Running head: TITLE

Anorexia Nervosa: Symptom-Related Information Alters Decision-Making Policy Despite

Corrado Caudek<sup>1</sup> & Ernst-August Doelle<sup>1,2</sup>

Outcome Irrelevance

- <sup>1</sup> Wilhelm-Wundt-University
- <sup>2</sup> Konstanz Business School

Author Note

- Add complete departmental affiliations for each author here. Each new line herein must be indented, like this line.
- Enter author note here.

2

- The authors made the following contributions. Corrado Caudek: Conceptualization,
- $_{11}$  Writing Original Draft Preparation, Writing Review & Editing; Ernst-August Doelle:
- Writing Review & Editing, Supervision.
- Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Corrado Caudek, Postal address. E-mail: my@email.com

Abstract 15

One or two sentences providing a basic introduction to the field, comprehensible to a

scientist in any discipline.

Two to three sentences of more detailed background, comprehensible to scientists 18

in related disciplines.

One sentence clearly stating the **general problem** being addressed by this particular 20

study. 21

One sentence summarizing the main result (with the words "here we show" or their 22

equivalent).

Two or three sentences explaining what the main result reveals in direct comparison 24

to what was thought to be the case previously, or how the main result adds to previous

knowledge.

One or two sentences to put the results into a more **general context**. 27

Two or three sentences to provide a **broader perspective**, readily comprehensible to 28

a scientist in any discipline.

Keywords: keywords 30

Word count: X 31

Anorexia Nervosa: Symptom-Related Information Alters Decision-Making Policy Despite
Outcome Irrelevance

## 34 Introduction

Eating disorders (EDs) are severe psychiatric disorders that are frequent in adolescents and young adults (up to 15% of young women and 5% of young men), which substantially impair physical health and disrupt psychosocial functioning. EDs are associated with a roughly five-to-six-fold risk of suicide attempts relative to those without EDs (Udo, Bitley, & Grilo, 2019) and show an increased mortality rate which, in the case of anorexia nervosa (AN), can be as high as 5–20% (Qian et al., 2022). Because EDs are extremely difficult to treat (Chang, Delgadillo, & Waller, 2021), it is urgent to gain a better understanding of the underlying mechanisms.

Dysfunctional executive processes have often been proposed as a putative risk and 43 maintaining factor for the disease (cognitive inflexibility impairments: Wu et al., 2014; decision-making impairments: Guillaume et al., 2015; inhibitory-control impairments: 45 Bartholdy, Dalton, O'Daly, Campbell, & Schmidt, 2016). Among the possible aberrant executive processes in EDs, cognitive inflexibility has been studied the most, especially using a reinforcement learning (RL) paradigm. The hypothesis of maladaptive associative learning is theoretically appealing, as it offers potential for treatment, but the evidence to support it is inconsistent (for a recent discussion, see Caudek, Sica, Cerea, Colpizzi, & Stendardi, 2021). The aim of this study is to add to the existing research by investigating if individuals with eating disorders can display impaired decision-making despite having normal cognitive decision-making skills. Specifically, we will ask whether task-irrelevant symptom-related information can negatively impact decision-making in EDs, potentially indicating that disordered eating may not stem from deficient decision-making abilities, but rather from external factors like long-term goals, personality traits, etc. affecting their choices. The potential translational impact of this result would be noteworthy, when considering that ...

#### 58 Influence of outcome-irrilevant variables on RL

RL is the ability to infer causal associations between actions and outcomes in a trial-and-error manner. Learning the consequences of past actions is usually studied in the laboratory with a 2-armed bandit task, where a decision maker is presented with two options. One option has a higher likelihood of winning. The participant must learn which choice will yield the highest reward.

In the 2-armed bandit task, the optimal policy for maximizing long-term rewards is based solely on the history of actions and outcomes. Recent research has shown, however, that human reinforcement learning can be impacted by features unrelated to the outcomes. For instance, a study by Shahar et al. (2019) explored the impact of spatial-motor associations on participant reinforcement learning. Optimal decision making should prioritize the reward regardless of any spatial-motor associations (such as the choice of response key in the previous trial). Instead, Shahar et al. (2019) found that rewards had a greater impact on the probability of selecting one of two images presented in each trial when the chosen image was linked to the same response key in both the n-1 and n trials. This demonstrates that, in the general population, decision making can be influenced by features that have no relation to the outcomes (see also Ben-Artzi, Luria, & Shahar, 2022).

The evidence that outcome-irrelevant factors impact action value-updating raises the possibility of reevaluating prior reinforcement learning results in EDs. Therefore, subpar decision-making in eating disorders may be linked to these factors rather than being solely considered as a deficit. This external influence could partially account for the inconsistent findings of abnormal decision-making in some ED studies but not in others (for a discussion, see Caudek et al., 2021).

The hypothesis that motivates the present study is that AN patients, due to their strict weight control behavior and emphasis on long-term thinness, and BN patients, due to

their impulsivity, will be impacted by interference in their decision-making when faced with a 2-bandit task between a food or non-food item. This suggests that long-term goals in AN or temperamental factors in BN can influence their decision-making process when food is present in the task but outcome-irrelevant, even in the absence of any decision-making deficits (see also Haynos, Widge, Anderson, & Redish, 2022).

