## zkLedger: Privacy-Preserving Auditing for Distributed Ledgers

• Reference: <a href="https://www.usenix.org/conference/nsdi18/presentation/narula">https://www.usenix.org/conference/nsdi18/presentation/narula</a>

## 1. Contributions

- the first distributed ledger system to support strong transaction privacy, public verifiability, and practical, userful auditing
  - Privacy: hides transacting banks and amounts
  - Public Verification: everyone can verify transactions
  - Auditing: compute linear functions over transactions
- do not require trusted setup and only rely on widely-used cryptographic assumptions

## 2. Overview

- 1. System Model
  - Banks
    - issue thransactions to transfer digital assets
    - should not be able to hide assets from the Auditor
  - Auditor
    - verifies certain operational aspects of transactions performed by the participants
    - should not be able to see individual bank transactions
    - should be able to detect an incorrect answer
  - Depositor
    - use and withdraw assets from the system
    - transactions are public
- 2. Building Blocks
  - Pedersen Commitment
  - Public-Key Encryption
    - a secret key  $sk_i$
    - lacksquare public key  $pk_i=h^{sk_i}$
  - Non-Interactive Zero-Knowledge Proofs
- 3. Security Model
  - does not assume that banks will behave honestly
  - assume banks can arbitrarily collude
  - Banks or the auditor might try to learn transaction contents

## 3. Design

Commitment

- each transaction has an entry for each Bank
- each entry includes a commitment to a value the amount of the asset that is being debited or credited to the bank
- the sum of every entry should be zero
- use <u>Pedersen commitments</u> to commit to the value
- Audit Token
  - $\circ$   $T_i := (pk_i)^{r_i}$
  - o to answer audits without knowing the randomness used in the commitment
  - $\circ$  To sum of values in a bank's column,  $\prod cm_i = g^{\sum v_i} h^{\sum r_i}$
  - $\circ$  a bank does not necessarily know all the commitment randomnesses  $r_k$
- Zero-Knowledge Proofs
  - o the spender can create to prove the invariants are maintained
  - Proof of Consent
    - consent to transfer
    - signature
  - Proof of Asset
    - lacksquare a new commitment  $cm_i'$  is a re-commitment of  $cm_i$  or  $\prod cm_i$
    - $lacktriangledown cm_i'$  is in range [0,N) where N is the size of message space (in zkLedger,  $N=2^{40}$ )
    - range proofs
  - Proof of Balance
    - lacksquare the committed values satisfy  $\sum v_i=0$
  - Proof of Consistency
    - $cm_i$  and  $T_i$  are generated by the same random  $r_i$  for each i
    - lacksquare  $\prod cm_i$  and  $\prod T_i$  are generated by the same random  $\sum r_i$