Climate change reshapes the drivers of false spring risk across European trees

- 3 Authors:
- ⁴ C. J. Chamberlain ^{1,2}, B. I. Cook ³, I. Morales-Castilla ^{4,5} & E. M. Wolkovich ^{1,2,6}
- 5 Author affiliations:
- ⁶ Arnold Arboretum of Harvard University, 1300 Centre Street, Boston, Massachusetts, USA;
- ⁷ Organismic & Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, 26 Oxford Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA;
- ⁸ NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, New York, New York, USA;
- ⁹ ⁴GloCEE Global Change Ecology and Evolution Group, Department of Life Sciences, Universidad de Al-
- calá, Alcalá de Henares, 28805, Spain
- ⁵Department of Environmental Science and Policy, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA 22030;
- ¹² ⁶Forest & Conservation Sciences, Faculty of Forestry, University of British Columbia, 2424 Main Mall, Van-
- couver, BC V6T 1Z4
- *Corresponding author: 248.953.0189; cchamberlain@g.harvard.edu
- 16 Total word cout: 5211
- 17 Introduction: 961
- 18 Methods and Materials: 1315
- 19 Results: 1282
- 20 Discussion: 1653
- No. of figures: 5
- No of tables: 0
- No. of supporting information files: 11 (Fig S1-S3; Table S1-S8)

26 Summary

- 27 (1) Temperate forests are shaped by late spring freezes after budburst—false springs—which may shift with
 28 climate change. Research to date has generated conflicting results, potentially because few studies focus on
 29 the multiple underlying drivers of false spring risk.
- (2) Here, we assessed the effects of mean spring temperature, distance from the coast, elevation and the North
 Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) using PEP725 leafout data for six tree species across 11,648 sites in Europe, to
 determine which were the strongest predictors of false spring risk and how these predictors shifted with climate change.
- 34 (3) All predictors influenced false spring risk before recent warming, but their effects have shifted in both
 35 magnitude and direction with warming. These shifts have magnified the variation in false spring risk among
 36 species with an increase in risk for early-leafout species (i.e., Aesculus hippocastanum, Alnus glutinosa, Be37 tula pendula) versus a decline or no change in risk among late-leafout species (i.e., Fagus sylvatica, Fraxinus
 38 excelsior, Quercus robur).
- (4) Our results show how climate change has reshaped the drivers of false spring risk, complicating forecasts
 of future false springs, and potentially reshaping plant community dynamics given uneven shifts in risk across
 species.

Keywords: false spring, climate change, phenology, spring freeze, elevation, risk, leafout, temperate tree

44 Introduction

42

False springs—late spring freezing events after budburst that can cause damage to temperate tree and shrub species—may shift with climate change. With earlier springs due to warming (Wolkovich et al., 2012; IPCC, 2015), the growing season is lengthening across many regions in the Northern Hemisphere (Chen et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2006; Kukal & Irmak, 2018). Longer growing seasons could translate to increased plant growth, assuming such increases are not offset by tissue losses due to false springs. Last spring freeze dates are not predicted to advance at the same rate as warming (Inouye, 2008; Martin et al., 2010; Labe et al., 2016; Wypych et al., 2016b; Sgubin et al., 2018), potentially amplifying the effects of false spring events in some regions. In Germany, for example, the last freeze date has advanced by 2.6 days per decade since

1955 (Zohner et al., 2016), but budburst has advanced 4.3 days per decade in Central Europe (Fu et al., 2014; Vitasse et al., 2018). To date, studies have variously found that spring freeze damage may increase (Hänninen, 1991; Augspurger, 2013; Labe et al., 2016), remain the same (Scheifinger et al., 2003) or even decrease (Kramer, 1994; Vitra et al., 2017) with climate change. When damage does occur, studies have found it can take 16-38 days for trees to refoliate after a freeze (Gu et al., 2008; Augspurger, 2009, 2013; Menzel et al., 2015), which can detrimentally affect crucial processes such as carbon uptake and nutrient cycling (Hufkens et al., 2012; Richardson et al., 2013; Klosterman et al., 2018).

Spring freezes are one of the largest limiting factors to species ranges and have greatly shaped plant life history strategies (Kollas et al., 2014). Plants are generally the most freeze tolerant in the winter but this freeze 61 tolerance greatly diminishes once individuals exit the dormancy phase (i.e. processes leading to budburst) through full leaf expansion (Vitasse et al., 2014; Lenz et al., 2016). Thus, most individuals that initiate 63 budburst and have not fully leafed out before the last spring freeze are at risk of leaf tissue loss, damage to the xylem, and slowed canopy development (Gu et al., 2008; Hufkens et al., 2012). Plants have adapted to these early spring risks through various mechanisms with one common strategy being avoidance (Vitasse et al., 2014). Many temperate species minimize freeze risk and optimize growth by using a complex mix of cues to initiate budburst: low winter temperatures (i.e., chilling), warm spring temperatures (i.e., forcing), and increasing spring daylengths (i.e., photoperiod). With climate change advancing, the interaction of these cues may shift spring phenologies both across and within species and sites, making some species less—or more—vulnerable to false springs than before. Species that leafout first each spring are especially at risk of false springs, as their budburst occurs during times of year when the risk of freeze events is relatively high. 72 To date these early-leafout species also appear to advance the most with warming (Wolkovich et al., 2012). Thus, if climate change increases only the prevalence of late spring freezes, we would expect major increases in false spring risk for these species. In contrast, if climate change has restructured the timing and prevalence of false springs to later in the spring, then later-leafout species may experience major increases in false spring risk with climate change.

Some research suggests false spring incidence has already begun to decline in many regions (i.e. across parts of North America and Asia); however, the prevalence of false springs has consistently increased across Europe since 1982 (Liu et al., 2018). Understanding differing results across regions is difficult without understanding the underlying drivers of false spring risk. Recent site-specific studies have examined some drivers, including elevation, where higher elevations appear at higher risk (Vitra et al., 2017; Ma et al., 2018; Vitasse et al.,

2018), and distance from the coast, where inland areas appear at higher risk (Wypych et al., 2016b; Ma et al., 2018). Examining these drivers together, however, is likely necessary to determine which regions are at risk currently and which regions will be more at risk in the future. Most studies assess only one predictor (e.g. temperature, elevation or distance from the coast), making it difficult to examine how multiple factors may together shape risk. Further, because predictors can co-vary—for example, higher elevation sites are often more distant from the coast—the best estimates of what drives false springs should come from examining all predictors at once.

