

Developing Efficient Algorithms

Archie Powell

November 30th, 2023

Developing Efficient Algorithms

Table of Contents

- 1 Big O Notation (Recap)
- 2 Time Complexity Analysis
- 3 Further Time Complexity Analysis
- 4 Further Performance Analysis
- 5 Lecture summary

Section 1

Big O Notation (Recap)



Execution time vs growth rate

- Suppose two algorithms perform the same task, such as search (linear search vs. binary search). Which one is better?
- You could implement both and measure their execution times.
- However, there are 2 problems:
 - Other tasks running on your computer may be using computing resources which will affect the execution times.
 - The execution will depend on the input, such as the number of elements or where items are in the data structure.
- Thus, we instead analyse the *growth rate* of the algorithm.



Measuring Algorithmic Efficiency Using Big O Notation

- We use Big O notation to represent the order of magnitude of the growth rate of an algorithm.
 - e.g $O(n)$ means the growth rate is of order of n in magnitude.
 - i.e the execution time is proportional to the size of the array.
- Big O notation represents the growth rate in the *worst-case scenario*, so the algorithm will never be slower than this.
- As Big O is measuring the order of magnitude, we ignore multiplicative constants:
 - $O(n/2) = O(n) = O(100n)$



Section 2

Time Complexity Analysis



Example 1

```
01 |         long k = 0;
02 |         for(int i = 1; i <=n; i++){
03 |             k = k + 5;
04 |         }
```

- What is the time complexity of this code?

Example 1

```
01 |         long k = 0;
02 |         for(int i = 1; i <=n; i++){
03 |             k = k + 5;
04 |         }
```

- What is the time complexity of this code?
- Since the loop is executed n times, the time complexity of the loop is:
- $T(n) = \text{constant } b + (\text{constant } c) * n = O(n)$



Example 1 - Testing

```
1 public class PerformanceTest {
2     public static void main(String[] args) {
3         getTime(1000000);
4         getTime(10000000);
5         getTime(100000000);
6         getTime(1000000000);
7     }
8
9     public static void getTime (long n) {
10        long startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
11        long k = 0;
12        for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++) {
13            k = k + 5;
14        }
15        long endTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
16        System.out.println("Execution time for n = " + n
17            + " is " + (endTime - startTime) + " milliseconds");
18    }
19 }
```

```
Execution time for n = 1000000 is 6 milliseconds
Execution time for n = 10000000 is 61 milliseconds
Execution time for n = 100000000 is 610 milliseconds
Execution time for n = 1000000000 is 6048 milliseconds
```



Example 2

```
01 |         long k = 0;
02 |         for(int i = 1; i <= n; i++){
03 |             for(int j = 1; j <= n; j++){
04 |                 k = k + i + j;
05 |             }
06 |         }
```

- What is the time complexity of this code?



Example 2

```
01 |         long k = 0;
02 |         for(int i = 1; i <= n; i++){
03 |             for(int j = 1; j <= n; j++){
04 |                 k = k + i + j;
05 |             }
06 |         }
```

- What is the time complexity of this code?
- The internal calculation ($i + j + k$) runs in constant time.
- The outer loop runs n times; for each outer loop iteration, the inner loop will run n times.
- Therefore the time complexity for the loop is:
- $T(n) = (\text{constant } c) * n * n = O(n^2)$



Example 3

```
01 |         long k = 0;
02 |         for(int i = 1; i <= n; i++){
03 |             for(int j = 1; j <= i; j++){
04 |                 k = k + i + j;
05 |             }
06 |         }
```

- What is the time complexity of this code?



