Climate change reshapes the drivers of false spring risk across European trees

Authors:

Catherine J. Chamberlain, ORCID: 0000-0001-5495-3219 1,2 , Benjamin I. Cook 3 , Ignacio Morales-Castilla, ORCID: 0000-0002-8570-9312 4,5 & Elizabeth M. Wolkovich 1,2,6

Author affiliations:

¹Arnold Arboretum of Harvard University, 1300 Centre Street, Boston, Massachusetts, 02131 USA;

²Organismic & Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, 26 Oxford Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 02138 USA;

³NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, New York, New York, 10025 USA;

⁴GloCEE - Global Change Ecology and Evolution Group, Department of Life Sciences, Universidad de Alcalá, Alcalá de Henares, 28805 Spain

⁵Department of Environmental Science and Policy, George Mason University, Fairfax, Virginia, 22030 USA; ⁶Forest & Conservation Sciences, Faculty of Forestry, University of British Columbia, 2424 Main Mall, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4 Canada

*Corresponding author: 248.953.0189; cchamberlain@g.harvard.edu

Total word count: 5211

Introduction: 1008

Methods and Materials: 1410

Results: 1516

Discussion: 1815

No. of figures: 5

No of tables: 0

No. of supporting information files: 16 (Fig S1-S7; Table S1-S9)

Summary

(1) Temperate forests are shaped by late spring freezes after budburst—false springs—which may shift with climate change. Research to date has generated conflicting results, potentially because few studies focus on the multiple underlying drivers of false spring risk.

(2) Here, we assessed the effects of mean spring temperature, distance from the coast, elevation and the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) using PEP725 leafout data for six tree species across 11648 sites in Europe, to determine which were the strongest predictors of false spring risk and how these predictors shifted with climate change.

(3) All predictors influenced false spring risk before recent warming, but their effects have shifted in both magnitude and direction with warming. These shifts have potentially magnified the variation in false spring risk among species with an increase in risk for early-leafout species (i.e., Aesculus hippocastanum, Alnus glutinosa, Betula pendula) versus a decline or no change in risk among late-leafout species (i.e., Fagus sylvatica, Fraxinus excelsior, Quercus robur).

(4) Our results show how climate change has reshaped the drivers of false spring risk, complicating forecasts of future false springs, and potentially reshaping plant community dynamics given uneven shifts in risk across species.

Keywords: false spring, climate change, phenology, spring freeze, elevation, risk, leafout, temperate tree

Introduction

False springs—late spring freezing events after budburst that can cause damage to temperate tree and shrub species—may shift with climate change. With earlier springs due to warming Wolkovich2012; IPCC2014 the growing season is lengthening across many regions in the Northern Hemisphere Chen2005; Liu2006; Kukal2018 Longer growing seasons could translate to increased plant growth, assuming such increases are not offset by tissue losses due to false springs. Last spring freeze dates are not predicted to advance at the same rate as warming Inouye2008; Martin2010; Labe2016; Wypych2016a; Sgubin2018 potentially amplifying the effects of false spring events in some regions. Zbbrefbegin In Germany, for example, the last freeze date has advanced by 2.6 days per decade since 1955 Zohner2016 but budburst has advanced 4.3 days

per decade across Central Europe Fu2014; Vitasse2018Zbbrefend. To date, studies have variously found that spring freeze damage may increase Hannenin1991; Augspurger2013; Labe2016 remain the same Scheifinger2003 or even decrease Kramer1994; Vitra2017 with climate change. When damage does occur, studies have found it can take 16-38 days for trees to refoliate after a freeze Gu2008; Augspurger2009; Augspurger2013; Menzel2015 which can detrimentally affect crucial processes such as carbon uptake and nutrient cycling Hufkens2012; Richardson2013; Klosterman2018

Spring freezes are one of the largest limiting factors to species ranges and have greatly shaped plant life history strategies Kollas2014 Plants are generally the most freeze tolerant in the winter but this freeze tolerance greatly diminishes once individuals exit the dormancy phase (i.e. processes leading to budburst) through full leaf expansion Vitasse2014; Lenz2016 Thus, most individuals that initiate budburst and have not fully leafed out before the last spring freeze are at risk of leaf tissue loss, damage to the xylem, and slowed canopy development Gu2008; Hufkens2012 Plants have adapted to these early spring risks through various mechanisms with one common strategy being avoidance Vitasse2014 Many temperate species minimize freeze risk and optimize growth by using a complex mix of cues to initiate budburst: low winter temperatures (i.e., chilling), warm spring temperatures (i.e., forcing), and increasing spring daylengths (i.e., photoperiod). With climate change advancing, the interaction of these cues may shift spring phenologies both across and within species and sites, making some species less—or more—vulnerable to false springs than before.