90

Estimates of what drives false spring risk should also examine if drivers are constant over time. With recent 91 warming the importance of varying climatic factors on phenology has shifted (e.g., Cook & Wolkovich, 2016; Gauzere et al., 2019), which could in turn impact false spring risk. The importance of elevation, for example, 93 may decline with warming. Because warming tends to be amplified at higher elevations (Giorgi et al., 1997; Rangwala & Miller, 2012; Pepin et al., 2015), which can lead to increasing uniformity of budburst timing across elevations with climate change (Vitasse et al., 2018), we may expect a lower effect of elevation on false spring risk in recent years. Warming impacts also appear greater further away from the coast, which 97 could in turn impact how distance from the coast affects risk today (Wypych et al., 2016b; Ma et al., 2018). Further, climate change can alter major climatic oscillations, including the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), which structures European climate. The NAO is tied to winter and spring circulation across Europe, with 100 more positive NAO phases tending to result in higher than average winter and spring temperatures. With climate-change induced shifts, years with higher NAO indices have correlated to even earlier budburst dates 102 since the late 1980s in some regions (Chmielewski & Rötzer, 2001), suggesting its role in determining false 103 spring risk with warming could also shift with climate change. Little research, however, has examined the 104 role of NAO in affecting false spring.

Here we investigate the influence of known climatic and geographic factors on false spring risk (defined here as when temperatures fell below -2.2° between estimated budburst and leafout for all species included in the study, Schwartz, 1993). We assessed the number of false springs that occurred across 11648 sites across Europe using observed phenological data (755087 observations) for six temperate, deciduous trees, combined with daily gridded climate data (from 1951-2016), to understand (1) which climatic and geographic factors are the strongest predictors of false spring risk, and (2) how these major predictors have shifted with climate change across species. We focus on the major factors shown to influence false spring risk: mean spring

Materials and Methods

138

Phenological Data and Calculating Vegetative Risk 115

We obtained phenological data from the Pan European Phenology network (PEP725, www.pep725.eu), which provides open access phenology records across Europe (Templ et al., 2018). The phenological data spans large 117 parts of Central Europe—primarily in Germany, Austria and Switzerland—and also covers parts of Ireland, the United Kingdom, the Mediterranean and Scandinavia (Figure 2). Since plants are most susceptible to 119 damage from freezing temperatures between budburst and full leafout, we selected first leaf data (i.e., in 120 Meier, 2001, BBCH 11, which is defined as the point of leaf unfolding and the first visible leaf stalk) from the 121 PEP725 dataset. Given our focus on understanding how climatic and geographic factors underlie false spring 122 risk, we selected species well-represented across space and time and not expected to be altered dominantly by 123 human influence (i.e., as crops and ornamental species often are), thus our selection criteria were as follows: 124 (1) to be temperate, deciduous species that were not cultivars or used as crops, (2) there were at least 90,000 observations of BBCH 11 (leafout), (3) to represent over half of the total number of sites available 126 (11648), and (4) there were observations for at least 65 out of the 66 years of the study (1951-2016) (Table S1). This resulted in six species: Aesculus hippocastanum Poir. (Sapindaceae), Alnus glutinosa (L.) Gaertn. 128 (Betulaceae), Betula pendula Roth. (Betulaceae), Fagus sylvatica Ehrh. (Fagaceae), Fraxinus excelsior L. (Oleaceae), and Quercus robur L (Fagaceae). 130 Individuals are most at risk to damage in the spring between budburst and leafout, when freeze tolerance is lowest (Sakai & Larcher, 1987). To capture this 'high-risk' timeframe, we subtracted 12 days from the 132 first leaf date to find budburst—which is the average rate of budburst across multiple studies and species (Donnelly et al., 2017; Flynn & Wolkovich, 2018; USA-NPN, 2019)—and then added 12 days from the first 134 leaf date to find leafout to establish a standardized estimate for day of budburst, since the majority of the individuals were missing budburst and full leafout observations. 136

For this alternate model, we calculated budburst and leafout by subtracting and adding 11 days respectively from the first leaf date for Aesculus hippocastanum and Betula pendula, 12 days for Alnus glutinosa, 5 days for Fagus sylvatica, and 7 days for both Fraxinus excelsior and Quercus robur based on growth chamber experiment data from phylogenetically related species (Buerki et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2016; Hipp et al., 2017; Flynn & Wolkovich, 2018).

$^{_{143}}$ Climate Data

We collected daily gridded climate data from the European Climate Assessment & Dataset (ECA&D) and used the E-OBS 0.25 degree regular latitude-longitude grid (version 16). E-OBS version 16 incorporates 145 station altitude in the interpolation scheme, thus spatially explicit information on day-to-day variability in the environmental lapse rate is captured (Cornes et al., 2018). We used this daily minimum temperature 147 dataset to determine if a false spring occurred. We defined false springs as temperatures at or below -2.2°C (Schwartz, 1993) between budburst to leafout. Decades of research has found that many species sustain 149 damage between budburst and leafout when temperatures drop below -2.2°C. However, as there is evidence 150 of interspecific variation in spring freeze tolerance, we additionally performed our analyses considering a 151 -5°C (Sakai & Larcher, 1987; Lenz et al., 2013) threshold for one model and performed an additional model 152 considering varying temperature thresholds for different species (Lenz et al., 2016; Muffler et al., 2016; Zohner 153 et al., 2020): with -5°C for early-leafout species (i.e., Aesculus hippocastanum, Alnus glutinosa and Betula 154 pendula) and -2.2°C for late-leafout species (i.e., Fagus sylvatica, Fraxinus excelsior and Quercus robur). In order to assess climatic effects, we calculated the mean spring temperature by using the daily mean 156 temperature from March 1 through May 31. We used this date range to best capture temperatures likely after chilling had accumulated to compare differences in spring forcing temperatures across sites (Basler & 158 Körner, 2012; Körner et al., 2016). We collected NAO-index data from the KNMI Climate Explorer CPC 159 daily NAO time series and selected the NAO indices from November until April to capture the effects of NAO 160 on budburst for each region. We then took the mean NAO index during these months (KNMI, 2018). More 161 positive NAO indices typically result in higher than average winter and spring temperatures across Central 162 Europe. Since the primary aim of the study is to predict false spring incidence in a changing climate, we split 163 the data to create a binary 'climate change' parameter: before temperature trends increased (1951-1983), reported as '0' in the model, and after trends increased (1984-2016, Stocker et al., 2013; Kharouba et al., 165 2018) to represent recent climate change, reported as '1' in the model.

