- Anorexia nervosa entails domain specific impairment of adaptive learning under uncertainty
- ² Corrado Caudek¹, Ilaria Colpizzi¹, & Claudio Sica²
- ¹ NEUROFARBA Department, Psychology Section, University of Florence, Italy.
- ² Health Sciences Department, Psychology Section, University of Florence, Italy.

Author Note

- The authors made the following contributions. Corrado Caudek: Conceptualization,
- ⁷ Project administration, Formal analysis, Writing Original Draft Preparation, Writing -
- Review & Editing; Ilaria Colpizzi: Writing Review & Editing, Software development,
- 9 Data collection, Data curation; Claudio Sica: Writing Review & Editing, Supervision.
- 10 Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Corrado Caudek, Via
- di San Salvi n. 12, Complesso di S. Salvi, Padiglione 26, Firenze, 50139, Italy. E-mail:
- corrado.caudek@unifi.it

5

2

Abstract 13

One or two sentences providing a basic introduction to the field, comprehensible to a

scientist in any discipline. 15

Two to three sentences of more detailed background, comprehensible to scientists 16

in related disciplines.

One sentence clearly stating the **general problem** being addressed by this particular 18

study. 19

One sentence summarizing the main result (with the words "here we show" or their 20

equivalent). 21

Two or three sentences explaining what the main result reveals in direct comparison 22

to what was thought to be the case previously, or how the main result adds to previous

knowledge.

One or two sentences to put the results into a more **general context**. 25

Two or three sentences to provide a **broader perspective**, readily comprehensible to 26

a scientist in any discipline.

28

Keywords: keywords

Word count: X 29

Anorexia nervosa entails domain specific impairment of adaptive learning under uncertainty

Introduction

32

https://doi.org/10.1007/s40167-018-0068-0

To explore the processes underpinning task performance, computational modeling 33 (i.e., drift diffusion model (DDM) analysis) will be used to explicate the specific processes 34 by means of which domain specificity influences decision-making (e.g., Golubickis et al. 2017, 2018; Macrae et al. 2017). In any task context, there are two distinct ways in which decisional processing can be biased. These pertain to how a stimulus is processed and how a response is generated, with each source of bias reflecting a different underlying component of decisional processing (Voss et al. 2004, 2013; White and Poldrack 2014). Whereas variability in stimulus processing affects the quality of information gathering during decision-making (i.e., dynamic stimulus bias), adjustments in response preparation influence how much evidence is required before a specific judgment is made (i.e., prior or pre-decisional bias). The theoretical value of a DDM analysis resides in its ability to isolate these independent forms of bias, thereby elucidate the component processes that underpin decision-making (Ratcliff 1978; Ratcliff and Rouder 1998; Ratcliff et al. 2016; Voss et al. 2004, 2013; Wagenmakers 2009).

The DDM assumes that, during binary decision-making (e.g., owned-by-self vs. owned-by-other), noisy information is continuously sampled until sufficient evidence is acquired to initiate a response (see Fig. 1 for a schematic representation of the model).

The duration of the diffusion process is known as the decision time, and the process itself can be characterized by several important parameters. Drift rate (v) estimates the speed of information gathering (i.e., larger drift rate = faster information uptake), thus is interpreted as a measure of the quality of visual processing during decision-making (White and Poldrack 2014). Boundary separation (a) estimates the distance between the two decision thresholds (i.e., self-owned vs. other-owned), hence indicates how much evidence is

required before a response is made (i.e., larger (smaller) values indicate more conservative (liberal) respond- ing). The starting point (z) defines the position between the decision thresholds at which evidence accumulation begins. If z is not centered between the thresholds (i.e., z = 0.5), this denotes an a priori bias in favor of the response that is closer to the starting point (White and Poldrack 2014). In other words, less evidence is required

to reach the preferred (vs. non-preferred) threshold. Finally, the duration of all

non-decisional processes is given by the additional parameter t0, which is taken to indicate

biases in stimulus encoding and response execution (Voss et al. 2010).

64 Methods

We report how we determined our sample size, all data exclusions (if any), all manipulations, and all measures in the study.