Species may vary in their false spring risk for several major reasons. Species that leafout first each spring may be especially at risk of false springs, as their budburst occurs during times of year when the risk of freeze events is relatively high. To date these early-leafout species also appear to advance the most with warming Wolkovich2012 Thus, if climate change increases only the prevalence of late spring freezes, we would expect major increases in false spring risk for these species. In contrast, if climate change has restructured the timing and prevalence of false springs to later in the spring, then later-leafout species may experience major increases in false spring risk with climate change. Additional complexity in these predictions, however, comes from the potential of species-level differences in in their tolerance of low temperatures during leafout Lenz2013 and how quickly they progress from budburst to full leafout—when leaf tissue is least resistant to low temperature Augspurger2009; Lenz2013; Muffler2016; Zohner2020

Some research suggests false spring incidence has already begun to decline in many regions (i.e. across parts of North America and Asia); however, the prevalence of false springs has consistently increased across Europe since 1982 Liu2018 Understanding differing results across regions is difficult without understanding

the underlying drivers of false spring risk. Recent site-specific studies have examined some drivers, including elevation, where higher elevations appear at higher risk Vitra2017; Ma2018; Vitasse2018 and distance from the coast, where inland areas appear at higher risk Wypych2016a; Ma2018 Examining these drivers together, however, is likely necessary to determine which regions are at risk currently and which regions will be more at risk in the future. Most studies assess only one predictor (e.g. temperature, elevation or distance from the coast), making it difficult to examine how multiple factors may together shape risk. Further, because predictors can co-vary—for example, higher elevation sites are often more distant from the coast—the best estimates of what drives false springs should come from examining all predictors at once.

Estimates of what drives false spring risk should also examine if drivers are constant over time. With recent warming the importance of varying climatic factors on phenology has shifted Cook2016; Gauzere2019 which could in turn impact false spring risk. The importance of elevation, for example, may decline with warming. Because warming tends to be amplified at higher elevations Giorgi1997; Rangwala2012; Pepin2015 which can lead to increasing uniformity of budburst timing across elevations with climate change Vitasse2018 we may expect a lower effect of elevation on false spring risk in recent years. Warming impacts also appear greater further away from the coast, which could in turn impact how distance from the coast affects risk today Wypych2016a; Ma2018 Further, climate change can alter major climatic oscillations, including the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), which structures European climate. The NAO is tied to winter and spring circulation across Europe, with more positive NAO phases tending to result in higher than average winter and spring temperatures. With climate-change induced shifts, years with higher NAO indices have correlated to even earlier budburst dates since the late 1980s in some regions Chmielewski2001 suggesting the NAO's role in determining false spring risk with warming could also shift with climate change. Little research to date, however, has examined this.

Here we investigate the influence of known climatic and geographic factors on false spring risk **Schwartz1993** We assessed the number of false springs that occurred at 11648 sites across Europe using observed phenological data (755087 observations) for six temperate, deciduous trees, combined with daily gridded climate data (from 1951-2016), to understand (1) which climatic and geographic factors are the strongest predictors of false spring risk, and (2) how these major predictors have shifted with climate change across species. We focus on the major factors shown to influence false spring risk: mean spring temperature, elevation, distance from the coast, and NAO.

Materials and Methods

Phenological Data and Calculating Vegetative Risk

We obtained phenological data from the Pan European Phenology network (PEP725, www.pep725.eu), which provides open access phenology records across Europe **Templ2018** The phenological data spans large parts of Central Europe—primarily in Germany, Austria and Switzerland—and also covers parts of Ireland, the United Kingdom, the Mediterranean and Scandinavia (Figure 1). Since plants are most susceptible to damage from freezing temperatures between budburst and full leafout, we selected first leaf data **Meier2001** from the PEP725 dataset. Given our focus on understanding how climatic and geographic factors underlie false spring risk, we selected species well-represented across space and time and not expected to be altered dominantly by human influence (i.e., as crops and ornamental species often are), thus our selection criteria were as follows: (1) to be temperate, deciduous species that were not cultivars or used as crops, (2) there were at least 90,000 observations of BBCH 11 (leafout), (3) to represent over half of the total number of sites available (11648), and (4) there were observations for at least 65 out of the 66 years of the study (1951-2016) (Table S1). This resulted in six species: Aesculus hippocastanum Poir. (Sapindaceae), Alnus glutinosa (L.) Gaertn. (Betulaceae), Betula pendula Roth. (Betulaceae), Fagus sylvatica Ehrh. (Fagaceae), Fraxinus excelsior L. (Oleaceae), and Quercus robur L (Fagaceae).

Individuals are most at risk to damage in the spring between budburst and leafout, when freeze tolerance is lowest Sakai1987 To capture this 'high-risk' timeframe, we subtracted 12 days from the first leaf date to find budburst—which is the average rate of budburst across multiple studies and species **Donnelly2017**; Flynn2018; NPN2019—and then added 12 days from the first leaf date to find leafout to establish a standardized estimate for day of budburst, since the majority of the individuals were missing budburst and full leafout observations.