Data Analysis

168 Simple regression models

We initally ran three simple regression models—following the same equation (below) but with varying response variables—to assess the effects of climate change on budburst, minimum temperatures between budburst and leafout and the number of false springs across species (Equation 1).

$$\epsilon_{i} \sim Normal(y_{i}, \sigma^{2})$$

$$y_{i} = \alpha_{[i]} + \beta_{ClimateChange_{[i]}} + \beta_{Species_{[i]}} + \beta_{ClimateChange \times Species_{[i]}} + \epsilon_{[i]}$$

$$(1)$$

72 Main Model

To best compare across the effects of each climatic and geographic variable, we scaled all of the predictors to a 173 z-score following the binary predictor approach (Gelman & Hill, 2006). To control for spatial autocorrelation and to account for spatially structured processes independent from our regional predictors of false springs, 175 we generated an additional 'space' parameter for the model. To generate our space parameter we first 176 extracted spatial eigenvectors corresponding to our analyses' units and selected the subset that minimizes 177 spatial autocorrelation of the residuals of a model including all predictors except for the space parameter 178 (Diniz-Filho et al., 2012; Bauman et al., 2017, see supplemental materials 'Methods: Spatial parameter' for 179 more details). We then took the eigenvector subset determined from the minimization of Moran's I in the 180 residuals (MIR approach) and regressed them against the above residuals—i.e. number of false springs vs. climatic and geographical factors. Finally we used the fitted values of that regression as our space parameter, 182 which, by definition, represents the portion of the variation in false springs that is both spatially structured and independent from all other predictors in the model (e.g. average spring temperature, elevation, etc. 184 Griffith & Peres-Neto, 2006; Morales-Castilla et al., 2012). A spatial predictor generated in this way has three major advantages. First, it ensures that no spatial autocorrelation is left in model residuals. Second, it 186 avoids introducing collinearity issues with other predictors in the model. And third, it can be interpreted as 187 a latent variable summarizing spatial processes (e.g. local adaptation, plasticity, etc.) occurring at multiple

scales.

To estimate the probability of false spring risk across species and our predictors we used a Bayesian modeling approach. By including all parameters in the model, as well as species, we were able to distinguish the strongest contributing factors to false spring risk. We fit a Bernoulli distribution model (also know as a logistic regression) using mean spring temperature (written as MST in the model equation), NAO, elevation, distance from the coast (written as DistanceCoast in the model equation), space, and climate change as predictors and all two-way interactions and species as two-way interactions (Equation 2), using the brms package (Bürkner, 2017), version 2.3.1, in R (R Development Core Team, 2017), version 3.3.1, and was written as follows:

$$y_{i} \sim Binomial(1, p) \tag{2}$$

$$logit(p) = \alpha_{[i]} + \beta_{MST_{[i]}} + \beta_{DistanceCoast_{[i]}} + \beta_{Elevation_{[i]}} + \beta_{NAO_{[i]}} + \beta_{Space_{[i]}} + \beta_{ClimateChange_{[i]}} + \beta_{Species_{[i]}}$$

$$+ \beta_{MST \times Species_{[i]}} + \beta_{DistanceCoast \times Species_{[i]}} + \beta_{Elevation \times Species_{[i]}} + \beta_{NAO \times Species_{[i]}}$$

$$+ \beta_{Space \times Species_{[i]}} + \beta_{ClimateChange \times Species_{[i]}} + \beta_{MST \times ClimateChange_{[i]}}$$

$$+ \beta_{DistanceCoast \times ClimateChange_{[i]}} + \beta_{Elevation \times ClimateChange_{[i]}}$$

$$+ \beta_{NAO \times ClimateChange_{[i]}} + \beta_{Space \times ClimateChange_{[i]}}$$

We ran four chains of 4 000 iterations, each with 2 500 warm-up iterations for a total of 6 000 posterior samples for each predictor using weakly informative priors. Increasing priors five-fold did not impact our results. We evaluated our model performance based on \hat{R} values that were close to one. We also evaluated effective sample size estimates, which were 1 994 or above. We additionally assessed chain convergence visually and posterior predictive checks. Due to the large number of observations in the data we used the FASRC Cannon cluster (FAS Division of Science Research Computing Group at Harvard University) to run the model.

Model estimates were on the logit scale (shown in all tables) and were converted to probability percentages in all figures for easier interpretation by following Gelman & Hill (2006). These values were then back converted to the original scale by multiplying by two standard deviations. We calculated overall estimates (i.e., across species) of main effects in Figure 3, Figure S3 and Figure S4 from the average of the posteriors of each effect by species. We report all estimated values in-text as mean \pm 98% uncertainty intervals, unless otherwise noted.

Results

Basic shifts in budburst and number of false springs

Day of budburst varied across the six species and across geographical gradients (Figures 2-1). Betula pendula, Aesculus hippocastanum, Alnus glutinosa (Figure 2a-c) generally initiated budburst earlier than Fagus sylvatica, Quercus robur, and Fraxinus excelsior (Figure 2d-f). Across all six species, higher latitude sites and sites closer to the coast tended to initiate budburst later in the season (Figure 2).

Across species, budburst dates advanced 6.41 ± 0.29 days after 1983 (Table S3) and minimum temperatures between budburst and leafout increased by $0.58 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$ C after climate change (Table S4). This trend in advancing day of budburst for each species corresponds closely with increasing mean spring temperatures (Figure 1). While all species initiated budburst approximately seven days earlier (Figure 3a, Table S2 and Table S3), the average minimum temperature between budburst and leafout varied across the six species with Betula pendula and Aesculus hippocastanum experiencing the lowest minimum temperatures (Figure 3b), Quercus robur and Fraxinus excelsior experiencing the highest minimum temperatures, and Fraxinus excelsior experiencing the greatest variation (Figure 3b).

A simplistic view of changes in false springs—one that does not consider changes in climatic and geographic factors or effects of spatial autocorrelation—suggests that the number of false springs increased across species by 0.44% (± 0.11%) after climate change (i.e., after 1983), but with important variation by species (Figure 3c). Early-leafout species (Aesculus hippocastanum, Alnus glutinosa and Betula pendula) showed an increased risk whereas later species (Fagus sylvatica, Quercus robur and Fraxinus excelsior) showed a decrease in risk (Table S5).

The effects of climatic and geographic variation coupled with climate change on false spring risk