Example 3

```
01 |         long k = 0;
02 |         for(int i = 1; i <= n; i++){
03 |             for(int j = 1; j <= i; j++){
04 |                 k = k + i + j;
05 |             }
06 |         }
```

- What is the time complexity of this code?
- The internal calculation ($i + j + k$) runs in constant time.
- The outer loop runs n times.
- For $i=1, 2, \dots$ the inner loop will execute 1 time, 2 times, and n times. Thus the time complexity is:
- $T(n) = c + 2c + 3c + 4c + \dots + nc$
- $T(n) = cn(n+1)/2$
- $T(n) = \frac{c}{2}n^2 + \frac{c}{2}n = O(n^2)$



Useful summations

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + (n - 2) + (n - 1) = \frac{n(n - 1)}{2} = O(n^2)$$

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + (n - 1) + n = \frac{n(n + 1)}{2} = O(n^2)$$

$$a^0 + a^1 + a^2 + a^3 + \dots + a^{(n-1)} + a^n = \frac{a^{n+1} - 1}{a - 1} = O(a^n)$$

$$2^0 + 2^1 + 2^2 + 2^3 + \dots + 2^{(n-1)} + 2^n = \frac{2^{n+1} - 1}{2 - 1} = 2^{n+1} - 1 = O(2^n)$$



Section 3

Further Time Complexity Analysis



Binary Search

```
1 public class BinarySearch {
2     /** Use binary search to find the key in the list */
3     public static int binarySearch(int[] list, int key) {
4         int low = 0;
5         int high = list.length - 1;
6
7         while (high >= low) {
8             int mid = (low + high) / 2;
9             if (key < list[mid])
10                 high = mid - 1;
11             else if (key == list[mid])
12                 return mid;
13             else
14                 low = mid + 1;
15         }
16
17         return -low - 1; // Now high < low, key not found
18     }
19 }
```



Binary Search - Runtime Complexity

- Let $T(n)$ denote the time complexity for a binary search on a list of n elements. Without loss of generality, assume n is a power of 2 and $k = \log n$.

```
1 public class BinarySearch {
2     /** Use binary search to find the key in the list */
3     public static int binarySearch(int[] list, int key) {
4         int low = 0;
5         int high = list.length - 1;
6
7         while (high >= low) {
8             int mid = (low + high) / 2;
9             if (key < list[mid])
10                high = mid - 1;
11             else if (key == list[mid])
12                return mid;
13             else
14                low = mid + 1;
15         }
16         return -low - 1; // Now high < low, key not found
17     }
18 }
19 }
```



Binary Search - Runtime Complexity

- Let $T(n)$ denote the time complexity for a binary search on a list of n elements. Without loss of generality, assume n is a power of 2 and $k = \log n$.
- $T(n) = T(\frac{n}{2}) + c$

```
1 public class BinarySearch {
2     /** Use binary search to find the key in the list */
3     public static int binarySearch(int[] list, int key) {
4         int low = 0;
5         int high = list.length - 1;
6
7         while (high >= low) {
8             int mid = (low + high) / 2;
9             if (key < list[mid])
10                 high = mid - 1;
11             else if (key == list[mid])
12                 return mid;
13             else
14                 low = mid + 1;
15         }
16         return -low - 1; // Now high < low, key not found
17     }
18 }
19 }
```



Binary Search - Runtime Complexity

- Let $T(n)$ denote the time complexity for a binary search on a list of n elements. Without loss of generality, assume n is a power of 2 and $k = \log n$.
- $T(n) = T(\frac{n}{2}) + c = T(\frac{n}{2^2}) + 2c$
- $T(n) = T(\frac{n}{2^k}) + kc$
- $T(n) = T(\frac{n}{2^{\log n}}) + c \log n$
- $T(n) = T(\frac{n}{n}) + c \log n$
- $T(n) = 1 + c \log n = O(\log n)$

```
1 public class BinarySearch {
2     /** Use binary search to find the key in the list */
3     public static int binarySearch(int[] list, int key) {
4         int low = 0;
5         int high = list.length - 1;
6
7         while (high >= low) {
8             int mid = (low + high) / 2;
9             if (key < list[mid])
10                 high = mid - 1;
11             else if (key == list[mid])
12                 return mid;
13             else
14                 low = mid + 1;
15         }
16         return -low - 1; // Now high < low, key not found
17     }
18 }
19 }
```



