Middle Cerebellar Peduncle Width - A Novel MRI Biomarker for FXTAS?

Claire Wong

March 15, 2021

The paper analyzed here is
https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins.2018.00379/full

This paper analyzed the utility of the Magnetic Resonance Parkinson Index (MRPI) as a biomarker for Fragile X-associated tremor/ataxia syndrome (FXTAS), a neurodegenerative disorder. It was concluded that while MPRI may not be a useful biomarker for FXTAs, it was found that middle cerebellar peduncle (MCP) width, midbrain and pons cross-sectional area were reduced in patients with FXTAS when compared to both the premutation carriers without FXTAS and the controls. It was, however, also found that age was an important predictor of midbrain and pons cross-sectional area. Further, a subset of premutation carriers who later developed FXTAS symptoms had a reduced MCP width in their follow-up visit when compared to their initial visit. Thus, it was concluded that decreased MCP width may be one of the first notable signs of FXTAS, and thus a biomarker to identifying FXTAS at risk patients.

This paper reached their conclusion using p-values to test their hypothesis. p-values determine whether the null hypothesis can be rejected. Significance testing assumes that the null hypothesis is true until it is proven otherwise. The smaller the p-value is, the more the null hypothesis can be rejected with greater certainty. The significance level for p ¡ 0.007 was set for all group and regression analysis, and the Bonferroni post-hoc analyses were set at p ¡ 0.050. Using p-values for multiple variables can lead to p-hacking, where no trait has any real impact, but one appears significant due to sampling variation. However, this study mitigated this issue using the Bonferroni method. The Bonferroni method allowed the study to filter the other possible biomarkers to just MCP width. Lower p-values can sometimes be interpreted as proving that there is a stronger relationship between two variables where the relationship does not exist, as p-values is just an indicator for the likelihood of the null hypothesis being false. Confidence intervals, on the other hand, would provide a range in which the true value is within a certain probability. Confidence intervals would also allow the

study to show the mean change of the traits (MCP, MRPI, midbrain and pons cross-sectional area)