study was to examine the impact of symptom-related information 408 (irrelevant to the task outcome) on the performance of AN and BN patients in an associative 409 learning task. Previous research has shown that outcome-irrelevant information can 410 negatively impact reward learning in the general population. Here, we replicated the findings 411 of Shahar et al. (2019) that image/effector response mapping influences associative learning 412 in a PRL task when only image identity predicts the reward, in all our groups of HCs, AN 413 patients, BN patients, and RI patients. More notably, we discovered that AN patients had a 414 slower learning rate from rewards when image identity provided food information. This was shown by a decrease in the α^+ parameter (which measures the rate of learning from positive 416 feedback) of the RLDDM model, compared to HCs (Pedersen & Frank, 2020). Instead, when 417 image identity was unrelated to food, there was no difference in the rate of value update 418 between AN patients and HCs. 419

We also found that AN patients demonstrated a slower rate of learning from positive feedback when food information was provided through image identity, compared to BN

patients. Conversely, no significant differences were observed when the image identity was unrelated to food. These findings replicate previous reports that AN and BN patients exhibit divergent anomalies in decision making (e.g., Chan et al., 2014), but also emphasize that these variations are more pronounced when considering the processing of information related to the condition.

The present results are relevant for the current debate on the role of maladaptive 427 reward and punishment processing in AN. Current theories propose that AN is characterized 428 by a combination of reduced sensitivity to reward and increased sensitivity to punishment, 429 leading to an imbalance in reward processing. This imbalance is thought to result in decreased interest in food rewards and increased control over food intake, contributing to the persistence of AN symptoms. Additionally, heightened punishment sensitivity may 432 contribute to AN by promoting avoidance of food and weight gain, which may be perceived 433 as aversive. However, as Haynos et al. (2020) points out, such characterization of AN as 434 having distorted reward and punishment processing, which is a domain-general description, 435 is inadequate because it does not consider the differences in response depending on the 436 particular characteristics of the cues involved. In their literature review, Haynos et al. (2020) 437 show that current evidence does not indicate a universal shortfall in AN reward and 438 punishment processing. Rather, there seem to be an inappropriate interpretation of what 439 constitutes a reward or punishment in various contexts and for different stimuli and 440 decisions. Behaviors that initially may not be considered rewards or punishments can 441 eventually become associated with either positive or negative reactions, leading them to 442 serve as a form of reward or punishment. 443

For instance, Haynos et al. (2020) posits that restrictive eating cues, a precursor of AN,
can be linked to reward responses in AN. This hypothesis is supported by ecological
momentary assessment (EMA) studies that examine affective patterns in relation to
disordered eating. These studies have shown higher positive affect and lower negative affect

before, during, and after restrictive eating episodes in AN compared to normal meals (Fitzsimmons-Craft et al., 2015) and subsequent reductions in guilt in AN and increased 440 self-assurance for individuals with AN-R (Haynos et al., 2017). These findings indicate that 450 restrictive eating is linked to desirable emotional outcomes in AN and, thus, can be 451 understood as rewarding. Although decreased sensitivity to reward in AN has been 452 documented in some contexts, such as individuals with AN scoring lower on 453 sensation-seeking measures that gauge reactions to immediate novel rewards compared to 454 healthy individuals and those with bulimia nervosa (BN) or binge eating disorder (BED; 455 Matton, Goossens, Vervaet, & Braet, 2015; Rotella et al., 2018), this does not indicate that a 456 reduced sensitivity to reward is evident across all contexts. For instance, the rewarding 457 nature of restrictive eating is not reflected in this reduced sensitivity. The review by Haynos 458 et al. (2020) offers several additional examples of cues, contexts, or decisions that may only be associated with reward or punishment if they are viewed in the context of the ultimate objectives of AN (i.e., thinness). This way of thinking is very much in line with the present results. What the present study adds to this previous theoretical proposal is that previous 462 evidence of domain-specificity of reward and punishment processing in AN have only been 463 provided in an indirect form, that is, in terms of the re-interpretation of cues and consequences of actions in the context of an overarching long-term goal; instead, the present 465 study, for the first time, addresses this issue in a direct manner within the context of 466 associative learning in which reward and punishment are direct consequences of choices. 467