Climatic and geographic factors underlie variation across years and space in false springs (Figure 4 and Table S6) before recent climate change (1983). Mean spring temperature had a negative effect on false springs, with 234 warmer spring temperatures resulting is fewer false springs (Figure 4 and Table S6; comparable estimates come from using standardized variables—reported as 'standard units,' see Methods for more details). For 236 every 2°C increase in mean spring temperature there was a -3.27% in the probability of a false spring (- 0.2 ± 0.07 probability of false spring/standard unit). Distance from the coast had the strongest effect on 238 false spring incidence. Individuals at sites further from the coast tended to have earlier leafout dates, which corresponded to an increased risk in false springs (Figure 4 and Table S6). For every 150km away from the 240 coast there was a 3.77\% increase in risk in false springs (0.28 \pm 0.07 probability of false spring/standard unit). Sites at higher elevations also had higher risks of false spring incidence—likely due to more frequent colder temperatures—with a 3.38% increase in risk for every 200m increase in elevation (0.29 \pm 0.08 probability of false spring/standard unit, Figure 4 and Table S6). More positive NAO indices, which generally advance leafout, heightened the risk of false spring, with every 0.3 unit increase in NAO index there was a 3.42% 245 increased risk in false spring or 0.26 ± 0.05 probability of false spring/standard unit (Figure 4 and Table S6). These effects varied across species (Figure 5). While there were fewer false springs for each species with 247 increasing mean spring temperatures, Betula pendula—an early-leafout species—had the greatest risk of false springs and Fraxinus excelsior—a late-leafout species—had the lowest risk (Figure 5a), though Fagus sylvatica had the biggest change in risk with increasing mean spring temperature. There was an increased risk of false spring for all species at sites further from the coast (Figure 5b), with a sharp increase in risk for Frazinus 251 excelsior at sites further from the coast. With increasing elevation, all species had a greater risk of a false spring, except for Frazinus excelsior, which had a slightly decreased risk at higher elevations (Figure 5c). 253 With increasing NAO indices, the risk of false spring increased for all species, but Fagus sylvatica experienced the greatest change in risk with higher NAO indices (Figure 5d). 255 After climate change, the effects of these climatic and geographic factors on false spring risk shifted (Figure

After climate change, the effects of these climatic and geographic factors on false spring risk shifted (Figure 4). With climate change, the effect of mean spring temperature on false spring risk remained consistent, where warmer sites still tended to have lower risks of false springs -3.39% in risk per 2° C (or -0.14 \pm 0.06 probability of false spring/standard unit versus -3.27% per 2° C or -0.2 before climate change; Figure 4 and Figure S1a). The level of risk also remained consistent before and after 1983 at sites further from the coast

(Figure 4 and Figure S1b). With warming, there was a large reduction in risk in false springs at higher elevations (Figure 4 and Figure S1c), with 0.18% increase in risk per 150km (or 0.02 ± 0.06 probability of risk/standard unit versus 3.38% increase 150km or 0.29 ± 0.08 before climate change). The rate of false spring incidence largely decreased after climate change with increasing NAO indices (Figure 4 and Figure S1d), higher with a -4.07% in risk per 0.3 unit increase in the NAO index (or -0.84 ± 0.06 probability of false spring/standard unit or versus 3.42% per 0.3 unit increase in the NAO index or 0.26 ± 0.06 before climate change). After climate change, NAO had the strongest effect on false spring risk, with higher NAO indices rendering fewer false springs.

Overall, there was little change in false spring risk across all species (-0.79\% or -0.03 in probability or 269 risk/standard unit), captured by the climate change predictor, which represents remaining variability unexplained by the climatic and geographic factors after 1983. This residual effect of climate change varied by 271 species, with an 2.97% increased risk in false springs after climate change for Aesculus hippocastanum (or 0.12 ± 0.06 probability of false spring/standard unit; Figure 4, Figure 5d and Table S6), a 4.39% increase 273 for Alnus glutinosa, and a 4.04% increase for Betula pendula (or a 0.18 \pm 0.09 and 0.16 \pm 0.07 probability of false spring/standard unit respectively; Figure 4, Figure 5e and Table S6). Climate change decreased risk 275 for -4.48% for Fagus sylvatica, Fraxinus excelsior by -6.99% and Quercus robur by -4.66% (or -0.178 \pm 0.09, 276 -0.28 ± 0.11 and -0.19 ± 0.09 probability of false spring/standard unit respectively; Figure 4, Figure 5e and Table S6). 278

279 Sensitivity of results to duration of risk and temperature thresholds

Our results remained consistent (in direction and magnitude) when we applied different rates of leafout for each species (i.e., varied the length of time between estimated budburst and leafout). Mean spring temperature (-3.79% for every 2°C or matdvrz \pm 0.06 probability of risk/standard unit), distance from the coast (3.81% increase for every 150km or distdvrz \pm 0.07 probability of risk/standard unit), elevation (2.94% increase for every 200m or elevdvrz \pm 0.07 probability of risk/standard unit) and nao (3.67% increase for every 0.3 or naodvrz \pm 0.05 probability of risk/standard unit) all contributed to false spring risk (Figure S2 and Table S7). After climate change, remained consistent with our main findings (Figure S2, Table S7 and Figure S3).

Results also remained generally consistent when we applied a lower temperature threshold for defining a false spring (i.e., -5°C), though there were more shifts in the magnitude of some effects, especially those of

climate change. Mean spring temperature (-10.66% for every 2° or -0.67 \pm 0.12 probability of risk/standard unit) was the strongest predictor but distance from the coast (2.85% increase in risk for every 150km or 0.22 \pm 0.13 probability of risk/standard unit), elevation (7.1% increase in risk for every 200m or 0.61 \pm 0.14 probability of risk/standard unit) and NAO (3.62% increase in risk for every 0.3 or 0.27 \pm 0.12 probability of risk/standard unit) all contributed to risk of false spring. There was much greater increase in false spring risk due to the residual climate change effect across all six species combined, though the greatest increase was in the early-leafout species (-1.44% increase or -0.0575558 \pm 0.11 probability of risk/standard unit; Figure S4, Table S8 and Figure S5).

Results, again, remained consistent in our varying threshold model with all predictors contributing to risk:

mean spring temperature (-10.38% for every 2° or -0.67 ± 0.13 probability of risk/standard unit), distance

from the coast (2.41% for every 2° or 0.22 ± 0.14 probability of risk/standard unit), elevation (7.48% for

every 2° or 0.61 ± 0.14 probability of risk/standard unit) and NAO (3.74% for every 2° or 0.27 ± 0.12 probability of risk/standard unit). There was a slight increase in false spring risk due to the residual effect of

climate change across all six species (29.69% increase or 1.1832854 ± 0.06 probability of risk/standard unit;

Figure S6 and Table S9). The late-leafout species (i.e., Fagus sylvatica, Quercus robur, Fraxinus excelsior)

experienced more false springs than the early-leafout species (i.e., Aesculus hippocastanum, Alnus glutinosa,

Betula pendula), though after climate change all species experienced a more similar magnitude of risk (Figure S7).

Discussion

Integrating over 66 years of data, 11648 sites across Central Europe and major climatic and geographic factors, our results suggest climate change has reshaped the factors that drive false spring risk. Our results support that higher elevations tend to experience more false springs (Vitra et al., 2017; Vitasse et al., 2018) and sites that are generally warmer have lower risks of false springs (Wypych et al., 2016a). Individuals further from the coast typically initiated leafout earlier in the season, which subsequently increased risk and, similarly, years with higher NAO indices experienced an increase in risk. But many of these factors have changed with climate change; the effects of the NAO and elevation on false spring risk shifted the most after 1983, while the effects of distance from the coast and mean spring temperature have shifted comparably little (Figure S1). These shifts in the influence of climatic and geographic factors subsequently result in different

effects of climate change on species. The late-leafout species (e.g. Fraxinus excelsior and Quercus robur)
have experienced decreases while the early-leafout species have experienced increases in risk (e.g., Aesculus
hippocastanum, Alnus glutinosa and Betula pendula). Together, our results highlight where we have a more
robust understanding of what drivers underlie shifts in false spring and for which species.

