

# Developing Efficient Algorithms

Archie Powell

November 30th, 2023

Developing Efficient Algorithms



# Table of Contents

- ① Big O Notation (Recap)
- ② Time Complexity Analysis
- ③ Further Time Complexity Analysis
- ④ Further Performance Analysis
- ⑤ Lecture summary



# Section 1

Big O Notation (Recap)



# Execution time vs growth rate

- Suppose two algorithms perform the same task, such as search (linear search vs. binary search). Which one is better?
- You could implement both and measure their execution times.
- However, there are 2 problems:
  - Other tasks running on your computer may be using computing resources which will affect the execution times.
  - The execution will depend on the input, such as the number of elements or where items are in the data structure.
- Thus, we instead analyse the *growth rate* of the algorithm.



# Measuring Algorithmic Efficiency Using Big O Notation

- We use Big O notation to represent the order of magnitude of the growth rate of an algorithm.
  - e.g  $O(n)$  means the growth rate is of order of  $n$  in magnitude.
  - i.e the execution time is proportional to the size of the array.
- Big O notation represents the growth rate in the *worst-case scenario*, so the algorithm will never be slower than this.
- As Big O is measuring the order of magnitude, we ignore multiplicative constants:
  - $O(n/2) = O(n) = O(100n)$

## Section 2

### Time Complexity Analysis



## Example 1

```
01 |         long k = 0;  
02 |         for(int i = 1; i <=n; i++) {  
03 |             k = k + 5;  
04 | }
```

- What is the time complexity of this code?

## Example 1

```
01 |         long k = 0;  
02 |         for(int i = 1; i <=n; i++) {  
03 |             k = k + 5;  
04 |         }
```

- What is the time complexity of this code?
- Since the loop is executed  $n$  times, the time complexity of the loop is:
- $T(n) = \text{constant } b + (\text{constant } c)*n = O(n)$

# Example 1 - Testing

```
1 public class PerformanceTest {  
2     public static void main(String[] args) {  
3         getTime(1000000);  
4         getTime(10000000);  
5         getTime(100000000);  
6         getTime(1000000000);  
7     }  
8  
9     public static void getTime (long n) {  
10        long startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();  
11        long k = 0;  
12        for (int i = 1; i <= n; i++) {  
13            k = k + 5;  
14        }  
15        long endTime = System.currentTimeMillis();  
16        System.out.println("Execution time for n = " + n  
17            + " is " + (endTime - startTime) + " milliseconds");  
18    }  
19 }
```

```
Execution time for n = 1000000 is 6 milliseconds  
Execution time for n = 10000000 is 61 milliseconds  
Execution time for n = 100000000 is 610 milliseconds  
Execution time for n = 1000000000 is 6048 milliseconds
```



## Example 2

```
01 |     long k = 0;
02 |     for(int i = 1; i <= n; i++){
03 |         for(int j = 1; j <= n; j++) {
04 |             k = k + i + j;
05 |         }
06 |     }
```

- What is the time complexity of this code?

## Example 2

```
01 |         long k = 0;
02 |         for(int i = 1; i <= n; i++) {
03 |             for(int j = 1; j <= n; j++) {
04 |                 k = k + i + j;
05 |             }
06 |         }
```

- What is the time complexity of this code?
- The internal calculation ( $i + j + k$ ) runs in constant time.
- The outer loop runs  $n$  times; for each outer loop iteration, the inner loop will run  $n$  times.
- Therefore the time complexity for the loop is:
- $T(n) = (\text{constant } c) * n * n = O(n^2)$

## Example 3

```
01 |     long k = 0;
02 |     for(int i = 1; i <= n; i++){
03 |         for(int j = 1; j <= i; j++){
04 |             k = k + i + j;
05 |         }
06 |     }
```

- What is the time complexity of this code?