Common Recurrence Functions

<i>Recurrence Relation</i>	<i>Result</i>	<i>Example</i>
$T(n) = T(n/2) + O(1)$	$T(n) = O(\log n)$	Binary search, Euclid's GCD
$T(n) = T(n - 1) + O(1)$	$T(n) = O(n)$	Linear search
$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(1)$	$T(n) = O(n)$	Checkpoint Question 22.20
$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$	$T(n) = O(n \log n)$	Merge sort (Chapter 23)
$T(n) = T(n - 1) + O(n)$	$T(n) = O(n^2)$	Selection sort
$T(n) = 2T(n - 1) + O(1)$	$T(n) = O(2^n)$	Tower of Hanoi
$T(n) = T(n - 1) + T(n - 2) + O(1)$	$T(n) = O(2^n)$	Recursive Fibonacci algorithm



Recursive Fibonacci

```
/** The method for finding the Fibonacci number */  
public static long fib(long index) {  
    if (index == 0) // Base case  
        return 0;  
    else if (index == 1) // Base case  
        return 1;  
    else // Reduction and recursive calls  
        return fib(index - 1) + fib(index - 2);  
}
```



Recursive Fibonacci - Runtime Complexity

```
/** The method for finding the Fibonacci number */  
public static long fib(long index) {  
    if (index == 0) // Base case  
        return 0;  
    else if (index == 1) // Base case  
        return 1;  
    else // Reduction and recursive calls  
        return fib(index - 1) + fib(index - 2);  
}
```

- Let $T(n)$ denote the complexity for the algorithm that finds $\text{fib}(n)$ and c denote the constant time for comparing the index with 0 and 1; that is, $T(1)$ and $T(0)$ are c .
- $T(n) = T(n-1) + T(n-2) + c$
- $T(n) \leq 2T(n-1) + c$
- $T(n) \leq 2(2T(n-2) + c) + c$
- $T(n) \leq 2(2(2T(n-3) + c) + c) + c$
- $T(n) \leq O(2^n)$



Recursive Fibonacci - Runtime Complexity

```
1  T(n) = T(n-1) + T(n-2) + c
2      = T(n-2) + T(n-3) + c + T(n-2) + c
3      = 2T(n-2) + T(n-3) + 2c
4      >= 2T(n-2) + 2c
5      = 2T(n-3) + T(n-4) + c + 2c
6      = 2T(n-3) + 2T(n-4) + 2c + 2c
7      = 2T(n-3) + 2T(n-4) + 4c
8      = 2T(n-4) + 2T(n-5) + 2c + 2T(n-4) + 4c
9      = 4T(n-4) + 2T(n-5) + 6c
10     >= 4T(n-4) + 6c
11     = 4T(n-5) + 4T(n-6) + 10c
12     = 4T(n-6) + 4T(n-7) + 4c + 4T(n-6) + 10c
13     = 8T(n-6) + 4T(n-7) + 14c
14     >= 8T(n-6) + 14c
15     = .....
16     = .....
17     = 2^k T(n - 2k) + (2^(k+1)-2)c
```