Two predictions are made regarding the influence of outcome-irrelevant features on PRL performance. Firstly, we anticipate that both ED patients and healthy controls will process food information with more caution compared to neutral information (the domain-specific cognitive load hypothesis, H1). This outcome, which has not been observed in previous PRL studies, aligns with prior research that has shown differences in attention and cognitive control for food and non-food information in other tasks. (e.g., Schiff, Testa, Rusconi, Angeli, & Mapelli, 2021). Secondly and more significantly, we expect a decline in the learning rate due to symptom-related interference caused by disease-specific information that is outcome-irrelevant (the domain-specific policy hypothesis, H2).

97 Methods

We report how we determined our sample size, all data exclusions (if any), all manipulations, and all measures in the study.

### 100 Participants

The final sample consists of 69 female outpatients (acAN N = 40, recAN N = 10, acBN  $^{102}$  N = 13, recBN = 6) and 222 healthy female controls (HCs). Outpatients met Diagnostic and  $^{103}$  Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-5 (DSM-5) (American Psychiatric Association, 2013)  $^{104}$  criteria for AN or BN. They were recruited from the Specchidacqua Institute, Montecatini  $^{105}$  (PT), Italy, specialized in Eating Disorders. Eligibility was evaluated by the Mental Health  $^{106}$  professionals of the Institute, the exclusion criteria were having neurological illness, suicidal  $^{107}$  ideation, alcohol or drug addiction, or psychosis. The acAN (mean age = 20.5 years, SD =

1.13) and acBN (mean age = 23.15 years, SD = 1.87) participants were admitted to 108 psychological treatment at Specchidacqua Institute, 45% of them were also taking 109 antidepressant medication (SSRI), and 38% reported comorbidity with other psychiatric 110 illnesses (22% anxiety disorders, 20% obsessive-compulsive disorder, 9% mood disorders). 111 Mean Body Mass Index was considerable lower for acAN patients (BMI mean  $= 18.29 \text{kg/m}^2$ ) 112 ) then acBN (BMI mean =  $24.84 \text{kg/m}^2$ ). Recovered outpatients were recruited from the 113 Gruber Residence, Bologna (BO), Italy. To be included in the recovered group, recAN (mean 114 age = 24.1 years, SD = 1.8) and recBN (mean age = 29.3 years, SD = 2.5) outpatients had 115 to (a) not being seriously underweight  $(BMI \ge 18.5 \text{ kg/m2})$ , (b) not engage in 116 dysfunctional eating behaviors (e.q., restrictive diet or binging/purging) for at least 6 117 months, and (c) being adherent to the psychological treatment. HC participants were 118 recruited from undergraduate psychology courses at the University of Florence, Italy, or via social networks. To be included in the HC group, participants had to have a normal Body Mass Index (BMI mean = 21.29 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), have no history of psychiatric illness, and have no 121 diagnosis of Eating Disorders, according to the Eating Attitudes Test-26 [EAT-26; Garner, 122 Olmsted, Bohr, and Garfinkel (1982), Dotti and Lazzari (1998)] score (EAT-26 < 20). 123 However, 28 out of 222 participants exceeded the EAT-26 cut-off (EAT-26 > 20), meaning 124 the presence of a tendency to eating symptoms. Therefore, the final HC group was composed 125 by 194 participants (mean age = 21.5 years, SD = 0.23), and the other 28 were classified as 126 at-risk participants (mean age = 21.28 years, SD = 0.55). All participants were caucasian, 127 right-handed, and were na "ive to the aim of the study. 128

#### 129 Material

Clinical and Demographic Measurements. The Eating Attitude Test-26
(EAT-26, Garner et al., 1982) consists of 26 items assessing levels and types of eating
disturbances in the past three mouths. The EAT-26 is characterized by three subscales: the
Dieting Scale, the Bulimia and Food Preoccupation Scale and the Oral Control Scale. Scores

≥ 20 point out the presence of an eating disorder. Respondents are required to rate intensity
associated with the items on a 6-point Likert scale (0 = never, rarely, sometimes; 3 =
always). The Italian version of the EAT-26 demonstrated good psychometric properties
(Dotti & Lazzari, 1998). In fact, Cronbach's alpha was high in an undergraduate sample for
the Dieting scale (.87), for Bulimia and Food Preoccupation scale (.70), for Oral Control
Scale (.62). Cronbach's alpha for the total scores was 0.86.

The Body Shape Questionnaire-14 [BSQ-14; Dowson and Henderson (2001)] is a 14-item self-report scale assessing the global body satisfaction in the past two weeks. Respondents are required to rate intensity of concerns about own appearance associated with the items on a 6-point Likert scale (1 = never, 6 = always). The Italian version of the BSQ-14 demonstrated good psychometric properties (Matera, Nerini, & Stefanile, 2013). In the present sample,  $\omega = 0.978$ . For the 40-item BSQ, a score below 80 is considered "no concern", a score of 80 to 110 is considered "slight concern", a score of 111 to 140 is considered "moderate concern", and a score above 140 is considered "marked concern".