## Example 3

```
01 |         long k = 0;
02 |         for(int i = 1; i <= n; i++){
03 |             for(int j = 1; j <= i; j++){
04 |                 k = k + i + j;
05 |             }
06 |         }
```

- What is the time complexity of this code?
- The internal calculation ( $i + j + k$ ) runs in constant time.
- The outer loop runs  $n$  times.
- For  $i=1, 2, \dots$  the inner loop will execute 1 time, 2 times, and  $n$  times. Thus the time complexity is:
  - $T(n) = c + 2c + 3c + 4c + \dots + nc$
  - $T(n) = cn(n+1)/2$
  - $T(n) = \frac{c}{2}n^2 + \frac{c}{2}n = O(n^2)$

# Useful summations

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + (n - 2) + (n - 1) = \frac{n(n - 1)}{2} = O(n^2)$$

$$1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + (n - 1) + n = \frac{n(n + 1)}{2} = O(n^2)$$

$$a^0 + a^1 + a^2 + a^3 + \dots + a^{(n-1)} + a^n = \frac{a^{n+1} - 1}{a - 1} = O(a^n)$$

$$2^0 + 2^1 + 2^2 + 2^3 + \dots + 2^{(n-1)} + 2^n = \frac{2^{n+1} - 1}{2 - 1} = 2^{n+1} - 1 = O(2^n)$$

## Section 3

### Further Time Complexity Analysis



# Binary Search

```
1  public class BinarySearch {  
2      /** Use binary search to find the key in the list */  
3      public static int binarySearch(int[] list, int key) {  
4          int low = 0;  
5          int high = list.length - 1;  
6  
7          while (high >= low) {  
8              int mid = (low + high) / 2;  
9              if (key < list[mid])  
10                  high = mid - 1;  
11              else if (key == list[mid])  
12                  return mid;  
13              else  
14                  low = mid + 1;  
15          }  
16  
17          return -low - 1; // Now high < low, key not found  
18      }  
19  }
```

# Binary Search - Runtime Complexity

- Let  $T(n)$  denote the time complexity for a binary search on a list of  $n$  elements. Without loss of generality, assume  $n$  is a power of 2 and  $k = \log n$ .

```
1 public class BinarySearch {  
2     /** Use binary search to find the key in the list */  
3     public static int binarySearch(int[] list, int key) {  
4         int low = 0;  
5         int high = list.length - 1;  
6  
7         while (high >= low) {  
8             int mid = (low + high) / 2;  
9             if (key < list[mid])  
10                 high = mid - 1;  
11             else if (key == list[mid])  
12                 return mid;  
13             else  
14                 low = mid + 1;  
15         }  
16  
17         return -low - 1; // Now high < low, key not found  
18     }  
19 }
```

# Binary Search - Runtime Complexity

- Let  $T(n)$  denote the time complexity for a binary search on a list of  $n$  elements. Without loss of generality, assume  $n$  is a power of 2 and  $k = \log n$ .
- $T(n) = T(\frac{n}{2}) + c$

```
1 public class BinarySearch {  
2     /** Use binary search to find the key in the list */  
3     public static int binarySearch(int[] list, int key) {  
4         int low = 0;  
5         int high = list.length - 1;  
6  
7         while (high >= low) {  
8             int mid = (low + high) / 2;  
9             if (key < list[mid])  
10                 high = mid - 1;  
11             else if (key == list[mid])  
12                 return mid;  
13             else  
14                 low = mid + 1;  
15         }  
16  
17         return -low - 1; // Now high < low, key not found  
18     }  
19 }
```

# Binary Search - Runtime Complexity

- Let  $T(n)$  denote the time complexity for a binary search on a list of  $n$  elements. Without loss of generality, assume  $n$  is a power of 2 and  $k = \log n$ .

- $T(n) = T(\frac{n}{2}) + c = T(\frac{n}{2^2}) + 2c$
- $T(n) = T(\frac{n}{2^k}) + kc$
- $T(n) = T(\frac{n}{2^{\log n}}) + c \log n$
- $T(n) = T(\frac{n}{n}) + c \log n$
- $T(n) = 1 + c \log n = O(\log n)$

```
1 public class BinarySearch {  
2     /* Use binary search to find the key in the list */  
3     public static int binarySearch(int[] list, int key) {  
4         int low = 0;  
5         int high = list.length - 1;  
6  
7         while (high >= low) {  
8             int mid = (low + high) / 2;  
9             if (key < list[mid])  
10                high = mid - 1;  
11            else if (key == list[mid])  
12                return mid;  
13            else  
14                low = mid + 1;  
15        }  
16  
17        return -low - 1; // Now high < low, key not found  
18    }  
19 }
```