67 Participants

- 68 Material
- 69 Procedure

70 Data analysis

We used R (Version 4.2.0; R Core Team, 2020) and the R-packages bayesplot (Version 1.9.0; Gabry et al., 2019), brms (Version 2.17.0; Bürkner, 2017, 2018), corrplot2021

(R-corrplot2021?), dplyr (Version 1.0.9; Wickham et al., 2020), forcats (Version 0.5.1;

Wickham, 2020a), ggplot2 (Version 3.3.6; Wickham, 2016), ggthemes (Version 4.2.4; Arnold, 2019), glue (Version 1.6.2; Hester, 2020), gt (Version 0.6.0; Arnold, 2019; Iannone et al., 2021), here (Version 1.0.1; Müller, 2020), kableExtra (Version 1.3.4; Zhu, 2021), khroma

(Version 1.8.0; R-khroma?), knitr (Version 1.39; Xie, 2015), lavaan (Version 0.6.11; Rosseel, 2012), papaja (Version 0.1.0.9999; Aust & Barth, 2020), patchwork (Version 1.1.1; Pedersen, 2020), projpred (Version 2.1.2; Piironen et al., 2020), purrr (Version 0.3.4; Henry & Wickham, 2020), Rcpp (Eddelbuettel & Balamuta, 2017; Version 1.0.8.3; Eddelbuettel &

- François, 2011), readr (Version 2.1.2; Wickham & Hester, 2020), rio (Version 0.5.29; Chan
- et al., 2018), semPlot (Version 1.1.5; Epskamp, 2019), stringr (Version 1.4.0; Wickham,
- 2019), tibble (Version 3.1.7; Müller & Wickham, 2020), tidyr (Version 1.2.0; Wickham,
- ⁸⁴ 2020b), tidyverse (Version 1.3.1; Wickham et al., 2019), tinylabels (Version 0.2.3; Barth,
- ⁸⁵ 2021), *viridis* (Version 0.6.2; Garnier, 2018a, 2018b), and *viridisLite* (Version 0.4.0;
- 86 Garnier, 2018b) for all our analyses.

Results

88 Discussion

References

```
Arnold, J. B. (2019). Gethemes: Extra themes, scales and geoms for 'qqplot2'.
       https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggthemes
91
   Aust, F., & Barth, M. (2020). papaja: Create APA manuscripts with R Markdown.
92
      https://github.com/crsh/papaja
93
   Barth, M. (2021). tinylabels: Lightweight variable labels.
       https://github.com/mariusbarth/tinylabels
95
   Bürkner, P.-C. (2017). brms: An R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan.
       Journal of Statistical Software, 80(1), 1–28.
97
      https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v080.i01
98
   Bürkner, P.-C. (2018). Advanced Bayesian multilevel modeling with the R package brms.
99
       The R Journal, 10(1), 395-411. https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2018-017
100
   Chan, C., Chan, G. C., Leeper, T. J., & Becker, J. (2018). Rio: A swiss-army knife for
101
       data file i/o.
102
   Eddelbuettel, D., & Balamuta, J. J. (2017). Extending extitR with extitC++: A Brief
103
       Introduction to extitRcpp. PeerJ Preprints, 5, e3188v1.
104
      https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.3188v1
105
   Eddelbuettel, D., & François, R. (2011). Rcpp: Seamless R and C++ integration. Journal
106
       of Statistical Software, 40(8), 1-18. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v040.i08
107
   Epskamp, S. (2019). semPlot: Path diagrams and visual analysis of various SEM packages'
108
       output. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=semPlot
109
   Gabry, J., Simpson, D., Vehtari, A., Betancourt, M., & Gelman, A. (2019). Visualization
110
       in bayesian workflow. J. R. Stat. Soc. A, 182, 389–402.
111
       https://doi.org/10.1111/rssa.12378
112
   Garnier, S. (2018a). Viridis: Default color maps from 'matplotlib'.
113
      https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=viridis
114
   Garnier, S. (2018b). viridisLite: Default color maps from 'matplotlib' (lite version).
115
```

```
https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=viridisLite
116
   Henry, L., & Wickham, H. (2020). Purr: Functional programming tools.
117
      https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=purrr
118
   Hester, J. (2020). Glue: Interpreted string literals.
119
      https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=glue
120
   Iannone, R., Cheng, J., & Schloerke, B. (2021). Gt: Easily create presentation-ready
121
       display tables.
122
   Müller, K. (2020). Here: A simpler way to find your files.
123
      https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=here
124
   Müller, K., & Wickham, H. (2020). Tibble: Simple data frames.
125
      https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=tibble
126
   Pedersen, T. L. (2020). Patchwork: The composer of plots.
127
      https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=patchwork
128
   Piironen, J., Paasiniemi, M., Catalina, A., & Vehtari, A. (2020). Projpred: Projection
129
       predictive feature selection. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=projpred
130
   R Core Team. (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
131
       Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/
132
   Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R package for structural equation modeling. Journal of
133
       Statistical Software, 48(2), 1-36. http://www.jstatsoft.org/v48/i02/
134
   Wickham, H. (2016). ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis. Springer-Verlag New
135
       York. https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org
136
   Wickham, H. (2019). Stringr: Simple, consistent wrappers for common string operations.
137
       https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=stringr
138
   Wickham, H. (2020a). Forcats: Tools for working with categorical variables (factors).
139
       https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=forcats
140
   Wickham, H. (2020b). Tidyr: Tidy messy data.
141
      https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=tidyr
142
```

- Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L. D., François, R.,
- Grolemund, G., Hayes, A., Henry, L., Hester, J., Kuhn, M., Pedersen, T. L., Miller, E.,
- Bache, S. M., Müller, K., Ooms, J., Robinson, D., Seidel, D. P., Spinu, V., ... Yutani,
- H. (2019). Welcome to the tidyverse. Journal of Open Source Software, 4(43), 1686.
- https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686
- Wickham, H., François, R., Henry, L., & Müller, K. (2020). Dplyr: A grammar of data
- manipulation. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr
- Wickham, H., & Hester, J. (2020). Readr: Read rectangular text data.
- https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=readr
- 152 Xie, Y. (2015). Dynamic documents with R and knitr (2nd ed.). Chapman; Hall/CRC.
- https://yihui.org/knitr/
- ¹⁵⁴ Zhu, H. (2021). kableExtra: Construct complex table with 'kable' and pipe syntax.
- https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=kableExtra

Appendix A

COVID-19 news data set: full model with 13 covariates

Table A1 Posterior mean, standard error, 95% credible interval and R statistic for each parameter of the full model (13 covariates) predicting COVID-19 news truth discernment.

parameter	mean	SE	lower bound	upper bound	Rhat
Intercept	-0.331	0.050	-0.429	-0.231	1.000
age	0.166	0.036	0.094	0.236	1.000
agsu	0.004	0.048	-0.090	0.098	1.000
conv	-0.125	0.054	-0.230	-0.020	1.000
miis	-0.126	0.035	-0.195	-0.057	1.000
rfsp	-0.033	0.047	-0.126	0.060	1.000
rfsn	-0.026	0.042	-0.108	0.056	1.000
educ	0.073	0.030	0.013	0.132	1.000
poli	0.056	0.033	-0.009	0.121	1.000
spir	0.107	0.044	0.021	0.192	1.000
para	-0.069	0.039	-0.145	0.007	1.000
crit	0.157	0.029	0.100	0.215	1.000
$\cos p$	-0.253	0.035	-0.322	-0.184	1.000
supe	-0.069	0.040	-0.147	0.010	1.000
simp	-0.081	0.035	-0.150	-0.012	1.000
conf	0.043	0.031	-0.018	0.103	1.000
rese	-0.016	0.033	-0.082	0.048	1.000
sex	0.125	0.067	-0.007	0.256	1.000
sigma	0.749	0.020	0.711	0.790	1.000

Appendix B

COVID-19 news data set: best projection model (ignoring the political news data)

Table B1 Posterior mean, standard error, 95% credible interval and R statistic for each parameter of the best projection model predicting COVID-19 news truth discernment.

parameter	mean	SE	lower bound	upper bound	Rhat
Intercept	-0.368	0.043	-0.453	-0.283	1.000
miis	-0.142	0.034	-0.209	-0.075	1.000
$\cos p$	-0.285	0.033	-0.350	-0.221	1.000
crit	0.172	0.029	0.116	0.230	1.000
age	0.188	0.032	0.126	0.250	1.000
supe	-0.122	0.036	-0.193	-0.052	1.000
conv	-0.142	0.048	-0.235	-0.049	1.000
sigma	0.757	0.020	0.718	0.798	1.000