³²² Climatic and geographic effects on false spring risk

Past studies, often considering few drivers of false spring events (Wypych et al., 2016b; Liu et al., 2018; 323 Ma et al., 2018; Vitasse et al., 2018), have led to contradictory predictions in future false spring risk. By integrating both climate gradients and geographical factors, we found that all factors contributed to false 325 spring risk, emphasizing the need to incorporate multiple predictors to better understand false spring risk. Climatic and geographic factors varied in how consistent, or not, they were across species. Mean spring 327 temperature, distance from the coast and NAO effects were fairly consistent across species in direction, though Frazinus excelsior experienced a much greater increase in risk at sites further from the coast and 329 Fagus sylvatica had a heightened risk to higher NAO indices compared to the other species. Elevation was the only factor that varied in direction among the species with most species having an increased risk at higher 331 elevations except for Frazinus excelsior. These inconsistencies may capture range differences among species, with potentially contrasting effects of factors on individuals closer to range edges (Chuine & Beaubien, 2001). 333 Adding to this species-level complexity, the strength of these climatic and geographic effects has shifted since the onset of recent major climate change. After climate change, we found a decreased risk for individuals at 335 higher elevations after climate change. Additionally, our results show a large decrease in risk of false springs with higher NAO indices. This could be because high NAO conditions no longer lead to temperatures low 337 enough to trigger a false spring—that is, with climate-change induced warming, high NAO conditions (and warmer baseline temperatures for that season) could reduce the likelihood of freezing temperatures, leading 330 to a decreased risk of false spring conditions (Screen, 2017).

Variation in risk across species

In addition to the shifts in climatic and geographic factors with climate change, we found that climate change has increased differences in risk between early- and late-leafout species. Before 1983, false spring risk was slightly higher for species initiating leafout earlier in the spring but overall the risk was more consistent across species (Figure 5e). After climate change species differences in risk amplified: the early-leafout species (i.e.,

Aesculus hippocastanum, Alnus glutinosa and Betula pendula) had an increased risk and the later-leafout

species (i.e., Fagus sylvatica, Fraxinus excelsior and Quercus robur) had a decreased risk (Figure 5e).

Our combined estimates provide insight into how climatic and geographic factors shape differences in species' 348 risk (beyond what we can learn from simple estimates of absolute changes in number of false springs across species, Figure 3c). Though the three early-leafout species (Betula pendula, Aesculus hippocastanum, Alnus 350 glutinosa) showed large effects of climate change on false spring—outside of impacts through climatic or 351 geographic factors—Faqus sylvatica experienced the greatest effects of climate change and the late-leafout species (Fraxinus excelsior and Quercus robur) experienced very small effects of climate change. These results 353 suggest the climatic and geographic factors we examined are better at capturing variation in false spring risk for later species, but that we still fundamentally lack information on what drives false spring risk for most 355 species. While our model examines the major factors expected to influence false spring risk (Wypych et al., 2016b; Liu et al., 2018; Ma et al., 2018; Vitasse et al., 2018), these results highlight the need to explore 357 other climatic factors to improve forecasting. We expect factors that affect budburst timing, such as shifts in over-winter chilling temperature or greater climatic stochasticity earlier in the season, may help explain 359 these discrepancies. Progress, however, will require improved models of chilling beyond the current models, which were mainly developed for perennial crops (Dennis, 2003; Luedeling & Brown, 2011).

Our results and others (Ma et al., 2018) suggest phenological differences between species may predict their changing false spring risk with warming, but further understanding species differences will require more data and new approaches. Our focus on understanding shifting climatic and geographic factors led us to limit our study to the few species well sampled over space and time. Data on more species are available (e.g., Ma et al., 2018), but are sampled spatially and temporally much more variably. Thus, analyses of more species will need alternative datasets, or approaches that can detect and limit bias produced by uneven sampling of species across space and time.

Though our study focuses on Central Europe, overall habitat preference and range differences among the species could also explain some of the species-specific variation in the results (Chuine *et al.*, 2001), but would require data on more species—and species that vary strongly in their climatic and geographic ranges—for robust analyses. The ranges of the predictors are similar across species within our dataset, but *Betula pendula* extends to the highest elevation and latitude and spans the greatest range of distances from the coast (Figure 2), while *Quercus robur* experiences the greatest range of mean spring temperatures. Within our species,

Betula pendula has the largest global distribution, extending the furthest north and east into Asia. The distribution of Fraxinus excelsior extends the furthest south (into the northern region of Iran). These global range differences could potentially underlie the unexplained effect of climate change seen in our results and why the climatic and geographic factors failed to explain all of the variation in false spring risk for our species. Future research that captures these spatial, temporal and climatic differences across myriad species could greatly enhance predictions and help us understand these residual effects of climate change. Such research may be particularly useful if it connects how range and habitat differences translate into differences in physiological tolerances and the underlying controllers of budburst and leafout phenology—the factors that proximately shape false spring risk.

384 Forecasting false springs

Our study shows that multiple major climatic and geographic factors underlie false spring risk in Europe, highlighting that robust forecasting will need to integrate over these factors across species and time. Of the four climatic and geographic factors we examined, the effects of mean spring temperature and distance from the coast remained relatively stable compared to elevation and NAO, suggesting stability in some factors over time. This is perhaps not surprising as climate change is shifting critical spring temperatures—and ultimately the environmental drivers of phenology (Gauzere et al., 2019)—and reshaping the temporal and spatial dynamics of how climate affects budburst, leafout and freezing temperatures. Yet it does suggest that despite evidence that climate change has greater impacts on higher elevations and sites further from the coast (Giorgi et al., 1997; Rangwala & Miller, 2012; Pepin et al., 2015; Vitasse et al., 2018), warming does not restructure the effect of distance from the coast on false spring risk.

Moving forward more data on more species, especially including data on impacts of false spring on growth and survival, will be critical for estimates at community or ecosystem scales. Our results rely on an index of false spring risk to estimate when damage may have occurred; it does not assess the intensity or severity of the false spring events observed, nor does it record the amount of damage to individuals. A major gap is linking this index consistently to tissue damage and longer-term impacts on growth, which may vary by species (Lenz et al., 2013; Körner et al., 2016; Bennett et al., 2018; Zhuo et al., 2018). Some species or individuals may be less freeze tolerant (i.e., are damaged from higher temperatures than -2.2°C), whereas other species or individuals may be able to tolerate temperatures as low as -8.5°C (Lenz et al., 2016). Further, cold tolerance can be highly influenced by fall and winter climatic dynamics that influence tissue hardiness (Charrier et al.,

2011; Vitasse et al., 2014; Hofmann & Bruelheide, 2015) and can also influence budburst timing (Morin et al., 2007). Thus, we expect budburst, leafout and hardiness are likely integrated and that useful forecasting will require far better species-specific models of all these factors—including whether budburst and hardiness may be inter-related.