# Common Recurrence Functions

Recurrence Relation	Result	Example
$T(n) = T(n/2) + O(1)$	$T(n) = O(\log n)$	Binary search, Euclid's GCD
$T(n) = T(n - 1) + O(1)$	$T(n) = O(n)$	Linear search
$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(1)$	$T(n) = O(n)$	Checkpoint Question 22.20
$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$	$T(n) = O(n \log n)$	Merge sort (Chapter 23)
$T(n) = T(n - 1) + O(n)$	$T(n) = O(n^2)$	Selection sort
$T(n) = 2T(n - 1) + O(1)$	$T(n) = O(2^n)$	Tower of Hanoi
$T(n) = T(n - 1) + T(n - 2) + O(1)$	$T(n) = O(2^n)$	Recursive Fibonacci algorithm

# Recursive Fibonacci

```
/** The method for finding the Fibonacci number */
public static long fib(long index) {
    if (index == 0) // Base case
        return 0;
    else if (index == 1) // Base case
        return 1;
    else // Reduction and recursive calls
        return fib(index - 1) + fib(index - 2);
}
```



# Recursive Fibonacci - Runtime Complexity

```
/** The method for finding the Fibonacci number */
public static long fib(long index) {
    if (index == 0) // Base case
        return 0;
    else if (index == 1) // Base case
        return 1;
    else // Reduction and recursive calls
        return fib(index - 1) + fib(index - 2);
}
```

- Let  $T(n)$  denote the complexity for the algorithm that finds  $\text{fib}(n)$  and  $c$  denote the constant time for comparing the index with 0 and 1; that is,  $T(1)$  and  $T(0)$  are  $c$ .
- $T(n) = T(n-1) + T(n-2) + c$
- $T(n) \leq 2T(n-1) + c$
- $T(n) \leq 2(2T(n-2) + c) + c$
- $T(n) \leq 2(2(2T(n-3) + c) + c) + c$
- $T(n) \leq O(2^n)$

# Recursive Fibonacci - Runtime Complexity

```
1   T(n) = T(n-1) + T(n-2) + c
2   = T(n-2) + T(n-3) + c + T(n-2) + c
3   = 2T(n-2) + T(n-3) + 2c
4   >= 2T(n-2) + 2c
5   = 2T(n-3) + T(n-4) + c) + 2c
6   = 2T(n-3) + 2T(n-4) + 2c + 2c
7   = 2T(n-3) + 2T(n-4) + 4c
8   = 2T(n-4) + 2T(n-5) + 2c + 2T(n-4) + 4c
9   = 4T(n-4) + 2T(n-5) + 6c
10  >= 4T(n-4) + 6c
11  = 4T(n-5) + 4T(n-6) + 10c
12  = 4T(n-6) + 4T(n-7) + 4c + 4T(n-6) + 10c
13  = 8T(n-6) + 4T(n-7) + 14c
14  >= 8T(n-6) + 14c
15  =
16  =
17  = 2^k T(n - 2k) + (2^(k+1)-2)c
18
19
20
21 for, k steps, n - 2k = 0 or, 1 the recursion stops
22 => n = 2k
23 => k = n / 2
24
25
26
27 so, from the recurrence relation
28 = 2^(n/2) * T(0) + (2^(n/2) + 1) - 2) * c
29 = 2^(n/2) * c + (2^(n/2) + 1) - 2) * c
30 = Ω(2^(n/2))
```