Other recent studies have examined the issue of the domain-specificity of maladaptive associative learning in eating disorders. One task that has been specifically devised for this purpose is the two-step Markov decision task, which differentiates between automatic or habitual (model-free) and controlled or goal-directed (model-based) learning. For example, Foerde et al. (2021) and Onysk and Seriès (2022) both conducted similar experiments using this task, with Foerde et al. (2021) comparing a monetary two-step task and a food two-step task, and Onysk and Seriès (2022) using stimuli unrelated to food or body images (pirate

ships and treasure chests) with rewards associated with body image dissatisfaction. The results of these experiments showed that individuals with AN displayed a stronger preference 476 for habitual control over goal-directed control across domains compared to healthy controls, 477 but there were no differences in the learning rate. However, the primary aim of the two-step 478 experiments was to determine whether the participants' decision-making strategy was 479 influenced by the context or solely based on the previous feedback received, regardless of the 480 context. The results showed that AN patients had difficulty adapting to changing contexts 481 compared to healthy controls (HCs). Furthermore, the experiments did not reveal any 482 differences in the impact of the context (food-related or neutral) on decision making in AN. 483 More importantly, the two-step task did not uncover any difference in the learning rate of 484 AN patients compared to healthy controls (HCs), as a function of the context. In contrast, 485 our results indicate that the learning process itself, particularly the rate at which values are updated, is influenced by information related to the disease, even when such information is 487 not relevant to the outcome.

From a translational perspective, our findings suggest that, at the stage of the disease 489 currently examined, AN patients exhibit maladaptive learning only in certain contexts, and 490 this appears to be influenced by extraneous variables. This is particularly evident in the 491 current study, where the experimental variable (the image identity in the PRL task) has no 492 bearing on the outcome. These results imply that clinical interventions at the present stage 493 of the disease should not concentrate on fixing a seemingly faulty associative learning 494 mechanism. Instead, attention should be directed towards reducing the influence of 495 disruptive factors that hinder the performance of intact associative learning capabilities. 496

There remain questions for future research. (1) For example, we used images of a one euro coin or a barred representation of a one euro coin to symbolize rewards and punishments, respectively. But such rewards and punishments are only symbolic and the question remains as to what happens when the rewards and punishments are concrete and

not symbolic. Yet, these rewards and punishments were merely symbolic, and the question 501 remains as to what happens when the rewards and punishments are actual and not symbolic. 502 Moreover, the subjective value of one euro, or the loss of one euro, is not constant for all 503 participants. Furthermore, the subjective worth of one euro or the loss of one euro is not 504 uniform across all participants. Determining the equivalence of subjective values for rewards 505 and punishments could be a worthwhile objective for future studies. (2) Our study only 506 included AN patients who were not in the most severe stage of the illness, as they were 507 recruited from a center for individuals seeking voluntary medical and psychological support. 508 We did not consider AN patients who are hospitalized due to the life-threatening nature of 509 their illness. It is possible that at the later stage of the illness, the associative learning 510 abilities, which were shown to be preserved in the present sample under neutral conditions, 511 may become impaired. (3) We observed no difference in the choice behavior of AN patients (as measured by relative frequency of image choices) when they were asked to select between 513 a neutral image and a food image. However, when compared to the situation where they had 514 to choose between two neutral images, this condition did result in a slower learning rate and 515 lower decision threshold for AN patients, as compared to healthy controls, according to the 516 RLDDM model. It is possible that the higher "salience" of food images compared to neutral 517 images may be better captured by other measures, such as fixation length or number of 518 fixations, rather than just by the relative frequency of image choices. This could be a topic 519 for future exploration. (4) In our study, we excluded women under the age of 18. However, 520 this age range is a critical period, as the onset of AN during this stage may have a more 521 profound impact on associative learning, given that cognitive development is ongoing and 522 protective factors are less developed. Future studies should take this into consideration. 523