Our results highlight how climate change complicates forecasting through multiple levels. It has shifted
the influence of climatic and geographic factors, fundamentally reshaping relationships with major climatic
and geographic factors such that relationships before climate change no longer hold. It has also magnified
species-level variation in false spring risk. Layered onto this complexity is further effects of climate change
that suggest we are missing key factors that drive interspecific variation in false spring risk. Our study
focuses on one region (i.e., Central Europe) with high-quality and abundant phenological data, and may
guide approaches in other systems to identify not only which species will be more vulnerable to false springs,
but also where in their distributions they will be at risk. Integrating these findings into future models will
provide more robust forecasts and help us unravel the complexities of climate change effects across species.

417 Acknowledgments

We thank our reviewers, D. Buonaiuto, W. Daly, A. Ettinger, J. Gersony, D. Loughnan, A. Manandhar and
D. Sohdi for their continued feedback and insights that greatly improved the manuscript.

420 Author Contribution

C.J.C. performed the analyses and produced all figures and tables. C.J.C., E.M.W., B.I.C conceived of many aspects of the study and analysis and identified climatic parameters and datasets; I.M.C enhanced the modelling parameters and controlled for spatial autocorrelation issues. All authors contributed to the study design and edited the manuscript.

Data, Code & Model Output:

Raw data will be available via KNB upon publication and are available to all reviewers upon request. Raw data, Stan model code and output are available on github at https://github.com/cchambe12/regionalrisk

and provided upon request.

29 References

- Augspurger CK (2009) Spring 2007 warmth and frost: phenology, damage and refoliation in a temperate deciduous forest. Functional Ecology, 23, 1031–1039. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01587.x.
- ⁴³² Augspurger CK (2013) Reconstructing patterns of temperature, phenology, and frost damage over 124 years:
- Spring damage risk is increasing. *Ecology*, **94**, 41–50. doi:10.1890/12-0200.1.
- Basler D, Körner C (2012) Photoperiod sensitivity of bud burst in 14 temperate forest tree species. *Agricultural*and Forest Meteorology, **165**, 73–81. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.06.001.
- Bauman D, Drouet T, Dray S, Vleminckx J (2017) Disentangling good from bad practices in the selection of
 spatial or phylogenetic eigenvectors. *Ecography*, **0**. doi:10.1111/ecog.03380.
- Bennett JM, Calosi P, Clusella-Trullas S, et al. (2018) Globtherm, a global database on thermal tolerances for aquatic and terrestrial organisms. Scientific data, 5, 180022.
- Buerki S, Lowry II P, Alvarez N, Razafimandimbison S, Kupfer P, Callmander M (2010) Phylogeny and circumscription of *Sapindaceae* revisited: Molecular sequence data, morphology and biogeography support recognition of a new family, *Xanthoceraceae*. *Plant Ecology and Evolution*, **143**, 148–159. doi:10.5091/plecevo.2010.437.
- Bürkner PC (2017) brms: An R Package for Bayesia Multilevel Models. Journal of Statistical Software, 80,
 1–28.
- Charrier G, Bonhomme M, Lacointe A, Améglio T (2011) Are budburst dates, dormancy and cold acclimation in walnut trees (juglans regia l.) under mainly genotypic or environmental control? *International Journal of Biometeorology*, **55**, 763–774. doi:10.1007/s00484-011-0470-1. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-011-0470-1.
- Chen X, Hu B, Yu R (2005) Spatial and temporal variation of phenological growing season and climate change impacts in temperate eastern China. *Global Change Biology*, **11**, 1118–1130. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.00974.x.

- Chmielewski FM, Rötzer T (2001) Response of tree phenology to climate change across Europe. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 108, 101 112. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1923(01)00233-7.
- ⁴⁵⁵ Chuine I, Aitken SN, Ying CC (2001) Temperature thresholds of shoot elongation in provenances of *Pinus*
- contorta. Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 31, 1444-1455. doi:10.1139/x01-072. URL http://dx.
- doi.org/10.1139/x01-072.
- ⁴⁵⁸ Chuine I, Beaubien EG (2001) Phenology is a major determinant of tree species range. Ecology Letters, 4,
- 500-510. doi:10.1046/j.1461-0248.2001.00261.x. URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.
- 460 1046/j.1461-0248.2001.00261.x.
- 461 Cook BI, Wolkovich EM (2016) Climate change decouples drought from early wine grape harvests in
- france. Nature Climate Change, 6, 715-719. doi:10.1038/nclimate2960. URL https://doi.org/10.1038/
- nclimate2960.
- 464 Cornes RC, van der Schrier G, van den Besselaar EJM, Jones PD (2018) An Ensemble Version of the E-
- OBS Temperature and Precipitation Data Sets. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 123,
- 466 9391-9409. doi:10.1029/2017JD028200. URL https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/
- 467 10.1029/2017JD028200.
- Dennis F (2003) Problems in standardizing methods for evaluating the chilling requirements for the breaking
- of dormancy in buds of woody plants. HortScience, 38, 347–350.
- 470 Diniz-Filho JAF, Bini LM, Rangel TF, Morales-Castilla I, Olalla-Tárraga MÁ, Rodríguez MÁ, Hawkins BA
- (2012) On the selection of phylogenetic eigenvectors for ecological analyses. *Ecography*, **35**, 239–249.
- Donnelly A, Yu R, Caffarra A, et al. (2017) Interspecific and interannual variation in the duration of spring
- phenophases in a northern mixed forest. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 243, 55–67.
- Flynn DFB, Wolkovich EM (2018) Temperature and photoperiod drive spring phenology across all species in
- a temperate forest community. New Phytologist. doi:10.1111/nph.15232.
- ⁴⁷⁶ Fu YH, Piao S, Op de Beeck M, et al. (2014) Recent spring phenology shifts in western central europe based
- on multiscale observations. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 23, 1255–1263. doi:10.1111/geb.12210. URL
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/geb.12210.
- 479 Gauzere J, Lucas C, Ronce O, Davi H, Chuine I (2019) Sensitivity analysis of tree phenology models reveals
- increasing sensitivity of their predictions to winter chilling temperature and photoperiod with warming