# Iterative Fibonacci

```
1 import java.util.Scanner;
2
3 public class ImprovedFibonacci {
4     /** Main method */
5     public static void main(String args[]) {
6         // Create a Scanner
7         Scanner input = new Scanner(System.in);
8         System.out.print("Enter an index for the Fibonacci number: ");
9         int index = input.nextInt();
10
11        // Find and display the Fibonacci number
12        System.out.println(
13            "Fibonacci number at index " + index + " is " + fib(index));
14    }
15
16    /** The method for finding the Fibonacci number */
17    public static long fib(long n) {
18        long f0 = 0; // For fib(0)
19        long f1 = 1; // For fib(1)
20        long f2 = 1; // For fib(2)
21
22        if (n == 0)
23            return f0;
24        else if (n == 1)
25            return f1;
26        else if (n == 2)
27            return f2;
28
29        for (int i = 3; i <= n; i++) {
30            f0 = f1;
31            f1 = f2;
32            f2 = f0 + f1;
33        }
34
35        return f2;
36    }
37 }
```

Enter an index for the Fibonacci number: 6

Enter an index for the Fibonacci number: 7

# Dynamic programming

- This iterative implementation uses an approach called *dynamic programming*.
- Dynamic programming is the process of solving subproblems, then combining the solutions of the subproblems to obtain an overall solution.
- This naturally leads to a recursive solution.
- However, it would be inefficient to use recursion, because the subproblems overlap.
- The key idea behind dynamic programming is to solve each subproblem only once and store the results for subproblems for later use to avoid redundant computing of the subproblems.
- Can we write a recursive approach that does this?



# Improved Recursive Approach

```
1  private static Map<Integer, Integer> results = new HashMap<>();
2
3  public static int fibonacci(int n) {
4      if (results.containsKey(n)) {
5          return results.get(n);
6      }
7
8      int result;
9      if (n <= 1) {
10          result = n;
11      } else {
12          result = fibonacci(n - 1) + fibonacci(n - 2);
13      }
14
15      results.put(n, result);
16      return result;
17  }
18
19  public static void main(String[] args) {
20      // Example usage
21      int n = 10;
22      int result = fibonacci(n);
23      System.out.println("Fibonacci(" + n + ") = " + result);
24  }
```

## Section 4

### Further Performance Analysis



# Considering performance

- The Big O notation provides a good theoretical estimate of algorithm efficiency. However, two algorithms of the same time complexity are not necessarily equally efficient.
  - Two algorithms may both be  $O(n)$  but if one completes in significantly less time then we shouldn't think of these algorithms as equally efficient.
- We will now look at another common algorithm, starting from an inefficient implementation and step-by-step improving its efficiency.



# Greatest Common Divisor (GCD)

- The greatest common divisor (GCD) of two integers is the largest number that can evenly divide both integers.
- We will start by looking at a *brute force* algorithm.
- Brute force refers to an algorithmic approach that solves a problem in the simplest or most direct or obvious way.
  - As a result, such an algorithm can end up doing far more work to solve a given problem than a more sophisticated algorithm might do.
  - On the other hand, a brute-force algorithm is often easier to implement than a more sophisticated one and, because of this simplicity, sometimes it can be more efficient.



# Greatest Common Divisor (GCD) - Brute force approach

```
public static int gcd(int m, int n) {  
    int gcd = 1;  
  
    for (int k = 2; k <= m && k <= n; k++) {  
        if (m % k == 0 && n % k == 0)  
            gcd = k;  
    }  
  
    return gcd;  
}
```

- The greatest common divisor (GCD) of two integers is the largest number that can evenly divide both integers.
- We will start by looking at a *brute force* algorithm.
- Brute force refers to an algorithmic approach that solves a problem in the simplest or most direct or obvious way.
  - Drawback: does more work than more efficient algorithms.
  - Plus: easier to implement.



# Greatest Common Divisor (GCD) - Output

Enter first integer: 2525

Enter second integer: 125

The greatest common divisor for 2525 and 125 is 25

Enter first integer: 3

Enter second integer: 3

The greatest common divisor for 3 and 3 is 3



# Greatest Common Divisor (GCD) - Improved approach

```
for (int k = n; k >= 1; k--) {  
    if (m % k == 0 && n % k == 0) {  
        gcd = k;  
        break;  
    }  
}
```

- Rather than searching a possible divisor from 1 up, it is more efficient to search from  $n$  down.
- Here, we assume  $m \geq n$ .
- This way, the first common divisor found will be the greatest.
- When the GCD has been found, we break out of the loop.