We additionally considered a model that altered the timing between budburst and leafout for each species. For this alternate model, we calculated budburst and leafout by subtracting and adding 11 days respectively from the first leaf date for Aesculus hippocastanum and Betula pendula, 12 days for Alnus glutinosa, 5 days for Fagus sylvatica, and 7 days for both Frazinus excelsior and Quercus robur based on growth chamber experiment data from phylogenetically related species Buerki2010; Wang2016; Hipp2017; Flynn2018

Climate Data

We collected daily gridded climate data from the European Climate Assessment & Dataset (ECA&D) and used the E-OBS 0.25 degree regular latitude-longitude grid (version 16). E-OBS version 16 incorporates station altitude in the interpolation scheme, thus spatially explicit information on day-to-day variability in the environmental lapse rate is captured Cornes2018 We used this daily minimum temperature dataset to determine if a false spring occurred. We defined false springs as temperatures at or below -2.2°C Schwartz1993 between budburst to leafout. Decades of research has found that many species sustain damage between budburst and leafout when temperatures drop below -2.2°C. However, as there is evidence of interspecific variation in spring freeze tolerance, we additionally performed our analyses considering a -5°C Sakai1987; Lenz2013 threshold for one model and performed an additional model considering varying temperature thresholds for different species Lenz2016; Muffler2016; Zohner2020 with -5°C for early-leafout species (i.e., Aesculus hippocastanum, Alnus glutinosa and Betula pendula) and -2.2°C for late-leafout species (i.e., Fagus sylvatica, Fraxinus excelsior and Quercus robur). In order to assess climatic effects, we calculated the mean spring temperature by using the daily mean temperature from March 1 through May 31. We used this date range to best capture temperatures likely after chilling had accumulated to compare differences in spring forcing temperatures across sites Basler2012; Korner2016 We collected NAO-index data from the KNMI Climate Explorer CPC daily NAO time series and selected the NAO indices from November until April to capture the effects of NAO on budburst for each region. We then took the mean NAO index during these months NAOdata More positive NAO indices typically result in higher than average winter and spring temperatures across Central Europe. Since the primary aim of the study is to predict false spring incidence in a changing climate, we split the data to create a binary 'climate change' parameter: before temperature trends increased (1951-1983), reported as '0' in the model, and after trends increased Stocker2013; Kharouba2018 to represent recent climate change, reported as '1' in the model.

Data Analysis

Simple regression models

We initally ran three simple regression models—following the same equation (below) but with varying response variables—to assess the effects of climate change on budburst, minimum temperatures between budburst and leafout and the number of false springs across species (Equation 1).

$$\epsilon_{i} \sim Normal(y_{i}, \sigma^{2})$$

$$y_{i} = \alpha_{[i]} + \beta_{ClimateChange_{[i]}} + \beta_{Species_{[i]}} + \beta_{ClimateChange \times Species_{[i]}} + \epsilon_{[i]}$$

$$(1)$$

Main Model

To best compare across the effects of each climatic and geographic variable, we scaled all of the predictors to a z-score following the binary predictor approach Gelman2006 To control for spatial autocorrelation and to account for spatially structured processes independent from our regional predictors of false springs, we generated an additional 'space' parameter for the model. To generate our space parameter we first extracted spatial eigenvectors corresponding to our analyses' units and selected the subset that minimizes spatial autocorrelation of the residuals of a model including all predictors except for the space parameter diniz2012selection; Baumen2017 We then took the eigenvector subset determined from the minimization of Moran's I in the residuals (MIR approach) and regressed them against the above residuals—i.e. number of false springs vs. climatic and geographical factors. Finally we used the fitted values of that regression as our space parameter, which, by definition, represents the portion of the variation in false springs that is both spatially structured and independent from all other predictors in the model griffith2006spatial; morales2012imprint A spatial predictor generated in this way has three major advantages. First, it ensures that no spatial autocorrelation is left in model residuals. Second, it avoids introducing collinearity issues with other predictors in the model. And third, it can be interpreted as a latent variable summarizing spatial processes (e.g. local adaptation, plasticity, etc.) occurring at multiple scales.