The Social Interaction Anxiety Scale [SIAS; Mattick and Clarke (1998)] is a 20-item self-report questionnaire assessing social interaction anxiety. Respondents are required to rate intensity associated with the items on a 4-point Likert scale from 0 (not at all true) to 4 (extremely true). Higher scores denote greater social interaction anxiety levels. Both original version and the Italian version (Sica, Musoni, Bisi, Lolli, & Sighinolfi, 2007) show acceptable psychometric properties (in the present sample  $\omega = 0.938$ ). Heimberg, Mueller, Holt, Hope, and Liebowitz (1992) have suggested a cut-off of 34 on the 20-item SIAS to denote a clinical level of social anxiety (32.3 for the Italian 19 item version).

The Depression Anxiety Stress Scale-21 [DASS-21; Lovibond and Lovibond (1995)] is a
21-item self-report measure assessing depression, anxiety, and stress over the previous week.
Items are rated on a 4-point scale ranging from 0 (did not apply to me at all) to 3 (applied to me very much). Both the original and the Italian version (Bottesi et al., 2015)

demonstrate adequate reliability. In the present sample  $\omega_{\text{anxiety}} = 0.875$ ,  $\omega_{\text{depression}} = 0.914$ ,  $\omega_{\text{stress}} = 0.899$ ; for the total scale,  $\omega = 0.945$ .

The Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale [RSES; Rosenberg (1965)] is a 10-item scale designed to assess person's overall self-esteem. It comprises five straightforwardly worded and five reverse-worded items each rated on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 4 (strongly agree) to 1 (strongly disagree). Increased values indicate increased self-esteem. In the present sample,  $\omega = 0.949$ .

The Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale [MPS-F; Frost, Marten, Lahart, and Rosenblate (1990)] is a 35-item assessing perfectionism tendencies. According to Stöber (1998), MPS-F is composed of four underlying factors: Concerns over Mistakes and Doubts (CMD), Parental Expectations and Criticism (PEC), Personal Standards (PS), and Organization (O). Both the original MPS-F and the Italian version (Lombardo, 2008) demonstrate adequate reliability. In the present sample,  $\omega_{\text{CMD}} = 0.919$ ,  $\omega_{\text{PS}} = 0.851$ ,  $\omega_{\text{PEPC}} = 0.946$ ,  $\omega_{\text{OR}} = 0.931$ ; for the total scale,  $\omega = 0.932$ .

Height and weight were measured with a stadiometer and a digital scale, respectively.
Estimated IQ was assessed with the Progressive Raven's Matrices Intelligence test.

## 176 Procedure

The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the University of Florence, and
was run in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Each eligible participant signed the
informed consent and agreed to be part of the study. Both the HCs group and the patients
group completed the same tasks. Data collection started in December, 2020 until June, 2022.
We have to deal with COVID-19 restrictions for the most of the time. Thus, we collected
data from HCs remotely: we recruited HCs participants by means of social networks or
advertisements at the University. Interested people contacted us using the email on the
advertisement, then we send them the informed consent, which they had to sign and send it

back to us. Individuals that signed the informant consent were tested for eligibility using 185 self-reported measures. Participants who met the inclusion criteria for HCs group, received 186 instructions via email and completed the PRL task remotely. After completing the task, 187 participants had to notify us, so that we can check the correct registration of data. On the 188 contrary, data collection for the clinical group was in person. We enrolled only eligible 189 patients, selected by the mental health professionals of the Institute. We scheduled two 190 meeting per participants at the Specchidacqua Institute, Montecatini (PT), Italy, 191 On the first session, participants signed the informed consent form and completed a 192 battery of self-report questionnaires. On the second session, participants were asked to 193 complete the PRL task. Data collection required overall 1 hour of their time. 194

Participants completed a reinforcement learning bandit task in two conditions: neutral (two neutral images on each trial) and symptom-specific (a symptom-specific and a neutral image on each trial). This design allowed us to examine outcome-irrelevant learning associated to a symptom-specific context.

Participants completed a total of 2 blocks of the reinforcement learning task. Each block included a different set of image stimuli and had XX trials. Participants did not received any bonus at the end of the task based on their performance.

199

200

201

For measuring cognitive flexibility, participants completed a computerized Probabilistic 202 Reversal Learning (PRL) task. There were two blocks of trials including 160 trials each. In 203 one of the two blocks a neutral image (e.g., a lamp) and a symptom-related image (i.e., a lamp)204 piece of cake) were shown together, to test the domain-specificity hypothesis Caudek, Sica, 205 Marchetti, Colpizzi, & Stendardi, 2020). The other block included neutral images only, as a control task. In both blocks we asked participants to choose one of two stimuli presented 207 simultaneously on the left and right side of the center of a screen and made their choice with 208 a keypress. They had 3s response time per trial. An image of a euro coin was provided as a 209 reward and a strikethrough image of a euro coin as a punishment. Feedback was presented 210

for 2 s. The PRL comprises four epochs (e.g., a sequence of trials in which the same image was considered correct) of 40 trials each. The feedback was probabilistic, which means that 212 for each epoch the correct image was rewarded in the 70% of the cases, whereas on 30% of 213 the trials participants received a negative feedback. As a consequence, the other image 214 provided no-reward 70% of the time. Both blocks consisted of three rule changes (reversal 215 phase). Participants' aim was to earn as much money as possible. They were informed that 216 the stimulus-reward contingencies would change, but they were not told how or when it 217 would happen. Total reward earned was shown at the end of each block. The experiment 218 was controlled by Psytoolkit. 219

## 220 Data analysis

Credible effects were revealed by 95% credible intervals or by 97.5% of posterior samples falling above or below 0 when computing proportion of posterior in direction of effect.