for, k steps, $n - 2k = 0$ or, 1 the recursion stops

$$\Rightarrow n = 2k$$

$$\Rightarrow k = n / 2$$

so, from the recurrence relation

$$= 2^{(n/2)} * T(0) + (2^{((n/2)+1)} - 2) * c$$

$$= 2^{(n/2)} * c + (2^{((n/2)+1)} - 2) * c$$

$$= O(2^{(n/2)})$$



Iterative Fibonacci

```
1 import java.util.Scanner;
2
3 public class ImprovedFibonacci {
4     /** Main method */
5     public static void main(String args[]) {
6         // Create a Scanner
7         Scanner input = new Scanner(System.in);
8         System.out.print("Enter an index for the Fibonacci number: ");
9         int index = input.nextInt();
10
11         // Find and display the Fibonacci number
12         System.out.println(
13             "Fibonacci number at index " + index + " is " + fib(index));
14     }
15
16     /** The method for finding the Fibonacci number */
17     public static long fib(long n) {
18         long f0 = 0; // For fib(0)
19         long f1 = 1; // For fib(1)
20         long f2 = 1; // For fib(2)
21
22         if (n == 0)
23             return f0;
24         else if (n == 1)
25             return f1;
26         else if (n == 2)
27             return f2;
28
29         for (int i = 3; i <= n; i++) {
30             f0 = f1;
31             f1 = f2;
32             f2 = f0 + f1;
33         }
34         return f2;
35     }
36 }
37 }
```

Enter an index for the Fibonacci number: 6

Fibonacci number at index 6 is 8

Enter an index for the Fibonacci number: 7

Fibonacci number at index 7 is 13



Dynamic programming

- This iterative implementation uses an approach called *dynamic programming*.
- Dynamic programming is the process of solving subproblems, then combining the solutions of the subproblems to obtain an overall solution.
- This naturally leads to a recursive solution.
- However, it would be inefficient to use recursion, because the subproblems overlap.
- The key idea behind dynamic programming is to solve each subproblem only once and store the results for subproblems for later use to avoid redundant computing of the subproblems.
- Can we write a recursive approach that does this?



Improved Recursive Approach

```
1      private static Map<Integer, Integer> results = new HashMap<>();
2
3      public static int fibonacci(int n) {
4          if (results.containsKey(n)) {
5              return results.get(n);
6          }
7
8          int result;
9          if (n <= 1) {
10             result = n;
11         } else {
12             result = fibonacci(n - 1) + fibonacci(n - 2);
13         }
14
15         results.put(n, result);
16         return result;
17     }
18
19     public static void main(String[] args) {
20         // Example usage
21         int n = 10;
22         int result = fibonacci(n);
23         System.out.println("Fibonacci(" + n + ") = " + result);
24     }
```



Section 4

Further Performance Analysis



Considering performance

- The Big O notation provides a good theoretical estimate of algorithm efficiency. However, two algorithms of the same time complexity are not necessarily equally efficient.
 - Two algorithms may both be $O(n)$ but if one completes in significantly less time then we shouldn't think of these algorithms as equally efficient.
- We will now look at another common algorithm, starting from an inefficient implementation and step-by-step improving its efficiency.



Greatest Common Divisor (GCD)

- The greatest common divisor (GCD) of two integers is the largest number that can evenly divide both integers.
- We will start by looking at a *brute force* algorithm.
- Brute force refers to an algorithmic approach that solves a problem in the simplest or most direct or obvious way.
 - As a result, such an algorithm can end up doing far more work to solve a given problem than a more sophisticated algorithm might do.
 - On the other hand, a brute-force algorithm is often easier to implement than a more sophisticated one and, because of this simplicity, sometimes it can be more efficient.



Greatest Common Divisor (GCD) - Brute force approach

```
public static int gcd(int m, int n) {  
    int gcd = 1;  
  
    for (int k = 2; k <= m && k <= n; k++) {  
        if (m % k == 0 && n % k == 0)  
            gcd = k;  
    }  
  
    return gcd;  
}
```

- The greatest common divisor (GCD) of two integers is the largest number that can evenly divide both integers.
- We will start by looking at a *brute force* algorithm.
- Brute force refers to an algorithmic approach that solves a problem in the simplest or most direct or obvious way.
 - Drawback: does more work than more efficient algorithms.
 - Plus: easier to implement.



Greatest Common Divisor (GCD) - Output

Enter first integer: 2525

Enter second integer: 125

The greatest common divisor for 2525 and 125 is 25

Enter first integer: 3

Enter second integer: 3

The greatest common divisor for 3 and 3 is 3



Greatest Common Divisor (GCD) - Improved approach

```
for (int k = n; k >= 1; k--) {  
    if (m % k == 0 && n % k == 0) {  
        gcd = k;  
        break;  
    }  
}
```

- Rather than searching a possible divisor from 1 up, it is more efficient to search from n down.
- Here, we assume $m \geq n$.
- This way, the first common divisor found will be the greatest.
- When the GCD has been found, we break out of the loop.