To estimate the probability of false spring risk across species and our predictors we used a Bayesian modeling approach. By including all parameters in the model, as well as species, we were able to distinguish the strongest contributing factors to false spring risk. We fit a Bernoulli distribution model (also know as a logistic regression) using mean spring temperature (written as MST in the model equation), NAO, elevation, distance from the coast (written as DistanceCoast in the model equation), space, and climate change as predictors and all two-way interactions and species as two-way interactions (Equation 2), using the brms package **brms** version 2.3.1, in R R version 3.3.1, and was written as follows:

$$y_{i} \sim Binomial(1, p) \tag{2}$$

$$logit(p) = \alpha_{[i]} + \beta_{MST_{[i]}} + \beta_{DistanceCoast_{[i]}} + \beta_{Elevation_{[i]}} + \beta_{NAO_{[i]}} + \beta_{Space_{[i]}} + \beta_{ClimateChange_{[i]}} + \beta_{Species_{[i]}}$$

$$+ \beta_{MST \times Species_{[i]}} + \beta_{DistanceCoast \times Species_{[i]}} + \beta_{Elevation \times Species_{[i]}} + \beta_{NAO \times Species_{[i]}}$$

$$+ \beta_{Space \times Species_{[i]}} + \beta_{ClimateChange \times Species_{[i]}} + \beta_{MST \times ClimateChange_{[i]}}$$

$$+ \beta_{DistanceCoast \times ClimateChange_{[i]}} + \beta_{Elevation \times ClimateChange_{[i]}}$$

$$+ \beta_{NAO \times ClimateChange_{[i]}} + \beta_{Space \times ClimateChange_{[i]}}$$

We ran four chains of 4 000 iterations, each with 2 500 warm-up iterations for a total of 6 000 posterior samples for each predictor using weakly informative priors. Increasing priors five-fold did not impact our results. We evaluated our model performance based on \hat{R} values that were close to one. We also evaluated effective sample size estimates, which were 1 994 or above. We additionally assessed chain convergence visually and posterior predictive checks. Due to the large number of observations in the data we used the FASRC Cannon cluster (FAS Division of Science Research Computing Group at Harvard University) to run the model.

Model estimates were on the logit scale (shown in all tables) and were converted to probability percentages in all figures for easier interpretation by following **Gelman2006** These values were then back converted to the original scale by multiplying by two standard deviations. We calculated overall estimates (i.e., across species) of main effects in Figure 2, Figure S1, Figure S2 and S3 from the average of the posteriors of each effect by species. We report all estimated values in-text as mean \pm 98% uncertainty intervals, unless otherwise noted.

Results

Basic shifts in budburst and number of false springs

Day of budburst varied across the six species and across geographical gradients (Figures 1 and 3). Betula pendula, Aesculus hippocastanum, Alnus glutinosa (Figure 1a-c) generally initiated budburst earlier than Faqus sylvatica, Quercus robur, and Fraxinus excelsior (Figure 1d-f). Across all six species, higher latitude

sites and sites closer to the coast tended to initiate budburst later in the season (Figure 1).

Across species, budburst dates advanced 6.41 ± 0.29 days after 1983 (Table S5) and minimum temperatures between budburst and leafout increased by $0.58 \pm 0.03^{\circ}$ C after climate change (Table S3). This trend in advancing day of budburst for each species corresponds closely with increasing mean spring temperatures (Figure 3). While all species initiated budburst approximately seven days earlier (Figure 4a, Table S2 and Table S5), the average minimum temperature between budburst and leafout varied across the six species with Betula pendula and Aesculus hippocastanum experiencing the lowest minimum temperatures (Figure 4b), Quercus robur and Fraxinus excelsior experiencing the highest minimum temperatures, and Fraxinus excelsior experiencing the greatest variation (Figure 4b).

A simplistic view of changes in false springs—one that does not consider changes in climatic and geographic factors or effects of spatial autocorrelation—suggests that the number of false springs increased across species by 0.51% (± 1.84) after climate change (i.e., after 1983), but with important variation by species (Figure 4c). Early-leafout species (Aesculus hippocastanum, Alnus glutinosa and Betula pendula) showed an increased risk whereas later species (Fagus sylvatica and Quercus robur) generally showed a decrease in risk, except for Fraxinus excelsior, which also showed an increase in risk (Table S4).

The effects of climatic and geographic variation coupled with climate change on false spring risk

Climatic and geographic factors underlie variation across years and space in false springs (Figure 2 and Table S6) before recent climate change (1983). Mean spring temperature had a negative effect on false springs, with warmer spring temperatures resulting is fewer false springs (Figure 2 and Table S6; comparable estimates come from using standardized variables—reported as 'standard units,' see *Methods* for more details). For every 2° C increase in mean spring temperature there was a -3.27% in the probability of a false spring (-0.2 \pm 0.07 probability of false spring/standard unit). Distance from the coast had the strongest effect on false spring incidence. Individuals at sites further from the coast tended to have earlier leafout dates, which corresponded to an increased risk in false springs (Figure 2 and Table S6). For every 150km away from the coast there was a 3.77% increase in risk in false springs (0.28 \pm 0.07 probability of false spring/standard unit). Sites at higher elevations also had higher risks of false spring incidence—likely due to more frequent colder temperatures—with a 3.38% increase in risk for every 200m increase in elevation (0.29 \pm 0.08 probability

of false spring/standard unit, Figure 2 and Table S6). More positive NAO indices, which generally advance leafout, heightened the risk of false spring, with every 0.3 unit increase in NAO index there was a 3.42% increased risk in false spring or 0.26 ± 0.05 probability of false spring/standard unit (Figure 2 and Table S6).