- climate. Ecological Modelling, 411, 108805. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2019.108805. URL
- http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304380019303138.
- 483 Gelman A, Hill J (2006) Data analysis using regression and multilevel/hierarchical models. Cambridge uni-
- versity press.
- ⁴⁸⁵ Giorgi F, Hurrell JW, Marinucci MR, Beniston M (1997) Elevation dependency of the surface climate change
- signal: a model study. Journal of Climate, 10, 288–296
- 487 Griffith DA, Peres-Neto PR (2006) Spatial modeling in ecology: the flexibility of eigenfunction spatial anal-
- yses. *Ecology*, **87**, 2603–2613.
- ⁴⁸⁹ Gu L, Hanson PJ, Post WM, et al. (2008) The 2007 Eastern US spring freeze: Increased cold damage in a
- warming world. *BioScience*, **58**, 253. doi:10.1641/B580311.
- 491 Hänninen H (1991) Does climatic warming increase the risk of frost damage in northern trees? Plant, Cell
- 492 & Environment, 14, 449–454. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.1991.tb01514.x.
- ⁴⁹³ Hipp A, S Manos P, González-Rodríguez A, et al. (2017) Sympatric parallel diversification of major oak clades
- in the Americas and the origins of Mexican species diversity. New Phytologist, 217. doi:10.1111/nph.14773.
- Hofmann M, Bruelheide H (2015) Frost hardiness of tree species is independent of phenology and macrocli-
- matic niche. Journal of Biosciences, 40, 147–157. doi:10.1007/s12038-015-9505-9.
- 497 Hufkens K, Friedl MA, Keenan TF, Sonnentag O, Bailey A, O'Keefe J, Richardson AD (2012) Ecological
- impacts of a widespread frost event following early spring leaf-out. Global Change Biology, 18, 2365–2377.
- doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02712.x.
- 500 Inouye DW (2008) Effects of climate change on phenology, frost damage, and floral abundance of montane
- wildflowers. *Ecology*, **89**, 353–362.
- ⁵⁰² IPCC (2015) Climate change 2014: mitigation of climate change, vol. 3. Cambridge University Press.
- 503 Kharouba HM, Ehrlén J, Gelman A, Bolmgren K, Allen JM, Travers SE, Wolkovich EM (2018) Global shifts
- in the phenological synchrony of species interactions over recent decades. Proceedings of the National
- 505 Academy of Sciences, 115, 5211–5216. doi:10.1073/pnas.1714511115.
- 506 Klosterman S, Hufkens K, Richardson AD (2018) Later springs green-up faster: the relation between onset
- and completion of green-up in deciduous forests of North America. International Journal of Biometeorology.
- doi:10.1007/s00484-018-1564-9.

- KNMI (2018) Daily CPC NAO data. URL https://climexp.knmi.nl/getindices.cgi?WMO=NCEPData/
 cpc_nao_daily&STATION=NAO&TYPE=i&id=someone@somewhere&NPERYEAR=366.
- Kollas C, Körner C, Randin CF (2014) Spring frost and growing season length co-control the cold range limits of broad-leaved trees. *Journal of Biogeography*, **41**, 773–783. doi:10.1111/jbi.12238.
- Körner C, Basler D, Hoch G, et al. (2016) Where, why and how? Explaining the low-temperature range limits of temperate tree species. Journal of Ecology, **104**, 1076–1088. doi:10.1111/1365-2745.12574. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12574.
- Kramer K (1994) A modelling analysis of the effects of climatic warming on the probability of spring frost
 damage to tree species in The Netherlands and Germany. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, **17**, 367–377. doi:
 10.1111/j.1365-3040.1994.tb00305.x.
- Kukal MS, Irmak S (2018) U.S. Agro-Climate in 20th century: growing degree days, first and last frost, growing season length, and impacts on crop yields. *Scientific Reports*, 8. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-25212-2.
- Labe Z, Ault T, Zurita-Milla R (2016) Identifying anomalously early spring onsets in the CESM large ensemble project. Climate Dynamics, 48, 3949–3966. doi:10.1007/s00382-016-3313-2.
- Lenz A, Hoch G, Körner C, Vitasse Y (2016) Convergence of leaf-out towards minimum risk of freezing damage in temperate trees. Functional Ecology, **30**, 1–11. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12623.
- Lenz A, Hoch G, Vitasse Y, Körner C (2013) European deciduous trees exhibit similar safety margins against damage by spring freeze events along elevational gradients. *New Phytologist*, **200**, 1166–1175. doi:10.1111/ nph.12452.
- Liu Q, Piao S, Janssens IA, et al. (2018) Extension of the growing season increases vegetation exposure to frost. Nature Communications, 9. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02690-y.
- Liu X, Yin Z, Shao X, Qin N (2006) Temporal trends and variability of daily maximum and minimum, extreme temperature events, and growing season length over the eastern and central Tibetan Plateau during 1961–2003. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 111. doi:10.1029/2005JD006915.
- Luedeling E, Brown PH (2011) A global analysis of the comparability of winter chill models for fruit and nut trees. International Journal of Biometeorology, 55, 411–421.
- Ma Q, Huang JG, Hänninen H, Berninger F (2018) Divergent trends in the risk of spring frost damage to trees in europe with recent warming. *Global Change Biology*, **0**. doi:10.1111/gcb.14479.

- Martin M, Gavazov K, Körner C, Hattenschwiler S, Rixen C (2010) Reduced early growing season freezing
- resistance in alpine treeline plants under elevated atmospheric CO₂. Global Change Biology, 16, 1057–1070.
- doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01987.x.
- ⁵⁴⁰ Meier U (2001) Growth stages of mono-and dicotyledonous plants BBCH Monograph Edited by Uwe Meier
- Federal Biological Research Centre for Agriculture and Forestry. Agriculture, 12, 141—147 ST Geo-
- chemical study of the organic mat. doi:10.5073/bbch0515.
- ⁵⁴³ Menzel A, Helm R, Zang C (2015) Patterns of late spring frost leaf damage and recovery in a European beech
- (Fagus sylvatica L.) stand in south-eastern Germany based on repeated digital photographs. Frontiers in
- Plant Science, 6, 110. doi:10.3389/fpls.2015.00110.
- Morales-Castilla I, Olalla-Tarraga MA, Purvis A, Hawkins BA, Rodriguez MA (2012) The imprint of cenozoic
- migrations and evolutionary history on the biogeographic gradient of body size in new world mammals.
- The American Naturalist, 180, 246–256.
- Morin X, Améglio T, Ahas R, et al. (2007) Variation in cold hardiness and carbohydrate concentration from
- dormancy induction to bud burst among provenances of three European oak species. Tree Physiology, 27,
- 817-825. doi:10.1093/treephys/27.6.817. URL https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/27.6.817.
- Muffler L, Beierkuhnlein C, Aas G, Jentsch A, Schweiger AH, Zohner C, Kreyling J (2016) Distribution ranges
- and spring phenology explain late frost sensitivity in 170 woody plants from the Northern Hemisphere.
- 554 Global Ecology and Biogeography, **25**, 1061–1071. doi:10.1111/geb.12466.
- 555 Pepin N, Bradley RS, Diaz HF, et al. (2015) Elevation-dependent warming in mountain regions of the
- world. Nature Climate Change, 5, 424-430. doi:10.1038/nclimate2563. URL https://doi.org/10.1038/
- nclimate2563.
- 558 R Development Core Team (2017) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
- for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 560 Rangwala I, Miller JR (2012) Climate change in mountains: a review of elevation-dependent warming and
- its possible causes. Climatic Change, 114, 527-547. doi:10.1007/s10584-012-0419-3. URL https://doi.
- org/10.1007/s10584-012-0419-3.
- Richardson AD, Keenan TF, Migliavacca M, Ryu Y, Sonnentag O, Toomey M (2013) Climate change, phe-
- nology, and phenological control of vegetation feedbacks to the climate system. Agricultural and Forest
- 565 Meteorology, **169**, 156 173. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.09.012.