## Greatest Common Divisor (GCD) - Further improved approach

```
if (m % n == 0) return n;

for (int k = n / 2; k >= 1; k--) {
    if (m % k == 0 && n % k == 0) {
        gcd = k;
        break;
    }
}
```

- A divisor for a number  $n$  cannot be greater than  $n / 2$ , so you can further improve the algorithm by updating the loop conditions.
- However, you need to check whether  $n$  is a divisor for  $m$  (e.g  $n=8$ ,  $m=16$ ) and if so, return  $n$ .
- Again, when the GCD has been found, we break out of the loop.

# Greatest Common Divisor (GCD) - Euclid's Algorithm

```
public static int gcd(int m, int n) {  
    if (m % n == 0)  
        return n;  
    else  
        return gcd(n, m % n);  
}
```

- A more efficient algorithm for finding the GCD was discovered by Euclid around 300BC.
- It can be defined recursively as follows:
  - Let  $\text{gcd}(m, n)$  denote the GCD for integers  $m$  and  $n$ :
  - If  $m \% n = 0$ ,  $\text{gcd}(m, n) = n$ .
  - Otherwise  $\text{gcd}(m, n) = \text{gcd}(n, m \% n)$ .
- For example:
  - $\text{gcd}(15, 9) = \text{gcd}(9, 15 \% 9) = \text{gcd}(9, 6)$ .
  - $\text{gcd}(9, 6) = \text{gcd}(6, 9 \% 6) = \text{gcd}(6, 3)$ .
  - Since  $6 \% 3 = 0$ ,  $\text{gcd} = 3$ .



# Why does this work?

- This works because of an observation that we can make about common divisors.
- Suppose  $m \% n = r$ .
- Thus  $m = qn + r$ , where  $q$  is the quotient of  $\frac{m}{n}$ .
- Since  $m = a \times \text{gcd}(m, n)$ ,  $n = b \times \text{gcd}(m, n)$ , and  $r = m - qn$ :
  - $r = (a - qb)(\text{gcd}(m, n))$ .
- Therefore  $m$ ,  $n$  and  $r$  all have the same gcd.
  - i.e  $\text{gcd}(m, n) = \text{gcd}(n, r)$ , where  $r = m \% n$ .



# Time complexity

- The best case time complexity is  $O(1)$ , which happens when  $m \% n = 0$ .
- For the worst case, consider the method calls:
  - We are doing  $m \% n$  every 2 method calls.
  - If  $n > \frac{m}{2}$ , then  $m \% n = m - n < \frac{m}{2}$
  - If  $n \leq \frac{m}{2}$ , then  $m \% n < \frac{m}{2}$  since the remainder will always be less than  $m$ .
- Therefore every 2 function calls,  $n$  is reduced at least half.
- After invoking gcd  $k$  times, this second parameter is less than  $\frac{n}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}}$  (where  $n$  is the input size), which is greater than or equal to 1.
- $\frac{n}{2^{\frac{k}{2}}} \geq 1 \rightarrow n \geq 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \rightarrow \log n \geq \frac{k}{2} \rightarrow k \leq 2\log n$
- Therefore the worse case time complexity is  $O(\log n)$ .

# Closing thoughts

- Consider algorithms and data structures that best fit the time and space complexity requirements of the problem you are trying to solve.
  - If you have very limited memory, some algorithms won't be suitable even if they are very time efficient.
  - If you are primarily performing a specific operation e.g searching through data, it makes sense to choose a data structure that is efficient at this operation even at the expense of other operations.
- If an algorithm has found the best solution to a problem already, it doesn't need to be run for any more iterations.
- Just because two algorithms have the same time complexity, doesn't mean they are equivalent. A significant amount of today's data structure efficiency research focuses on shaving off small amounts of complexity e.g from  $O(2^{0.337n})$  to  $O(2^{0.291n})$  in subset sum problem.

## Section 5

Lecture summary



# Lecture summary

- ① Big O Notation (Recap)
- ② Time Complexity Analysis
- ③ Further Time Complexity Analysis
- ④ Further Performance Analysis
- ⑤ Lecture summary



**Thank you! Questions?**