Results Results

#### 5 Quality Control

Trials were excluded for extreme RTs (<150 ms, >2500 ms), or if the remaining (log transformed) RT exceeded the participant's mean ± 3S.D. Participants' datasets were excluded if, in any block, there were more than 20 RT outliers, fewer than 24 rich or 7 lean rewards, a rich-to-lean reward ratio lower than 2.5, or lower than 40% correct accuracy. In Study 1, 258 depressed adults and 36 controls passed the QC criteria. Study 2 data are from participants who passed these QC checks.

## Demographic and Psychopathology Measures

# 233 Estimating outcome-irrelevant learning

**Spatial-motor associations.** We start by examining the presence of spatial-motor 234 connections in the participants' choices. We successfully replicated the findings of Shahar et 235 al. (2019) and Ben-Artzi et al. (2022). Our results showed robust evidence for spatial-motor 236 outcome-irrelevant learning: the probability of choosing 'stay' was higher for 'same' (.427) 237 compared to 'flipped' (.218) response/key mapping when comparing previously rewarded 238 versus unrewarded responses (posterior  $\beta = 0.93$ , SE = 0.06,  $HDI_{.95} = [0.81, 1.06]$ ; 239 probability of direction (pd) 1.0; 0% in ROPE (-0.10, 0.10) and Bayes Factor (BF) of > 100240 against the null; Fig. 1). There was no group (HC, AN, BN, RI) × previous outcome × 241 mapping interaction (see Supplementary Materials). 242

# 243 Reinforcement learning and drift diffusion modeling

To model the two-choice decision (between image A and image B) over time in the
PRL task, we used a hierarchical reinforcement learning drift diffusion model (RLDDM), as
described in Pedersen, Frank, and Biele (2017) and Pedersen and Frank (2020). The
RLDDM was estimated in a hierarchical Bayesian framework using the HDDMr1 module of
the HDDM (version 0.9.7) Python package (Fengler et al., 2021; Wiecki et al., 2013).

By breaking down decision-making task performance into its component processes
through cognitive modeling analysis, it becomes possible to identify any deviances in the
underlying mechanisms that may not be reflected in the overall task outcome. RLDDM has
six basic parameters: positive learning rate  $(alpha^+)$ , negative learning rate  $(alpha^-)$ , drift
rate (v), decision threshold (a), non-decision time (t), and starting point bias (z) parameters.
The  $\alpha$  parameter quantifies the learning rate in the Rescorla-Wagner delta learning rule
(Rescorla, 1972); a higher learning rate results in rapid adaptation to reward expectations,
while a lower learning rate results in slow adaptation. The parameter  $\alpha^+$  is computed from

reinforcements, whereas  $\alpha^+$  is computed from punishments. The drift rate v is the average speed of evidence accumulation toward one decision. The decision boundary is the distance between two decision thresholds; an increase of a increases the evidence needed to make a decision. The increase of a leads to a slower but more accurate decision; a decrease in a results in a faster but error-prone decision. The non-decision time t is the time spent for stimuli encoding or motor execution (i.e., time not used for evidence accumulation). The starting point parameter z captures a potential initial bias toward one or the other boundary in absence of any stimulus evidence.

To test the interference of disease-related information on the decision process, we built
linear models over each RLDDM parameter. We compared models in which we conditioned
either none, each or all model's parameters on diagnostic category (group) and image
category (neutral, symptom-related). For each model, we computed the Deviance
Information Criterion (DIC) and we selected the model with the best trade-off between the
fit quality and model complexity (i.e., the model with the lowest DIC).

The following models were examined. Model M1 is a standard RLDDM. Model M2 271 extends M1 by incorporating separate learning rates for positive and negative reinforcements. 272 In Model M3, the  $\alpha^+$  and  $\alpha^-$  parameters are based on the diagnostic group. In Model M4, 273 the  $\alpha^+$  and  $\alpha^-$  parameters of M3 are conditioned on both diagnostic group and image 274 category (two neutral images, or one neutral and one symptom-related image). Model M5 275 expands upon M4 by considering that the a parameter may be influenced by both diagnostic 276 group and image category. Model M6 extends M5 by taking into account the possible influence of diagnostic group and image category on the v parameter. Model M7 builds upon M6 by considering that the t parameter may depend on both diagnostic group and image category. Finally, Model M8 adds to Model M7 the estimation of a potential bias in the z280 parameter. All models were estimated with Bayesian methods using weakly informative 281 priors. The winning RLDDM (with lowest DIC) is M7. In the Model M7, the parameters  $\alpha^+$ ,

 $\alpha^-$ , a, v, t (but not z) are conditioned on both diagnostic group and image category.

| Model | DIC        |  |
|-------|------------|--|
| M1    | 103209.264 |  |
| M2    | 101590.157 |  |
| M3    | 101613.877 |  |
| M4    | 99133.675  |  |
| M5    | 96150.581  |  |
| M6    | 95434.070  |  |
| M7    | 92808.856  |  |
| M8    | 93157.611  |  |
|       |            |  |

Convergence of Bayesian model parameters was assessed via the Gelman-Rubin statistic. All parameters had  $\hat{R}$  below 1.1 (max = 1.062, mean = 1.002), which does not suggest convergence issues.