These effects varied across species (Figure 5). While there were fewer false springs for each species with increasing mean spring temperatures, *Betula pendula*—an early-leafout species—had the greatest risk of false springs and *Fraxinus excelsior*—a late-leafout species—had the lowest risk (Figure 5a), though *Fagus sylvatica* had the biggest change in risk with increasing mean spring temperature. There was an increased risk of false spring for all species at sites further from the coast (Figure 5b), with a sharp increase in risk for *Fraxinus excelsior* at sites further from the coast. With increasing elevation, all species had a greater risk of a false spring, except for *Fraxinus excelsior*, which had a slightly decreased risk at higher elevations (Figure 5c). With increasing NAO indices, the risk of false spring increased for all species, but *Fagus sylvatica* experienced the greatest change in risk with higher NAO indices (Figure 5d).

After climate change, the effects of these climatic and geographic factors on false spring risk shifted (Figure 2). With climate change, the effect of mean spring temperature on false spring risk remained consistent, where warmer sites still tended to have lower risks of false springs -3.39% in risk per 2° C (or -0.14 ± 0.06 probability of false spring/standard unit versus -3.27% per 2° C or -0.2 before climate change; Figure 2 and Figure S4a). The level of risk also remained consistent before and after 1983 at sites further from the coast (Figure 2 and Figure S4b). With warming, there was a large reduction in risk in false springs at higher elevations (Figure 2 and Figure S4c), with 0.18% increase in risk per 150km (or 0.02 ± 0.06 probability of risk/standard unit versus 3.38% increase 150km or 0.29 ± 0.08 before climate change). The rate of false spring incidence largely decreased after climate change with increasing NAO indices (Figure 2 and Figure S4d), with a -4.07% in risk per 0.3 unit increase in the NAO index (or -0.84 ± 0.06 probability of false spring/standard unit or versus 3.42% per 0.3 unit increase in the NAO index or 0.26 ± 0.06 before climate change). After climate change, NAO had the strongest effect on false spring risk, with higher NAO indices rendering fewer false springs.

Overall, there was little change in false spring risk across all species (-0.79% or -0.03 in probability of risk/standard unit), due to climate change (after 1983) that was not otherwise explained the climatic and geographic factors we examined. This effect, however, varied by species, with an 2.97% increased risk in false springs after climate change for $Aesculus\ hippocastanum$ (or 0.12 ± 0.06 probability of false spring/standard unit; Figure 2, Figure 5d and Table S6), a 4.39% increase for $Alnus\ glutinosa$, and a 4.04% increase for $Betula\ pendula$ (or a 0.18 ± 0.09 and 0.16 ± 0.07 probability of false spring/standard unit respectively; Figure 2,

Figure 5e and Table S6). Climate change decreased risk by -4.48% for Fagus sylvatica, Fraxinus excelsior by -6.99% and Quercus robur by -4.66% (or -0.178 \pm 0.09, -0.28 \pm 0.11 and -0.19 \pm 0.09 probability of false spring/standard unit respectively; Figure 2, Figure 5e and Table S6).

Sensitivity of results to duration of risk and temperature thresholds

Our results remained consistent (in direction and magnitude) when we applied different rates of leafout for each species (i.e., varied the length of time between estimated budburst and leafout). Mean spring temperature (-3.79% for every 2°C or -0.24 \pm 0.06 probability of risk/standard unit), distance from the coast (3.81% increase for every 150km or 0.29 \pm 0.07 probability of risk/standard unit), elevation (2.94% increase for every 200m or 0.25 \pm 0.07 probability of risk/standard unit) and NAO (3.67% increase for every 0.3 or 0.27 \pm 0.05 probability of risk/standard unit) all contributed to false spring risk (Figure S1 and Table S7). After climate change, results also were congruous with our main findings (Figure S1, Table S7 and Figure S5).

Results also remained generally consistent when we applied a lower temperature threshold for defining a false spring (i.e., -5°C), though there were more shifts in the magnitude of some effects, especially those of climate change. Mean spring temperature (-10.66% for every 2°C or -0.67 \pm 0.12 probability of risk/standard unit) was the strongest predictor but distance from the coast (2.85% increase in risk for every 150km or 0.22 \pm 0.13 probability of risk/standard unit), elevation (7.1% increase in risk for every 200m or 0.61 \pm 0.14 probability of risk/standard unit) and NAO (3.62% increase in risk for every 0.3 or 0.27 \pm 0.12 probability of risk/standard unit) all contributed to risk of false spring. There was greater increase in false spring risk due to the residual climate change effect across all six species combined, though the greatest increase was in the early-leafout species (8.83% increase or 0.35 \pm 0.11 probability of risk/standard unit; Figure S2, Table S8 and Figure S6).