References

```
American Psychiatric Association. (2022). Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental
```

- 526 Disorders (5th ed., Text Revision). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.
- Bartholdy, S., Dalton, B., O'Daly, O. G., Campbell, I. C., & Schmidt, U. (2016). A
- systematic review of the relationship between eating, weight and inhibitory control using
- the stop signal task. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 64, 35–62.
- Ben-Artzi, I., Luria, R., & Shahar, N. (2022). Working memory capacity estimates moderate
- value learning for outcome-irrelevant features. Scientific Reports, 12(1), 1–10.
- Bernardoni, F., King, J. A., Geisler, D., Birkenstock, J., Tam, F. I., Weidner, K., ...
- Ehrlich, S. (2018). Nutritional status affects cortical folding: Lessons learned from
- Anorexia Nervosa. *Biological Psychiatry*, 84 (9), 692–701.
- Bischoff-Grethe, A., McCurdy, D., Grenesko-Stevens, E., Irvine, L. E. Z., Wagner, A., Yau,
- W.-Y. W., et al. others. (2013). Altered brain response to reward and punishment in
- adolescents with anorexia nervosa. Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging, 214(3), 331–340.
- Bottesi, G., Ghisi, M., Altoè, G., Conforti, E., Melli, G., & Sica, C. (2015). The Italian
- version of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales-21: Factor structure and psychometric
- properties on community and clinical samples. Comprehensive Psychiatry, 60, 170–181.
- ⁵⁴¹ Caudek, C., Sica, C., Cerea, S., Colpizzi, I., & Stendardi, D. (2021). Susceptibility to eating
- disorders is associated with cognitive inflexibility in female university students. Journal
- of Behavioral and Cognitive Therapy, 31(4), 317–328.
- ⁵⁴⁴ Caudek, C., Sica, C., Marchetti, I., Colpizzi, I., & Stendardi, D. (2020). Cognitive
- inflexibility specificity for individuals with high levels of obsessive-compulsive symptoms.
- Journal of Behavioral and Cognitive Therapy, 30(2), 103–113.
- Chan, T. W. S., Ahn, W.-Y., Bates, J. E., Busemeyer, J. R., Guillaume, S., Redgrave, G.
- W., ... Courtet, P. (2014). Differential impairments underlying decision making in
- anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa: A cognitive modeling analysis. *International*
- Journal of Eating Disorders, 47(2), 157–167.

- ⁵⁵¹ Chang, P. G., Delgadillo, J., & Waller, G. (2021). Early response to psychological treatment
- for eating disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Clinical Psychology Review,
- *86*, 102032.
- Dotti, A., & Lazzari, R. (1998). Validation and reliability of the italian EAT-26. Eating and
- Weight Disorders-Studies on Anorexia, Bulimia and Obesity, 3(4), 188–194.
- Dowson, J., & Henderson, L. (2001). The validity of a short version of the Body Shape
- Questionnaire. Psychiatry Research, 102(3), 263–271.
- Fladung, A.-K., Schulze, U. M., Schöll, F., Bauer, K., & Groen, G. (2013). Role of the
- ventral striatum in developing anorexia nervosa. Translational Psychiatry, 3(10),
- e315-e315.
- 561 Foerde, K., Daw, N. D., Rufin, T., Walsh, B. T., Shohamy, D., & Steinglass, J. E. (2021).
- Deficient goal-directed control in a population characterized by extreme goal pursuit.
- Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 33(3), 463–481.
- Foerde, K., & Steinglass, J. E. (2017). Decreased feedback learning in anorexia nervosa
- persists after weight restoration. International Journal of Eating Disorders, 50(4),
- 415-423.
- Frost, R. O., Marten, P., Lahart, C., & Rosenblate, R. (1990). The dimensions of
- perfectionism. Cognitive Therapy and Research, 14(5), 449–468.
- Galmiche, M., Déchelotte, P., Lambert, G., & Tavolacci, M. P. (2019). Prevalence of eating
- disorders over the 2000–2018 period: A systematic literature review. The American
- Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 109(5), 1402–1413.
- Garner, D. M., Olmsted, M. P., Bohr, Y., & Garfinkel, P. E. (1982). The Eating Attitudes
- Test: Psychometric features and clinical correlates. Psychological Medicine, 12(4),
- 574 871–878.
- Glashouwer, K. A., Bloot, L., Veenstra, E. M., Franken, I. H., & Jong, P. J. de. (2014).
- Heightened sensitivity to punishment and reward in anorexia nervosa. Appetite, 75,
- ₅₇₇ 97–102.