Greatest Common Divisor (GCD) - Further improved approach

```
if (m % n == 0) return n;

for (int k = n / 2; k >= 1; k--) {
    if (m % k == 0 && n % k == 0) {
        gcd = k;
        break;
    }
}
```

- A divisor for a number n cannot be greater than $n / 2$, so you can further improve the algorithm by updating the loop conditions.
- However, you need to check whether n is a divisor for m (e.g $n=8$, $m=16$) and if so, return n .
- Again, when the GCD has been found, we break out of the loop.



Greatest Common Divisor (GCD) - Euclid's Algorithm

```
public static int gcd(int m, int n) {  
    if (m % n == 0)  
        return n;  
    else  
        return gcd(n, m % n);  
}
```

- A more efficient algorithm for finding the GCD was discovered by Euclid around 300BC.
- It can be defined recursively as follows:
 - Let $\text{gcd}(m, n)$ denote the GCD for integers m and n :
 - If $m \% n = 0$, $\text{gcd}(m, n) = n$.
 - Otherwise $\text{gcd}(m, n) = \text{gcd}(n, m \% n)$.
- For example:
 - $\text{gcd}(15, 9) = \text{gcd}(9, 15 \% 9) = \text{gcd}(9, 6)$.
 - $\text{gcd}(9, 6) = \text{gcd}(6, 9 \% 6) = \text{gcd}(6, 3)$.
 - Since $6 \% 3 = 0$, $\text{gcd} = 3$.



Why does this work?

- This works because of an observation that we can make about common divisors.
- Suppose $m \% n = r$.
- Thus $m = qn + r$, where q is the quotient of $\frac{m}{n}$.
- Since $m = a \times \text{gcd}(m, n)$, $n = b \times \text{gcd}(m, n)$, and $r = m - qn$:
 - $r = (a - qb)(\text{gcd}(m, n))$.
- Therefore m , n and r all have the same gcd.
 - i.e $\text{gcd}(m, n) = \text{gcd}(n, r)$, where $r = m \% n$.



Time complexity

- The best case time complexity is $O(1)$, which happens when $m \% n = 0$.
- For the worst case, consider the method calls:
 - We are doing $m \% n$ every 2 method calls.
 - If $n > \frac{m}{2}$, then $m \% n = m - n < \frac{m}{2}$
 - If $n \leq \frac{m}{2}$, then $m \% n < \frac{m}{2}$ since the remainder will always be less than m .
- Therefore every 2 function calls, n is reduced at least half.
- After invoking gcd k times, this second parameter is less than $\frac{n}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}}$ (where n is the input size), which is greater than or equal to 1.
- $\frac{n}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}} \geq 1 \rightarrow n \geq 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \rightarrow \log n \geq \frac{k}{2} \rightarrow k \leq 2 \log n$
- Therefore the worse case time complexity is $O(\log n)$.



Closing thoughts

- Consider algorithms and data structures that best fit the time and space complexity requirements of the problem you are trying to solve.
 - If you have very limited memory, some algorithms won't be suitable even if they are very time efficient.
 - If you are primarily performing a specific operation e.g searching through data, it makes sense to choose a data structure that is efficient at this operation even at the expense of other operations.
- If an algorithm has found the best solution to a problem already, it doesn't need to be run for any more iterations.
- Just because two algorithms have the same time complexity, doesn't mean they are equivalent. A significant amount of today's data structure efficiency research focuses on shaving off small amounts of complexity e.g from $O(2^{0.337n})$ to $O(2^{0.291n})$ in subset sum problem.



Section 5

Lecture summary

Lecture summary

- 1 Big O Notation (Recap)
- 2 Time Complexity Analysis
- 3 Further Time Complexity Analysis
- 4 Further Performance Analysis
- 5 Lecture summary

Thank you! Questions?