To gauge the impact of outcome-irrelevant image category on decision-making, we contrasted the difference in posterior estimates of the RLDDM parameters between the neutral and symptom-related image conditions within each diagnostic group. As predicted by Hypothesis H1, the decision threshold (a) was found to be greater for food information than for neutral information: HC,  $p(a_{\text{food}} < a_{\text{neutral}}) = .0002$ ; AN,  $p(a_{\text{food}} < a_{\text{neutral}}) = .0026$ ; BN,  $p(a_{\text{food}} < a_{\text{neutral}}) = .0140$ ; RI,  $p(a_{\text{food}} < a_{\text{neutral}}) = .0139$ ]. Posterior parameters estimates, standard deviation, and 95% credibility intervals are shown in the following table.

| Parameter     | Posterior estimate $(SD)$ | 95% CI       |
|---------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| a(AN food)    | 1.415 (0.039)             | 1.339, 1.491 |
| a(AN neutral) | 1.260 (0.038)             | 1.186, 1.334 |
| a(BN food)    | 1.440 (0.066)             | 1.309, 1.567 |

| Parameter     | Posterior estimate $(SD)$ | 95% CI       |
|---------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| a(BN neutral) | 1.229 (0.072)             | 1.086, 1.368 |
| a(HC food)    | 1.340 (0.016)             | 1.308, 1.371 |
| a(HC neutral) | 1.258 (0.016)             | 1.226, 1.291 |
| a(RI food)    | 1.389 (0.039)             | 1.312, 1.463 |
| a(RI neutral) | 1.264 (0.042)             | 1.183, 1.345 |

As expected by Hypothesis H2, our findings indicate that compared to neutral outcome-irrelevant information, decision-making regarding food information resulted in a lower estimate of the learning rate, but only for the AN group when evaluating reward-based learning,  $\alpha^+ = 0.144$  (SD = 0.092),  $\alpha^+ = 0.759$  (SD = 0.142),  $p(\alpha_{\text{food}}^+ > \alpha_{\text{neutral}}^+) = 0.0013$ ,  $\Delta$  score on a logit scale = 2.939, 95% CI [0.870, 4.975]. No other credible differences were found regarding Hypothesis H2 (see the Supplementary Material for details).

## 300 Biased choices

To determine if the subpar performance of AN patients in the RL task was due to a 301 bias towards non-food choices (independent of past action-outcome history), we examined 302 the frequency of food choices in the PRL blocks where a food image was paired with a 303 neutral image. As anticipated based on Hypothesis H1, a bias against the food image was 304 observed: proportion of food choices = 0.484, 95% CI [0.477, 0.492]. However, no 305 group-specific bias was detected, as evidenced by the following three comparisons: AN - HC: 306 prop = -0.002, 95% CI [-0.029, 0.026]; BN - HC: prop = 0.015, 95% CI [-0.029, 0.056]; BN -307 AN: prop = 0.017, 95% CI [-0.035, 0.064]; RI- HC: prop = -0.007, 95% CI [-0.031, 0.016]. 308

# 309 Comorbidity

Individuals with eating disorders often have comorbid psychiatric conditions, including depression (up to 75%), bipolar disorder (10%), anxiety disorders, obsessive-compulsive

disorder (40%), panic disorder (11%), social anxiety disorder/social phobia, post-traumatic 312 stress disorder (prevalence varies with eating disorder), and substance abuse (15-40%) – see 313 Woodside and Staab (2006) for further details. In this study, we included patients with 314 comorbidities in our sample in order to increase the generalizability of our findings to the 315 broader psychiatric population: 16 patients in the AN group were diagnosed with comorbid 316 anxiety disorder, 8 with OCD, 1 with social phobia, and 1 with DAP; in the BN group, 4 317 patients were diagnosed with mood disorder and 1 with OCD. Comorbid diagnoses were 318 determined using the DSM-V criteria during psychiatric evaluations spanning a minimum of 319 one year, while the absence of comorbidities was evaluated using the same methods within a 320 comparable timeframe. To determine if the lower learning rate observed in the AN group 321 could be due to comorbidity, we utilized model M7 on the patient data by separating 322 patients into groups with and without comorbid conditions. No credible differences were identified in the parameters of the models between patients with and without comorbid conditions (see Supplementary Materials for additional information).

Discussion

There is a growing consensus that the reward and punishment processes in AN are not 327 a generic process, but instead are influenced by complex interactions between various 328 stimulus properties (such as the type of reward/punishment cue) and contextual factors 329 such as long-term objectives, personality traits, temperamental dispositions, and 330 physiological states like hunger, etc.). A recent comprehensive review by Haynos, Lavender, 331 Nelson, Crow, and Peterson (2020) showed that the manner in which AN patients perceive their experiences as rewarding or punishing is influenced by factors such as the degree of 333 predictability, controllability, immediacy, and effort. For example, behaviors associated with AN that are predictable, controllable, and immediate (such as calorie counting or purging) 335 may become rewarding to the individual, providing a sense of control and accomplishment. 336 On the other hand, behaviors that are unpredictable and uncontrollable (such as social 337

outcomes) may be perceived as punishing, increasing anxiety and distress.

Most of these previous studies have mainly explored the subjective value assigned to various experiences by AN patients, which can be perceived as either rewarding or punishing, despite not inherently having these properties. In contrast, the current study examine the effect of contextual factors on the learning mechanism that blends past experiences of clearly defined reward and punishment.