- Guillaume, S., Gorwood, P., Jollant, F., Van den Eynde, F., Courtet, P., &
- Richard-Devantoy, S. (2015). Impaired decision-making in symptomatic anorexia and
- bulimia nervosa patients: A meta-analysis. Psychological Medicine, 45(16), 3377–3391.
- Harrison, A., Genders, R., Davies, H., Treasure, J., & Tchanturia, K. (2011). Experimental
- measurement of the regulation of anger and aggression in women with anorexia nervosa.
- Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy, 18(6), 445–452.
- 584 Haynos, A. F., Lavender, J. M., Nelson, J., Crow, S. J., & Peterson, C. B. (2020). Moving
- towards specificity: A systematic review of cue features associated with reward and
- punishment in anorexia nervosa. Clinical Psychology Review, 79, 101872.
- Haynos, A. F., Widge, A. S., Anderson, L. M., & Redish, A. D. (2022). Beyond description
- and deficits: How computational psychiatry can enhance an understanding of
- decision-making in anorexia nervosa. Current Psychiatry Reports, 1–11.
- ⁵⁹⁰ Heimberg, R. G., Mueller, G. P., Holt, C. S., Hope, D. A., & Liebowitz, M. R. (1992).
- Assessment of anxiety in social interaction and being observed by others: The social
- interaction anxiety scale and the social phobia scale. Behavior Therapy, 23(1), 53–73.
- Jappe, L. M., Frank, G. K., Shott, M. E., Rollin, M. D., Pryor, T., Hagman, J. O., ...
- Davis, E. (2011). Heightened sensitivity to reward and punishment in anorexia nervosa.
- International Journal of Eating Disorders, 44 (4), 317–324.
- Jonker, N. C., Glashouwer, K. A., & Jong, P. J. de. (2022). Punishment sensitivity and the
- persistence of anorexia nervosa: High punishment sensitivity is related to a less favorable
- course of anorexia nervosa. International Journal of Eating Disorders, 55(5), 697–702.
- Keating, C., Tilbrook, A. J., Rossell, S. L., Enticott, P. G., & Fitzgerald, P. B. (2012).
- Reward processing in anorexia nervosa. Neuropsychologia, 50(5), 567-575.
- Lombardo, C. (2008). Adattamento italiano della multidimensional perfectionism scale
- (MPS). Psicoterapia Cognitiva e Comportamentale, 14(3), 31–46.
- 603 Lovibond, P. F., & Lovibond, S. H. (1995). The structure of negative emotional states:
- 604 Comparison of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS) with the Beck Depression

