

Recall that the flow of constructing SNARK is

- Computation (Equation) \Rightarrow Circuits \Rightarrow QAP \Rightarrow Verifiable Computation \Rightarrow SNARK
 - [GGPR13] @ Eurocrypt'13: construct QAP for a circuit
 - [PGHR13] @ S&P'13 (Pinocchio): construct a verifiable computation protocol
 - [CFH+15] @ S&P'15 (Geppetto)
 - [DFK+16] @ S&P'16 (Cinderella)
 - [BCH+13] @ TCC'13: construct SNARK

Pinocchio Protocol

- <https://eprint.iacr.org/2013/279>

Suppose Alice wants to prove to Bob she knows $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{F}$ s.t. $c_1 \cdot c_2 \cdot (c_1 + c_3) = 7$.

Let Com be a (Pedersen) commitment.

1. Alice computes polynomials $V(x), W(x), Y(x)$ and $H(x)$
2. Bob chooses a random point $s \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and computes $\text{Com}(T(s))$
3. Alice computes $\text{Com}(V(s)), \text{Com}(W(s)), \text{Com}(Y(s))$ and $\text{Com}(H(s))$ and sends it to Bob
4. Bob checks $\text{Com}(V(s) \cdot W(s) - Y(s)) = \text{Com}(T(s) \cdot H(s))$
 - If Alice does not have a satisfying assignment, she cannot find $V(x), W(x), Y(x)$ and $H(x)$ s.t. $V(x) \cdot W(x) - Y(x) = H(x) \cdot T(x)$
 - Step 4 does not hold.

Question.

- In Step 3, how to compute $\text{Com}(T(s))$ without knowing $T(x)$?
 - Only Alice knows the target polynomial $T(x)$
- In Step 4, how to compute $\text{Com}(V(s)), \text{Com}(W(s)), \text{Com}(Y(s))$ and $\text{Com}(H(s))$?
 - Only Bob knows the random point s

Blind Evaluation of a Polynomial

Suppose Alice has a polynomial $P(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_dx^d$ and Bob has a point $s \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

Alice and Bob wish to compute $\text{Com}(P(s))$.

- naive method;
 - Alice sends $P(x)$ to Bob and he computes $\text{Com}(P(s))$ (and sends it to Alice).
 - Bob can learn $p(x)$.

- Bob sends s to Alice and she computes $\text{Com}(P(s))$ (and sends it to Bob).
 - Alice *can learn* s .

Remark. Since Pedersen commitment supports addition,

$$\text{Com}(x)^a \cdot \text{Com}(y)^b = \text{Com}(ax + by)$$

and thus, Pedersen commitment also supports *linear combinations*

- using Pedersen commitment;
 1. Bob sends to Alice $\text{Com}(1), \text{Com}(s), \dots, \text{Com}(s^d)$
 2. Alice computes

$$\text{Com}(P(s)) = \text{Com}(1)^{a_0} \text{Com}(s)^{a_1} \dots \text{Com}(s^d)^{a_d}$$

\Rightarrow Alice *cannot* learn s and Bob *cannot* learn $P(x)$, neither.

The Remaining Problem

1. making sure Alice computes her polynomials according to an assignment
 - resolved by introducing "verifiable" blind polynomial evaluation
2. hiding the assignment
 - resolved by masking the polynomials
3. computing multiplications from two commitments
 - resolved by the use of pairing of elliptic curves
4. non-interactive

Boolean Circuits and QSPs

- Quadratic Span Programs (QSPs) are very similar to QAPs.
- QSPs use only two sets of polynomials \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} since they only supports Boolean wire values.
- The divisibility check is updated to

$$P(x) = V(x) \cdot W(x)$$