Results of climatic and geographic effects, again, remained consistent in our varying threshold model (where we defined a false spring as -5°C for early-leafout species and -2.2°C for late-leafout species) with all predictors contributing to risk: mean spring temperature (-10.38% for every 2° or -0.65 \pm 0.13 probability of risk/standard unit), distance from the coast (2.41% for every 2°C or 0.18 \pm 0.14 probability of risk/standard unit), elevation (7.48% for every 200m or 0.64 \pm 0.14 probability of risk/standard unit) and NAO (3.74% for every 2° or 0.28 \pm 0.12 probability of risk/standard unit). There was also a slight increase in false spring risk due to the residual effect of climate change across all six species (3.59% increase or 0.14 \pm 0.06 probability of risk/standard unit; Figure S3 and Table S9). In contrast to our other models, in this model

late-leafout species (i.e., Fagus sylvatica, Quercus robur, Fraxinus excelsior) experienced more false springs than the early-leafout species (i.e., Aesculus hippocastanum, Alnus glutinosa, Betula pendula), though after climate change all species experienced a more similar magnitude of risk (Figure S7).

Discussion

Integrating over 66 years of data, 11648 sites across Central Europe and major climatic and geographic factors, our results suggest climate change has reshaped the factors that drive false spring risk. Our results support that higher elevations tend to experience more false springs Vitra2017; Vitasse2018 and sites that are generally warmer have lower risks of false springs Wypych2016 Individuals further from the coast typically initiated leafout earlier in the season, which subsequently increased risk and, similarly, years with higher NAO indices experienced an increase in risk.

The effect of many of these factors on false spring risk have changed with climate change, with the effects of the NAO and elevation shifting the most after 1983, while the effects of distance from the coast and mean spring temperature shifting comparably little (Figure S4). These shifts in the influence of climatic and geographic factors subsequently result in different effects of climate change on species. The late-leafout species (e.g., Fraxinus excelsior and Quercus robur) have experienced decreases while the early-leafout species (e.g., Aesculus hippocastanum, Alnus glutinosa and Betula pendula) have experienced increases in risk, though these results depended on a common temperature threshold across all species to define false springs. Together, our results highlight where we have a more robust understanding of what drivers underlie shifts in false spring and for which species, and where we most critically need greater understanding.

Climatic and geographic effects on false spring risk

Past studies, often considering few drivers of false spring events **Wypych2016a**; **Liu2018**; **Ma2018**; **Vitasse2018** have led to contradictory predictions in future false spring risk. By integrating both climate gradients and geographical factors, we found that all factors contributed to false spring risk, emphasizing the need to incorporate multiple predictors to better understand false spring risk.

Climatic and geographic factors varied in how consistent, or not, they were across species. Mean spring temperature, distance from the coast and NAO effects were fairly consistent across species in direction,

though Fraxinus excelsior experienced a much greater increase in risk at sites further from the coast and Fagus sylvatica had a heightened risk to higher NAO indices compared to the other species. Elevation was the only factor that varied in direction among the species with most species having an increased risk at higher elevations except for Fraxinus excelsior. These inconsistencies may capture range differences among species, with potentially contrasting effects of factors on individuals closer to range edges Chuine2008

Adding to this species-level complexity, the strength of these climatic and geographic effects has shifted since the onset of recent major climate change. After climate change, we found a decreased risk for individuals at higher elevations after climate change, in line with findings that warming has caused more uniform budburst across elevations Vitasse2018 Additionally, our results show a large decrease in risk of false springs with higher NAO indices, switching the role of NAO from increasing to decreasing false spring risk. This could be because high NAO conditions no longer lead to temperatures low enough to trigger a false spring—that is, climate-change induced warming coupled with high NAO conditions, which increase spring temperatures, could reduce the likelihood of freezing temperatures, leading to a decreased risk of false spring conditions

Variation in risk across species

Screen2017

In addition to the shifts in climatic and geographic factors with climate change, we found that climate change has potentially increased differences in risk between early- and late-leafout species. Assuming a common threshold for damage of -2.2°C, before 1983 false spring risk was slightly higher for species initiating leafout earlier in the spring but overall the risk was more consistent across species (Figure 5e). After climate change species differences in risk amplified: the early-leafout species (i.e., Aesculus hippocastanum, Alnus glutinosa and Betula pendula) had an increased risk and the later-leafout species (i.e., Fagus sylvatica, Fraxinus excelsior and Quercus robur) had a decreased risk (Figure 5e).

These results, however, hold only for using a common threshold for false spring risk across species. When we applied a model with varying thresholds for early and late species (-5°C for early-leafout species and -2.2°C for late-leafout species), we found contrasting results: with late species having the highest overall risk of false springs and climate change making the risks across species more similar. This is in some ways not surprising as this model more than doubles the threshold for a false spring event for early compared to late species thus biasing the model to find such differences, but it highlights the importance of continued research **Lenz2013**; **Muffler2016**; **Zohner2020** to estimate the temperature threshold across species, and shows species-level

findings can be highly dependent on this threshold. In contrast models using species-specific time periods for budburst to leafout or varying the temperature threshold for a false spring event across all species showed similar results to our main model.