The purpose of this study was to examine the impact of symptom-related information 344 (irrelevant to the task outcome) on the performance of AN and BN patients in an associative 345 learning task. Previous research has shown that outcome-irrelevant information can 346 negatively impact reward learning in the general population. Here, we replicated the findings 347 of Shahar et al. (2019) that image/effector response mapping influences associative learning 348 in a PRL task when only image identity predicts the reward, in all our groups of HCs, AN 349 patients, BN patients, and RI patients. More notably, we discovered that AN patients had a 350 slower learning rate from rewards when image identity provided food information. This was 351 shown by a decrease in the  $\alpha^+$  parameter (which measures the rate of learning from positive 352 feedback) of the RLDDM model, compared to HCs (Pedersen & Frank, 2020). Instead, when 353 image identity was unrelated to food, there was no difference in the rate of value update between AN patients and HCs.

We also found that AN patients demonstrated a slower rate of learning from positive feedback when food information was provided through image identity, compared to BN patients. Conversely, no significant differences were observed when the image identity was unrelated to food. These findings replicate previous reports that AN and BN patients exhibit divergent anomalies in decision making (e.g., Chan et al., 2014), but also emphasize that these variations are more pronounced when considering the processing of information related to the condition.

The present results are relevant for the current debate on the role of maladaptive 363 reward and punishment processing in AN. Current theories propose that AN is characterized 364 by a combination of reduced sensitivity to reward and increased sensitivity to punishment, 365 leading to an imbalance in reward processing. This imbalance is thought to result in 366 decreased interest in food rewards and increased control over food intake, contributing to the 367 persistence of AN symptoms. Additionally, heightened punishment sensitivity may 368 contribute to AN by promoting avoidance of food and weight gain, which may be perceived 369 as aversive. However, as Haynos et al. (2020) points out, such characterization of AN as 370 having distorted reward and punishment processing, which is a domain-general description, 371 is inadequate because it does not consider the differences in response depending on the 372 particular characteristics of the cues involved. In their literature review, Haynos et al. (2020) 373 show that current evidence does not indicate a universal shortfall in AN reward and punishment processing. Rather, there seem to be an inappropriate interpretation of what 375 constitutes a reward or punishment in various contexts and for different stimuli and 376 decisions. Behaviors that initially may not be considered rewards or punishments can 377 eventually become associated with either positive or negative reactions, leading them to 378 serve as a form of reward or punishment.

For instance, Haynos et al. (2020) posits that restrictive eating cues, a precursor of AN, 380 can be linked to reward responses in AN. This hypothesis is supported by ecological 381 momentary assessment (EMA) studies that examine affective patterns in relation to 382 disordered eating. These studies have shown higher positive affect and lower negative affect 383 before, during, and after restrictive eating episodes in AN compared to normal meals (Fitzsimmons-Craft et al., 2015) and subsequent reductions in guilt in AN and increased 385 self-assurance for individuals with AN-R (Haynos et al., 2017). These findings indicate that restrictive eating is linked to desirable emotional outcomes in AN and, thus, can be 387 understood as rewarding. Although decreased sensitivity to reward in AN has been 388 documented in some contexts, such as individuals with AN scoring lower on 389

sensation-seeking measures that gauge reactions to immediate novel rewards compared to 390 healthy individuals and those with bulimia nervosa (BN) or binge eating disorder (BED; 391 Matton, Goossens, Vervaet, & Braet, 2015; Rotella et al., 2018), this does not indicate that a 392 reduced sensitivity to reward is evident across all contexts. For instance, the rewarding 393 nature of restrictive eating is not reflected in this reduced sensitivity. The review by Haynos 394 et al. (2020) offers several additional examples of cues, contexts, or decisions that may only 395 be associated with reward or punishment if they are viewed in the context of the ultimate 396 objectives of AN (i.e., thinness). This way of thinking is very much in line with the present 397 results. What the present study adds to this previous theoretical proposal is that previous 398 evidence of domain-specificity of reward and punishment processing in AN have only been 399 provided in an indirect form, that is, in terms of the re-interpretation of cues and 400 consequences of actions in the context of an overarching long-term goal; instead, the present study, for the first time, addresses this issue in a direct manner within the context of associative learning in which reward and punishment are direct consequences of choices.

Other recent studies have examined the issue of the domain-specificity of maladaptive 404 associative learning in eating disorders. One task that has been specifically devised for this 405 purpose is the two-step Markov decision task, which differentiates between automatic or 406 habitual (model-free) and controlled or goal-directed (model-based) learning. For example, 407 Foerde et al. (2021) and Onysk and Seriès (2022) both conducted similar experiments using 408 this task, with Foerde et al. (2021) comparing a monetary two-step task and a food two-step 400 task, and Onysk and Seriès (2022) using stimuli unrelated to food or body images (pirate 410 ships and treasure chests) with rewards associated with body image dissatisfaction. The results of these experiments showed that individuals with AN displayed a stronger preference 412 for habitual control over goal-directed control across domains compared to healthy controls, but there were no differences in the learning rate. However, the primary aim of the two-step 414 experiments was to determine whether the participants' decision-making strategy was 415 influenced by the context or solely based on the previous feedback received, regardless of the 416

context. The results showed that AN patients had difficulty adapting to changing contexts 417 compared to healthy controls (HCs). Furthermore, the experiments did not reveal any 418 differences in the impact of the context (food-related or neutral) on decision making in AN. 419 More importantly, the two-step task did not uncover any difference in the learning rate of 420 AN patients compared to healthy controls (HCs), as a function of the context. In contrast, 421 our results indicate that the learning process itself, particularly the rate at which values are 422 updated, is influenced by information related to the disease, even when such information is 423 not relevant to the outcome. 424