- Sakai A, Larcher W (1987) Frost Survival of Plants. Springer-Verlag.
- Scheifinger H, Menzel A, Koch E, Peter C (2003) Trends of spring time frost events and phenological dates
- in Central Europe. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, **74**, 41–51. doi:10.1007/s00704-002-0704-6.
- Schwartz MD (1993) Assessing the onset of spring: A climatological perspective. *Physical Geography*, **14(6)**,
- 570 536-550.
- 571 Screen JA (2017) The missing northern european winter cooling response to arctic sea ice loss. Nature
- 572 Communications, 8, 14603. doi:10.1038/ncomms14603. URL https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14603.
- 573 Sgubin G, Swingedouw D, Dayon G, de Cortázar-Atauri IG, Ollat N, Pagé C, van Leeuwen C (2018) The risk
- of tardive frost damage in French vineyards in a changing climate. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology,
- 250-251, 226 242. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.12.253.
- 576 Stocker TF, Qin D, Plattner GK, et al. (2013) Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution
- of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change,
- 578 1535 pp.
- Templ B, Koch E, Bolmgren K, et al. (2018) Pan European Phenological database (PEP725): a single point
- of access for European data. International Journal of Biometeorology, 62, 1109–1113. doi:10.1007/s00484-
- 018-1512-8. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-018-1512-8.
- USA-NPN (2019) Plant and animal phenology data. USA National Phenology Network. doi:10.5066/
- F78S4N1V. URL http://doi.org/10.5066/F78S4N1V.
- Vitasse Y, Lenz A, Körner C (2014) The interaction between freezing tolerance and phenology in temperate
- deciduous trees. Frontiers in Plant Science, 5. doi:10.3389/fpls.2014.00541.
- Vitasse Y, Schneider L, Rixen C, Christen D, Rebetez M (2018) Increase in the risk of exposure of forest and
- fruit trees to spring frosts at higher elevations in Switzerland over the last four decades. Agricultural and
- Forest Meteorology, 248, 60 69. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.09.005.
- ⁵⁸⁹ Vitra A, Lenz A, Vitasse Y (2017) Frost hardening and dehardening potential in temperate trees from winter
- to budburst. New Phytologist, **216**, 113–123. doi:10.1111/nph.14698.
- Wang N, McAllister HA, Bartlett PR, Buggs RJA (2016) Molecular phylogeny and genome size evolution of
- the genus Betula (Betulaceae). Annals of Botany, 117, 1023–1035. doi:10.1093/aob/mcw048.

- Wolkovich EM, Cook BI, Allen JM, et al. (2012) Warming experiments underpredict plant phenological responses to climate change. *Nature*, **485**, 18–21. doi:10.1038/nature11014.
- Wypych A, Sulikowska A, Ustrnul Z, Czekierda D (2016a) Variability of growing degree days in Poland in response to ongoing climate changes in Europe. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, **61**, 49–59. doi:10.1007/s00484-016-1190-3. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00484-016-1190-3.
- Wypych A, Ustrnul Z, Sulikowska A, Chmielewski FM, Bochenek B (2016b) Spatial and temporal variability of
 the frost-free season in Central Europe and its circulation background. *International Journal of Climatology*,
 37, 3340–3352. doi:10.1002/joc.4920.
- Zhuo X, Zheng T, Zhang Z, et al. (2018) Genome-wide analysis of the NAC transcription factor gene family reveals differential expression patterns and cold-stress responses in the woody plant *Prunus mume*. Genes, 9. doi:10.3390/genes9100494.
- Zohner CM, Benito BM, Svenning JC, Renner SS (2016) Day length unlikely to constrain climate-driven shifts in leaf-out times of northern woody plants. *Nature Climate Change*, **6**, 1120–1123. doi:10.1038/
- Zohner CM, Mo L, Renner SS, et al. (2020) Late-spring frost risk between 1959 and 2017 decreased in

 North America but increased in Europe and Asia. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117,

 12192–12200. doi:10.1073/pnas.1920816117. URL https://www.pnas.org/content/117/22/12192.

Tables and Figures

Figure 1: Mean spring temperatures are plotted for each site and year (from 1951-2016) for each species. The purple line shows the trend in mean spring temperatures from March 1 to May 31 and the green line represents the trend of average day of budburst for each year for each species. Both lines are cyclic penalized cubic regression spline smooths with basis dimensions equal to the number of years in the study (i.e., 66). Species are ordered by average day of budburst, with the earliest being *Betula pendula* and the latest being *Fraxinus excelsior*.

Figure 2: The average day of budburst mapped by site for each species (ordered by day of budburst starting with *Betula pendula* as the earliest budburst date to *Fraxinus excelsior*). Species names are color-coded to match figures throughout the text.

-

Figure 3: Day of budburst (a), minimum temperatures between budburst and leafout (b) and number of false springs (c) before and after 1983 across species for all sites. Box and whisker plots show the 25th and 75th percentiles (i.e., the interquartile range) with notches indicating 95% uncertainty intervals. Dots and error bars overlaid on the box and whisker plots represent the model regression outputs (Tables S3-S5). Error bars from the model regressions indicate 98% uncertainty intervals but, given the number of sites, are quite small and thus not easily visible (see Tables S3-S5). Species are ordered by day of budburst and are color-coded to match the other figures.

Figure 4: Effects of species climatic and geographical p

Figure 4: Effects of species, climatic and geographical predictors on false spring risk. More positive values indicate an increased probability of a false spring whereas more negative values suggest a lower probability of a false spring. Dots and lines show means and 98% uncertainty intervals. There were 582,211 zeros and 172,877 ones for false springs in the data. See Table S6 for full model output.

Figure 5: Species-level variation across geographic and spatial predictors (i.e., mean spring temperature (a), distance from the coast (b), elevation (c), NAO index (d)) and recent climate change (e)). Lines and shading are the mean and 98% uncertainty intervals for each species. To show results on the original scale of the data we converted model output. See Table S6 for full model output.

_