Our model estimates further show how climatic and geographic factors shape differences in species' risk, highlighting the insight these factors can provide beyond simple estimates of absolute changes in number of false springs across species (e.g., Figure 4c). Our models generally showed that the three early-leafout species (Betula pendula, Aesculus hippocastanum, Alnus glutinosa) experienced large effects of climate change on false spring—outside of impacts through climatic or geographic factors—Fagus sylvatica experienced the greatest effects of climate change and the late-leafout species (Fraxinus excelsior and Quercus robur) experienced very small effects of climate change. These results suggest the climatic and geographic factors we examined are perhaps better at capturing variation in false spring risk for later species, but that we still fundamentally lack information on what drives false spring risk for most species. While our model examines the major factors expected to influence false spring risk Wypych2016a; Liu2018; Ma2018; Vitasse2018 these results highlight the need to explore other climatic factors to improve forecasting. We expect factors that affect budburst timing, such as shifts in over-winter chilling temperature or greater climatic stochasticity earlier in the season, may help explain these discrepancies. Progress, however, will require improved models of chilling beyond the current models, which were mainly developed for perennial crops Dennis2003; Luedeling2011

Our results and others Ma2018 suggest phenological differences between species may predict their changing false spring risk with warming, but further understanding species differences will require more data and new approaches. Our focus on understanding shifting climatic and geographic factors led us to limit our study to the few species well sampled over space and time. Data on more species are available Ma2018 but are sampled spatially and temporally much more variably. Thus, analyses of more species will need alternative datasets, or approaches that can detect and limit bias produced by uneven sampling of species across space and time.

Though our study focuses only on Central Europe, overall habitat preference and range differences among the species could also explain some of the species-specific variation in the results **Chuine2001** The ranges of the predictors are similar across species within our dataset, but *Betula pendula* extends to the highest elevation and latitude and spans the greatest range of distances from the coast (Figure 1), while *Quercus robur* experiences the greatest range of mean spring temperatures. Within our species, *Betula pendula* has the largest global distribution, extending the furthest north and east into Asia. The distribution of *Fraxinus*

excelsior extends the furthest south (into the northern region of Iran). These global range differences could potentially underlie the unexplained effect of climate change seen in our results and why the climatic and geographic factors failed to explain all of the variation in false spring risk for our species. Though testing these hypotheses would require extending data across species' ranges (as our dataset does not cover these species full ranges) and would require data on more species—and species that vary strongly in their climatic and geographic ranges—for robust analyses. Such research may be particularly useful if it connects how range and habitat differences translate into differences in physiological tolerances and the underlying controllers of budburst and leafout phenology—the factors that proximately shape false spring risk.

Forecasting false springs

Our study shows that multiple major climatic and geographic factors underlie false spring risk in Europe, highlighting that robust forecasting will need to integrate over these factors across species and time. Of the four climatic and geographic factors we examined, the effects of mean spring temperature and distance from the coast remained relatively stable compared to elevation and NAO, suggesting stability in some factors over time. This is perhaps not surprising as climate change is shifting critical spring temperatures—and ultimately the environmental drivers of phenology **Gauzere2019**—and reshaping the temporal and spatial dynamics of how climate affects budburst, leafout and freezing temperatures. Yet it does suggest that despite evidence that climate change has greater impacts on sites further from the coast **Harrington2015** warming does not restructure the effect of distance from the coast on false spring risk.

Moving forward more data on more species, especially including data on impacts of false spring on growth and survival, will be critical for estimates at community or ecosystem scales. Our results rely on an index of false spring risk to estimate when damage may have occurred; it does not assess the intensity or severity of the false spring events observed, nor does it record the amount of damage to individuals. A major gap is linking this index consistently to tissue damage and longer-term impacts on growth, which may vary by species Lenz2013; Korner2016; Bennett2018; Zhuo2018 Some species or individuals may be less freeze tolerant (i.e., are damaged from higher temperatures than -2.2°C), whereas other species or individuals may be able to tolerate temperatures as low as -8.5°C Lenz2016 and our results suggest these differences can be critical to species-level estimates (if not overall effects of climatic and geographic factors). Further, cold tolerance can be highly influenced by fall and winter climatic dynamics that influence tissue hardiness Charrier2011; Vitasse2014; Hofmann2015 and can also influence budburst timing Morin2007 Thus, we

expect budburst, leafout and hardiness are likely integrated and that useful forecasting will require far better species-specific models of all these factors—including whether budburst and hardiness may be inter-related.