From a translational perspective, our findings suggest that, at the stage of the disease currently examined, AN patients exhibit maladaptive learning only in certain contexts, and this appears to be influenced by extraneous variables. This is particularly evident in the current study, where the experimental variable (the image identity in the PRL task) has no bearing on the outcome. These results imply that clinical interventions at the present stage of the disease should not concentrate on fixing a seemingly faulty associative learning mechanism. Instead, attention should be directed towards reducing the influence of disruptive factors that hinder the performance of intact associative learning capabilities.

There remain questions for future research. (1) For example, we used images of a one euro coin or a barred representation of a one euro coin to symbolize rewards and punishments, respectively. But such rewards and punishments are only symbolic and the question remains as to what happens when the rewards and punishments are concrete and not symbolic. Yet, these rewards and punishments were merely symbolic, and the question remains as to what happens when the rewards and punishments are actual and not symbolic. Moreover, the subjective value of one euro, or the loss of one euro, is not constant for all participants. Furthermore, the subjective worth of one euro or the loss of one euro is not uniform across all participants. Determining the equivalence of subjective values for rewards and punishments could be a worthwhile objective for future studies. (2) Our study only

included AN patients who were not in the most severe stage of the illness, as they were 443 recruited from a center for individuals seeking voluntary medical and psychological support. 444 We did not consider AN patients who are hospitalized due to the life-threatening nature of 445 their illness. It is possible that at the later stage of the illness, the associative learning 446 abilities, which were shown to be preserved in the present sample under neutral conditions, 447 may become impaired. (3) We observed no difference in the choice behavior of AN patients 448 (as measured by relative frequency of image choices) when they were asked to select between 449 a neutral image and a food image. However, when compared to the situation where they had 450 to choose between two neutral images, this condition did result in a slower learning rate and 451 lower decision threshold for AN patients, as compared to healthy controls, according to the 452 RLDDM model. It is possible that the higher "salience" of food images compared to neutral 453 images may be better captured by other measures, such as fixation length or number of fixations, rather than just by the relative frequency of image choices. This could be a topic 455 for future exploration. (4) In our study, we excluded women under the age of 18. However, this age range is a critical period, as the onset of AN during this stage may have a more 457 profound impact on associative learning, given that cognitive development is ongoing and 458 protective factors are less developed. Future studies should take this into consideration.

References

- Bartholdy, S., Dalton, B., O'Daly, O. G., Campbell, I. C., & Schmidt, U. (2016). A
- systematic review of the relationship between eating, weight and inhibitory control using
- the stop signal task. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 64, 35–62.
- Ben-Artzi, I., Luria, R., & Shahar, N. (2022). Working memory capacity estimates moderate
- value learning for outcome-irrelevant features. Scientific Reports, 12(1), 1–10.
- Bottesi, G., Ghisi, M., Altoè, G., Conforti, E., Melli, G., & Sica, C. (2015). The Italian
- version of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales-21: Factor structure and psychometric
- properties on community and clinical samples. Comprehensive Psychiatry, 60, 170–181.
- Caudek, C., Sica, C., Cerea, S., Colpizzi, I., & Stendardi, D. (2021). Susceptibility to eating
- disorders is associated with cognitive inflexibility in female university students. *Journal*
- of Behavioral and Cognitive Therapy, 31(4), 317–328.
- Caudek, C., Sica, C., Marchetti, I., Colpizzi, I., & Stendardi, D. (2020). Cognitive
- inflexibility specificity for individuals with high levels of obsessive-compulsive symptoms.
- Journal of Behavioral and Cognitive Therapy, 30(2), 103–113.
- <sup>475</sup> Chan, T. W. S., Ahn, W.-Y., Bates, J. E., Busemeyer, J. R., Guillaume, S., Redgrave, G.
- W., ... Courtet, P. (2014). Differential impairments underlying decision making in
- anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa: A cognitive modeling analysis. *International*
- Journal of Eating Disorders, 47(2), 157–167.
- <sup>479</sup> Chang, P. G., Delgadillo, J., & Waller, G. (2021). Early response to psychological treatment
- for eating disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Clinical Psychology Review,
- 86, 102032.
- Dotti, A., & Lazzari, R. (1998). Validation and reliability of the italian EAT-26. Eating and
- Weight Disorders-Studies on Anorexia, Bulimia and Obesity, 3(4), 188–194.
- Dowson, J., & Henderson, L. (2001). The validity of a short version of the Body Shape
- Questionnaire. Psychiatry Research, 102(3), 263–271.
- 486 Foerde, K., Daw, N. D., Rufin, T., Walsh, B. T., Shohamy, D., & Steinglass, J. E. (2021).

Deficient goal-directed control in a population characterized by extreme goal pursuit.

- Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 33(3), 463–481.
- Frost, R. O., Marten, P., Lahart, C., & Rosenblate, R. (1990). The dimensions of
- perfectionism. Cognitive Therapy and Research, 14(5), 449–468.
- Garner, D. M., Olmsted, M. P., Bohr, Y., & Garfinkel, P. E. (1982). The Eating Attitudes
- Test: Psychometric features and clinical correlates. Psychological Medicine, 12(4),
- 493 871-878.
- Guillaume, S., Gorwood, P., Jollant, F., Van den Eynde, F., Courtet, P., &
- Richard-Devantoy, S. (2015). Impaired decision-making in symptomatic anorexia and
- bulimia nervosa patients: A meta-analysis. Psychological Medicine, 45(16), 3377–3391.
- 497 Haynos, A. F., Lavender, J. M., Nelson, J., Crow, S. J., & Peterson, C. B. (2020). Moving
- towards specificity: A systematic review of cue features associated with reward and
- punishment in anorexia nervosa. Clinical Psychology Review, 79, 101872.
- Haynos, A. F., Widge, A. S., Anderson, L. M., & Redish, A. D. (2022). Beyond description
- and deficits: How computational psychiatry can enhance an understanding of
- decision-making in anorexia nervosa. Current Psychiatry Reports, 1–11.
- 503 Heimberg, R. G., Mueller, G. P., Holt, C. S., Hope, D. A., & Liebowitz, M. R. (1992).
- Assessment of anxiety in social interaction and being observed by others: The social
- interaction anxiety scale and the social phobia scale. Behavior Therapy, 23(1), 53–73.
- Lombardo, C. (2008). Adattamento italiano della multidimensional perfectionism scale
- (MPS). Psicoterapia Cognitiva e Comportamentale, 14(3), 31–46.
- Lovibond, P. F., & Lovibond, S. H. (1995). The structure of negative emotional states:
- 509 Comparison of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS) with the Beck Depression
- and Anxiety Inventories. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 33(3), 335–343.
- Matera, C., Nerini, A., & Stefanile, C. (2013). The role of peer influence on girls' body
- dissatisfaction and dieting. Revue Européenne De Psychologie Appliquée/European
- Review of Applied Psychology, 63(2), 67–74.

Mattick, R. P., & Clarke, J. C. (1998). Development and validation of measures of social

- phobia scrutiny fear and social interaction anxiety. Behaviour Research and Therapy,
- 36(4), 455-470.
- Onysk, J., & Seriès, P. (2022). The effect of body image dissatisfaction on goal-directed
- decision making in a population marked by negative appearance beliefs and disordered
- eating. Plos One, 17(11), e0276750.
- Pedersen, M. L., & Frank, M. J. (2020). Simultaneous hierarchical bayesian parameter
- estimation for reinforcement learning and drift diffusion models: A tutorial and links to
- neural data. Computational Brain & Behavior, 3, 458–471.
- Pedersen, M. L., Frank, M. J., & Biele, G. (2017). The drift diffusion model as the choice
- rule in reinforcement learning. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 24, 1234–1251.
- <sup>525</sup> Qian, J., Wu, Y., Liu, F., Zhu, Y., Jin, H., Zhang, H., ... Yu, D. (2022). An update on the
- prevalence of eating disorders in the general population: A systematic review and
- meta-analysis. Eating and Weight Disorders-Studies on Anorexia, Bulimia and Obesity,
- 528 27(2), 415–428.
- Rescorla, R. A. (1972). A theory of pavlovian conditioning: Variations in the effectiveness of
- reinforcement and nonreinforcement. Current Research and Theory, 64–99.
- Rosenberg, M. (1965). Society and the adolescent self-image. Princeton, NJ: Princeton
- University Press.
- Schiff, S., Testa, G., Rusconi, M. L., Angeli, P., & Mapelli, D. (2021). Expectancy to eat
- modulates cognitive control and attention toward irrelevant food and non-food images in
- healthy starving individuals. A behavioral study. Frontiers in Psychology, 11, 3902.
- Shahar, N., Moran, R., Hauser, T. U., Kievit, R. A., McNamee, D., Moutoussis, M., ...
- Dolan, R. J. (2019). Credit assignment to state-independent task representations and its
- relationship with model-based decision making. Proceedings of the National Academy of
- Sciences, 116(32), 15871–15876.
- Sica, C., Musoni, I., Bisi, B., Lolli, V., & Sighinolfi, C. (2007). Social phobia scale e social

interaction anxiety scale: Traduzione e adattamento italiano. Bollettino Di Psicologia

- 542 Applicata, 252, 59–71.
- 543 Stöber, J. (1998). The frost multidimensional perfectionism scale revisited: More perfect
- with four (instead of six) dimensions. Personality and Individual Differences, 24 (4),
- 481-491.
- Udo, T., Bitley, S., & Grilo, C. M. (2019). Suicide attempts in US adults with lifetime
- DSM-5 eating disorders. BMC Medicine, 17(1), 1–13.
- Woodside, B. D., & Staab, R. (2006). Management of psychiatric comorbidity in anorexia
- nervosa and bulimia nervosa. CNS Drugs, 20, 655–663.
- Wu, M., Brockmeyer, T., Hartmann, M., Skunde, M., Herzog, W., & Friederich, H.-C. (2014).
- Set-shifting ability across the spectrum of eating disorders and in overweight and obesity:
- A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine*, 44(16), 3365–3385.