- and Anxiety Inventories. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 33(3), 335–343.
- Matera, C., Nerini, A., & Stefanile, C. (2013). The role of peer influence on girls' body
- dissatisfaction and dieting. Revue Européenne De Psychologie Appliquée/European
- Review of Applied Psychology, 63(2), 67–74.
- 609 Mattick, R. P., & Clarke, J. C. (1998). Development and validation of measures of social
- phobia scrutiny fear and social interaction anxiety. Behaviour Research and Therapy,
- 36(4), 455-470.
- Matton, A., Goossens, L., Braet, C., & Vervaet, M. (2013). Punishment and reward
- sensitivity: Are naturally occurring clusters in these traits related to eating and weight
- problems in adolescents? European Eating Disorders Review, 21(3), 184–194.
- Monteleone, A. M., Monteleone, P., Esposito, F., Prinster, A., Volpe, U., Cantone, E., et
- al. others. (2017). Altered processing of rewarding and aversive basic taste stimuli in
- symptomatic women with anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa: An fMRI study.
- Journal of Psychiatric Research, 90, 94–101.
- 619 O'Hara, C. B., Campbell, I. C., & Schmidt, U. (2015). A reward-centred model of anorexia
- 620 nervosa: A focussed narrative review of the neurological and psychophysiological
- literature. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 52, 131–152.
- Onysk, J., & Seriès, P. (2022). The effect of body image dissatisfaction on goal-directed
- decision making in a population marked by negative appearance beliefs and disordered
- eating. Plos One, 17(11), e0276750.
- Pedersen, M. L., & Frank, M. J. (2020). Simultaneous hierarchical bayesian parameter
- estimation for reinforcement learning and drift diffusion models: A tutorial and links to
- neural data. Computational Brain & Behavior, 3, 458–471.
- Pedersen, M. L., Frank, M. J., & Biele, G. (2017). The drift diffusion model as the choice
- rule in reinforcement learning. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 24, 1234–1251.
- 630 Qian, J., Wu, Y., Liu, F., Zhu, Y., Jin, H., Zhang, H., ... Yu, D. (2022). An update on the
- prevalence of eating disorders in the general population: A systematic review and

meta-analysis. Eating and Weight Disorders-Studies on Anorexia, Bulimia and Obesity,

- 633 27(2), 415-428.
- Rescorla, R. A. (1972). A theory of pavlovian conditioning: Variations in the effectiveness of
- reinforcement and nonreinforcement. Current Research and Theory, 64–99.
- Rosenberg, M. (1965). Society and the adolescent self-image. Princeton, NJ: Princeton
- University Press.
- 638 Schiff, S., Testa, G., Rusconi, M. L., Angeli, P., & Mapelli, D. (2021). Expectancy to eat
- modulates cognitive control and attention toward irrelevant food and non-food images in
- healthy starving individuals. A behavioral study. Frontiers in Psychology, 11, 3902.
- Shahar, N., Moran, R., Hauser, T. U., Kievit, R. A., McNamee, D., Moutoussis, M., ...
- Dolan, R. J. (2019). Credit assignment to state-independent task representations and its
- relationship with model-based decision making. Proceedings of the National Academy of
- Sciences, 116(32), 15871–15876.
- Sica, C., Musoni, I., Bisi, B., Lolli, V., & Sighinolfi, C. (2007). Social phobia scale e social
- interaction anxiety scale: Traduzione e adattamento italiano. Bollettino Di Psicologia
- 647 Applicata, 252, 59–71.
- Smink, F. R., Hoeken, D. van, & Hoek, H. W. (2013). Epidemiology, course, and outcome of
- eating disorders. Current Opinion in Psychiatry, 26(6), 543–548.
- 650 Stöber, J. (1998). The frost multidimensional perfectionism scale revisited: More perfect
- with four (instead of six) dimensions. Personality and Individual Differences, 24(4),
- 481-491.
- Wagner, A., Aizenstein, H., Venkatraman, V. K., Fudge, J., May, J. C., Mazurkewicz, L., et
- al. others. (2007). Altered reward processing in women recovered from anorexia nervosa.
- 655 American Journal of Psychiatry, 164(12), 1842–1849.
- Woodside, B. D., & Staab, R. (2006). Management of psychiatric comorbidity in anorexia
- nervosa and bulimia nervosa. CNS Drugs, 20, 655–663.
- 658 Wu, M., Brockmeyer, T., Hartmann, M., Skunde, M., Herzog, W., & Friederich, H.-C. (2014).

Set-shifting ability across the spectrum of eating disorders and in overweight and obesity:

 ${\it A systematic review and meta-analysis.}\ {\it Psychological Medicine,~44} (16),~3365-3385.$