Our results highlight how climate change complicates forecasting through multiple levels. It has shifted the influence of climatic and geographic factors, fundamentally reshaping relationships with major climatic and geographic factors such that relationships before climate change no longer hold. It has also potentially magnified species-level variation in false spring risk. Layered onto this complexity is further effects of climate change that suggest we are missing key factors that drive interspecific variation in false spring risk. Our study focuses on one region (i.e., Central Europe) with high-quality and abundant phenological data, and may guide approaches in other systems to identify not only which species will be more vulnerable to false springs, but also where in their distributions they will be at risk. Integrating these findings into future models will provide more robust forecasts and help us unravel the complexities of climate change effects across species.

Acknowledgments

We thank our reviewers, D. Buonaiuto, W. Daly, A. Ettinger, J. Gersony, D. Loughnan, A. Manandhar and D. Sohdi for their continued feedback and insights that greatly improved the manuscript.

Author Contribution

C.J.C. performed the analyses and produced all figures and tables. C.J.C., E.M.W., B.I.C conceived of many aspects of the study and analysis and identified climatic parameters and datasets; I.M.C enhanced the modelling parameters and controlled for spatial autocorrelation issues. All authors contributed to the study design and edited the manuscript.

Data, Code & Model Output:

Phenological data is available at the Pan European Phenology network webpage (PEP725, www.pep725.eu). Data and code from the analyses will be available via KNB upon publication and are available to all reviewers upon request. Raw data, Stan model code and output are available on github at https://github.com/cchambe12/regionalrisk and provided upon request.

 $..//..//{\rm bib/RegionalRisk.bib}$

- Table S1: Total number of observations, false springs, sites and years across species.
- Table S2: Mean day of budburst and standard deviation for each species for before and after recent climate change.
- Table S3: Summary of linear regression of average minimum temperature between budburst and leafout before and after recent climate change across species.
- Table S4: Summary of linear regression of number false springs before and after recent climate change across species.
- Table S5: Summary of linear regression of day of budburst before and after recent climate change across species.
- Table S6: Summary of Bernoulli model with the effects of species, climatic and geographical predictors on false spring risk.
- Table S7: Summary of Bernoulli model with different rates of leafout on false spring risk.
- Table S8: Summary of Bernoulli model with a lower temperature threshold (-5°C) for defining a false spring.
- Table S9: Summary of Bernoulli model with varying temperature thresholds for defining a false spring.
- Figure S1: Model estimates of effects on false spring risk with different rates of leafout.
- Figure S2: Model estimates of effects on false spring risk with a lower temperature threshold (-5°C) for defining a false spring.
- Figure S3: Model estimates of effects on false spring risk with varying temperature thresholds for defining a false spring.
- Figure S4: Average predictive comparisons for all climate change interactions with each of the main effects across species.
- Figure S5: Model estimates of effects across species with varying rates of leafout.
- Figure S6: Model estimates of effects across species with lower temperature threshold for defining a false spring.
- Figure S7: Model estimates of effects across species with varying temperature thresholds for defining a false spring.

Tables and Figures

-

Figure 1: The average day of budburst mapped by site for each species (ordered by day of budburst starting with *Betula pendula* as the earliest budburst date to *Fraxinus excelsior*).

-

Figure 2: Effects of species, climatic and geographical predictors on false spring risk. More positive values indicate an increased probability of a false spring whereas more negative values suggest a lower probability of a false spring. Dots and lines show means and 98% uncertainty intervals. There were 536,993 zeros and 218,094 ones for false springs in the data. See Table S6 for full model output.

Figure 3: Mean spring temperatures are plotted for each site and year (from 1951-2016) for each species. The purple line shows the trend in mean spring temperatures from March 1 to May 31 and the green line represents the trend of average day of budburst for each year for each species. Both lines are cyclic penalized cubic regression spline smooths with basis dimensions equal to the number of years in the study (i.e., 66). Species are ordered by average day of budburst, with the earliest being *Betula pendula* and the latest being *Fraxinus excelsior*.

Figure 4: Day of budburst (a), minimum temperatures between budburst and leafout (b) and number of false springs (c) before and after 1983 across species for all sites. Box and whisker plots show the 25th and 75th percentiles (i.e., the interquartile range) with notches indicating 95% uncertainty intervals. Dots and error bars overlaid on the box and whisker plots represent the model regression outputs (Tables S5-S4). Error bars from the model regressions indicate 90% uncertainty intervals but, given the number of observations, are quite small for a and b and thus not easily visible (see Tables S5-S4). Uncertainty intervals are more apparent for c since we are counting the total number of false spring years for each species and site before and after climate change.

Figure 5: Species-level variation across geographic and spatial predictors (i.e., mean spring temperature (a), distance from the coast (b), elevation (c), NAO index (d)) and recent climate change (e)). Lines and shading are the mean and 98% uncertainty intervals for each species. To show results on the original scale of the data we converted model output. See Table S6 for full model output.

_